# Pressure-Volume-Temperature Properties of Sulfur Dioxide 
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Psulfur dioxide were determined using a Burnett apparatus and a Beattie-type apparatus. The temperature range was $10^{\circ}$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and the pressure range was 1 to 312 atm . Critical constants of sulfur dioxide were also determined. Vapor pressures and orthobaric densities were measured and correlated. Latent heats of vaporization were evaluated from the Clapeyron equation. Based on Hirth's (10) and Kang's work (13), smoothed compressibility factors and fugacity coefficients for gaseous sulfur dioxide were calculated for pressures up to 315 atm . over the temperature range from $10^{\circ}$ to $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Second virial coefficients were evaluated by Hirth from the low-pressure $P-V-T$ data.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Purity. The sulfur dioxide was supplied by the Tennessee Corp., Atlanta, Ga., with the specification of $99.9975 \%$ purity. Further purification was undertaken as suggested by Couch and others (7) and Vohra and Kobe (18). Samples of the purified sulfur dioxide were analyzed in a mass spectrometer. No foreign substance was found. It was concluded that the minimum purity was $99.998 \%$.

Method and Apparatus. The Beattie-type apparatus is essentially the same as that used by Beattie (2). Apparatus, experimental procedures, and data treatment have been described (13). The design, construction, and calibration of the Burnett apparatus used by Hirth in this investigation are described by Silberberg, Kobe, and McKetta (16). Couch and others (7) have critically evaluated these two methods of data collection.

Reproducibility of Data. During the measurements of
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$P-V-T$ data several different sizes of samples were chosen to provide some overlap in volume ranges. The agreement of the volume measurements in the overlapping area indicated an excellent reproducibility of data. The compressibility factors thus obtained were consistent and reproducible within $\pm 0.2 \%$. The vapor pressure measurement reproducibility is estimated to be well within $\pm 0.01$ atm. The agreement between Hirth's data and Kang's data is generally very good. Discrepancies are discussed later.

Experimental Data. By means of the Beattie-type apparatus the pressure-volume-temperature data of sulfur dioxide in the gaseous phase were measured at $50^{\circ}, 75^{\circ}$, $100^{\circ}, 125^{\circ}, 150^{\circ}, 157.5^{\circ}, 175^{\circ}, 200^{\circ}, 225^{\circ}$, and $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. from 5 atm. to either the vapor pressure at the prevailing temperature or the maximum pressure of 312 atm . Below the critical temperature, which was determined to be $157.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in this work, vapor pressures as well as specific volumes of both the saturated liquid and the saturated vapor were determined at $5^{\circ}$ intervals above $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In the liquid phase, $P-V \cdot T$ data were measured from the vapor pressures to about 312 atm . for five isotherms$50^{\circ}, 75^{\circ}, 100^{\circ}, 125^{\circ}$, and $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the course of determining the critical constants of sulfur dioxide, seven additional isotherms- $157^{\circ}, 157.2^{\circ}, 157.3^{\circ}, 157.35^{\circ}, 157.4^{\circ}, 157.45^{\circ}$, and $157.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.-were measured.

By means of the Burnett aparatus, compressibility factor isotherms were determined at intervals of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. between $10^{\circ}$ and $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. between $75^{\circ}$ and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At least two runs were made to define each isotherm. Below the critical point, pressures were measured ranging from atmospheric to just below the vapor pressure. Above the critical point the maximum pressure measured was 68 atm .

Hirth's and Kang's experimental compressibility data for gaseous sulfur dioxide are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively. The $P-V-T$ data in the high-pressure region, presented partly in Table I, are shown in Figure 3. The $P-V-T$ measurements in the critical region are presented in Table II and shown in Figure 4.


