# Heats of Solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the System $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ 

EDWARD P. EGAN, JR., and BASIL B. LUFF<br>Division of Chemical Development, Tennessee Valley Authority, Muscle Shoals, Ala.


#### Abstract

Measurements were made of the heats of solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in phosphoric acid solutions to form solutions in the system $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ were calculated from the results.


IN THE COLLECTION of thermal data on systems of interest in fertilizer technology, measurements were made of the heats of solution at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the liquid-phase region of the system $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3). The heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were measured directly, and those of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{CaHPO} \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ were calculated from the results. The heat capacities of the solutions have been reported (5).

Since the heats of solution of the commonly occurring solid phases (9) in the system are different, and since users of these data are likely to be interested in values for particular salts, the basic system $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ has been expressed in this paper in four ways, as $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad \mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{CaHPO} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Throughout this paper subscript 3 refers to the assumed solute salt, 2 to $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, and 1 to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. In accordance with the usual convention, all exothermic heat effects are signed minus and endothermic effects plus.

The compositions of the solutions on the saturation isotherms at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. in the system $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ are listed in Table I. These data were calculated from cubic equations that were derived to represent the combined data of Elmore and Farr (9), Bassett (1), and Farr (11).

Table I. Compositions along Saturation Isotherms in System $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| Solid Phase, $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ |  | Solid Phase, <br> $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, \% | CaO, \% | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{B}}, \%$ | $\mathrm{CaO}, \%$ |
| 1.548 | 0.547 | 24.53 | $5.797^{\circ}$ |
| 3.219 | 1.064 | 25.81 | 5.508 |
| 4.903 | 1.551 | 27.19 | 5.196 |
| 6.585 | 2.009 | 28.49 | 4.900 |
| 8.254 | 2.438 | 29.72 | 4.617 |
| ¢. 8.598 | 2.840 | 30.89 | 4.348 |
| 11.51 | 3.216 | 32.00 | 4.092 |
| 13.08 | 3.567 | 33.06 | 3.849 |
| 14.61 | 3.895 | 34.06 | 3.618 |
| 16.09 | 4.202 | 35.02 | 3.399 |
| 17.52 | 4.489 | 36.81 | 2.995 |
| 18.90 | 4.757 | 38.44 | 2.635 |
| 20.22 | 5.008 | 39.94 | 2.316 |
| 21.48 | 5.243 | 41.31 | 2.038 |
| 22.69 | 5.465 | 42.58 | 1.798 |
| 23.84 | 5.673 |  |  |
| 24.53 | $5.797^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |

## MATERIALS AND APPARATUS

$\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. A solution of 1400 ml . of reagent $(85 \%)$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in 1650 ml . of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was saturated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. with reagent $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, filtered hot, and cooled in a tap water bath. The crystals were filtered off, dissolved in fresh hot phosphoric acid solution, cooled to room temperature with continuous stirring, then filtered on fritted glass, washed free of acid with redistilled dry acetone, and dried overnight in a desiccator over anhydrous $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4}$ (Drierite). The product contained $22.19 \% \mathrm{CaO}$ (theory 22.25 ) and $56.19 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (theory 56.31 ); spectroscopic examination showed no significant impurities.

CaHPO. ${ }_{4}$ Desirably, heats of solution would be measured with $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$, which has a larger heat of solution than $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$, however, is difficult to prepare; the best preparations made in quantity usually contain about $0.2 \% \mathrm{Ca}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}, 1 \% \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $1 \%$ occluded mother liquor, even though the crystals appear to be satisfactory petrographically. Since $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ can be obtained in a higher state of purity, this salt was used in the measurements in preference to using $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ and correcting for its impurities.

