perature behavior as well as a very strong influence of pressure on solubility. The smoothed atmospheric pressure results were so low that they could not be plotted on Figure 4.

The density results are compared with data for the pure solvents in Figures 5 and 6. As shown, at low temperatures, the small amount of dissolved solute causes a small decrease in solvent density. As temperature increases, solubility increases, and the density departure becomes larger.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Alexander, D.M., J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1021 (1959).
- (2)Almy, E.G., Griffin, W.C., Wilcox, C.S., Ind. Eng. Chem. Anal. Ed. 12, 392 (1940).
- (3)Arnold, D.S., Plank, C.A., Erickson, E.E., CHEM. ENG. DATA SER. 3, 253 (1958).
- (4) Berkengeim, T.I., Zavodsk. Lab. 10, 592 (1941); C.A. 40, 6961 - 8
- Black, C., Joris, G.G., Taylor, H.S., J. Chem. Phys. 16, 537 (5)(1948)
- (6)Bohon, R.L., Claussen, W.F., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 73, 1571 (1951).

- Clifford, C.W., J. Ind. Eng. Chem. 13, 631 (1921). (7)
- (8)Fischer, K., Angew. Chem. 48, 394 (1935).
- Glanville, J.W., Sage, B.H., Ind. Eng. Chem. 41, 1272 (1949). (9)
- Groschuff, E., Z. Elektrochem. 17, 348 (1911). (10)Gross, P.M., Saylor, J.H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 53, 1744 (1931). (11)
- Hill, A.E., Ibid. 45, 1143 (1923). (12)
- (13)Jaeger, A., Brennstoff-chem. 4, 259 (1923).
- Kennan, J.H., Keyes, F.G., "Thermodynamic Properties (14)of Steam," John Wiley, New York, 1936. (15)Kudchadker, A.P., McKetta, J.J., Hydrocarbon Process
- Petrol. Refiner 41 (3), 191 (1962).
- Niini, A., Suimen Kemistilehti 11A, 19 (1938); C.A. 32, (16)4861.
- Pryor, W.A., Jentoft, R.E., J. CHEM. ENG. DATA 6, 36 (1961). (17)(18)Reitzel, J., Simon, J., Wollser, J.A., Rev. Sci. Inst. 28, 828 (1957).
- (19)Rosenbaum, C.K., Walton, J.H., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 52, 3568 (1930)
- (20)Stavely, L.A.K., Jeffes, J.H.E., Moy, J.A.E., Trans. Faraday Soc. 39, 5 (1943)
- (21)Tarasenkov, D.N., Polozhintzeva, E.N., Ber. 65B, 184 (1932); C.A. 26, 2363.
- (22)Tarasenkov, D.N., Polozhintzeva, E.N., Zh. Obshch. Khim., Khim. Ser. I, 71 (1931); C.A. 25, 4762.
- (23)Thompson, W.H., M.S. thesis, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pa., 1962.
- Treybal, R.E., "Mass-Transfer Operations," p. 108, McGraw-(24)Hill, New York, 1955.

RECEIVED for review March 2, 1964. Accepted June 8, 1964.

## Kinematic Viscosity of Liquid Rubidium from $67^{\circ}$ to $688^{\circ}$ C.

W. D. WEATHERFORD, Jr., ROBERT K. JOHNSTON, and M. L. VALTIERRA Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas

> The kinematic viscosity of pure rubidium was measured from  $67^{\circ}$  to  $688^{\circ}$  C. in an all-metal capillary viscometer. The calibration of the apparatus without the use of a reference fluid is described, and experimental results are compared with lowtemperature data for rubidium and with data for potassium and sodium. The correlated kinematic viscosity of rubidium, in centistokes, may be expressed in terms of temperature, in degrees Kelvin, as:  $\log (\mu/\rho) = 250/T - 1.15$ .

m THE ANTICIPATED USE of alkali metals as working fluids, heat transfer media, and lubricants in high-temperature energy-conversion systems has spurred considerable research on the thermophysical properties of these fluids. However, there are still many areas in which reliable data are meager or lacking. Experimental measurement of liquid alkali-metal viscosity is in progress in several laboratories, and new experimental data have been reported recently for potassium (17). The viscosities of cesium, potassium, sodium, and lithium have been measured at temperatures as high as  $1150^{\circ}$  C. (for potassium) by damped torsional oscillation methods (1, 2, 8, 9, 17), and that of sodium has been determined to 600°C. by capillary viscometry (4, 5). On the other hand, the experimental viscosity of rubidium has not been reported for temperatures above 220° C.

