# Energy Levels of Pr<sup>3+</sup> in the Modulated Incommensurate Structure of ThCl<sub>4</sub>

## C. KHAN MALEK, J. C. KRUPA and M. GENET

Laboratoire de Radiochimie, Institut de Physique Nucléaire, BP 1, 91406 Orsay, France Received November 14, 1985

## **Abstract**

The matrix  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub> which presents an incommensurate modulated structure at low temperature is doped with the lanthanide ion Pr<sup>3+</sup>. The strong features in the absorption and the laser-excited fluorescence spectra have been interpreted as arising from levels of D<sub>a</sub>3+ in the site of *D<sub>a</sub>* symmetry as arising from some fit calculation, the best parameters are detersquares fit calculation, the best parameters are deter-<br>mined.

## **Introduction and Review of some Results Obtained on the Matrix ThCl,,**

Recently there has been great interest in studying the optical spectra of  $U^{4+}$  (5f<sup>2</sup>) in the ThBr<sub>4</sub> [1-3] and ThCl<sub>4</sub> [4] matrices.  $\beta$ -ThBr<sub>4</sub> and  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub> both undergo a second order structural phase transition at  $\epsilon$  and 70 K respectively. At low temperature,  $R_{\text{max}}$   $\sim$   $R_{\text{max}}$  respectively. At low temperature, anian [5], hucical quadrupolal resolutive [6], and tetragonal structure is incommensurate, resulting tetragonal structure is incommensurate, resulting in a loss of periodicity along the c axis. At room temperature, the matrix  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>a</sub> has a tetragonal striporature, the matrix  $p$ -riferial has a tetragonal  $T_{\rm b}$ Br, The thorium ion is at a site of relatively  $\beta$ -ThBr<sub>4</sub>. The thorium ion is at a site of relatively high symmetry  $D_{2d}$ . At low temperature the site symmetry of the thorium ion or of its substitute is reduced. For  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub> that is presently being studied<br>by neutron diffraction experiments, those symmetries are *C<sub>1</sub>*, *D<sub>1</sub>* and S<sub>4</sub><sup>[8]</sup> as a consequence of the continuous  $\mathcal{Q}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_2$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_4$  [o] as a consequence of the continuous modulation of the distance halogenmetal. Then the crystal field perceived by the optically active ion varies continuously. It was shown<br>that in this case the crystal field transitions give rise to absorpt in a state of the can be quite and the property which can be quite the quite can be quite the can be quite th  $\sigma$  absorption and characterized which can be quite broad and are characterized by edge singularities [3] reflecting the effect of the crystal field changes on the energy levels of  $U^{4+}$ . Given those interesting  $\frac{1}{2}$  characteristics in  $\frac{1}{2}$  spectra in T<sub>14+</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  particular interest to spectra in THA<sub>4</sub>, it seemed of particular interest to study the spectra of the  $Pr^{3+}$ ion  $(4f^2)$  isoelectronic with the actinide ion  $U^{4+}$ <br>(5f<sup>2</sup>), to see whether the existence of the incommensurate structure can be seen with a lanthanide probe. Indeed the optical spectra of  $Pr<sup>3+</sup>$  in  $\beta$ -ThBr<sub>4</sub>

[10] were analyzed at a time when the low temperature structure of the  $\beta$ -ThBr<sub>4</sub> matrix was not yet known. This article reports a tentative assignment of the energy levels of  $Pr^{3+}$  in  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>a</sub>.

## **Symmetry Considerations**

The  $Th^{4+}$  ions in the crystal are assumed to be substituted for by the  $Pr^{3+}$  ions.  $Pr^{3+}$  is a tripositive 3+ impurity in the tetravalent matrix. The missing charge distorts the site and brings up new symmetries due to charge compensation. Thus additional lines appear in the spectra. Following the work on ThB $r_4$ :  $Pr<sup>3+</sup>$  [10] and as was proved by a careful study on the line and satellites of the  ${}^{3}H_{4} \rightarrow {}^{3}P_{0}$  transition [11], we assumed that the strongest lines in the spectra are the signature of the  $Pr^{3+}$  ions in the high temperature  $D_{2d}$  site symmetry which transforms into  $S_4$ - $C_2-D_2$  symmetries at low temperature.

Because of the weak effect of the modulation which suggests that the environment of the actinide ions in the different symmetries are close together, its in the directive symmetries are cross together, was not possion to distinguish between the  $D_2$ ,  $C_2$  and  $S_4$  sites inside the bands of absorption and emission spectra. Moreover, a contribution from the  $S_4$  symmetry is expected in the intense lines of the spectra, as will be seen later.  $\alpha$ , as will be seen face.