Figure 1. Compressibility factor of sulfur dioxide in the low-pressure region


Figure 2. Compressibility factors of sulfur dioxide


Figure 3. Pressure-volume isotherms for sulfur dioxide in the high-pressure region


Figure 4. Pressure-volume isotherms in the critical region of sulfur dioxide

## DERIVED QUANTITIES

All of the work on the correlations and calculations was done by using an IBM 650 digital computer.
Smoothed Vapor Pressures. The vapor pressures measured by Kang in this work were correlated by the method of least squares into a Nernst-type equation after appropriate weighing factors were multiplied to the observed results. Equation 1 is the final form of the correlation for temperatures between $50^{\circ}$ and $157.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\log P=14.400840-1437.1878 / T-4.0200950 \log T$
$+0.032898989 T$
(1)

Table I. Experimental Pressure-Volume Isotherms for Liquid Sulfur Dioxide
$\begin{array}{cccccc}\text { Vol., } & \text { Pressure, } & \text { Vol., } & \text { Pressure, } & \text { Vol., } & \text { Pressure, } \\ \text { Cc./G. } & \text { Atm. } & \text { Cc./G. } & \text { Atm. } & \text { Cc./G. } & \text { Atm. }\end{array}$
8.1841 Grams
$t=50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| 0.7715 | 8.490 |
| :--- | ---: |
| 0.7706 | 8.506 |
| 0.7704 | 9.981 |
| 0.7699 | 12.399 |
| 0.7679 | 26.698 |
| 0.7641 | 50.998 |
| 0.7610 | 75.845 |
| 0.7573 | 101.58 |

$\begin{array}{ll}0.7573 & 101.58 \\ 0.7536 & 127.67\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.7499 & 154.29 \\ 0.7465 & 181.31\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.7430 & 20.15 \\ 0.7439 & 233.75\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}0.7369 & 260.58 \\ 0.7339 & 28585\end{array}$
$0.7309 \quad 314.31$

| $t=55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.7795 | 10.320 |
| 0.7794 | 12.043 |
| 0.7789 | 14.074 |


| 0.7789 | 14.074 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $t=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| 0.7891 | 12.050 |
| 0.7889 | 13.840 |
| 0.7885 | 14.813 |
| 0.7882 | 16.636 |


| $t=65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.7993 | 13.858 |
| 0.7989 | 15.402 |
| 0.7986 | 16.539 |
| 0.7984 | 18.009 |


| $t=70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 0.8114 | 14.578 |
| 0.8109 | 15.551 |
| 0.8105 | 17.485 |


| $t=75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.8229 | 16.576 |
| 0.8225 | 17.678 |
| 0.8223 | 18.934 |
| 0.8220 | 21.920 |
| 0.8194 | 32.576 |
| 0.8151 | 41.979 |
| 0.8085 | 75.882 |

$0.8085 \quad 75.882$

|  |  | 0.9540 | 37.011 | 1.2248 | 72.627 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 0.9528 | 38.232 | 1.1583 | 83.154 |
| 0.8024 | 102.12 | 0.9513 | 39.748 | 1.0980 | 101.96 |
| 0.7968 | 129.88 | 0.9503 | 41.444 | 1.0476 | 128.07 |
| 0.7917 | 155.87 |  |  | 1.0159 | 154.51 |
| 0.7868 | 181.60 | $t=120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | 0.9909 | 180.41 |  |
| 0.7821 | 209.23 |  |  | 0.9709 | 206.82 |
| 0.7779 | 233.59 | 0.9798 | 41.719 | 0.9535 | 233.57 |
| 0.7735 | 259.26 | 0.9788 | 42.550 | 0.9433 | 259.55 |
| 0.7698 | 286.36 | 0.9771 | 43.820 | 0.9268 | 285.67 |
| 0.7665 | 313.50 |  |  | 0.9149 | 312.50 |


| $t=80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $t=125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Mass of Sample $=$ <br> 8.1841 Grams |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.0078 | 45.274 | $t=155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |
| 0.8357 | 18.325 | 1.0054 | 46.381 | 1.4406 | 74.859 |
| 0.8350 | 20.162 | 1.0021 | 49.166 | 1.4301 | 74.911 |
| 0.8345 | 22.098 | 0.949 | 54.132 | 1.4176 | 74.974 |
|  |  | 0.9870 | 60.313 | 1.3956 | 75.311 |
|  |  | 0.9706 | 75.376 | 1.3525 | 76.393 |
|  |  | 0.9477 | 101.68 | 1.3192 | 77.708 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 7.29494 |

