NMR spectra and the products of interaction of iridium monohydrides $IrHCl_2L_2$ (L=P(CH(CH_3)_2)_3 and P(c-C_6H_{11})_3) with molecular hydrogen

D. G. Gusev*, V. I. Bakhmutov, V. V. Grushin and M. E. Vol'pin

Institute of Organo-Element Compounds, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, 177813 Moscow (U.S.S.R.)

(Received January 24, 1990; revised June 25, 1990)

Abstract

Rapid and reversible η^2 -coordination of molecular hydrogen to the monohydrides IrHCl₂L₂, with L=PPrⁱ₃ (I) and P(c-C₆H₁₁)₃ (II), has been established by ¹H and ²H NMR. The complexes formed eliminate HCl to afford the dihydrides IrH₂ClL₂. The spectral data are reported and discussed in connection with the structure of the proposed intermediates.

Introduction

The catalytic activity and unique structural features are the factors that permanently stimulate studies of transition metal hydrides. Of particular interest is their interaction with molecular hydrogen leading to both classical and non-classical hydrides.

Kubas *et al.* have reported that the five-coordinated molybdenum and tungsten complexes $M(CO)_3$ - $(PR_3)_2$ bind dihydrogen in a η^2 -fashion in solution to form six-coordinated species [1]. More recently similar interactions have been found for other transition metal complexes [2-4].

We have shown [5] that the complexes $RhHCl_2L_2$ (L=PPrⁱ₃ and P(c-C₆H₁₁)₃) lose HCl on reacting with H₂. It has been postulated, that the reaction involves the η^2 -bonded dihydrogen species as shortliving intermediates.

In the present work we have studied the reactions of the iridium monohydrides $IrHCl_2L_2$, where $L = P(CH(CH_3)_2)_3$ (I) and $P(c-C_6H_{11})_3$ (II), with molecular hydrogen with the hope of observing the η^2 species and to follow their further conversion in solution by NMR. Aside from the commonly used ¹H NMR technique, we employed ²H NMR which provides additional spectral information.

Experimental

General data

Deuterated solvents (benzene- d_6 and toluene- d_8) were kept under LiAlH₄ and a degassing freezing-pumping (0.1 torr) procedure was carried out three times prior to preparation of a sample. Then the solvent was recondensed into a 5 mm NMR tube containing a weighted amount of the complex. The space above the liquid phase was filled with either purified H_2 or argon and the tube was sealed (or capped for the bubbling of gases through the solution). Samples for ²H NMR studies were prepared in 10 mm tubes in toluene under an atmosphere of argon and D_2 was bubbled through the solutions just before NMR measurements.

¹H, ³¹P and ²H NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker CXP 200 and WP 200 instruments operating at 200.13, 81.02 and 30.72 MHz, respectively. T_1 measurements were made using the inversion-recovery method. The number of variable delays in the T_1 measurements was 11–18. The 90° pulse was controlled at each temperature.

Synthesis of the complexes

Unless otherwise noted, all manipulations were carried out in argon atmosphere. The complex $IrHCl_2(PPr_{3}^{i})_2$ (I) was prepared according to the procedure previously reported [6].

$IrHCl_2(PCy_3)_2$ (II)

A solution of H_2IrCl_6 (1g, 36.8% Ir) in 10 ml of degassed isopropanol was heated. When metallic iridium began to precipitate, tricyclohexylphosphine (2 g, 7.1 mmol) was immediately added and the mixture was refluxed for 6 h. The formed precipitate was separated, dissolved in warm benzene (50 °C), passed through a silica-gel (40/100) layer and dried.

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

Then the complex was washed with pentane $(3 \times 5 \text{ ml})$ and dried in vacuum. Yield: 0.55 g (35%). Anal. Found: C, 52.7; H, 8.6; Cl, 8.7. Calc. for C₃₆H₆₇Cl₂IrP₂: C, 52.4; H, 8.2; Cl, 8.6%.

$IrH_2Cl(HCl)(PPr^i_3)_2$ (Y)

A solution of complex I (0.11 g, 0.19 mmol) in 2 ml of degassed benzene was heated in an atmosphere of purified H₂ at 50 °C for 4 h. Then the reaction mixture was kept at 20 °C for 2.5 days. The solution became bright-orange, and pale-yellow crystals formed. Eight ml of degassed pentane was added to the mother liquor. The resulting mixture was kept at -15 °C for 5 h, and an additional amount of the complex was precipitated. The crystals were collected, washed with pentane (2×2 ml) and dried. Total yield: 0.06 g (54%). *Anal.* Found: C, 37.3; H, 7.7; Cl, 12.3. Calc. for C₁₈H₄₅IrP₂Cl₂: C, 36.9; H, 7.7; Cl, 12.1%.

