Site Selectivity Studies on Metal Dimer Complexes: The Substitution Reactions of $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO}_2)$ **Mn(CO), I**

PETER JOHNSTON, GRAHAM J. HUTCHINGS and NEIL $\frac{1}{2}$. Counting

Chemistry Department, University of the Witwatersrand, Johnsuy Department, *One*

(Received January 17, 1986)

 $T_{\rm eff}$ and $T_{\rm eff}$ are allow for all $T_{\rm eff}$ and $T_{\rm eff}$ are allow for all $T_{\rm eff}$ stratistical study of the relativities of sitematic study of the telative reactivities of the burplex at the two metal sites. In principle it should be possible to vary the reaction conditions to achieve reactivity at either of the two metal atoms (e.g. substitution, oxidative-addition, insertion etc.) but to date no systematic studies have been reported in the literature which have explored this expectation. Indeed there are few reports on the factors which lead to reactivity at one metal rather than the other metal $[1-3]$. Herein we report the first of our studies in this area; the investigation of the ligand substitution reaction of mixed metal carbonyl dimers, in particular the reaction of $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2]$ $Mn(CO)_{5}$, A, with isocyanides (RNC) to give the monosubstituted derivatives (Scheme 1) which indicate that these reactivity differences can be achieved.

A, synthesized by literature procedures [4], was R , synthesized by including procedures $\vert \vec{r} \vert$, was reacted with RNC $(R = {}^tBu, 2,6$ -Me₂C₆H₃) in benzene at room temperature in the dark. The complexes $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)Fe(CO)(CNBu^t)_2] [Mn(CO)_5]$ and $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CNC}_6 H_3 Me_2 \text{-} 2, 6)_3]$ [Mn(CO)₅] were rapidly formed and were isolated $(70-90\% \text{ yield})$ and characterized as their PF_6 ⁻ salts (elemental analyses; IR and NMR spectroscopy, see Table I). All attempts to increase the yields of the minor components (presumed to be monosubstituted
salts and substituted dimer products; total yield

TABLE I. IR and NMR Spectroscopic Data for the New Isonitrile Complexes

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

approximately 5%) by varying reactant ratios, method of reagent addition, temperature etc., failed. Independently it was also shown that the reaction of $[(\eta^5 \text{--} C_5 H_5)Fe(\text{CO})_2(\text{CNR})]^+$ (prepared from $[(\eta^5 \text{--} C_5 H_5)Fe(\text{CO})_2(\text{CNR})]^+$ C_5H_5)Fe(CO)₂I, RNC and AgBF₄ [5]) and RNC did not occur at room temperature. Thus, substitution of CO on A by RNC occurs prior to $[Mn(CO)_5]$ displacement and hence direct reaction of RNC with A leads to substitution at the Fe atom of the dimer.

Reaction of **A** with $NMe₃O$ [6] in CH₃CN rapidly resulted in the formation of an acetonitrile complex $[IR (CH₃CN); 2034(m) 1958(vs) 1918(s) cm⁻¹]$ and addition of RNC to this complex at room temperature gave $[(\eta^5 \text{--} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2 \text{Mn(CO)}_4]$ (CNR)] $(R = {}^tBu, 2,6 \cdot Me_2C_6H_3)$ in approximately 40% isolated yield. The new complexes were completely characterized by elemental analyses, IR and NMR spectroscopy (Table I) and mass spectrometry (parent ion and fragmentation scheme). The above data did not however establish unequivocally the site of ligand substitution. This was established by thermal $(60-80 \degree C)$ and photochemical (Hanovia 100 W lamp, room temperature) decomposition of the substituted dimers in benzene which was monitored by NMR and IR spectroscopy and cleanly yielded only $[(\eta^5 \text{--} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2]_2$ and $[Mn_2(CO)_8$ - $(CNBu^t)₂$] [7]. The NMe₃O route thus yields the Mn substituted derivative. This was further confirmed by the attempted synthesis of the substituted dimers via metathetical reactions of appropriate monometallic complexes. Thus (a) reaction of $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5) Fe(CO)(CNBu^t)I$] [8] and Na[Mn(CO)₅] did not give the required product but instead a range of (identified) complexes which included $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5)$ - $Fe(CO)₂$]₂ and $[Mn_2(CO)₈(CNBu^t)₂]$; (b) reaction of $[(\eta^5 \text{--} C_5 H_5)Fe(CO)_2]$ and $Mn(CO)_4(CNBu^t)]$ Na gave only $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2]_2$ and $[Mn_2(CO)_8$ - $(CNBu^t)₂$]; and (c) reaction of $[(\eta⁵-C₅H₅)Fe(CO)₂]$. Na and $[Mn(CO)₄(CNBu^t)Br]$ gave a moderate (30%) yield of $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5)$ FeMn(CO)₄(CNBu^t). This complex had IR and NMR data identical to that of the complex obtained from the $NMe₃O$ route and further, photolytic decomposition yielded only the expected $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2]_2$ and $[Mn_2(CO)_8(CN Bu^{t}$ ₂] products.