The observed vapor pressures and the smoothed results calculated from Equation 1 indicate that the maximum residual is about 0.02 atm ., while the maximum deviation is within $\pm 0.05 \%$. Hirth smoothed his vapor pressure data by means of pressure residuals. The maximum uncertainty of Hirth's smoothed vapor pressures is estimated to be $0.30 \%$ from $0^{\circ}$ to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. and $0.25 \%$ from $50^{\circ}$ to $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both sets of smoothed data are tabulated in Table III.
Table IV compares the smoothed vapor pressures with the literature values for sulfur dioxide. Hellwig's results (8) agree within $0.5 \%$ with the smoothed vapor pressures in this work. The deviations between the reported values of Cardoso and Fiorentino (4) and the smoothed values in this work are generally less than $1 \%$. Toriumi and Hara's data (18) are consistently lower. The International Critical Tables (11) give the least reliable vapor pressure data for sulfur dioxide. Riedel's vapor pressure at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (15) was extrapolated from his vapor pressure correlation.
Orthobaric Densities. Kang's observed orthobaric densities were smoothed by fitting them to Equations 2 and 3 by means of the method of the steepest descent.

Table II. Experimental Pressure-Volume Isotherms in the Critical Regions of Sulfur Dioxide

Volume, Pressure, Volume, Pressure,
Cc./G.

Atm.
Cc./G.

Atm.
Mass of Sample $=2.8466$ Grams

| $t=157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2.4109 | 7 |
| 2.3461 | 7 |
| 2.2296 | 7 |
| 2.2168 | 7 |
| 2.1519 | 7 |
| 2.0871 | 7 |
| 1.9575 | 77 |
| 1.8277 | 7 |
| 1.6730 | 77 |
| 1.6984 | 7 |
| 1.6336 | 7 |
| 1.5682 | 7 |
|  |  |

77.094
77.136
77.160
77.179
77.183
77.182
77.185
77.185
77.186
77.182
77.256
77.391

| 2.3476 | 77.465 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.3460 | 77.470 |
| 2.2141 | 77.536 |
| 2.0844 | 77.556 |
| 1.9550 | 77.557 |
| 1.8258 | 77.557 |
| 1.7621 | 77.557 |
| 1.6956 | 77.639 |
| 1.6319 | 77.736 |
| 1.5672 | 77.862 |


| $t$ | $=157.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| ---: | :--- |
| 2.3478 |  |


| 2.3478 | 77.344 | 2.3455 | 77.524 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.2179 | 77.416 | 2.2158 | 77.592 |
| 2.1523 | 77.432 | 2.1507 | 77.614 |
| 2.0881 | 77.434 | 2.0860 | 77.619 |
| 2.0228 | 77.435 | 2.0212 | 77.620 |
| 2.0208 | 77.435 | 1.9566 | 77.620 |
| 1.8921 | 77.435 | 1.8917 | 77.620 |
| 1.7632 | 77.435 | 1.8269 | 77.621 |
| 1.6972 | 77.456 | 1.7621 | 77.633 |
| 1.6648 | 77.494 | 1.7080 | 77.699 |
| 1.6332 | 77.546 | 1.6327 | 77.813 |
| 1.5676 | 77.678 |  |  |
| $t=157.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $t=157.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| 2.3440 | 77.585 | 2.3453 | 77.686 |
| 2.2143 | 77.646 | 2.2156 | 77.744 |
| 2.0847 | 77.681 | 2.0860 | 77.782 |
| 2.0194 | 77.682 | 2.0212 | 77.799 |
| 1.9545 | 77.682 | 1.9563 | 77.804 |
| 1.8897 | 77.683 | 1.8915 | 77.815 |
| 1.8250 | 77.683 | 1.8268 | 77.837 |
| 1.7602 | 77.716 | 1.7620 | 77.876 |
| 1.7007 | 77.784 | 1.7080 | 77.919 |
| 1.6308 | 77.870 | 1.6319 | 77.997 |

Mass of Sample $=8.6522$ Grams

| 2.3463 | 77.631 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.2159 | 77.693 |
| 2.0858 | 77.740 |
| 2.0211 | 77.747 |
| 1.9560 | 77.748 |
| 1.8907 | 77.748 |
| 1.8263 | 77.749 |
| 1.7611 | 77.794 |
| 1.6968 | 77.854 |
| 1.6327 | 77.921 |


| 2.3633 | 77.673 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2.1393 | 77.767 |
| 1.6186 | 78.122 |