¹H NMR (δ ppm, toluene-d₈, 230 K); 2.43 (6H, m); 1.04 (36H, m); -12.18 (2H, t ²J(¹H-³¹P) = 8 Hz).

Results and discussion

¹H NMR spectra of iridium monohydrides I and II

The ¹H chemical shifts of the iridium-bound hydrogen are equal to -48.5 and -47.2 ppm for I and II, respectively, in d-toluene at 290 K. The phosphine protons resonate in the region 1–3 ppm. The multiplets of the CH (2.97 ppm) and CH₃ (1.22 ppm) groups are well resolved in the case of isopropyl complex I. The cyclohexyl groups of II provide a more complicated but also resolved spectrum. The integral intensities of the ¹H NMR signals of complexes I and II are in a good agreement with their structural formulation. Surprisingly, the Ir–H chemical shift in II differs significantly from that reported in ref. 7 ($\delta = -32.3$ ppm) where the compound was first characterized.

For compound II no change of $\delta(Ir-H)$ was observed on decreasing the temperature of toluene solution to 200 K. In contrast, the ¹H NMR spectra of I were strongly dependent on temperature. In particular, the Ir-H peak migrates, on cooling, downfield, strongly broadens, and even disappears (similar spectral changes were reported in ref. 8 and accounted for by formation of the paramagnetic dihydride). At 170 K the peak becomes again apparent in the region -49.55 ppm. Also a new signal at -33 ppm arises. The nature of this peak is presently being investigated. It is already clear that observed spectral behavior of complex I in solution results from dynamic processes rather than paramagnetic relaxation.

Thus, the spectral behavior of complexes I and II in d-toluene is markedly different. It turns out,

however, that the differences disappear in the presence of an excess of dihydrogen.

¹H and ²H NMR spectra of iridium monohydrides I and II in toluene in the presence of an excess of dihydrogen

The interaction between complexes I, II and dihydrogen was studied in standard NMR tubes sealed so as to ensure the presence of pure dihydrogen above the solution. Considering the low solubility of dihydrogen (2–4 μ mol/cm³ in most organic solvents), low concentrations of complexes I and II were used to achieve an excess of dihydrogen.

The chemical shift of dihydrogen dissolved in toluene, benzene, $CDCl_3$ and CD_2Cl_2 is equal to 4.53–4.58 ppm in the temperature range 170–320 K. In other words, this shift is practically independent of temperature.

Figure 1 shows the ¹H NMR signals of Ir-H and dissolved dihydrogen from a d-toluene solution of tricyclohexylphosphine complex II and dihydrogen at a molar ratio dihydrogen/complex = 2/1 (determined from the integral intensities of ¹H NMR signals). It is seen that in the temperature range 170-310 K the changes in the positions and lineshapes of the H₂ and hydride signals are strongly correlated. These observations can be rationalized in terms of an exchange process in which complex IIa containing η^2 -bound dihydrogen involves



Fig. 1. The temperature dependences of positions and lineshapes of ¹H NMR signals of H₂ (left) and Ir-H (right) for the system complex II-H₂-toluene-d₈. The spectra were scaled to the equal intensities.

$$IrHCl_2(PCy_3)_2 + H_2 \rightleftharpoons IrH(H_2)Cl_2(PCy_3)_2$$
(1)
II IIa

Actually, the spectra show that the cooling of the solution is accompanied by two phenomena. These are, first, a shift of equilibrium (1) toward the formation of the complex with molecular hydrogen (IIa) and, second, decrease in the exchange rate (i.e. an increase in the lifetimes of the exchanging states). At 170-190 K, the exchange (1) becomes slow on the NMR time scale, and the equilibrium is almost completely shifted to the right. The signal at $\delta = -16.69$ ppm corresponds to the Ir-H of IIa (note that this spectral region is typical of the sixcoordinated iridium compounds [9]). The presence of an excess of dihydrogen is responsible for a sharp singlet at 4.5 ppm. An indirect argument in favor of eqn. (1) is a change in color of the solution from pink (290 K) to colorless (170-190 K), which is typical of the formation of coordination-saturated octahedral complexes.