A small amount of recrystallized $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$, however, was available, and this material was used in a few measurements as a check on the results calculated from measurements with $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ was obtained by preparing from reagent grade $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ and recrystallized $2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ a solution on the $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ isotherm near the invariant point of the system $\mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (9), and heating the solution to boiling to crystallize $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ which has a negative temperature coefficient of solubility. This preparation was made before the recently reported method (7) was developed. The product contained $40.64 \%$ CaO and $51.93 \% \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ and lost $7.76 \%$ on ignition at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (theory: $\mathrm{CaO} 41.21 \%, \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5} 52.17 \%$, ignition loss $6.62 \%$ ).
$\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. Reagent ( $85 \%$ ) phosphoric acid was thrice recrystallized as the hemihydrate, $2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4). The final crystals were diluted to a concentration of exactly 10 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, as determined by its density (2), and portions of this stock solution were diluted by weight to concentrations of $0.5,1.0,1.5,2.0,3.0,4.0,6.0$, and 8.0 molal.

Calorimeter. The solution calorimeter has been described (4,5). Approximately 25 -gram samples of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were enclosed in thin-walled glass bulbs and suspended on $3-\mathrm{mm}$. glass rods through the hollow stirrer shaft. To start the solution period, the bulbs were crushed against the bottom of the Dewar flask. The energy of breaking the
bulbs was detectable, but was much smaller than the reproducibility of the heat of solution measurements and was ignored. Samples as large as practicable were used to minimize the number of steps required to go from a given phosphoric acid solution to saturation with $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

To test the adequacy of stirring, particularly for solutions near saturation, a clear glass tube with the same dimensions was substituted for the calorimeter Dewar flask. A significant portion of the sample remained on the hemispherical bottom of the tube for as much as 20 minutes after breaking the sample bulb. To avoid the disadvantages of a high stirrer speed, the hemispherical bottom was altered to a flat bottom about $3 / 16$ inch below the glass draft tube; with this modification the sample was kept in suspension.

Electrical calibrations of the calorimeter system were made immediately before and after each heat of solution measurement. The starting temperature was adjusted so that the solution process ended within less than $0.05^{\circ}$ of $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., and no temperature corrections were made to the heats of solution. The unit of thermal energy was the defined calorie, 4.1840 absolute joules; the ice-point temperature was $273.15^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. All weights were corrected to vacuum. The density of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (c) was taken as 2.22 grams per cc. and the densities of the solutions were taken from published values (14).

## hEATS OF SOLUTION

System $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To each concentration of phosphoric acid, successive 25 -gram portions of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were added to near saturation. The successive weights of salt dissolved, and the successive calories per step were added to obtain the total weight of salt dissolved and the total calories developed at the final concentration represented by each addition of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The adjustment of the final solution from one measurement to a weighed fixed volume of 850 ml . for the next measurement entailed loss of 1 to $2 \%$ of the solution. Linear corrections were made in the sample weights and the heat effects to put the initial and final solutions for each run on the same basis.
The observed heats of solution at the final concentration for each step are listed in Table II. The concentration range between the acid and the saturation isotherm was covered twice with each concentration of initial acid. At each acid concentration, the measured integral heats of solution per mole of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ went through a relatively sharp minimum at low $m_{3}$, which made anaiytical representation of the curves difficult. The plot of total grams of salt dissolved against $m_{3}$, however, was a line with little curvature that passed through the origin, and the plot of total calories against total grams dissolved was a smooth curve that passed through the origin. The integral heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in calories per mole were calculated from combination of two equations:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Total calories }=\sum_{i=0}^{i=4} A_{i} x^{i} \\
\text { Total grams salt }=\sum_{i=0}^{i=3} B_{i} m_{3}^{i} \equiv \mathrm{C}
\end{gathered} \quad \begin{aligned}
& \Delta H_{\text {soln. }}=252.078\left[\frac{A_{0}}{C}+\frac{A_{1}}{100}+\frac{A_{2} C}{100^{2}}+\frac{A_{3} C^{2}}{100^{3}}+\frac{A_{4} C^{3}}{100^{4}}\right] \\
& \text { where } \\
& A_{i} \text { and } B_{i} \text { are polynomial coefficients } \\
& C=\text { solution of Equation } 2 \\
& x=\text { (total grams salt dissolved }) / 100 \\
& 252.078=\text { gram formula weight of } \mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the use of these equations, Equations 1 and 2 were
fitted to the data for each concentration of acid at which measurements were made, and the equations were solved at intervals of 0.05 in $m_{3}$. Then at each of these intervals in $m_{3}$, the data were fitted to polynomials for the values of $m_{2}$ at which the measurements were made, and these equations were solved at intervals of 0.5 in $m_{2}$. There was thus obtained a table of total grams of salt dissolved and total calories developed at each interval of 0.05 in $m_{3}$ and 0.5 in $m_{2}$. The over-all deviation of total grams of salt dissolved was $0.30 \%$ and that of total calories developed was $0.60 \%$.