Table III. Smoothed Vapor Pressure Orthobaric Density and Latent Heat of Vaporization Data for Sulfur Dioxide

| $t$ | Vapor Pressure, Atm. |  | Density, G./Cc. |  |  | $\Delta H_{c}$, <br> Cal. <br> per $G$. <br> Kang |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Vapor |  | Liquid, Kang |  |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Kang | Hirth | Kang | Hirth |  |  |
| 10 |  | 2.268 |  | 0.00661 |  |  |
| 20 |  | 3.260 |  | 0.00930 |  |  |
| 30 |  | 4.556 |  | 0.01274 |  |  |
| 40 |  | 6.218 |  | 0.01715 |  |  |
| 50 | 8.484 | 8.302 | 0.02336 | 0.02264 | 1.2970 | 74.81 |
| 55 | 9.683 |  | 0.02667 |  | 1.2804 | 74.08 |
| 60 | 11.010 |  | 0.03029 |  | 1.2635 | 73.23 |
| 65 | 12.474 |  | 0.03424 |  | 1.2464 | 72.26 |
| 70 | 14.085 |  | 0.03858 |  | 1.2289 | 71.16 |
| 75 | 15.853 | 15.760 | 0.04338 | 0.04302 | 1.2111 | 69.93 |
| 80 | 17.787 |  | 0.04868 |  | 1.1928 | 68.57 |
| 85 | 19.898 |  | 0.05458 |  | 1.1740 | 67.06 |
| 90 | 22.198 |  | 0.06117 |  | 1.1546 | 65.40 |
| 95 | 24.696 |  | 0.06854 |  | 1.1344 | 63.57 |
| 100 | 27.406 | 27.425 | 0.07684 | 0.07798 | 1.1134 | 61.57 |
| 105 | 30.337 |  | 0.08623 |  | 1.0914 | 59.38 |
| 110 | 33.503 |  | 0.09689 |  | 1.0682 | 56.98 |
| 115 | 36.916 |  | 0.1091 |  | 1.0435 | 54.34 |
| 120 | 40.589 |  | 0.1232 |  | 1.0170 | 51.45 |
| 125 | 44.534 | 44.572 | 0.1396 | 0.1404 | 0.9882 | 48.25 |
| 130 | 48.767 |  | 0.1589 |  | 0.9566 | 44.69 |
| 135 | 53.299 |  | 0.1821 |  | 0.9212 | 40.68 |
| 140 | 58.147 |  | 0.2107 |  | 0.8805 | 36.09 |
| 145 | 63.324 |  | 0.2474 |  | 0.8317 | 30.66 |
| 150 | 68.845 | 68.824 | 0.2980 | 0.2903 | 0.7691 | 23.86 |
| 155 | 74.727 |  | 0.3831 |  | 0.6721 | 13.84 |
| 157 |  |  | 0.4571 |  | 0.5933 |  |
| 157.5 | 77.807 |  |  |  |  |  |

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}_{L}+\mathrm{d}_{g}}{2}\right)=0.52462015+ & 1.1863691 \times 10^{-3}\left(t_{c}-t\right) \\
& +6.9451764 \times 10^{-7}\left(t_{c}-t\right)^{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}_{L}-\mathrm{d}_{\underline{g}}}{2}\right)= & 9.8206457 \times 10^{-2}\left(t_{\mathrm{c}}-t\right)^{0.0813}-3.7254523 \\
& \times 10^{-3}\left(t_{\mathrm{c}}-t\right)+6.0192965 \times 10^{-6}\left(t_{\mathrm{c}}-t\right)^{2} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

During the equation fitting, suitable weighing factors were multiplied to the observed values, to obtain the correlations with the best fit to the experimental data. Table III lists the smoothed orthobaric densities. The deviations between the observed and the smoothed values are less than $\pm 0.37 \%$. Hirth's molal volumes for the saturated vapor were obtained by extending his compressibility isotherms to the smoothed vapor pressures given in Table III. The maximum error in his smoothed values is estimated to be slightly greater than $0.55 \%$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. but falls off to 0.1 to $0.3 \%$ at temperatures further from the initial temperature. Tables V and VI compare the smoothed saturated volumes of both liquid and vapor of sulfur dioxide with values reported in the literature. The saturated liquid specific volumes reported in International Critical Tables
(11) are in close agreement with this work. There is a maximum deviation of $2.1 \%$ between Hellwig's saturated vapor specific volumes (8) and the smoothed results of this work. Riedel's (15) high specific volume of the saturated vapor at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. again was an extrapolated value from his correlation. The saturated vapor specific volumes reported in International Critical Tables (11) are in complete disagreement with the smoothed results in this work.