As part of an Air Force sponsored research program on the influence of contaminants in liquid alkali-metal systems. an all-metal capillary viscometer has been developed in this laboratory. Extensive viscosity measurements have been made on pure rubidium from 67° to 688°C., and it is the purpose of this paper to present the resulting experimental kinematic viscosity data.

#### EXPERIMENTAL APPARATUS AND MATERIALS

Rubidium Samples. Two batches of pure rubidium were obtained commercially for use in this program. Cesium represented the major impurity in each batch, being present to the extent of 0.4 and 0.02 wt. per cent, respectively. The rubidium was analyzed for trace metal constituents by emission spectroscopy; for alkali metals

		Batch No. 1	Io. 1 Batch No. 2			
		This Work			This Work	
	Supplier	Emission spectroscopy	Other methods	Supplier	Emission spectroscopy	Other methods
Nominal Purity, wt. %	99.5+			99.9+		• • •
Constituent, p.p.m.						
0			• • •	• • •	• • •	50
C						119
Al		<7		8	40	
В		< 70		< 49	< 70	
Ba				< 5		
Ca		31		6	45	
Cu		7		4	9	
Cr		< 3	< 3	1	$<\!3$	<3
Fe		<7	< 5	24	<7	12
Mg		9		$<\!2$	9	
Mn				4		
Ni		< 6	<3	$<\!2$	< 6	<3
Pb				$<\!2$		
Si°		250		31	950	
Sn				< 10		• • •
Sr.				< 2		
Ti				< 2		
Ť				< 5		
Li			30	< 49		20
Naª	•••	900	110	< 196	900	680
ĸ	• • •	< 1400	200	< 196	< 1400	200
Ĉs	$\sim 4000$		3100	216		300

<sup>a</sup>Si and Na values probably are high because of contact with glassware during analysis.

by flame photometry; for Cr, Fe, and Ni by colorimetry; and for carbon by a combination of wet oxidation and gas chromatography. The results of these analyses are presented in Table I.

With the exception of wet-analysis procedures, all alkalimetal handling and transfer operations were conducted in an atmosphere of pure argon. In most cases, the 99.996 per cent purity argon was bubbled through sodiumpotassium eutectic (NaK 78) in order to remove possible traces of oxygen and moisture. Systems were vacuumpressure cycled repetitively with this argon, in order to minimize residual atmospheric contamination, prior to filling with liquid rubidium.

Capillary Viscometer. A stainless steel, gravity-flow capillary viscometer was developed as part of this research program. The basic unit, which is illustrated in Figure 1, comprises a liquid-metal reservoir (0.635 cm. I.D. by 9.85 cm. high), a helically coiled capillary tube (0.068 cm. I.D. by 125 cm. long by 3.18 cm. coil diameter), and a liquid receiver (22 cc. volume). The lower end of the capillary is "belled," to create a suspended level similar to that in the Ubbelohde viscometer (15) and thus eliminate surface tension corrections of the capillary outlet as well as any question of the lower level placement. In operation, this illustrated portion of the apparatus is surrounded by a pair of semicylindrical electric heaters capable of operating at temperatures up to 1200°C. The entire apparatus is mounted upon a special swivel support which permits 360° rotation in a vertical plane.

Experimental viscosity measurements are based upon determinations of the rate at which the reservoir liquid level descends after the pressure in the reservoir and in the receiver is equalized through an external valve. The changing liquid-level position is detected by measurement of the changing electrical resistance of the reservoir as the liquid-metal "shunt" recedes.

Analysis of Capillary Viscometer. The developed capillary viscometer has certain features which affect the method of calculating viscosity results. The most important feature is the use of a coiled capillary, which requires the use of a semiempirical correction factor developed by White (19)

and refined by Mencik (10). This correction factor, which is presented in Figure 2, has been largely ignored in many viscometer studies. It can be neglected without appreciable error at very low Reynolds numbers, but it becomes significant even at moderate Reynolds numbers such as those encountered in this work.

