## Thermal Conductivity Measurements of Complex Saturated Hydrocarbons

B. J. GUDZINOWICZ, R. H. CAMPBELL<sup>1</sup>, and J. S. ADAMS, Jr. Monsanto Research Corp., Everett, Mass.

Thermal conductivities of complex saturated hydrocarbons were measured from 145° to 316° F. Major hydrocarbon groups investigated were cyclohexanes, bicyclohexyls, tercyclohexyls, decalins, and hydrindans. A hot-wire method was used, and, in some instances, results using this apparatus were compared with those obtained by instruments of three other basic types—disk, cylinder, and sphere. For seven determinations of diethylcyclohexane at 145° F., the relative standard deviation was 1.9% using the hot-wire technique.

IN THE PAST DECADE, several comprehensive reviews have reported the measurement of thermal conductivity of fluids by instruments of four basic types—disk, cylinder, sphere, and hot-wire. Sakiadis and Coates (17) set up an arbitrary "rating scale" to evaluate the work and the reliability of the results of many investigators based on accuracy of measurement of the dimensions of the apparatus, accuracy of temperature measurements, heat losses, and consistency of data.

As noted by other authors (5), "thermal conductivity of liquids has been characterized by both scarity and discrepancies" in the literature. The values reported by different investigators for the same substance using similar experimental equipment are often ambiguous and inconsistent. Furthermore, data listed in chemical handbooks are meager and unsubstantiated as to origin and accuracy. Therefore, during the course of these experimental investigations, a literature search was undertaken by the authors to determine the "best" values of thermal conductivity for specific compounds and the type of instrumentation used for these analyses. With this basic knowledge, the results obtained with the hot-wire method were compared with those from other instruments. This article summaries experimentally determined thermal conductivity measurements for many new complex saturated hydrocarbons.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The hot-wire technique was chosen for the thermal conductivity measurements of hydrocarbons. The cell (Figure 1) developed by Cecil and Munch (5) is designed to place thermal conductivity results on an absolute basis using small samples of liquid ( $\sim 20$  ml.). For measurements at elevated temperatures, the thermal conductivity cell is



Figure 1. Hot-wire thermal conductivity cell



Figure 2. Schematic of thermostatically controlled silicone fluid bath

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Monsanto Chemical Co., Nitro, W. Va.

Table I. Thermal Conductivity Measurements ot Reported Commercial Products

Temp	$K \times 10^{-5}$ (Cal,/Cm. °C. Sec.)		% of Lit	Temn	$K \times 10^{-5}$ (Cal./Cm. ° C. Sec.)		% of Lit
° C.	Found	Literature	Value	°C.	Found	Literature	Value
		Aroclor 1248 <sup>a</sup>				$OS-45^{\circ}$	
63	23.4	$23.3(5) - 23.4(14) E^{b}$	100.6	104	29.7	30.9(4)E	96.3
63	23.4	23.3	100.6	104	30.7	30.9	99.6
104	23.3	22.9(14)E	101.4	104	29.7	30.9	96.2
104	23.4	22.9	102.0	158	29.2	28.3(4)E	102.9
158	23.9	22.4(14)E	106.5	158	28.6	28.3	101.0
158	23.0	22.4	102.8	158	28.5	28.3	100.5
158	23.6	22.4	105.4	158	28.7	28.3	101.4
158	23.4	22.4	104.5			Dibutyl Phthalate	
Tricresvl Phosphate				63	32.0	30.8(5)E	103.8
63	29.4	31 3 (4) E	94 1	63	31.6	30.8	102.7
63	29.8	31.3	95.3	00	01.0		102.1
63	30.0	31.3	95.8			Dioctyl Phthalate	
63	29.9	31.3	95.5	63	30.3	31.4(5)E	96.6
104	29.2	30.1(4)E	97.1	63	30.7	31.4	97.7
104	29.0	30.1	96.3			Dowtherm "A"	
158	28.5	28.1(4)E	101.3	60	00 F		00.0
158	27.7	28.1	98.5	03	30.5	32.7 (4)E	93.2
		05 459		104	31.0	32.7 20.1 (4) E	94.7
		08-45		104	30.2	32.1 (4)E	94.1
63	30.2	32.6(4)E	92.7	104	30.0	02.1 00.1	95.5
63	30.4	32.6	93.3	104	30.3	32.1	94.5

immersed in a thermostatic silicone fluid bath (Figure 2), the temperature of which is maintained by a condensing vapor bath. This bath assembly, with slight modifications, is another design of Munch, Cecil, and Koerner (4).