If can be noticed that the selection fulles for the ectronic upole transitions in the  $D_{2d}$  and  $D_4$  (sub- $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  or  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$  groups are university for the transitions (Tables I and II)  $\Gamma_3 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \Gamma_4$  that are forbidden in the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry and allowed with a  $\pi$  polarization in the  $S_4$  symmetry. The other selection rules are the same.

In the  $S_4$  symmetry, the loss of symmetry elements results in the introduction of imaginary terms in the Hamiltonian: im B44 and *im* B46, where  $\mu$  is the constal field parameters in the  $D_4$ , whereas all the crystal field parameters in the  $D_{2d}$ symmetry are real. Interpreting the data in the  $D_{2d}$ symmetry instead of the  $S_4$  symmetry amounts to neglecting those imaginary terms in the even rank crystal field components that are used for the calculations of the energy levels of the optically active ions, Nevertheless, the use of the *Da* symactive ions. Nevertheless, the use of the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry should give the

	Set 1	Set 2
$F^2$	67947(112)	67866(180)
$\boldsymbol{F}^4$	50576(304)	50219(574)
$F^6$	33468(161)	33322(311)
	742(3)	742(6)
$\alpha^a$	21(1)	19(2)
$\beta/12^a$	$-39(4)$	$-43(6)$
$\gamma^{\mathbf{a}}$	1343	1343
$B_0^2$	545(37)	20(76)
$B_0^4$ $B_4^4$	$-657(90)$	292(155)
	876(58)	$-964(95)$
$B_0^6$	1398(115)	$-1525(199)$
$B_4^6$	508(97)	52(188)
Number of levels	52	52
$r.m.s.: \sigma^b$	34	66

TABLE I. 'Spectroscopic Best Fit Parameters from Fitting  $Pr^{3+}$  in  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub>. Set 1 Corresponds to a  $\Gamma_5$  Ground State and Set 2 to a  $\Gamma_4$  Ground State

 $\mu_{\infty}$  is fixed at the value for aqueous solution  $[14] \cdot \alpha$  and R were varied and then fixed.  $b_{\mathbf{r},m,s,\alpha} = (\nabla \cdot (F_{\alpha,\alpha})$  $E_{\rm obs}$ )<sup>2</sup>/(n<sub>obs</sub> - n<sub>par</sub>)<sup>1/2</sup>, where  $E_{\rm calc}$  and  $E_{\rm obs}$  are the calculated and observed energy respectively;  $n_{\text{obs}}$  and  $n_{\text{part}}$ are the number of observed energy levels and the number of varying parameters.

main features of the spectra. Esterowitz et *al.* [12] had already noticed with  $Pr^{3+}$  doped in LiYF<sub>4</sub> at a site of  $S_4$  symmetry that the  $D_{2d}$  selection rules have been useful in identifying the energy levels of  $Pr^{3+}$ .

Thus we shall interpret the spectra of  $Pr^{3+}$  in  $\beta$ - $\Gamma hCl_4$  in the  $D_{24}$  symmetry. The crystal field eigentates are the  $\overline{P}$ ,  $\overline{P}$ ,  $\overline{P}$ ,  $\overline{P}$ , and  $\overline{P}$ , point group representations associated with the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry. Only the  $\Gamma_5$  representation is doubly degenerate, the other ones being non-degenerate.

## Analysis of the Spectra

 $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup> single crystals were grown by the Bridgeman method [13]. The doping material was  $Pr_6O_{11}$  or  $PrCl_3$  using approximately 1% by weight. The absorption and fluorescence spectra were recorded with a one-meter monochromator Jobin Yvon 'HR 1000' equipped for the visible region with a 1200 lines/mm grating and a photomultiplier, and with a 600 lines/mm grating and a PbS photocell for the IR region. Fluorescence of the crystal was excited with Sopra nitrogen pumped dye laser tuned in the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$ region (around  $20.500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) with a minimal bandwidth of 0.75 Å  $(3 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ .

Because of the charge compensation, many more lines appeared and we could not get polarized spectra.