In most viscometric work, the instrument is calibrated with a standard fluid and the calibration is reduced to "viscometer constants", usually two in number, which express the dimensions of the apparatus and the kinetic energy correction. This approach was not considered suitable for present purposes, for two reasons. First, the "White factor" cannot be adapted readily to incorporation as a "viscometer constant." Second, there is no wellstandardized fluid that can be used as a reference point for calibrating this instrument. Data on the viscosity of alkali metals are rather scarce, particularly at high temperatures, and in some cases are contradictory. Unfortunately,



Figure 1. Basic components of liquid-metal viscometer

mercury cannot be used for calibration (the electrical liquid-level gage will not function properly with mercury, which does not wet the reservoir walls), and nonmetallic fluids are unusable because of their insufficient electrical conductivity.

The development of a reliable method for calculating viscosities from capillary flow data without the use of a "standard fluid" was contigent upon including all pertinent parameters in the calculation. Therefore, detailed theoretical relationships were derived for converting experimental measurements into kinematic viscosity data, using known or estimated values of the thermal expansion of type 316 stainless steel (11) and the surface tension (18) and density (15) of pure rubidium. The derived equation thus employed is:

$$\mu/\rho = \pi g r_t^4 H t_f / 8 L q_f \tag{1}$$

where H, the corrected head, is defined as:

$$h = h_m E - h_e - h\gamma = h_m E - m R^4 (h_1 - h_2)^2 E^2 / t_f^2 r^4 - 2\gamma / g\rho RE$$
(2)

The "White factor", C, must then be applied to the calculated viscosity in order to correct for capillary curvature. It will be observed that the function of C vs. k is not adaptable to direct incorporation in the viscosity calculation equations, as it includes Re which in turn includes  $\mu$ ; thus, iteration is necessary. This is accomplished by first computing  $\mu/\rho$ , then using this  $\mu/\rho$  to calculate k and find C from White's correlation or from the tabulated values. If this C (which is designated as  $C_1$ ) differs appreciable from unity, a new value,  $k_2 = k_1C_1$  is determined, and from this, a new value  $C_2$  is found. This process is repeated until the solution converges; no more than four trials have been required in any case encountered. The final value of C is used as a correction factor:

$$(\mu/\rho) = (\mu_1/\rho)/C_{\text{final}}$$
(3)

where  $\mu_1$  is the viscosity calculated from Equations 1 and 2.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

Preliminary Studies with Sodium-Potassium Eutectic. Preliminary experimental viscosity measurements were made on NaK eutectic in order to confirm the efficacy of the viscometer apparatus. The NaK was filtered through a five-micron sintered stainless steel filter at room temperature prior to transfer into the viscometer to minimize its oxide content.

The recording potentiometer trace obtained in one of the NaK runs is reproduced in Figure 3 to illustrate the type of information directly produced during each viscosity run. It can be seen in this figure that a smooth transition in electrical resistance occurs as the liquid level moves between the full position (corresponding to about 240 microhms resistance) and the empty position (corresponding to about 940 microhms resistance). For the purposes of this illustration, a logarithmic curve was penciled in over the recorder trace in the transition region. This was done in order to demonstrate the extrapolation procedure used for obtaining the full and empty intercepts. The chart distance, along the time axis between the full and empty intercepts, is measured with an optical micrometer, and the efflux times is thereby obtained, since the chart speed is known. Knowing the temperature and efflux time, the previously derived calculation procedures are then employed to convert these measurements into viscosity data.

The results of the room temperature NaK viscosity measurements demonstrated close agreement with data reported by Ewing, Grand, and Miller (4, 5). This is gratifying in view of the fact that the viscosity data were computed from efflux times without the necessity of prior calibration with a reference fluid.





Figure 3. Sample time-of-efflux chart for capillary viscometer

Viscosity of Pure Rubidium. A series of experiments was conducted to obtain viscosity data on rubidium, and the results of these experiments are summarized in Table II.

About 100 viscosity points were measured with the first batch of pure rubidium at various temperatures from about 103-688° C. About 80 additional viscosity measurements were then made at temperatures from about  $67-440^{\circ}$  C. on the second batch of pure rubidium. Replicate experimental values demonstrated typical standard deviations of less than 1%.

All of these data for pure rubidium are presented in Figure 4 along with prior experimental values. These results, which appear quite reasonable, represent the first experimental rubidium viscosity measurements reported for temperatures greater than  $220^{\circ}$  C.