The entire calculation then was repeated on the basis of the calculated values, which resulted in a smoothing operation. The over-all deviation of the total grams of salt dissolved was then $0.38 \%$, and that of the total calories developed was $0.16 \%$. The coefficients for Equations 1 and 2 that resulted from the second set of calculations then were substituted in Equation 3 to calculate the integral heats of solution. The results are listed in Table III; to conserve space, the tabulated intervals in $m_{3}$ are 0.1 and those in $m_{2}$ are 0.5 .

The intercepts of the heats of solutions on the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ axis at each concentration are listed in Table IV. These intercepts are heats of solution at infinite dilution in each concentration of acid, and subtraction of these values from corresponding values in Table III yields $\phi_{L}$, or $-\Delta H_{\text {diln. }}$, for $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, at each acid concentration. The values of the intercepts in Table IV were obtained by straight-line extrapolation of plots of $\Delta H_{\text {soln. }}$. against $m_{3}^{1 / 2}$ from values of $m_{3}$ of 0.10 and 0.15 . Because of the curvature of the plots of the heats of solution, only two points could be used for the extrapolations, and those chosen gave more consistent results than from values of $m_{8}$ of 0.05 and 0.10 .

The intercepts obtained similarly for $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ also are listed in Table IV.

System CaHPO $-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The heats of solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})$ were calculated from the observed heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ by the scheme

$$
\text { Inf. diln. }|\xrightarrow{\left.\Delta m_{2}+\Delta m_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)} \xrightarrow{\Delta H_{3}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)} \underbrace{\Delta H_{2}\left[\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right](\mathrm{c})}| \text { soln. }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta H_{4}=\Delta H_{1}+\Delta H_{2}-\Delta H_{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the final solution phase was identical for both thermal paths. $\Delta H_{1}$ and $\Delta H_{3}$ were calculated from published data on the heat of dilution of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4); $\Delta H_{2}$ represents the present measurements on $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To $\Delta H_{4}$ was added the heat of reaction of

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})+\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}  \tag{5}\\
\Delta H=-7603
\end{gather*}
$$

and the heat of fusion of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (c) (3). The heats of formation, calories per mole, used in the derivation of the heat of reaction of Equation 5 were $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})-434,700$ (15), $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})-306,200$ (12), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})-68,317 \quad$ (12), and $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (c) $-816,820$ (8). The excess $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ required were assumed to form $\left(m_{2}+\Delta m_{2}\right) \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and were corrected for from the data on the heat of dilution of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4).

A few of the calculated heats of solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ were checked by direct measurement with the recrystallized salt. In each measurement, the amount of salt and the initial concentration of acid were selected to give a solution with a composition on a tie line between $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and a selected composition of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, so that the solution process was represented by the diagram shown above. The measured and calculated values for the heat of solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ are shown in Table V.

The values for the heat of solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ that were calculated from the measured heats of solution of

Table II. Observed Heats of Solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ Solutions

Solution Composition, \%


Initial Wt..$\left.~ \begin{array}{c}\text { Weight of } \\ \text { Soln., G. } \\ \text { Sample, G. }\end{array}\right)$.


-

867.92
886.78
903.
922.56
940.10
867.65
872.
889.61
907.
928.09 863.59
22.56
40.1
872.65
889.61 907.56
928.09

### 888.20

$$
0
$$ 910.

