Intermolecular Interaction of Tris-bipyridyl Metal Complexes, M(bpy)₃ (M = Al, V, Fe), with Tetracyanoethylene

ALBERTO FLAMINI* and NICOLA POLI

Istituto di Teoria, Struttura Elettronica e Comportamento Spettrochimico dei Composti di Coordinazione del C.N.R., Area della Ricerca di Roma, P.O. Box 10, 00016 Monterotondo St., Rome, Italy

(Received April 29, 1988)

Previously, we reported that the tris-bipyridyl low-valent metal complexes, $M(bpy)_3$ (M = Ti, Zr, V; bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, $C_{10}H_8N_2$), promote a variety of autocondensation reactions of tetracyanoethylene (C_6N_4 , TCNE) [1]. In the first step a two-electron transfer is involved from the electron-rich $M(bpy)_3^0$ species to TCNE. Either the dianion, TCNE²⁻⁻, or the radical monoanion, TCNE⁻⁺, are so produced depending on the $M(bpy)_3/TCNE$ ratio, according to the following scheme

$$\begin{array}{c} + \text{TCNE} & [M(bpy)_3^{2+} \cdot \text{TCNE}^{=}] \xrightarrow{- bpy} \\ 1 \\ M(bpy)_3 & M(bpy)_2(\text{TCNE}) \\ + 2\text{TCNE} & M(bpy)_3^{2+} \cdot 2\text{TCNE}^{-1} \xrightarrow{- bpy, \Delta} \\ 2 \\ M(bpy)_2(\text{TCNE})_2 \end{array}$$

Recently, donor-acceptor charge transfer complexes (DA) containing polycyano anions have been the subject of intensive investigations [2], especially the ion radical salts of TCNE^{-•} [3], which in combination with another radical as counteraction can give mixed stacks,D'A'D'A' where a ferromagnetic coupling dominates. This precedent prompted us to investigate the salts 1 or 2 of the above scheme. We have succeeded in isolating and studying only the ion radical salts 2 for M = Al, V, Fe. Unfortunately such complexes, pure and crystalline in the solid state, decompose slowly in solution even under dinitrogen, because of the replacement of one bipyridine ligand by TCNE⁻⁻, preventing us from obtaining good quality crystals for an X-ray diffraction study. Nevertheless, from the chemicophysical measurements (magnetism, IR and electronic spectra), we may suggest a hypothetical novel spatial arrangement of the ions in the salts $M(bpy)_3^{2+}$. 2TCNE⁻⁻, which we present here.

Experimental

Starting Materials

Al(bpy)₃ [4], V(bpy)₃ [1c] and Fe(bpy)₃ [5] were prepared as outlined in the literature or as previously reported; TCNE was used as received from Fluka.

Standard Procedure for the Synthesis of $M(bpy)_3^{2+}$ 2TCNE⁻

Fe(bpy)₃ (3.0 g, 5.72 mmol) was dissolved in thf (dehydrated and freshly distilled, 100 ml). Solid TCNE (1.47 g, 11.47 mmol) was added under dinitrogen. After about 30 min of stirring, a dark red crystalline product was formed, which was filtered and washed with thf (3.2 g, 72%).

Results and Discussion

We recall some pertinent information available in the literature concerning the solid state structures of TCNE^{-•} ion radical salts. This species is generally found as a free radical anion or as a diamagnetic π dimer. In both cases, the solid-state structure is determined by the tendency of TCNE^{-•} to interact via π electrons with either itself or some other vicinal planar π molecule.

(i) π dimers, (TCNE^{-*})₂ have been postulated in the structures of alkali tetracyanoethylenides from the strong absorption band at 540 nm assigned to a charge transfer between two parallel and close TCNE^{-*} moieties [6]. The same dimer, slightly distorted and stacked in segregated linear chains, has been found in the structure of TCNE⁻·1,1'trimethylenferrocenophane [7].

trimethylenferrocenophane [7]. (ii) A sandwich TCNE^{-*}C²⁺TCNE^{-*} arrangement (where C²⁺ is the diamagnetic $[Cp_2Ti(p-TCD)]_2^{2+}$), containing the free radical TCNE^{-*} interacting via the π electrons with the planar p-TCD moiety (p-TCD = para-tolyloxalylamidine), has been deduced from the magnetic molar susceptibility data, which corresponds to two unpaired electrons [8].