To maintain the vapor bath at a constant, reproducible temperature, the outlet of the condenser is fitted with a glass tee, one end of which is connected to a mercury manometer, the other to a vacuum line. A fixed pressure setting is maintained by monitoring the mercury level in the manometer with a sensing device attached to an electronic controller which, in turn, regulates the operation of an electronic valve located in the vacuum line. Any rise in mercury level is immediately sensed and causes the electronic valve to open automatically to vacuum until the mercury level is brought back to its pre-set height. Using this type of pressure control, temperature differences were regulated to within  $\pm 0.002^{\circ}$  C.

The cell constants were calculated from the dimensions of the various components of the cell assembly (5). The apparatus was then evaluated by determining the thermal conductivity of compounds (4, 5) at  $63^{\circ}$  C.,  $104^{\circ}$  C., and  $158^{\circ}$  C. These results are compared with those of other analysts in Table I. Although variations between results do exist, it is very probable that these differences can be attributed to impurities and composition changes in the commercial products and to differences in the length of time selected by various investigators for establishing steadystate measurements at various currents to give a series of  $\Delta T$  values for corresponding values of power input,  $RI^2$ . Complete details concerning the construction and theoretical aspects of this cell and bath design are available (4, 5).

## RESULTS

A comparison of the results of the present work and of literature measurements for specific compounds is presented in Table II. The type of test equipment used for the determination of these values is noted. The average value obtained in the present work divided by that of other analysts at  $63^{\circ}$  C. is indicated as a percentage in the last column.

Although the values derived by hot-wire techniques are consistent (Table I), they are in some instances about 10%lower than those reported using a cylindrical apparatus. This is not true for glycerol, o-xylene, and toluene. Cecil and Munch (5) also found low values for Arochlor 1248 at 63° C. as compared with data obtained from a cylindrical apparatus (22). The results for glycerol determined by Bates (1) and Kaye (10) using, disk-type instruments and the results of Riedel (16) for o-xylene and chlorobenzene using a spherical apparatus are essentially the same as the authors. The good agreement (Table II) between our data and those derived by cylindrical, disk, and spherical instrumentation show that the hot-wire method is valid and accurate.

Recent investigations by Monsanto personnel have shown that, at temperatures of  $175^{\circ}$  C. or higher, a significant amount of convection occurs in the oil bath thereby inducing convection in the sample cell. This results in heat transfer from the center filament by a combination of conduction and convection; consequently erroneous high thermal conductivity values would be obtained since all of the heat transfer would be attributed to conduction in the subsequent calculation. This phenomena was not observed in our slightly modified apparatus over the temperature range of 63° to 158° C.

The thermal conductivity values for the hydrocarbon fuels investigated with the apparatus and procedure adopted for this study are listed in Table III. For seven determinations of diethylcyclohexane at  $145^{\circ}$  F., the relative standard deviation was 1.9%.

Purity of the hydrocarbons cited in Table III was established by gas-liquid chromatography and is reported in the literature by Belenyessy and coauthors (2) who also determined the heats of combustion for many of these compounds. Furthermore, Gudzinowicz, Campbell, and Adams (7) recently reported the heat capacities for these compounds.