920. on
 951.33
967.27
96
26.8332
25.1050
26.7135
27.2128
21.9713
12.9329
25.7364
26.3581
29.8739
25.2651

| 0.5 |
| :--- |
| 3.38 |
| 4.97 |
| 6.38 |
| 7.82 |
| 9.21 |
| 3.38 |
| 4.16 |
| 5.65 |
| 7.11 |
| 8.68 |

${ }_{0}$ $1.0 \mathrm{molal} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$


### 31.3120 22.8591 22.1413 22.8898 24.4701 26.2342 21.1783 20.7647 23.8511 24.1271 26.7724 29.0360 26.2697 26.5732 24.3008

6.46
8.16
9.34
10.44
11.54
12.68
13.85
14.76
6.46
7.77
9.03
10.37
11.75
12.94
14.10
$9.28^{1.0}$


| 907 |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| 921 |  |
| 925 |  |
| 936 |  |
| 95 |  |
| 97 |  |
| 96 |  |
| 97 |  |
| 99 |  |
| 90 |  |
| 92 |  |
| 94 |  |
| 95 |  |
| 9 | 97 |
| 98 |  |
| 9 | 100 |
|  | 101 |

22.4204

### 9.2 10.4 11.4 12.6 13.8 15.0 16.0 16.9 18.1 9.2 10.86 12.09 13.29 14.5 15.52 16.54 17.

5 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$

| 0 | 10.42 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.54 | 11.49 |
| 1.05 | 12.66 |
| 1.60 | 13.88 |
| 2.18 | 15.08 |
| 2.74 | 16.06 |
| 3.21 | 16.92 |
| 3.61 | 18.11 |
| 4.17 | 18.94 |
| 0 | 10.86 |
| 0.74 | 12.09 |
| 1.33 | 13.29 |
| 1.90 | 14.51 |
| 2.48 | 15.52 |
| 2.95 | 16.54 |
| 3.43 | 17.55 |
| 3.91 | 18.50 |
| 4.36 | 19.50 |


|  <br>  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

2.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$

### 11.87

25.7667
28.8609
20.9143
23.6587
24.3331
26.1772
23.9933
22.0344
24.4292
24.3266
26.5370
22.0798
22.5883
21.1383
27.2715
22.2453
26.1451
24.9317
23.9991
22.6460
24.8287
21.4093
20.3844

### 28.2117 22.4665 25.5265 24.8722 28.8412 25.2839

|  | 960.37 | 28.2117 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 980.63 | 22.4665 |  |
| 994.22 | 25.5265 |  |
| 1007.14 | 24.8722 |  |
|  | 1023.90 | 28.8412 |
|  | 1043.59 | 25.2839 |