Critical Constants. Figure 4 plots the pressure-volume isotherms in the critical region. The critical constants were determined graphically. Table VII presents the comparison with other experimental results in the literature. The agreement is good.

The critical volume, then, is 1.905 cc . per gram, and the critical compressibility factor is 0.2697 .

Latent Heat of Vaporization. The latent heats of vaporization were evaluated by means of the Clapeyron equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H_{v}=T\left(V_{g}-V_{l}\right) \frac{d P}{d T} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

using $V_{L}$ taken from Table $\mathrm{V}, V_{g}$ from Table VI, and $d P / d T$ from Equation 1. The calculated latent heats of vaporization of sulfur dioxide are presented in Table III. These were also correlated using Equation 5 for temperatures between $50^{\circ}$ and $157.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta H_{\mathrm{v}}=8.7759469\left(t_{\mathrm{c}}-t\right)^{0.4984}-1.0021178 \times 10^{-2}\left(t_{c}-t\right) \\
&-1.2866153 \times 10^{-3}\left(t_{c}-t\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The deviations between the values from Equation 5 and the values from the Clapeyron equation are less than $0.9 \%$.
Smoothed Compressibility Factors. The volume residuals of gaseous sulfur dioxide were calculated from Hirth's compressibility data and the P-V-T data in Kang's work. Fourteen isotherms of volume residuals- $10^{\circ}, 20^{\circ}, 30^{\circ}, 40^{\circ}$, $50^{\circ}, 75^{\circ}, 100^{\circ}, 125^{\circ}, 150^{\circ}, 157.5^{\circ}, 175^{\circ}, 200^{\circ}, 225^{\circ}$, and $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.-were plotted us. pressure on a large graph readable to $\pm 0.001 \mathrm{cc}$. per gram. Smooth curves were drawn through these points. The volume residuals read from the smoothed curves were regarded as the smoothed values. The smoothed compressibility factors of sulfur dioxide in this work were calculated with a high degree of accuracy from these smoothed volume residuals by using Equation 6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=1-\gamma P / R T \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The smoothed compressibility factors, thus obtained, are tabulated in Table VIII. The smoothed compressibility factors in Kang's work are in close agreement with Hirth's smoothed values. However, higher deviations (up to $0.37 \%$ ) were found in the vicinity of the two-phase region and at high pressures. The maximum errors in Hirth's smoothed values are estimated to be less than $0.55 \%$ at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., decreasing to 0.1 to $0.3 \%$ at temperatures further removed from the critical. Hellwig's experimental compressibility factors (8) are generally higher than Kang's smoothed results in this work. The maximum deviation is $1.87 \%$.

Fugacity Coefficient. The fugacity coefficient, $\nu$, is defined by Equation 7 .

| Table IV. Comparison of Smoothed Vapor Pressures with Literature Values for Sulfur Dioxide |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp.,$t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kang's (13) } \\ \text { P., Atm. } \end{gathered}$ | Hirth (10) |  | Cardoso (4) |  | I.C.T. (11) |  | Toriumi (17) |  | Hellwig (8) |  | Riedel (15) |  |
|  |  | $P$ | Dev. | $P^{\text {c }}$ | Dev. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $P$ | Dev. | $P$ | Dev. | $P$ | Dev. | $P$ | Dev. |
| 50 | 8.484 | 8.302 | 2.15 | 8.34 | 1.70 | 8.176 | 3.63 | 8.35 | 1.58 | 8.45 | 0.40 | 8.583 | -1.17 |
| 75 | 15.853 | 15.760 | 0.57 | 15.87 | -0.11 | 15.684 | 1.07 |  |  | 15.94 | -0.49 |  |  |
| 100 | 27.406 | 27.425 | -0.09 | 27.25 | 0.57 | 27.714 | -1.12 | 27.25 | 0.57 | 27.45 | -0.16 |  |  |
| 125 | 44.534 | 44.572 | -0.09 | 44.34 | 0.44 | 45.457 | -2.07 |  |  | 44.50 | 0.08 |  |  |
| 150 | 68.845 | 68.824 | 0.03 | 68.40 | 0.65 | 68.405 | 0.64 | 68.20 | 0.94 | 68.75 | 0.14 |  |  |
| ${ }^{a} P=$ atmo <br> ${ }^{b}$ Deviatio | phere. $\%=$ (smooth | vapor | ssure - | eratur | alue) $\times$ | /smo | ed vapo | pressu |  |  |  |  |  |

