Solubility of Hydrocarbons in Water Near the Critical Solution Temperatures

J. F. CONNOLLY

American Oil Co., Whiting, Ind.

Solubilities were measured for five binary hydrocarbon-water systems up to their critical solution temperatures and from 100 to 800 atm. Sharp maxima and double branches were observed in the solubility isotherms near the critical solution temperatures.

ALTHOUGH much has been published on hydrocarbonwater solubilities, there are no high temperature measurements above 200 atm. Therefore, five binary hydrocarbon-water systems were studied from about 50° C. below to a few degrees above the critical solution temperature (CST), at pressures to 800 atm. The hydrocarbons were: benzene, *n*-heptane, *n*pentane, 2-methyl pentane, and toluene.

For each system, the solubility vs. pressure curve shows a sharp maximum near the critical solution temperature at about 300 atm. Beyond this maximum, pressure has a negative effect on solubility, and a second two-phase region appears at high pressures.

APPARATUS

The hydrocarbons were Phillips reagent grade with purities stated to be better than 99.8%. These purities were checked by gas chromatography. The water was distilled and deaerated.

The apparatus operates on the same principle as the familiar glass cloud-point tube in which a sample of known composition is sealed off and solubilities are determined from cloud-point temperatures. It differs in detail from the cloud-point tube in that pressures up to 800 atm. are possible, the pressure may be measured, and the composition may be varied easily.

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram of the solubility cell. This 100-cc. stainless-steel vessel has three injection points, one each for mercury, water, and hydrocarbon. Mixing is accomplished by a magnetically driven 1-inch steel ball. A light transmitted through a prism and window arrangement permits a view of the topmost portion of the cell interior. The windows are sealed against aluminum gaskets by an initial pressure of 800 atm. This seal is apt to break if the pressure is subsequently lowered below 100 atm.

The cell is thermostated in a salt bath, and temperature is measured and controlled with platinum resistance thermometers. Pressure is measured with Heise bourdon tube gages which were calibrated against a dead-weight gage. The hydrocarbon and water injected into the cell are displaced from thermostated reservoirs by mercury from Ruska pumps.

PROCEDURE

For a series of solubility determinations, the cell is evacuated, loaded with 15 grams of water, and brought to temperature. Mixing is started, and hydrocarbon is injected, until either a cloud or a small portion of a second phase appears at the top of the cell. Then mercury is injected to change the pressure and more hydrocarbon is injected, etc. Only the solubilities of hydrocarbons in water can be determined in this manner, because in the reverse case, the water-rich second phase will appear at the bottom of the cell, where it cannot be observed. Occasional mercury leaks are inevitable with this apparatus, and while the salt bath is not permanently contaminated by any reasonably small leak, the mercury-vapor level in the air can temporarily reach very high levels. An instantaneous mercury-vapor detector is, therefore, a necessity.

ACCURACY OF RESULTS

The error in these determinations was the cumulative result of errors in temperature, pressure, and composition.

The total error in temperature probably did not exceed 0.02° C., because the liquid salt thermostat can be controlled to 0.01° C. and differences from point to point in the bath were less than 0.01° C.

Pressure was controlled to 0.5 atm., and it was measured with an accuracy of about 2 atm. Pressure errors were no more important than temperature errors except in the region where the isotherms split into two branches.

The greatest uncertainty was in determining the composition of the contents of the cell. Here, there were errors in the



Figure 1. Solubility cell

quantities of hydrocarbon and water injected into the cell, errors in the detection of two phases, and errors due to decomposition and leaks.

The hydrocarbon-water injection system was thermostated except for the narrow transfer lines (0.2 mm. I.D.). Because injection was directly into the interior of the cell, there was no unmixed dead space portion other than the volume held up in the line between the close-off valve and the interior of the cell (0.05 cc.). Thus, the total injection error should be less than 0.02 gram.