(iii) Mixed stacks of radical cation C^{+*} and TCNE^{-*} have been found in the ferrocinium salts C^{+*}·TCNE^{-*} (C⁺ = Fe(C₅H₅)₂⁺ [9], Fe(Me₅C₅)₂⁺ [3b]), where the π interaction between TCNE^{-*} and the cyclopentadienyl ring is prevalent, causing an ordering of the unpaired electrons (μ = 3.10 BM at 300 K [3b]).

In the title compounds TCNE^{-•} may interact via π electrons either with the coordinated bpy or with itself. The former kind of interaction probably prevails, with the two TCNE^{-•} radicals lying close to one other on two orthogonal planes, parallel to the bpy planes respectively, as depicted in Fig. 1. A

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Compound	Found (calc.) (%)				λ_{max} (nm) and Assignment		μ_{eff} (BM)
	C	н	N	М	M → bpy	$TCNE^- \rightarrow bpy$	
AI(C ₁₀ N ₂ H ₈) ₃ (C ₆ N ₄) ₂	66.85 (67.11)	3.30 (3.22)	26.23 (26.08)	3.61 (3.59)		390	2.06
$V(C_{10}N_2H_8)_3(C_6N_4)_2$	64.73 (65.03)	3.28 (3.12)	25.10 (25.28)	6.78 (6.57)	645	400	3.83
$Fe(C_{10}N_2H_8)_3(C_6N_4)_2$	64.45 (64.63)	3.24 (3.10)	25.20 (25.12)	7.08 (7.15)	520	385	diamagnetic

TABLE I. Analyses^a, Diffuse Reflectance Spectra^b and Magnetic Susceptibility Data^c for the Salts M(bpy)₃²⁺ (TCNE⁻)₂

^aBy Malissa and Reuter Analytische Laboratorien.

^bOn MgO-diluted samples.

^cBy the Gouy method at 295 K.



Fig. 1. Hypothetical spatial arrangement of the ions in the salts $[M(bpy)_3^{2+} \cdot 2TCNE^{-*}]$.

diamagnetic σ dimer is formed, $(CN)_2\overline{C}-(CN)C=C=$ $N-N=C=C(CN)-\overline{C}(CN)_2$, as a consequence of a positive overlap between the two orbitals, bearing the antiferromagnetically coupled unpaired electrons centred on the two close nitrogen atoms. All the experimental data support such a conclusion; first of all there is no doubt that TCNE⁻ is dimerized, because it does not provide any contribution to the molar magnetic susceptibility (Table I). The dimer present is certainly not a π dimer, because the electronic spectrum does not show the strong absorption at 540 nm, due to the charge transfer between the two parallel TCNE^{-•} [6]. Conversely, the electronic spectrum reveals the interaction between TCNE⁻ and bpy in the sense that it shows an intense band at 390 nm, which is absent in solution (Fig. 2), probably arising from the charge transfer $TCNE^- \rightarrow bpy$ (the structured band, centred at 415 nm in solution, is typical of free TCNE^{-•} [6]). Finally the IR spectrum in the $\nu(C \equiv N)$ stretching vibration region is also conclusive: usually this region is considered of diagnostic value for ascertaining both the charge and the coordination mode of TCNE [2g, 3c]. Non-coordinated TCNE⁻ gives only two peaks at 2140, 2180 cm⁻¹ in



Fig. 2. Electronic absorption spectra of $[Al(bpy)_3^{2^*}$. 2TCNE⁻⁻]; — acetonitrile solution, -- diffuse reflectance. The spectra of the other title salts (M = V, Fe) are identical to this one apart from the extra $M \rightarrow bpy$ charge transfer band (see Table I).