Table V. Comparison of Smoothed Saturated Liquid Specific Volumes with Literature Values for Sulfur Dioxide

| Temp., | Smoothed | I.C.T. (11) |  | Cailletet (3) |  | Hellwig (8) |  | Cardoso (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $V_{L}$, Cc./G. | $V_{L}$ | Dev. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $V_{L}$ | Dev. | $V_{L}$ | Dev. | $V_{L}$ | Dev. |
| 50 | 0.7710 | 0.7722 | -0.16 | 0.7740 | -0.39 | 0.7750 | -0.52 |  |  |
| 75 | 0.8257 | 0.8254 | 0.04 | 0.8264 | -0.08 | 0.8266 | -0.11 |  |  |
| 100 | 0.8981 | 0.8977 | 0.04 | 0.8981 | 0.00 | 0.9002 | -0.23 |  |  |
| 125 | 1.0119 | 1.0111 | 0.08 | 1.0103 | 0.16 | 1.0136 | 0.17 |  |  |
| 150 | 1.3003 | 1.3038 | -0.27 | 1.3179 | -1.35 | 1.2783 | 1.59 | 1.1980 | 7.87 |
| 155 | 1.4880 |  |  | 1.5699 | -5.99 |  |  | 1.3026 | 12.46 |

${ }^{a}$ Deviation, $\%=($ smoothed value - literature value) $\times 100 /$ smoothed value.
Table VI. Comparison of Smoothed Saturated Vapor Specific Volumes with Literature Values for Sulfur Dioxide

| Temp.,$t,{ }^{\circ} \dot{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Kang's (13) } \\ V_{\&}, \mathrm{Cc} . / \mathrm{G} . \end{gathered}$ | Hirth (8) |  | I.C.T. (11) |  | Hellwig (8) |  | Cardoso (5) |  | Riedel (15) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $V_{R}$ | Dev. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $V_{t}$ | Dev. | $V_{R}$ | Dev. | $V$ g | Dev. | $V_{k}$ | Dev. |
| 50 | 42.812 | 44.215 | -3.27 | 40.65 | 5.05 | 42.10 | 1.66 |  |  | 44.6 | -4.18 |
| 75 | 23.054 | 23.242 | -0.82 | 23.29 | -1.02 | 22.83 | 0.97 |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 13.013 | 12.823 | 1.46 | 12.94 | 0.56 | 12.92 | 0.71 |  |  |  |  |
| 125 | 7.1655 | 7.124 | 0.58 | 7.069 | 1.35 | 7.191 | -1.35 |  |  |  |  |
| 150 | 3.3553 | 3.445 | -2.69 | 3.256 | 2.96 | 3.285 | 2.10 | 3.4352 | 2.38 |  |  |



Figure 5. Fugacity coefficients for sulfur dioxide

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu=\frac{f}{P}=e^{\left[-(1 / R T) \int_{0}^{f} \gamma d P\right]} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In calculating the fugacity coefficients of sulfur dioxide, the smoothed volume residuals were first read from the smoothed curves. Then the integrand, $\int_{0}^{p} \gamma d P$, was evaluated numerically by using Weddle's rule. The fugacity coefficients, which were calculated from Equation 7, are presented in Figure 5.