TABLE II. Energy Levels of  $Pr^{3+}$  in ThCl<sub>4</sub> (52 observed energies). Set 1 corresponds to a  $\Gamma_5$  ground state. Set 2 corresponds to a  $\Gamma_4$  ground state

$L-S$ state	Observed	Set 1		Set 2		
	$\text{(cm}^{-1})$	Calculated $\text{(cm}^{-1})$	Irreducible representation in $D_{2d}$ symmetry	Calculated $\text{(cm}^{-1})$	Irreducible representation in $D_{2d}$ symmetry	
$^{3}H_{4}$	$\bf{0}$	33	$\Gamma_5$	43	$\Gamma_4$	
	$172^a$	198	$\Gamma_1$	185	$\Gamma_1$	
		206	$\Gamma_2$	196	$\Gamma_5$	
	317 <sup>a</sup>	255	$\Gamma_3$	244	$\Gamma_2$	
	318 <sup>a</sup>	357	$\Gamma_1$	312	$\Gamma_3$	
	497 <sup>a</sup>	434	$\Gamma_5$	446	$\Gamma_1$	
	557 <sup>a</sup>	572	$\Gamma_4$	486	$\Gamma_5$	
$^{3}H_{5}$	2123 <sup>a</sup>	2159	$\Gamma_2$	2225	$\Gamma_3$	
	2153 <sup>a</sup>	2180	$\Gamma_1$	2252	$\Gamma_5$	
	$2288^{\mathrm{a}}$	2265	$\Gamma_5$	2322	$\Gamma_5$	
	2307 <sup>a</sup>	2332	$\Gamma_5$	2343	$\Gamma_2$	
	2340 <sup>a</sup>	2353	$\Gamma_4$	2405	$\Gamma_4$	
	2423 <sup>a</sup>	2476	$\Gamma_2$	2474	$\Gamma_5$	
	2475 <sup>a</sup>	2514	$\Gamma_5$	2476	$\Gamma_1$	
	2612 <sup>a</sup>	2616	$\Gamma_3$	2578	$\Gamma_2$	
$^{3}H_{6}$	4250	4215	$\Gamma_5$	4286		
	4422	4400	$\Gamma_1$	4325	$\Gamma_4$	
	4445	4459		4412	$\Gamma_5$	
	4477	4494	$\Gamma_3$ $\Gamma_5$	4435	$\Gamma_3$ $\Gamma_4$	

*(continued on facing page)* 

# *Energy Levels of Pr3+*

TABLE II. *(continued)* 



*(continued overleafl* 

TABLE II. *(continued)* I



<sup>a</sup>Corresponds to the lines observed in fluorescence spectra.

We overcame the difficulty by comparing the spectra  $\frac{1}{2}$  of P<sub>3+</sub> in T<sub>1</sub>  $\frac{1}{2}$  in T<sub>1</sub>  $\mu$  is a polarized  $\sigma$ . The similar two sets that similar those two sets in the similar two sets in were polarized  $[10]$ . The similarity of those two sets of spectra encouraged us to follow their analysis. In Specific Chequing Cu is to follow their dilarysis. if  $\lim_{x \to 0}$  if  $\lim_{x \to 0}$  (3 $\lim_{x \to 0}$  (3 $\lim_{x \to 0}$  ground state)  $\sigma$  polarization, thus leading to a (<sup>3</sup>H<sub>4</sub>)  $\Gamma$ <sub>5</sub> ground state in the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry.

The selection rules for the zero phonon electric dipole transitions in the *Dw are the following* the symmetry are the following  $\epsilon$  is ansierous in the  $\nu_{2d}$  symmetry

 $\Gamma_5 \longrightarrow \Gamma_5$  polarization  $\pi$ 

 $\Gamma_5 \longrightarrow \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  and  $\Gamma_4$  polarization  $\sigma$ 

In the most intense lines, a contribution due to provide the *most* intense thes, a contribution due to  $Pr^{3+}$  ions in the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry is expected. Indeed, the intensity of electric dipole transition in the same configuration and regardless of the symmetry of the ion site is proportional to a matrix element involving the crystal field parameters of odd rank  $(B^k \xi)$ . The  $D_2$  symmetry is close to the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry at low temperature. Thus the additional parameter  $B^{5*}_{4}$  to be added to the odd rank parameters for the  $D_2$  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2$ ymmetry  $D_2$  and  $D_2$  - common with the  $D_{2d}$ symmetry  $-$  can be supposed to be weak. Therefore the intensity of the lines corresponding to ions in the *Dw and the state will follow the state will follow the same tree*  $\mathcal{D}_{2d}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_2$  symmetries will follow the same tiend Another argument is in favour of this hypothesis:

 $\frac{1}{2}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  the most intense lines of the spectrum at  $4.2 \text{ K}$  still exist at 80 K, above the phase transition temperature where the symmetry to be considered is the initial  $D_{2d}$  symmetry. The weak lines were systematically excluded from our analysis whether they are due to charge compensation and thus correspond to other sites of symmetry or whether they come from transitions with weak intensity in  $D_{2d}$  (e.g., some transitions towards the  $^{1}I_{6}$  Stark levels) or transitions

allowed in  $D_2$  symmetry. So we tried to sort out the lit correspond to Propose the correspondence that contains  $P_2$  symmetry, so we then to solve the the studies correspond to be  $L_{2d}$  symmetry, though the selection rules seem to be somewhat relaxed because more transitions can be seen than<br>are expected.