solution (Fig. 3), shifted by $3-5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the solid state, irrespective of the type of π interaction present [2g, 3b, 3c, 7, 8], assignable to ν_9 and ν_{15} in D_{2h} [10]. The presence of more than two peaks, up to a maximum of four, is indicative of TCNE coordination to a metal centre (M) through a nitrogen atom: $M \leftarrow$ $N=C-C(CN)=C(CN)_2$ [11]. Precisely four wellresolved peaks are visible (Fig. 3) and TCNE^{-*} is certainly non-coordinated (in the salts 2, TCNE^{-*} can be replaced quantitatively by the anion $B(C_6H_5)_4^{-}$). We believe that the four peaks are the



Fig. 3. Infrared spectra of $[V(bpy)_3^{2+}2TCNE^{-+}]$; —— thf solution, ---- nujol mull; the spectra of the other less soluble title salts (M = Al, Fe) are superimposable to this one.

expected $\nu(C=N)$ stretching vibrations $(\nu_1, \nu_9, \nu_{15}, \nu_{19}$ [10]) infrared active in a reduced symmetry for TCNE⁻⁺, as expected for coordination to a metal centre through a nitrogen atom, again an argument favouring the formula depicted in Fig. 1.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Mr M. Viola for the drawings.

References

1 (a) G. Dessy, V. Fares, A. Flamini and A. M. Giuliani, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Eng., 24, 426 (1985); (b) M. Bonamico, V. Fares, A. Flamini, A. M. Giuliani and P. Imperatori, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, in press; (c) M. Bonamico, V. Fares, A. Flamini and P. Imperatori, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans., 2, submitted for publication.

- 2 (a) G. A. Candela, L. J. Swartzendruber, J. S. Miller and M. J. Rice, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 2755 (1979); (b) E. Gebert, A. H. Reis Jr., J. S. Miller, H. Rommelmann and A. J. Epstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104, 4403 (1982); (c) S. K. Hoffmann, P. J. Corvan, P. Singh, C. N. Sethulekshmi, R. M. Metzger and W. E. Hatfield, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 4608 (1983); (d) D. A. Dixon, J. C. Calabrese and J. S. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108, 2582 (1986); (e) J. S. Miller, J. H. Zhang and W. M. Reiff, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 4584 (1987); (f) T. J. Le Page and R. Breslow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 6412 (1987); (g) J. S. Miller and D. A. Dixon, Science, 235, 871 (1987); (h) M. D. Ward, Organometallics, 6, 754 (1987); (i) W. Pukacki, M. Pawlak, A. Graja, M. Lequan and R. M. Lequan, Inorg. Chem., 26, 1328 (1987); (j) M. Schwartz and W. E. Hatfield, Inorg. Chem., 26, 2823 (1987).
- 3 (a) J. S. Miller, J. C. Calabrese, A. J. Epstein, R. W. Bigelow, J. H. Zhang and W. M. Reiff, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1026 (1986); (b) J. S. Miller, J. C. Calabrese, H. Rommelmann, S. R. Chittipeddi, J. H. Zhang, W. M. Reiff and A. J. Epstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 769 (1987); (c) D. A. Dixon and J. S. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 109, 3656 (1987).
- 4 S. Herzog, K. Geisler and H. Präkel, Angew. Chem., 75, 94 (1963).
- 5 F. S. Hall and W. L. Reynolds, *Inorg. Chem.*, 5, 931 (1966).
- 6 M. Itoh, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 45, 1947 (1972).
- 7 D. A. Lemerovskii, R. A. Stukan, B. N. Tarasevich, L. Yu. Slovokhotov, M. Yu. Antipin, A. E. Kalinin and T. Yu. Struchkov, *Koord. Khim.*, 7, 240 (1981).
- 8 M. Pasquali, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa and C. Guastini, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20, 349 (1981).
- 9 E. Adam, M. Rosenblum, S. Sullivan and T. N. Margulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 4540 (1967).
- F. A. Miller, O. Sala, P. Devlin, J. Overend, E. Lippert, W. Lüder, H. Moser and J. Varchmin, *Spectrochim. Acta*, 20, 1233 (1964).
- 11 M. F. Rettig and R. M. Wing, *Inorg. Chem.*, 8, 2685 (1969).