Second Virial Coefficients. Hirth's experimental residual volume isotherms of sulfur dioxide appear in Figure 6. The experimental second virial coefficients, obtained by extrapo-
lation of the curves in Figure 6 to zero pressure, are presented in Table IX and plotted in Figure 7. Smoothed values of the second virial coefficient read from the curve in Figure 7 are also shown in Table IX. The smoothed second virial coefficients are estimated to have a maximum error of 0.020 liter per gram mole at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., which decreases to 0.007 liter per gram mole at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Second virial coefficients calculated from the low pressure measurements of Baume (1), Cawood and Patterson (6), Jacquerod and Scheurer (12), and Leduc (14) are shown in Figure 7 along with the values from the present work. The maximum deviation does not exceed 0.008 liter per gram

Table VII. Critical Constants for Sulfur Dioxide


$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{c},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \\
& 15.0 \\
& 157.5 \pm 0.05 \\
& 157.5 \pm 0.05
\end{aligned}
$$

$\begin{aligned} 77.79 & \pm 0.05 \\ \ldots & \\ 77.803 & \pm 0.005 \\ 77.808 & \pm 0.02\end{aligned}$

| $\quad \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}, \mathrm{G} . / \mathrm{Cc}$. |
| :--- |
| 0.52 |
| $\ldots$ |
| 0.524 |
| 0.522 |
| $0.525 \pm 0.001$ |

Table VIII. Smoothed Compressibility Factors of Sulfur Dioxide

mole, which is considered excellent for second virial coefficients.

Second virial coefficients computed from the Berthelot relation using Kang's critical constants are presented in Table IX, as well as second virial coefficients calculated by
the Stockmayer potential function (9) for polar gases. The parameters used were $t^{*}=0.6, e / k=455^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$., and $b_{0}=$ 0.03029 liter per gram mole. The maximum difference between the values calculated by the Stockmayer potential and those of this work is 0.007 liter per gram mole.

Table IX. Second Virial Coefficients of Sulfur Dioxide

|  | $-B$, Liters/G. Mole |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp., | Exptl. | Smoothed | Berthelot $^{a}$ | Stockmayer $^{6}$ |
| ${ }^{\circ}$ C. | 0.5000 | 0.503 | 0.412 | 0.5000 |
| 10 | 0.4520 | 0.448 | 0.382 | 0.4447 |
| 20 | 0.4040 | 0.404 | 0.355 | 0.4026 |
| 30 | 0.3328 | 0.366 | 0.330 | 0.3654 |
| 40 | 0.334 | 0.309 | 0.3331 |  |
| 50 | 0.2790 | 0.276 | 0.262 | 0.2718 |
| 75 | 0.2325 | 0.234 | 0.255 | 0.2272 |
| 100 | 0.2010 | 0.199 | 0.192 | 0.1934 |
| 125 | 0.1711 | 0.170 | 0.167 | 0.1672 |
| 150 | 0.1441 | 0.145 | 0.145 | 0.1463 |
| 175 | 0.1258 | 0.124 | 0.126 | 0.1293 |
| 200 |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{c}$ From equation. $B=\frac{9 R T_{c}}{128 P_{c}}\left[1-\frac{6}{T_{c}^{2}}\right]$.
${ }^{b}$ Parameters. $t^{*}=0.6, e / k=455^{\circ} \mathrm{K} ., b_{o}=0.03029$ liter $/ \mathrm{g}$. mole.

## NOMENCLATURE

$B=$ second virial coefficients
$b_{0}=$ parameter in Stockmayer potential function
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ critical density, g./cc.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{p}}=$ density of saturated vapor, g. $/ \mathrm{cc}$.
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{R}}=$ density of saturated liquid, $\mathrm{g} . / \mathrm{cc}$.
$e / k=$ parameter in Stockmayer potential function
$f=$ fugacity
$\Delta H_{c}=$ latent heat of vaporization
$P=$ absolute pressure
$P_{c}=$ critical pressure, atm .
$R=$ gas constant
$T=$ absolute temperature
$t=$ temperature,${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$t^{*}=$ parameter in Stockmayer potential function
$T_{c}=$ critical temperature,${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.
$t_{c}=$ critical temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$T_{r}=$ reduced temperature
$V=$ volume
$V_{\mathrm{g}}=$ specific volume of saturated vapor, cc./g.
$V_{L}=$ specific volume of saturated liquid, cc. $/ \mathrm{g}$.
$Z=$ compressibility factor, $P V / R T$
$\gamma=$ volume residual, $R T / P-V$
$\nu=$ fugacity coefficient, $f / P$
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