16.46
17.59
18.46
19.41
20.30
21.28
$46^{3.0}$


| Corr. |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\Delta t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Cal. $/$ Run |
|  |  |
| 0.0918 | -84.66 |
| 0.0878 | -80.17 |
| 0.0728 | -66.46 |
| 0.0464 | -42.09 |
| 0.0324 | -29.49 |
| 0.0417 | -38.49 |
| 0.0952 | -87.25 |
| 0.0830 | -75.06 |
| 0.0661 | -59.26 |
| 0.0316 | -28.00 |
|  |  |
| 0.0476 | -41.17 |
| 0.0396 | -35.63 |
| 0.0273 | -24.58 |
| 0.0145 | -12.70 |
| -0.0017 | 1.53 |
| -0.0250 | 21.96 |
| -0.0375 | 32.37 |
| -0.0504 | 43.64 |
| 0.0339 | -30.57 |
| 0.0436 | -37.82 |
| 0.0369 | -33.58 |
| 0.0171 | -15.15 |
| -0.0072 | 6.48 |
| -0.0302 | 27.17 |
| -0.0464 | 41.53 |
|  |  |
| -0.0045 | 3.28 |
| 0.0017 | -1.49 |
| -0.0034 | 2.86 |
| -0.0190 | 16.89 |
| -0.0395 | 33.12 |
| -0.0523 | 45.40 |
| -0.0621 | 53.58 |
| -0.1170 | 100.43 |
| -0.1064 | 91.88 |
| -0.0032 | 2.96 |
| 0.0022 | -1.96 |
| -0.0071 | 6.20 |
| -0.0259 | 22.09 |
| -0.0428 | 37.99 |
| -0.0641 | 57.74 |
| -0.0881 | 78.96 |
| -0.1053 | 93.04 |
| -0.1412 | 124.71 |
|  |  |
| -0.0412 | 35.27 |
| -0.0412 | 35.93 |
| -0.0346 | 30.14 |
| -0.0489 | 44.13 |
| -0.0631 | 55.44 |
| -0.0880 | 77.66 |
| -0.0988 | 86.29 |
| -0.1054 | 91.61 |
| -0.1384 | 119.72 |
| -0.1468 | 129.19 |
| -0.2103 | 183.58 |
| -0.0360 | 33.96 |
| -0.0324 | 28.77 |
| -0.0289 | 25.32 |
| -0.0519 | 45.65 |
| -0.0540 | 47.76 |
| -0.0787 | 71.25 |
| -0.0923 | 81.80 |
| -0.1096 | 96.69 |
| -0.1218 | 129.07 |
| -0.1480 | 129.97 |
| -0.1360 | 117.69 |
| -0.1513 | 131.20 |
|  |  |
| -0.1178 | 102.87 |
| -0.0937 | 84.43 |
| -0.1116 | 96.61 |
| -0.1197 | 104.13 |
| -0.1539 | 134.01 |
| -0.1483 | 127.80 |
|  |  |

Table II. Observed Heats of Solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ Solutions (Continued)