The existence of two phases was indicated either by the appearance of a cloud or, more usually, by the appearance of a small portion of a second phase. Formation of about 0.05 cc. of the second phase was necessary for positive identification. In

			т	able I. Sol	ubilities of	Hydrocarboi	ns in Wate	ər			
° C.	Pres- sure, Atm.	Wt. % Hydro- carbon	Temp., °C.	Pres- sure, Atm.	Wt. % Hydro- carbon	Temp., °C.	Pres- sure, Atm.	Wt. % Hydro- carbon	Temp., °C.	Pres- sure, Atm.	Wt. % Hydro- carbon
					Ben	zene					
260	100 250 500 800	$7.1 \\ 7.3 \\ 7.2 \\ 6.6 \\ 12.7$	287.5 295	735 135 160 185 210	13.9 18.2 22.8 27.0	295 300	450 690 145 155	22.9 18.2 22.8 27.3	300	470 470 470 470	49.546.143.040.027.5
201	250 500 600	$ \begin{array}{r} 13.7 \\ 13.8 \\ 13.3 \\ 12.7 \\ 17.2 \\ \end{array} $		210 225 230 240	31.3 34.0 35.3 35.5 25.2		160 160 165 165	30.9 34.3 37.5 40.0 42.0		480 490 505 555	$34.3 \\ 31.0 \\ 27.3 \\ 22.0 $
287.5	195 320 380	$17.2 \\ 18.1 \\ 18.1 \\ 17.3$		265 290 350	35.3 34.0 31.3 27 .0		160 160 490 480	$43.0 \\ 46.1 \\ 56.0 \\ 52.5$		000	22.9
					$n ext{-He}$	ptane					
2 95 330	170 250 500 700 200 300	$1.1 \ ^{\circ}$ 1.1 1.1 1.1 3.3 3.3 3.3	350	195 210 260 280 310 370 550	$3.7 \\ 5.7 \\ 9.6 \\ 10.0 \\ 9.6 \\ 8.1 \\ 5.7 \\ 7$	355	230 240 250 245 320 310 300	$10.3 \\ 13.5 \\ 19.5 \\ 24.7 \\ 41.7 \\ 35.1 \\ 29.3$	355	300 305 345 390	24.8 19.5 13.5 10.3
	000	0.0		000		- 4	000	20.0			
					n-re	ntane				007	00 F
300	150 185 350 600 700	1.3^{a} 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.5	340	230 250 275 330 350	$5.2 \\ 6.1 \\ 6.9 \\ 7.6 \\ 7.6 \\ 7.6$	350	325 350 395 445 510	$ \begin{array}{r} 15.5 \\ 15.5 \\ 13.9 \\ 12.0 \\ 10.3 \\ \end{array} $	352	385 380 375 375 375	36.5 34.0 31.4 28.5 25.4
330	170 195 220 260 350 485 670	$2.1 \\ 3.3 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.9 \\ 4.7 \\ 4.0$	350	470 620 240 255 265 280 300	$6.9 \\ 5.7 \\ 7.8 \\ 9.0 \\ 10.3 \\ 12.1 \\ 13.9$	352	590 280 280 280 280 400 390	$9.0 \\ 15.8 \\ 18.4 \\ 21.0 \\ 23.3 \\ 40.7 \\ 38.7$		375 380 390 420	23.3 21.0 18.4 15.7
					2-Methy	l Pentane					
300	140 275 475 700	1.1^{a} 1.3 1.3 1.3	330 340	500 210 235 265	$3.7 \\ 4.5 \\ 5.4 \\ 6.3$	350	275 290 310 335	$11.6 \\ 12.5 \\ 12.8 \\ 12.5$	355	385 380 380 380	$37.4 \\ 35.1 \\ 32.8 \\ 30.2$
330	$160 \\ 185 \\ 215 \\ 245 \\ 300 \\ 410$	$1.8 \\ 2.7 \\ 3.9 \\ 4.0 \\ 4.2 \\ 4.1$	350	300 370 485 230 240 260	$6.9 \\ 6.4 \\ 5.3 \\ 7.1 \\ 8.2 \\ 10.4$	355	$365 \\ 405 \\ 245 \\ 245 \\ 250 $	11.610.513.014.917.421.0		380 380 385 395	$27.4 \\ 24.3 \\ 21.0 \\ 17.3$
	110				Tol	uene					
28 0	$150 \\ 250 \\ 410 \\ 600$	$ \begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ 6.8 \\ 6.6 \\ 5.8 \\ \end{array} $	305 310	$200 \\ 250 \\ 285 \\ 145$	19.5 19.0 18.0 18.0	310	180 180 270 265	$33.1 \\ 36.3 \\ 50.5 \\ 48.6$	310	260 275 295 325	$33.1 \\ 29.6 \\ 26.7 \\ 23.8$
300	170 250 300	$14.2 \\ 14.1 \\ 14.0$		$150 \\ 155 \\ 165$	19.6 21.7 23.9		260 260 260	$46.5 \\ 44.3 \\ 41.9 \\ 0$		$\begin{array}{c} 405\\ 455\end{array}$	$19.5 \\ 18.0$
305	$\begin{array}{c} 160 \\ 180 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 18.0 \\ 19.0 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} 170 \\ 175 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 26.7 \\ 29.6 \end{array}$		26 0 26 0	$\begin{array}{c} 39.2 \\ 36.3 \end{array}$			

^a Because the absolute uncertainties of the low solubilities are nearly as great as those of the high solubilities, the relative error in the low solubility region is very large.