The absorption and fluorescence data obtained by exciting the  ${}^{3}P_0$  were used in the fitting program which adjusted the various spectroscopic parameters of *a* little control intervalstions spectroscopic parameters  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . (i) interefectionic repulsition  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , while  $\kappa =$ field characteristic of the *Dzd* symmetry: *Bo2, Bo4,*  Figure in a distribution the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry.  $D_0$ ,  $D_0$  $B_4^4$ ,  $B_0^6$ ,  $B_4^6$ . In addition to these major contributions the configuration interaction parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  were used.  $T_{\rm H}$  were used,  $T_{\rm H}$  in ThBr4+ in

 $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  are absorption data absorpt 10], and in the first step only the absorption data vere integrative reasonable values for  $D_q$  were obtained, the calculated values were compared to the lines determined from fluorescence experiments. These fluorescence lines were assigned in the order derived from the fit, and the calculation was continued. The final set of best fit parameters values is listed in Table I. In the last step, the configuration interaction parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  were varied, but not  $\gamma$ , whose value depends on the position of both the  ${}^{3}P_{0}$ and <sup>1</sup>S<sub>0</sub> levels. The starting values of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$ were fixed at the values in aqueous solution  $[16]$ .

The values of the experimental and calculated energies with their irreducible representations and the L-S states from which they are derived are given<br>in Table II.

### **Conclusion**

We already stressed the similarity between  $\beta$ -ThCl<sub>4</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup> and  $\beta$ -ThBr<sub>4</sub>:Pr<sup>3+</sup> [10]. Assuming that the  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$ -ThBr4.Fr  $\frac{1}{2}$  to  $\frac{1}{2}$ , Assuming that the  $T_{\text{u}}$  and  $T_{\text{u}}$  and  $T_{\text{u}}$  are  $T_{\text{u}}$  and  $T_{\text{u}}$  and  $T_{\text{u}}$  are  $T_{\text{u}}$  and  $T_{\text{u}}$  a 1, Tables I and II) results in a calculated set of ener-<br>gy and parameters very close to those of ThBr<sub>4</sub>: $Pr<sup>3+</sup>$ ,

	$ThCl_4:Pr^{3+}$		ThBr <sub>4</sub> :Pr <sup>3+</sup> [10] LuPO <sub>4</sub> :Pr <sup>3+</sup> [17] YPO <sub>4</sub> :Pr <sup>3+</sup> [17] ThBr <sub>4</sub> :U <sup>4+</sup> [1] ThCl <sub>4</sub> :U <sup>4+</sup> [4]				
	Set 1	Set 2					
$F^2$	67947	67866	68354	67688	677779	42253	42752
$F^4$	50576	50219	50310	48633	49603	40458	39925
$F^6$	33468	33322	33799	32151	32413	25881	24519
ξ	742	742	739	744	739	1783	1808
$\pmb{\alpha}$	21	19	21	21	21	31	30
$\beta/12$	$-39$	$-43$	$-67$	$-55$	$-55$	$-54$	$-41$
$\pmb{\gamma}$	1343	1343	1343	1534	1534	1200	1200
$B_0^2$ $B_0^4$	545	20	260	21	78	$-1096$	$-1054$
	$-657$	292	$-644$	280	321	1316	1146
$B_4^4$	876	$-964$	929	$-808$	$-849$	$-2230$	$-2767$
$B_0^6$		$1398 - 1525$	1089	$-1658$	$-1377$	$-3170$	$-2135$
$B_4^6$	508	52	241	291	35	686	$-(312)$
Number of levels	52	52	42	18	35	26	25
$\sigma$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	34	66	61	27	15	36	46

TABLE III. Spectroscopic Parameters of  $Pr^{3+}$  in the  $D_2$  symmetry and of  $U^{4+}$  for comparison

 $b$ ut with crystal field parameters  $B^{\bm{k}}$  different in  $\frac{1}{2}$  from those found in LuPO  $\cdot$ Pr<sup>3+</sup> [17] and ThX $\cdot$  $U^{4+}$  (X = Br [1]; X = Cl [4]) [Table III]. This difference seemed somewhat surprising in view of the  $s$  in the coordination polyhedron of  $\mathbb{H}^{4+}$  or  $\mathbb{P}^{3+}$  in the  $D_{2d}$  symmetry in all those compounds.