| Step | Initial Wt. Soln., G. | Weight of Sample, G. | Solution Composition, $0_{0}$ |  |  |  | Corr.$\Delta t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .$ | Cal./Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial |  | Final |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | CaO | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | CaO |  |  |
| 3.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (Cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 1058.35 | 25.8199 | 22.11 | 3.16 | 22.93 | 3.61 | -0.1734 | 151.33 |
| 8 | 1072.57 | 27.2487 | 22.93 | 3.61 | 23.75 | 4.08 | -0.2025 | 176.90 |
| 9 | 1087.58 | 23.9189 | 23.75 | 4.08 | 24.45 | 4.47 | -0.1959 | 170.42 |
| 10 | 1100.74 | 23.2641 | 24.45 | 4.47 | 25.11 | 4.83 | -0.2089 | 182.17 |
| 1 | 960.00 | 28.1631 | 16.46 | 0 | 17.59 | 0.63 | -0.1193 | 104.11 |
| 2 | 979.15 | 24.2219 | 17.59 | 0.63 | 18.53 | 1.16 | -0.1019 | 85.60 |
| 3 | 994.02 | 26.2229 | 18.53 | 1.16 | 19.50 | 1.70 | -0.1154 | 100.55 |
| 4 | 1010.49 | 24.4839 | 19.50 | 1.70 | 20.37 | 2.18 | -0.1172 | 102.43 |
| 5 | 1019.16 | 23.0756 | 20.37 | 2.18 | 21.16 | 2.63 | -0.1231 | 105.93 |
| 6 | 1032.59 | 22.8010 | 21.16 | 2.63 | 21.92 | 3.05 | -0.1361 | 115.48 |
| 7 | 1045.17 | 25.8436 | 21.92 | 3.05 | 22.75 | 3.52 | -0.1712 | 147.63 |
| 8 | 1058.87 | 25.8568 | 22.75 | 3.52 | 23.55 | 3.96 | -0.1884 | 161.65 |
| 9 | 1072.40 | 21.6428 | 23.55 | 3.96 | 24.20 | 4.32 | -0.1803 | 155.87 |
| 10 | 1066.41 | 25.5215 | 24.20 | 4.32 | 24.95 | 4.74 | -0.2258 | 191.80 |
| 11 | 1078.59 | 30.0498 | 24.95 | 4.74 | 25.80 | 5.22 | -0.2824 | 254.16 |
| 4.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 991.58 | 22.6098 | 20.40 | 0 | 21.20 | 0.50 | -0.1503 | 128.05 |
| 2 | 1004.61 | 23.8265 | 21.20 | 0.50 | 22.01 | 1.00 | -0.1606 | 137.29 |
| 3 | 1019.29 | 21.1703 | 22.01 | 1.00 | 22.71 | 1.43 | -0.1470 | 125.44 |
| 4 | 1030.18 | 20.4560 | 22.71 | 1.43 | 23.36 | 1.84 | -0.1439 | 122.22 |
| 5 | 1040.35 | 23.7285 | 23.36 | 1.84 | 24.10 | 2.29 | -0.1800 | 153.75 |
| 6 | 1050.72 | 21.5588 | 24.10 | 2.29 | 24.75 | 2.69 | -0.1739 | 147.81 |
| 7 | 1062.19 | 23.7545 | 24.75 | 2.69 | 25.44 | 3.12 | -0.2043 | 172.88 |
| 8 | 1074.51 | 19.6479 | 25.44 | 3.12 | 25.99 | 3.46 | -0.1804 | 153.31 |
| 9 | 1082.07 | 22.0971 | 25.99 | 3.46 | 26.60 | 3.84 | -0.2133 | 180.76 |
| 10 | 1090.40 | 22.6791 | 26.60 | 3.84 | 27.20 | 4.22 | -0.2358 | 199.18 |
| 11 | 1099.67 | 25.7910 | 27.20 | 4.22 | 27.87 | 4.63 | -0.2840 | 238.94 |
| 1 | 993.86 | 20.8582 | 20.40 | 0 | 21.13 | 0.46 | -0.1385 | 119.92 |
| 2 | 1002.26 | 22.7028 | 21.13 | 0.46 | 21.91 | 0.94 | -0.1534 | 131.85 |
| 3 | 1015.53 | 27.5373 | 21.91 | 0.94 | 22.82 | 1.50 | -0.1883 | 161.72 |
| 4 | 1031.69 | 23.0718 | 22.82 | 1.50 | 23.55 | 1.96 | -0.1678 | 143.83 |
| 5 | 1043.38 | 22.6664 | 23.55 | 1.96 | 24.25 | 2.39 | -0.1751 | 149.45 |
| 6 | 1054.04 | 24.9738 | 24.25 | 2.39 | 24.99 | 2.85 | -0.2050 | 175.48 |
| 7 | 1068.17 | 24.6606 | 24.99 | 2.85 | 25.70 | 3.29 | -0.2183 | 186.66 |
| 8 | 1083.06 | 24.8830 | 25.70 | 3.29 | 26.39 | 3.71 | -0.2353 | 200.40 |