## Table II. Thermal Conductivity Measurements of Select Organic Compounds

Method"	Temp., ° C.	Thermal Conductivity $K \times 10$ (Cal./Cm. ° C. Sec.)	Comparison of Results, %
		Glycerol	
HW HW C C C D D S C C	63 63 63 63 63 63 63 20 20 63	68.8 68.8 68.8 68.8 74.1 (18)E <sup>c</sup> 70.2 (11)E 72.5 (13)E 67.8 (1)E 69.4 (10)E 68.6 (15) 68.0 (3) 66.8 (22)	92.9 98.0 94.8 101.4 99.1  103.0
		o-Xylene	
HW HW   S C	$\begin{array}{r} 63\\ 63\\ -20\ to\ +80\\ 0\\ 33\\ 20\\ 63\\ 20\end{array}$	29.4 29.4 34.1 (9) 34.4 (8) 25.2 (8) 37.2 (6) 29.8 (16)E 32.5 (3)	  98.6 
		Toluene	
HW HW    S C C	$egin{array}{c} 63\\ 63\\ 20\\ 80\\ 0\\ 12\\ 30\\ 75\\ 63\\ 63\\ 20\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 28.7\\ 28.9\\ 32.2  (9)\\ 28.1  (9)\\ 34.9  (8)\\ 30.7  (8)\\ 35.5  (6)\\ 34.7  (6)\\ 29.4  (16) E\\ 30.8  (11) E\\ 32.6  (3) \end{array}$	···· ···· ···· 97.9 93.4
		Aroclor 1248 <sup>d</sup>	
HW HW C C HW C	$\begin{array}{c} 63\\ 63\\ 30\ to\ 100\\ 63\\ 63\\ 63\\ 20\\ 20\\ 20\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.4 \\ 23.4 \\ 23.4 \\ 28.1 \\ (9) \\ 23.3 \\ (5)E \\ 28.4 \\ (20)E \\ 26.9 \\ (22)E \\ 23.7 \\ (12) \\ 27.6 \\ (21) \end{array}$	100.6 82.4 87.0
		n-Decane	
HW HW C C C	63 63 63 63 20	$ \begin{array}{c} 29.0 \\ 28.4 \\ 31.9  (20) E \\ 31.6  (17) E \\ 34.0  (3) \end{array} $	90.9
		n-Nonane	
HW HW  C C	63 63 30–100 30 63 63	$\begin{array}{c} 28.4\\ 28.1\\ 33.7  (9)\\ 34.7  (6)\\ 31.9  (17)E\\ 32.6  (20)E \end{array}$	  88.6 86.7
	1	Chlorobenzene	
HW HW  S  C	$ \begin{array}{r}  63 \\  63 \\  30 \\  12 \\  10 \\  63 \\  30 \\  63 \\  63 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 28.0\\ 27.7\\ 34.6  (9)\\ 30.2  (8)\\ 34.3  (6)\\ 28.6  (16) E\\ 32.1  (19)\\ 33.4  (20) E \end{array}$	  97.6  83.5
uw,	<i>e</i> 0	Nitrobenzene	
HW HW C S	63 63 30 to 100 12.5 63 20	32.9 32.5 39.1 38.0 (8) 38.0 (20)E 36.2 (15)	86.1

 $^{a}$  HW = Hot Wire, C = Cylinder, D = Disk, S = Sphere.  $^{b}$  Monsanto Research Corp. values.  $^{\circ}$  E = Extrapolated or calculated from data reported.  $^{d}$  Registered trademark of Monsanto Chemical Co.