All the above data are consistent with the following rationalisation. Reaction of RNC with A yields a complex proposed from the reactivity studies to be $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}(\text{CNR}) \text{Mn(CO)}_5]$. In the presence of RNC this dimer rapidly reacts at Fe to ultimately yield salt products. Alternatively in the absence of other ligands (e.g. RNC) the complex cleaves to form radicals which recombine to form the homonuclear dimers (the thermodynamically favoured products [9]) either prior to or after the RNC migrates from Fe to Mn (see (a) above). By contrast the NMe₃O route yields a Mn substituted product in which a more stable metal RNC bond is formed and in this dimer metal-metal bond cleavage occurs in preference to RNC transfer to Fe.

Reaction of A with $P(OMe)_3$ and $PMePh_2$ either directly or in the presence of $NMe₃O$ gave results entirely consistent with the above reaction scheme. However, in this instance the $NMe₃O$ reaction e.g. with PMePh₂ does not yield $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2 \text{-} \text{Mn(CO)}_4 (\text{PMePh}_2 \text{P})]$ but rather $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe} \cdot \text{S}^2 \text{O}^2 \text{$ but rather $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5)$ Fe- $(CO)_2$ ₂ and diax- $[Mn_2(CO)_8(PMePh_2)]$ [10].

We have also extended our studies to the reaction of $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5H_5)Fe(CO)_2Re(CO)_5]$ [11] and isocyanides. The PdO catalysed reaction [12] between $[(\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5) \text{Fe(CO)}_2 \text{Re(CO)}_5]$ and ^tBuNC (THF, 25 °C) readily yields a monosubstituted derivative in high yield. This complex, characterized by elemental analysis and spectroscopic techniques has an IR spectrum identical to that of $((\eta^5 \text{-} C_5 H_5)Fe^{-1})$ $(CO)₂Mn(CO)₄(CNR)$ suggesting that substitution has taken place at the Re atom. Further studies on the investigation of the reactivity pattern of the $Fe-$ Re dimer are in progress.

Acknowledgements

We thank the C.S.I.R. and the University for financial support.

References

- 1 D. Sonnenberger and J. D. Atwood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., *102, 3484 (1980).*
- *2* D. J. Robinson, E. A. Darling and N. J. Coville, J. *Organomet. Chem.,* submitted for publication.
- 3 E. Sappa, A. Tiripicchio and P. Braunstein, *Coord. Chem.* Rev., 65, 219 (1985).
- 4 R. B. King, P. M. Treichel and F. G. A. Stone, *Chem. Ind., 747 (1961).*
- 5 D. L. Reger and C. Collman, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 131. *153 (1977).*
- *6* T-Y. Luh, *koord.* Chem. *Rev., 60,* 255 (1984).
- *7* M. 0. Albers and N. J. Coville, S. *Afr. J. Chem., 35.* 139 (1982).
- *8* M. 0. Albers, E. Singleton and N. J. Coville, J. *Chem. Sot., Dalton Trans., 947 (1983).*
- *9* T. Madach and H. Vahrenkamp, *Chem. Ber., 113. 2675 (1980).*
- 10 M. Laing, T. Ashworth and P. Sommerville, J. *Chem. Sot.,* Chem. Commun., 1251 (1972).
- 11 T. Blackmore, J. D. Cotton, M. I. Bruce and F. G. A. Stone, *J. Chem. Soc. A*, 2931 (1968); A. N. Nesmeyanov, G. G. Dvoryantseva, Y. N. Sheinker, N. E. Kolobova and K. N. Anisimov, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 169, 843 (1966).*
- 12 M. 0. Albers and N. J. Coville, *Coord.* Chem. *Rev.,* 53, 227 (1984).