Unfortunately, in the region of -1 to -50 ppm the signal from η^2 -H₂ of **IIa** is not observed (probably due to strong line broadening at 170-190 K). The evolution of the dihydrogen NMR signal in Fig. 1 shows that the temperature, below which the rate of positional exchange becomes slow on the NMR time scale, is lower by 20-30° than the corresponding temperature for the Ir-H signal. Considering principles of dynamic NMR [10] and the Ir-H chemical shift difference between complexes II and IIa $(\Delta \delta = -17 - 48 = 31 \text{ ppm})$ and assuming that 10° temperature change should lead to a two to threefold change in the exchange rate, one can estimate the position of the signal from η^2 -bound dihydrogen to be 0 to -2 ppm. As will be shown below, this signal is detected by ²H NMR just in this spectral region.

Spectral changes indicative of equilibrium (1) have also been observed for complex I. In this case the value of δ (Ir-H) of the complex with molecular hydrogen (Ia) is equal to -17.03 ppm. It should be also pointed out that the position of the -CHsignal of the isopropyl group is 2.97 and 3.10 ppm in I and Ia, respectively.

The ¹H NMR spectra of complexes I and II under an atmosphere of H_2 show that for complex I the retardation temperature of exchange (1) is 10–20° lower. This is, probably, due to a higher ability of II to bind dihydrogen.

To locate signals of η^2 -bound dihydrogen in complexes Ia and IIa the ²H NMR technique has been used. The spectra of complex I recorded in toluene under D₂ are shown in Fig. 2. In this Figure the arrows denote the signals from toluene. The



Fig. 2. The ²H NMR spectra of $IrHCl_2(PPr^i_3)_2$ in toluene under D_2 .

other lines arise from the presence of D_2 in the system, the signal at 4.5 ppm being attributed to free dihydrogen (cf. Figs. 1a, b and 2a, b). At 190 K in the region predicted above one can see a broad signal at $\delta = -0.3$ ppm (Fig. 2b) which becomes even broader at 170 K. This effect is undoubtedly due to a decrease in molecular mobility of Ia. Actually, the signal of free H₂ sharpens on going from 190 to 170 K indicating a retardation of the exchange process (1). In the fast exchange limit the averaged signal sharpens and migrates upfield (1.9 ppm at 260 K). Thus, the obtained data confirm that equilibrium (1) is valid and that the ²H NMR signal at δ -0.3 ppm arises from the η^2 -bound dihydrogen in complex Ia. Similar ²H NMR studies of complex II led to identical results.

The ²H NMR spectra discussed above have no signals at -16 to -50 ppm. Therefore, there is no intramolecular isotope exchange in complexes Ia and IIa during the experiments. Thus, the H-H bond remains quite strong in the coordinated dihydrogen and complexes Ia and IIa yield no classical trihydrides.

The data show a decreasing of the rate of process (1) at the same temperature in ¹H and ²H NMR spectra despite an almost seven-fold decrease (on the frequency scale), in the chemical shift difference of the exchanging states on going from ¹H to ²H. This indicates that equilibrium (1) is much slower in the case of D_2 (by a factor of 6–7) than in the case of H_2 . In other words, one deals here with a primary kinetic isotope effect.

If the NMR chemical shifts of free and bound dihydrogen are known, one can estimate the ratio of the equilibrium constants (1) $K_{eq}(D)/K_{eq}(H)$ from

the chemical shift of averaged signals in the fast exchange limit. Such a ratio obtained by ¹H and ²H NMR for complex II at 260 K under the H₂ and D₂ atmosphere in toluene is indicative of the presence of the thermodynamic isotope effect as well, $K_{eq}(D)/K_{eq}(H) = 2$.

To conclude the discussion of the spectral data, it should be pointed out that during the ²H NMR studies, which are more time consuming compared to the ¹H NMR ones, we have noticed new spectral changes which are completed by an irreversible transformation of complexes I and II. Spectra in Fig. 2 contain weak peaks in the region -10 to -12 ppm which suggest that dihydrogen is involved in additional interactions. This result has stimulated a ¹H NMR study of solutions of complexes I and II in sealed NMR tubes after a prolonged exposure to H_2 . Note that the exposure of such solutions to H_2 is accompanied by a color change from red (or pink, depending on the concentration of I and II) to gold-yellow. It turns out that both the lowering of concentration and heating (up to 60°) accelerate the process and it takes several hours to perform the reaction.