## Table III. Thermal Conductivity Data for Hydrocarbon Fuels

	K [B.t.u./Hr. Ft. <sup>2</sup> (° F./Ft.)]				
	145° F.	219° F.	316° F.		
Cycl	ohexanes				
Diethylcyclohexane	0.060(7)°	0.059(6)			
Hexaethylcyclohexane	0.065(4)	0.063(4)	•••,		
tert-Butylcyclohexane Bis-(cyclohexylmethyl)-	0.056(2)	0.059(2)			
cyclohexane	0.064(2)	0.066(2)			
Bicy	clohexyls				
Bicyclohexyl	0.062(2)	0.061(2)			
2-Ethylbicyclohexyl	0.063(2)				
Cyclopentylbicyclohexyl	0.061(6) 0.066(2)	0.060(4) 0.063(2)	0.059(6)		
4-n-Heptylbicyclohexyl	0.071(5)	0.067(4)	0.065(2)		
4-n-Nonylbicyclohexyl	0.070(9)	0.071(4)	•••		
Tercy	clohexyls				
0-Tercyclohexyl 4-n-Heptyltercyclohexyl	0.063(3) 0.065(2)	0.062(4) 0.063(5)			
D	0.000(2)	0.000(0)			
Decalin	0.062(7)	0.061(4)	0.059(4)		
$\alpha$ -Methyldecalin	0.056(2)	0.062(2)	0.000(4)		
$\beta$ -Methyldecalin	0.056(2)	0.058(2)			
Dimethyldecalin	0.059(2) 0.063(7)	0.057(2) 0.063(4)	0.056(2)		
a-Ethyldecalin	0.003(7) 0.058(2)	0.063(4) 0.063(3)	0.001(0)		
$\beta$ -Ethyldecalin	0.057(2)	0.059(4)			
Ethyldecalin a-n-Propyldecalin	0.059(2) 0.057(3)	0.062(2)			
α-Isopropyldecalin	0.057(3) 0.059(2)	0.002(2) 0.059(2)			
Isopropyldecalin	0.060(2)	0.058(2)	0.057(2)		
a-n-Butyldecalin	0.061(2) 0.057(2)	0.061(3)			
α-Isobutyldecalin	0.060(3)	0.059(2) 0.060(2)	• • •		
<i>tert</i> -Butyldecalin	0.057(2)	0.056(2)	0.056(2)		
Hyd	lrindans				
Hydrindan	0.067(2)	0.064(3)			
Methylhydrindan Ethylhydrindan	0.061(2) 0.061(2)	0.059(2)	0.059(2)		
Isopropylhydrindan	0.061(2) 0.061(2)	0.059(2)	0.059(2)		
1-Cyclohexyl-3-	0.000(0)				
nethylhydrindan 1-Cyclohexyl-1.3.3-trimethyl-	0.062(2)	0.060(2)	•••		
hydrindan	0.057(2)	0.058(2)	0.057(2)		
Miscellane	ous Structur	es			
Dicyclohexylmethane	0.065(2)	0.062(2)	0.062(2)		
Bis(2,4,6-trimethylcyclohexyl)-	0.059(2)	0.050(2)			
Cyclohexyl(ethylcyclohexyl)-	0.059(2)	0.059(2)	• • •		
methane	0.063(2)	0.060(2)	0.060(2)		
Bis(ethylcyclohexyl)methane Cyclohexyl(isonronylcyclohexyl)	0.062(2)				
methane	0.062(2)	0.062(2)			
1,1-Dicyclohexylethane	0.064(2)	0.062(2)	0.060(2)		
1,2-Dicyclonexylethane	0.065(2)	0.065(2)	•••		
ethane	0.061(2)	0.058(2)	0.058(2)		
1,1-Bis(ethylcyclohexyl)ethane	0.067(2)	0.064(2)	0.061(2)		
cvclohexvlethane	0.061(2)	0.061(2)	0.060(2)		
1,2-Dicyclhexylpropane	0.065(2)				
1,1,3-Tricyclohexylpropane	0.064(2)				
1.1-Dicyclohexylbertane	0.066(2) 0.069(2)	0.063(2) 0.066(2)			
Bicyclopentyl with 0.01	0.000(2)	0.000(2)	• • •		
cyclopentyl bromide	0.068(2)	0.063(2)	• • •		
cyclopentyl with 0.10%	0.069(2)	0.064(2)			
1,3-Dicyclopentylcyclopentane	0.067(2)	0.068(2)	•••		
Perhydrophenanthrene 2-Ethylnerhydrophenanthrene	0.060(2)	0.060(2)	• • •		
Perhydromethylcyclo-	0.001(3)	0.059(2)			
pentadienedimer	0.060(2)	0.059(2)			
Diethylperhydropyrene 9-Methylperhydrofuorene	0.057(2)	0.060(2)	0.059(0)		
9-(2'-Ethylhexyl)perhydro-	0.002(2)	0.058(2)	0.058(2)		
fluorene	0.063(2)	0.063(2)	• • •		
r mane	0.059(2)	0.057(2)	• • •		

<sup>°</sup>Average of number of analyses performed shown in parentheses.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The support of this project under U.S. Air Force Contract AF 33(616)-5799 is gratefully acknowledged. The project engineers have been R.D. Haire, T. Gossage, and J. Fultz of the Applications Laboratories, Materials Central, Wright Air Development Divisions.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Bates, K.O., Ind. Eng. Chem. 28, 494 (1936). (1)
- Belenyessy, L.I., Gudzinowicz, B.J., Reid, R.C., Smith, J.O., (2)J. CHEM. ENG. DATA 7, 66 (1962).
- (3)
- Briggs, K.H., Ind. Eng. Chem. 49, 418 (1957). Cecil, O.B., Koerner, W.E., Munch, R.H., Ind. Eng. Chem. (4)Data Series 2, 54 (1957).
- Cecil, O.B., Munch, R.H., Ind. Eng. Chem. 48, 437 (1956). Chemical Engineers' Handbook, J.H. Perry, ed., McGraw-(6)Hill, New York, 1950.
- (7)Gudzinowicz, B.J., Campbell, R.H., Adams, J.S., J. CHEM. ENG. DATA 8, 201 (1963).
- (8)Handbook of Chemistry, N.A. Lange, Handbook Publishers, Sandusky, Ohio, 1956.