| 9 | 1097.54 | 27.3239 | 26.39 | 3.71 | 27.11 | 4.16 | -0.2788 | 238.26 |
| 10 | 1114.06 | 24.5785 | 27.11 | 4.16 | 27.74 | 4.55 | -0.2632 | 224.81 |
| 11 | 1124.70 | 15.1077 | 27.74 | 4.55 | 28.12 | 4.79 | -0.1387 | 117.29 |
| 6.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1044.68 | 16.1314 | 26.82 | 0 | 27.27 | 0.34 | -0.3789 | 154.54 |
| 2 | 1057.14 | 13.9564 | 27.27 | 0.34 | 27.65 | 0.62 | -0.3068 | 129.09 |
| 3 | 1067.40 | 16.9297 | 27.65 | 0.62 | 28.10 | 0.96 | -0.3428 | 144.92 |
| 4 | 1075.53 | 18.8858 | 28.10 | 0.96 | 28.59 | 1.33 | -0.4394 | 186.78 |
| 5 | 1084.65 | 19.6815 | 28.59 | 1.33 | 29.08 | 1.70 | -0.4689 | 177.72 |
| 6 | 1086.69 | 17.2829 | 29.08 | 1.70 | 29.51 | 2.02 | -0.4223 | 176.96 |
| 7 | 1093.22 | 19.8719 | 29.51 | 2.02 | 29.99 | 2.39 | -0.4979 | 208.53 |
| 8 | 1101.06 | 15.9378 | 29.99 | 2.39 | 30.36 | 2.67 | -0.4120 | 172.47 |
| 9 | 1098.66 | 17.5788 | 30.36 | 2.67 | 30.77 | 2.98 | -0.2451 | 200.01 |
| 10 | 1104.44 | 18.1369 | 30.77 | 2.98 | 31.19 | 3.29 | -0.2241 | 183.36 |
| 11 | 1111.08 | 15.9667 | 31.19 | 3.29 | 31.54 | 3.56 | -0.2299 | 186.48 |
| 12 | 1115.17 | 15.1498 | 31.54 | 3.56 | 31.87 | 3.81 | -0.2061 | 165.33 |
| 1 | 1047.66 | 16.2292 | 26.82 | 0 | 27.27 | 0.34 | -0.1852 | 151.53 |
| 2 | 1049.61 | 17.4866 | 27.27 | 0.34 | 27.74 | 0.70 | -0.2016 | 165.50 |
| 3 | 1057.34 | 19.2014 | 27.74 | 0.70 | 28.25 | 1.08 | -0.2252 | 187.86 |
| 4 | 1065.76 | 14.7294 | 28.25 | 1.08 | 28.64 | 1.37 | -0.1734 | 142.36 |
| 5 | 1070.10 | 20.0281 | 28.64 | 1.37 | 29.14 | 1.76 | -0.2439 | 201.80 |
| 6 | 1079.69 | 18.3615 | 29.14 | 1.76 | 29.60 | 2.10 | -0.2234 | 180.67 |
| 7 | 1061.67 | 18.5997 | 29.60 | 2.10 | 30.06 | 2.44 | -0.2414 | 193.08 |
| 8 | 1068.44 | 18.7069 | 30.06 | 2.44 | 30.51 | 2.79 | -0.2436 | 195.16 |
| 9 | 1074.71 | 16.9989 | 30.51 | 2.79 | 30.91 | 3.09 | -0.2363 | 188.91 |
| 10 | 1064.52 | 19.6843 | 30.91 | 3.09 | 31.37 | 3.44 | -0.2875 | 234.01 |
| 11 | 1070.89 | 20.6182 | 31.37 | 3.44 | 31.84 | 3.79 | -0.3069 | 244.32 |
| 10 | 1115.86 | 18.6922 | 30.91 | 3.09 | 31.33 | 3.40 | -0.2625 | 215.28 |
| 11 | 1121.67 | 18.4533 | 31.33 | 3.40 | 31.73 | 3.71 | -0.2492 | 203.86 |
| 8.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1090.17 | 21.1232 | 31.83 | 0 | 32.29 | 0.42 | -0.3255 | 266.47 |
| 2 | 1099.98 | 20.8524 | 32.29 | 0.42 | 32.74 | 0.83 | -0.3289 | 268.17 |
| 3 | 1107.22 | 19.3989 | 32.74 | 0.83 | 33.14 | 1.20 | -0.3054 | 247.40 |
| 4 | 1114.42 | 23.5781 | 33.14 | 1.20 | 33.62 | 1.63 | -0.3805 | 308.52 |
| 5 | 1124.28 | 19.6115 | 33.62 | 1.63 | 34.01 | 1.99 | -0.3216 | 260.44 |
| 6 | 1130.20 | 22.9790 | 34.01 | 1.99 | 34.46 | 2.39 | -0.3891 | 314.93 |
| 7 | 1139.87 | 21.3074 | 34.46 | 2.39 | 34.86 | 2.76 | -0.3627 | 292.53 |
| 8 | 1147.54 | 22.9343 | 34.86 | 2.76 | 35.28 | 3.14 | -0.3941 | 316.22 |
| 1 | 1090.06 | 23.7207 | 31.83 | 0 | 32.35 | 0.47 | -0.3634 | 295.98 |
| 2 | 1102.44 | 19.5104 | 32.35 | 0.47 | 32.76 | 0.85 | -0.3043 | 247.56 |
| 3 | 1107.47 | 20.9115 | 32.76 | 0.85 | 33.20 | 1.25 | -0.3328 | 270.36 |
| 4 | 1114.68 | 23.9600 | 33.20 | 1.25 | 33.69 | 1.69 | -0.3889 | 315.34 |