Unexpectedly, the ¹H NMR spectra of the yellow solutions do not display hydride resonances at 290 K. On cooling, however, one can observe (Fig. 3) temperature evolution of two signals at $\delta = -10.67$ and -11.81 ppm or -10.89 and -12.14 ppm for complexes II or I, respectively. The ³¹P NMR spectra also contain two lines which are seen at 35.9 and 21.3 ppm in the case of I, for example. Unsealing of the tube containing the products of conversion of I in order to substitute argon for H₂ leads to disappearance of the signal at $\delta = -32.5$ ppm whose integral intensity is a half of the former. The position and fine structure of this signal unequivocally indicate



Fig. 3. The hydride region of ¹H NMR spectra of $IrHCl_2[P(c-C_6H_{11})_3]_2$ in toluene-d₈ after prolonged keeping under H₂.

the formation of the dihydride $IrH_2Cl(PPr_{3})_2$ (III) [11]. Similar changes are seen in the ³¹P NMR spectra where the signal at $\delta = 35.9$ ppm transforms into a line at $\delta = 53.1$ ppm arising from dihydride III. Thus, prior to removal of dihydrogen the yellow solutions contain IrH_4ClL_2 (IV) which is formed from IrH_2ClL_2 and H_2 and shows one signal for all hydride ligands $(\delta = -10.89$ ppm for $L = PPr_3^i$ and -10.67 ppm for $L = P(c-C_6H_{11})_3)$.

Measurements of the spin-lattice relaxation times $T_1({}^{1}\text{H})$ (Table 1) carried out for the conversion products of monohydride II (Fig. 3) have demonstrated that the minimum value of T_1 (≈ -30 °C) for the signal at $\delta = -10.67$ ppm is equal to 20 ms. Therefore, according to the criterion of Hamilton and Crabtree [12] one can argue that compound IY contains a η^2 -coordinated H₂ molecule on condition of the fast exchange (2) on the NMR time scale.

$$IrH_2(H_2^*)ClL_2 \rightleftharpoons Ir(H_2)H_2^*ClL_2$$
(2)

An analysis of the temperature dependence of the ¹H NMR spectra of the system studied reveals a signal of free dihydrogen (δ =4.53 ppm) appearing below 230 K. The value of T_1 of this signal is not lowered, as is typical of small molecules in the liquid phase, and grows from 27 to 324 ms on cooling to 190 K. Therefore, besides exchange (2), there must be equilibrium (3)

$$IrH_2ClL_2 \stackrel{H_2}{\longleftrightarrow} IrH_2(H_2)ClL_2$$
 (3)

which, considering the values of T_1 of dissolved dihydrogen [12], leads to overestimation of the observed $T_{1\min}$ value of the H ligands according to

$$1/T_{1\min} = m/T_1(H_2) + n/T_{1\min}(Ir-H)$$

where m = 0.33, n = 0.67 from the ¹H NMR integral intensities.

TABLE 1. The time of spin-lattice relaxation T_1 (ms) of the hydride protons formed on interaction of complex II with dihydrogen in toluene-d₈

Chemical shift (ppm)	Temperature (K)					
	290	260	243	230	210	190
4.56 (dihydrogen)				27	87	324
1.31 ($-P(c-C_6H_{11})_3$; $-CH_2-$)	250	140	118	127	235	592
-11.18 (Ir-H)		49	34	36	46	82
10.67 (Ir-H)			20	24	31	73

Using this equation together with the method of Hamilton and Crabtree [12] and correction coefficient of Morris and coworkers [13] we have calculated $T_{1\min}(\eta^2-H_2)$ for complex IY to be 7.1 ms and the H-H distance to be 0.84 Å.

To conclude this part of our discussion it should be noted that unsealing the tubes with the yellow conversion products of monohydrides I and II in order to replace D_2 for H_2 results in complete disappearance of ¹H NMR signals in the hydride region even at low temperatures. In our opinion, this isotope exchange provide an additional argument in favor of equilibria (2) and (3).

The elimination of H_2 from the tubes with the conversion products of I and II, and subsequent addition of a small amount of NaOH, lead to appearance of the sole ¹H NMR signal of dihydrides III. Therefore, the signals at $\delta = -12.14$ and -11.81 ppm (see above) are due to hydrides which are intermediates on the loss of HCl by complexes I and II under the action of dihydrogen.

The conversion product of monohydride I resonating at -12.14 ppm (complex Y) was isolated in the crystalline state and characterized. The following information was obtained.

(i) The only upfield ¹H NMR signal (at -12.14 ppm) appears at 260 K and sharpens at 230 K to give a poorly resolved triplet with ${}^{2}J({}^{1}H-{}^{31}P)=8$ Hz indicating the presence of two phosphines in the molecule.

(ii) The integral intensity of the hydride resonance (referenced to the isopropyl signals) is equal to 2H.