- (9) Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 39th ed., Chemical Rubber Publisher Co., Cleveland, Ohio, 1957.
- (10) Kaye, G.W.C., Higgins, W.F., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A. 117, 459 (1927).
- (11) McCready, D.W., WADC Tech. Rept. 58-405, March, 1959. (12)McNall, P.E., unpublished dissertation, Dept. of Mech. Eng.,
- Purdue University, Lafayette, Ind., 1951. Mason, H.L., Trans. A.S.M.E. 76, 817 (1954). (13)
- Monsanto Chemical Co., Organic Division, St. Louis, Mo., (14)Monsanto Technical Report on Arochlor 1248, p. 3.
- Riedel, L., Mitt. Kaltestech. Inst. Reichstorsch. Anstalt (15)Lebensmittelfreischalt tech. Hochschule, No. 2, 44p., Karlsruhe, 1947.
- Riedel, L., Chem. Ingr.-Tech. 23, 321 (1951). (16)
- Sakiadis, B.C., Coates, J., Louisiana State Univ. Eng. Exp. (17)Sta. Bull. No. 34 (1952).
- (18)Sakiadis, B.C., Coates, J., A.I.Ch.E.J. 1, 275 (1955).
- (19)Shiba, H., Sci. Papers Phys., Chem. Research 16, 205 (1931).
- Smith, J.F.D., Trans. A.S.M.E. 58, 719 (1936). (20)
- Woolf, J.R., unpublished disseration, Dept. of Mechanical (21)
- Engineering, Purdue University, Lafayette, Ind., 1951. (22)Woolf, J.R., Sibbitt, W.L., Ind. Eng. Chem. 46, 1947 (1954).

RECEIVED for review July 18, 1963. Accepted October 31, 1963.

# Autoprotolysis Constants of Ethylene Glycol and Propylene Glycol and Dissociation Constants of Some Acids and Bases in the Solvents at 30°C.

K. K. KUNDU and M. N. DAS Jadavpur University, Calcutta, India

> Autoprotolysis constants ( $K_s$ ) of ethylene glycol and propylene glycol were determined at 30°C. electometrically by using a cell of the type H<sub>2</sub>| MX, M-glycoxide, glycol AgX-Ag without liquid junction. The pKs values are found to be 15.60 and 16.76, respectively, for the two solvents. Thermodynamic dissociation constants of six uncharged carboxylic acids and 12 monopositively charged acids of the type  $BH^{ op}$  (conjugate to amine B) were determined in the two glycols at  $30^{\circ}$  C. by e.m.f. measurements on cells without liquid junction using hydrogen electrode and silver-silver halide electrode in suitable buffers. The corresponding dissociation constants of the conjugate bases have been calculated. The role of the solvent on acid-base equilibria has been discussed.

AUTOPROTOLYSIS. Like water and alcohols, glycols behave as amphiprotic solvents. Eunylene glycol is assumed to ionize in the following manner:

$$OHOH | | | H_2C-CH_2 \Box C_2H_4OH^-OH^-$$
(1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{OH} \mathbf{OH} & \mathbf{OH} \mathbf{O}^{-} \\ | & | & | & | \\ \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}_2 \rightrightarrows \mathbf{H}_2 \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C} \mathbf{H}_2 + \mathbf{H}^{-} \end{array}$$
(2)

The slow formation of chlorohydrin from glycol and hydrochloric acid as well as rapid formation of sodiumglycoxide from glycol and sodium metal is the chemical example for the above processes. The second stage of ionization of the glycol:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} OHO & O & O \\ | & | & | & | \\ H_2C - CH_2 \rightleftharpoons H_2C - CH_2 + H^+ \end{array}$$
(3)

is a remote possibility. The ionization process represented by Equation 1 is presumably negligible and may occur in glycols (1) as:

....

OH OH 
$$OH_2^ O^-$$
  
 $|$   $|$   $|$   $|$   $|$   
R + R  $\rightleftharpoons$  R + R (4)  
 $|$   $|$   $|$   $|$   $|$   
OH OH OH OH OH

Representing the solvent molecule as SH and the ions as  $SH_2^+$  and  $S^-$ , the autoprotolysis constant  $K_S = a_{SH_2^-} \times a_{S^-}$ , where "a" denotes activity.