Table II. Summary of Experimental Viscosity Data for Pure Rubidium

Reservoir Temp., ° C.	Temperature Difference (Reservoir-Receiver), °C.	Kinematic Viscosity, Centistokes	No. of Runs Averaged	
	Batch	No. 1		
288.7	18.8	0.203	9	
593.3	20.1	0.134	4	
181.6	11.6	0.281	3	
173.6	6.6	0.273	5	
172.5	8.8	0.271	13	
293.1	15.2	0.199	12	
542.0	15.8	0.143	5	
540.2	16.5	0.146	3	
297.2	17.1	0.193	6	
409.4	18.2	0.164	12	
105.3	8.6	0.325	3	
102.9	7.6	0.323	8	
0/1.8	15.2	0.127	3	
088.0	15.1	0.133	7	
	Batch 1	No. 2		
170.5	13.6	0.277	12	
440.3	12.0	0.161	12	
95.6	6.2	0.339	12	
344.0	12.7	0.180	11	
238.4	9.5	0.227	12	
66.8	3.8	0.384	4	
97.6	4.7	0.336	4	
134.2	6.9	0.291	4	
156.7	10.4	0.266	4	
183.5	13.4	0.249	4	
207.2	10.3	0.233	4	

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The measured kinematic viscosity values for rubidium are correlated vs. temperature quite well by the Arrheniustype correlation shown in Figure 4. Although this type of relationship is known to apply to the self-diffusion coefficient (12), it is not usually applied to kinematic viscosity. Rather, it is customary to develop correlations of the logarithm of the absolute viscosity vs. reciprocal temperature or of the absolute viscosity divided by the cube root of the liquid density vs. the ratio of the density to the temperature (1, 2, 12, 13). The latter method was proposed by Andrade, based upon theoretical considerations. However, at least in the case of other alkali metals such as potassium and sodium, it has been observed that these conventional correlation methods do not yield linear Arrhenius-type plots (4, 5, 17).

Without resorting to detailed mechanistic considerations, it does not appear unreasonable to anticipate equivalent characteristics for self-diffusivity and kinematic viscosity (12). In fact, as is shown in Figure 4, the experimental kinematic viscosity data reported for liquid potassium and sodium by Walling and coworkers (17), and Ewing, Grand, and Miller (4, 5), respectively, also yield linear Arrheniustype correlations. When the viscous-flow activation energy is derived from each of the correlations shown in Figure 4, the resulting values appear reasonable, being 1140, 1230, 1370 (cal./grm. atom) for rubidium, potassium, and sodium, respectively.

Based upon the correlation shown in Figure 4, the pure rubidium viscosity data may be expressed, with an average deviation of  $\pm 3\%$ , by the following relationship

$$\log (\mu/\rho)_{\rm Rb} = 250/T - 1.15 \tag{4}$$

for temperatures from near the melting point to 688°C,, where  $\mu/\rho$  is the kinematic viscosity in centistokes, and *T* is the temperature in degrees Kelvin. Similarly, the illustrated data for potassium and sodium may be expressed, respectively, as:

$$\log \left( \mu/\rho \right)_{\rm K} = 270/T - 0.96 \tag{5}$$

for temperatures from near the melting point to  $1150^\circ\,C.,$  and:

$$\log (\mu/\rho)_{\rm Na} = 300/T - 0.93 \tag{6}$$

for temperatures from near the melting point to 600°C.



Figure 4. Comparison of experimental rubidium viscosity data with prior data

### NOMENCLATURE

- C = "White factor" empirical
- E = linear thermal expansion factor for stainless steel (length at test temperature relative to length at room temperature)
- fluid friction factor f =
- $g = h_1 =$ Ŧ acceleration of gravity, cm./sec.<sup>2</sup>
- head with reservoir full, cm.
- $h_2 =$ head with reservoir empty, cm.
- $h_m$ = log mean head, cm.
- = kinetic energy correction, cm. h, =
- $\stackrel{h}{\overset{\gamma}{H}}$ surface tension correction, cm.
- = corrected head, cm. "White parameter," =  $Re(r_t/r_c)^{0.5}$ =
- k L ÷ capillary length, cm.
- factor in kinetic energy correction = (1.12)m \_
- $q_i =$ efflux volume, cm.3
- capillary radius, cm. =  $r_i$
- = radius of curvature of coil, cm.  $r_c$
- R = radius of reservoir, cm.
- Re = Reynolds number in capillary
- = density, g./cc. ρ
- efflux time, sec.  $t_f$ =
- Ť = absolute temperature, ° K.
- γ surface tension, dvnes/cm.
- viscosity, centipoises =

#### LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Andrade, E.N. da C., Dobbs, E.R., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A. 211, 12 (1952). Andrade, E.N. da C., "Viscosity and Plasticity," pp. 5-30,
- (2)Chemical Publishing Co., New York, 1951.
- Cooke, J.W., "Thermophysical Property Measurements of Alkali Liquid Metals," presented at the Third Annual High-Temperature Liquid-Metal Heat-Transfer Conference, Oak Ridge, Tenn., Sept. 1963. Ewing, C.T., Grand, J.A., Miller, R.R., J. Am. Chem. Soc.
- (4)73, 1168 (1951).

- Ewing, C.T., Grand, J.A., Miller, R.R., J. Phys. Chem. 58, (5)1086 (1954).
- Handbook of Chemistry, and Physics, Hodgman, C.D., ed., (6)40th ed., Chemical Rubber Publishing Co., Cleveland, Ohio, 1958.
- "Handling and Uses of the Alkali Metals," Advances in (7)Chemistry Series 19, Am. Chem. Soc., Washington, D.C., 1957.
- Kutaledaze, S.S., Borishanskii, V.M., Novikov, I.I., Fedynskii, O.S., "Liquid-Metal Heat Transfer Media," (8)Antomnaia Energiia, Supp. No. 2, 1958.
- "Liquid-Metals Handbook," Lyon, R.N., ed., 2nd ed., (9)AEC-Dept. of the Navy, Washington, 1952.
- (10)
- Mencik, Z., Collection Czech. Chem. Commun. 27, 147 (1962). "Metals Handbook," Lyman, T., ed., 8th ed., p. 423, American Society for Metals, Novelty, Ohio, 1961. (11)
- Nachtrieb, N.H., "Liquid Metals and Solidification," pp. (12)49-55, Am. Soc. for Metals, Cleveland, Ohio, 1958.
- Strauss, S.W., Nuc. Sci. Eng. 12, 436 (1962). (13)
- Swindells, J.F., Coe, J.R., Godfrey, T.B., J. Res. Natl. (14)Bur. Stds. 48, 1 (1952).
- (15)Tepper, F., Murchison, A., Zelenak, J., Roehlich, F., "Proceedings of the USAF Aerospace Fluids and Lubricants Conference," pp. 368-387, Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, April 1963.
- (16)
- Ubbelohde, L., J. Inst. Petrol. 22, 32 (1936). Walling, J.F., Hall, E.H., Deen, H.W., Lemmon, A.W., (17)Jr., "Thermodynamic and Transport Properties of Potassium," presented at the 56th Annual A.I.Ch.E. Meeting, Houston, Texas, December, 1963.
- Weatherford, W.D. Jr., "Proceedings of the USAF Aerospace Fluids and Lubricants Conference," pp. 388-397, Southwest (18)Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, April, 1963.
- (19)White, C.M., Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), Ser. A. 123, 645 (1929).

RECEIVED for review March 6, 1964. Accepted April 16, 1964. This work was supported in part by the Research and Technology Division, Air Force Systems Command, United States Air Force, under Contract No. 33(657)-8657.

# **Heat Contents and Thermodynamic Functions** for Thallous Chloride Above Room Temperature

HAROLD EDING and DANIEL CUBICCIOTTI Stanford Research Institute, Menlo Park, Calif.

> Heat contents of solid and liquid TICI were measured to 700° C. These were combined with literature data to calculate the absolute entropy and free energy functions of the condensed phases. Values of the same functions for gaseous TICI were calculated from molecular constant data.

 ${
m To}$  complement a study of the thermodynamics of vaporization of thallous chloride (2), it was necessary to have the heat contents of the condensed phases above room temperature. Goodwin and Kalmus (3) have reported values: however, it seemed worthwhile to repeat their measurements.

Thallous chloride was prepared by dissolving pure Tl (99.95% from American Smelting and Refining) in dilute nitric acid and precipitating it with hydrochloric acid. The precipitate was collected on a sintered glass filter, then placed in a fused quartz bulb and distilled under pure, dry nitrogen. A sample of the material so prepared was analyzed for chloride and found to contain 14.78% Cl (theoretical 14.78%). About 10 grams were placed in a thin-walled platinum test tube and the end sealed with platinum solder. The closure was tested by heating the sample above the