Following the calculation of the energy levels of  $Pr^{3+}$  in LUPO<sub>1</sub> and YPO<sub>1</sub> [17] and of  $U^{4+}$  in ThY<sub>4</sub> [1, 4], we repeated the calculation with a  $\Gamma_4$  ground state (set 2 in Tables I and III). The starting values for the  $B^k_q$  were taken with the same sign as in those compounds. We concluded upon a fitting with a somewhat larger discrepancy between calculated and experimental levels but whose consistency according to the other studies is more satisfactory.

In the crystal that was studied, the effects of the structure modulation of the matrix are not clear in absorption. In fluorescence, the emission spectra showed some differences when the *3Po* line was scanned. Unfortunately the laser used in our experiments did not have a bandwidth small enough to permit us to clearly relate the effect of the modulation on the emission spectra. Moreover, the crystals were too concentrated for that type of study. But the effect of the modulation with  $Pr^{3+}$  in ThCl<sub>4</sub> has been observed when studying the fluorescence of the *3Po* line in less concentrated crystals (0.01%) and using a laser with a better definition [ill.

## **Acknowledgements**

The authors of the article wish to thank Dr. R. C. Naik for his collaboration on the work and Dr. P. Delamoye for helpful discussion concerning the theoretical aspects of the problem. Dr. N. Edelstein is thanked for providing the matrix elements and the fitting program.

## **References**

- P. Delamoye, K. Rajnak, M. Genet and N. Edelstein, Phys. *Rev. B,* 28, 9,4923 (1983).
- P. Delamoye, J. C. Krupa, J. G. Conway and N. Edelstein, *Phys. Rev. B, 28, 9,* 4913 (1983).
- P. Delamoye and R. Currat, J. *Phys., 43, L655* (1982).
- C. Khan Malek, J. C. Krupa, P. Delamoye and M. Genet, to be published.
- S. Hubert, P. Delamoye, S. Lefrant, M. LeposteIlec and M. Hussonnois, J. *Solid State Chem., 36, 36* (1981).
- C. Khan Malek, A. Peneau, L. Guibe, P. Delamoye and M. Hussonnois, J. Molec. *Strut., 83, 201* (1982). L. Bernard. R. Currat. P. Delamoye. C. M. E. Zeyen.
- S. Hubert and R. de Kouchkovsky; j. *Phys. C, 16,433*  (1983).
- 8 P. Delamoye, L. Bernard, R. Currat, J. C. Krupa and G. Petitgrant, Journée de l'Etat Solide, Bordeaux, 1984, (conference presentation). R. C. L. Mooney, *Acta Crystallogr., 2,* 189 (1949).
- 9  $\alpha$ J. G. Conway, J. C. Krupa, P. DeIamoye and M. Genet,
- *J. Chem. Phys., 74, 2,849* (1981).
- 11 R. C. Naik, J. C. Krupa, C. Khan Malek, The International Symposium on Rare Earth Spectroscopy, Wroohw, 1984 (Conference presentation).
- 120 Computed providences,<br>2 L. Esterowitz, J. E. Bartoli, D. E. Allen, D. E. Wortman C. A. Morrison and R. P. Leavitt, *Phys. Rev., B, 19, 6442*  (1979).
- 13 M. Hussonnois, J. C. Krupa, M. Genet, L. BriIIard and R. Carlier, J. *Cryst. Growth, 51,* 11 (1981).
- 14 G. F. Koster, J. 0. Dimmock, R. G. Wheeler and H. S. Statz, 'Properties of the Thirtytwo Point Groups', MIT Cambridge, Mass. 1963.
- 15 S. Hufner, 'Optical Spectra of Trar sparent Rare Earth Compounds', Academic Press, 1978.
- 16 W. T. Carnal& P. R. Fields and K. Rajnak, *J. Chem. Phys., 49, 4424 (1968).*
- 17 T. Hayhurst, G. Shalimoff, J. G. Conway, N. Edelstein, L. A. Boatner and M. M. Abraham, *J. Chem. Phys., 76, 8,396O* (1982).