(iii) The minimum of T_1 for this signal is rather low and equal to 38 ms at 190 K (T_1 value for the conversion product of monohydride II, see Table 1).

(iv) The analytical data indicate the presence of two chlorine atoms in the molecule.

(v) Yanovsky and Struchkov are presently carrying out an X-ray study of this complex. According to preliminary results (only heavy atoms have been located), iridium is coordinated by two Cl and two triisopropylphosphine ligands. The coordination polyhedron is octahedron with two unidentified neighboring apexes, the chloro and phospine ligands being *cis* and *trans*, respectively.

(vi) It has been shown by ¹H NMR that the complex under study reacts readily with hydroxide ions and CO in solution affording dihydrides Ir-H₂Cl(PPrⁱ₃)₂ (III) and IrH₂Cl(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂ (YI) whose ¹H NMR hydride signals (290 K, toluene-d₈) are seen at $-32.5 \text{ ppm} (^{2}J(^{1}\text{H}-^{31}\text{P}) = 13 \text{ Hz})$ for III [11] and at $-20.2 \text{ ppm} (^{2}J(^{1}\text{H}-^{31}\text{P}) = 12.7 \text{ Hz}, ^{2}J(^{1}\text{H}-^{1}\text{H}) = 5.2 \text{ Hz})$ and $-8.8 \text{ ppm} (^{2}J(^{1}\text{H}-^{31}\text{P}) = 17.2 \text{ Hz})$ for YI (complex YI was alternatively prepared from IrCl(CO)(PPrⁱ₃)₂ and H₂ in benzene).

By summarizing all the data obtained we assume that hydride complex Y, an intermediate in the production of HCl, has an unusual structure



To explain the low $T_{1\min}$ value the existence of H-H bonding interactions in Y can be assumed. Probably the exchange IrH₂Cl-L₂+HCl \rightleftharpoons IrH₂(HCl)ClL₂ in the time scale of NMR is responsible for broadening (even disappearance) of the hydride ligands signal at 290-330 K. Such a structure allows one to rationalize both the spectral data and the interactions of complex Y with NaOH and CO (eqn. (4)).

complex Y
NaOH
$$IrH_2ClL_2 + NaCl + H_2O$$
 $IrH_2Cl(CO)L_2 + HCl$

In conclusion, the results described in this work throw a fresh light on the mechanism of interphasecatalyzed reactions of complexes $IrHCl_2(PPr^i_3)_2$ and $IrH_2Cl(PPr^i_3)_2$ with H_2 to afford the pentahydride $IrH_5(PPr^i_3)_2$ in a quantitative yield [6]. It is likely that the starting complexes, when reacting with H_2 and OH^- , first transform to the dihydride Ir- $H_2Cl(PPr^i_3)_2$ which reacts in a similar way with H_2 , but subsequent elimination of HCl gives the pentahydride species.

References

- G. J. Kubas, R. R. Ryan, B. I. Swanson, P. J. Vergamini and H. J. Wasserman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 106 (1984) 451; G. J. Kubas, C. J. Unkefer, B. I. Swanson and E. Fukushima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 7000.
- 2 G. J. Kubas, Acc. Chem. Res., 21, (1988) 120; A. G. Ginsburg and A. A. Bagaturyanz, Metalloorg. Khim., 2, (1989) 249.
- 3 R. H. Morris, J. F. Sawyer, M. Shiralian and J. D. Zubkowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 5581.
- 4 M. A. Esteruelas, E. Sola, L. A. Oro, U. Meyer and H. Werner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 27 (1988) 1563.
- 5 D. G. Gusev, V. I. Bakhmutov, V. V. Grushin and M. E. Vol'pin, *Inorg. Chim. Acta, 175* (1990) 19.
- 6 V. V. Grushin, A. B. Vymenits and M. E. Vol'pin, J. Organomet. Chem., 382 (1990) 185.
- 7 B. R. James, M. Preece and S. D. Robinson, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 34 (1979) L219.

- 8 P. Mura, A. Segre and S. Sostero, Inorg. Chem., 28 (1989) 2853.
- 9 J. P. Jesson, in E. L. Muetterties (ed.), *Transition Metal Hydrides*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971, p. 129.
- 10 J. M. Jackman and F. A. Cotton, Dynamic Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- 11 H. Werner, J. Wolf and A. Hohn, J. Organomet. Chem., 287 (1985) 395.
- 12 D. H. Hamilton and R. G. Crabtree, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 4126.
- 13 M. T. Bautista, K. A. Earl, P. A. Maltby, R. H. Morris, C. T. Schweitzer and A. Sella, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 110 (1988) 7031.