Figure 3. Solubility of *n*-heptane in water

VOL. 11, No. 1, JANUARY 1966



Figure 4. Solubility of *n*-pentane in water



Figure 5. Solubility of 2-methyl pentane in water



Figure 6. Solubility of toluene in water

addition, the geometry of the cell was such that 0.03 cc. of volume was above the view-port. Approximate corrections were made for these effects.

Decomposition was not a problem with benzene and toluene. However, some of the paraffins did decompose at the highest temperatures— e.g., at the end of a 48-hour series of measurements, 2-methyl pentane was found to contain 0.2% C₃ paraffin and 0.5% C₄ olefin. These impurities would not change the solubilities by more than the size of the points in Figures 2 through 6. Attempts to measure the solubility of a C₆ olefin were frustrated by dimerization.

The most troublesome portion of this method is its sensitivity to small leaks. Because the runs usually lasted a few days, leakage from the system had to be kept below 10^{-3} ml. of liquid per hour. The window seals behaved erratically, but when they were working properly leaks could be kept below 10^{-5} ml. per hour. A mass balance was made at the end of each run. For a successful run, this balance was within 0.05 gram in a total sample weight of 30 grams.

Rebert and Kay(3) have made a thorough study of the benzene-water system at moderate pressures. Their agreement with the present work is satisfactory in the small region where comparison is possible—i.e., they report 22.0 wt. % benzene in water at 295° C. and 159 atm. compared to the author's value of 21.6 wt. %.

A more recent study (4) gives a value of 10.2 wt. % benzene in water at 238° C. and 340 atm. Because this is in conflict with

Table II. Critical Sol	tion Temperatures for Hydrocarbon-					
Water Systems						

Hydrocarbon	CST, °C.	Pressure, Atm.
Benzene	297	240
<i>n</i> -Heptane	353	290
<i>n</i> -Pentane	351	340
2-Methyl pentane	352	310
Toluene	308	220

the author's value of 7.2 wt. % in the 100 to 500 atm. interval at 260° C., a Kay-type apparatus (1) was used to obtain a check. This gave 7.0 wt. % at 260° C. and 135 atm.

SOLUBILITIES

The solubility isotherms, which are listed in Table I and plotted in Figures 2 through 6, behave normally at the lower temperatures, and then show a sharp maximum near the CST. That the maximum is most pronounced in the case of benzene is in part due to the choice of temperatures. In each system the highest temperature isotherm is separated into two branches, with a region of complete miscibility intervening between 2 two-phase regions.

As shown in Figures 2 and 3, the solubility of the aromatic is many times that of the paraffin at the same temperature and pressure. This selectivity would be reduced in a ternary system. Figures 3 through 5 indicate that the solubility of paraffins in water increases with decreasing chain length while branching has little effect. Figure 6 shows that toluene is about one-half as soluble as benzene.

CRITICAL SOLUTION TEMPERATURES

The CST is usually defined as the minimum temperature for mixing of two substances in all proportions as liquid; or it is the maximum temperature of a binary system for two liquid phases in equilibrium (2). Normally both parts of this definition are the same. But for the present work they are not, and a choice was necessary. In quoting a CST for the benzene-water system, Rebert and Kay (3) chose the second part. However, the existence of maxima in the solubility isotherms shows that the first part also gives a unique temperature. For the benzenewater system, the first part gives a temperature which is 9° C. below that given by the second part. Because the lower values are much easier to determine in the author's apparatus, they are referred to as critical solution temperatures and are listed in Table II. The uncertainty in the CST is about 2° C. and that of the corresponding pressure, about 10 atm.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Connolly, J.F., Kandalic, G.A., Phys. Fluids 3, 463 (1960).
- (2) Francis, A.W., Advan. Chem. Ser. 31, 1 (1961).
- (3) Rebert, C.J., Kay, W.B., A.I.Ch.E.J. 5, 285 (1959).
- (4) Thompson, W.H., Snyder, J.R., J. CHEM. ENG. DATA 9, 516 (1964).

RECEIVED for review March 25, 1965. Accepted September 29, 1965.