Table II. Observed Heats of Solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ Solutions (Continued)

| Step | Initial W t. Soln., G. | Weight of Sample, G. | Solution Composition, \% |  |  |  | Corr.$\Delta t,{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Cal./Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Initial |  | Final |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | CaO | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | CaO |  |  |
|  | 8.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (Cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 1123.91 | 21.5088 | 33.69 | 1.69 | 34.11 | 2.08 | -0.3558 | 287.74 |
| 6 | 1130.36 | 22.0171 | 34.11 | 2.08 | 34.54 | 2.46 | -0.3726 | 300.00 |
| 7 | 1137.50 | 21.4536 | 34.54 | 2.46 | 34.94 | 2.83 | -0.3681 | 295.46 |
| 8 | 1132.63 | 17.8089 | 34.94 | 2.83 | 35.27 | 3.13 | -0.3074 | 243.04 |
|  | 10.0 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1127.82 | 20.5759 | 35.85 | 0 | 36.21 | 0.40 | -0.3899 | 311.06 |
| 2 | 1135.86 | 18.9049 | 36.21 | 0.40 | 36.54 | 0.76 | -0.3610 | 287.13 |
| 3 | 1140.32 | 20.4047 | 36.54 | 0.76 | 36.89 | 1.14 | -0.3942 | 313.25 |
| 4 | 1147.35 | 20.2992 | 36.89 | 1.14 | 37.23 | 1.50 | -0.4027 | 319.39 |
| 5 | 1153.70 | 22.1679 | 37.23 | 1.50 | 37.59 | 1.89 | -0.4452 | 352.41 |
| 6 | 1160.64 | 19.6957 | 37.59 | 1.89 | 37.90 | 2.23 | -0.3961 | 311.54 |
| 1 | 1129.29 | 27.3541 | 35.85 | 0 | 36.33 | 0.53 | -0.5195 | 415.78 |
| 2 | 1144.03 | 22.9222 | 36.33 | 0.53 | 36.72 | 0.95 | -0.4362 | 350.32 |
| 3 | 1153.68 | 20.7203 | 36.72 | 0.95 | 37.07 | 1.33 | -0.3991 | 319.81 |
| 4 | 1160.66 | 23.0454 | 37.07 | 1.33 | 37.44 | 1.74 | -0.4522 | 361.26 |
| 5 | 1169.84 | 23.2849 | 37.44 | 1.74 | 37.81 | 2.14 | -0.4586 | 366.75 |
| 6 | 1179.33 | 19.4301 | 37.81 | 2.14 | 38.11 | 2.46 | -0.3812 | 303.17 |

$\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were treated in the same manner as those for $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to obtain calculated molar heats of solution at even intervals in $m_{2}$ and $m_{3}$. The results are shown in Table VI (deposited with ADI), and the heats of solution at infinite dilution in different concentrations of acid are shown in Table IV.

System $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The heats of solution for $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (c) were calculated from the observed heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the same manner as for $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ except that the additional correction (11)

$$
\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{I})=\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{c}) \quad \Delta H=4380
$$

was added.
The calculated heats of solution are listed in Table VII (deposited with ADI) and the corresponding intercepts in Table IV.

System $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{c})$ were calculated from the heats of solution of $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the same manner as for $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ except that the correction was based on the reaction (8)
$\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{c})+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{l})=\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{c}) \quad \Delta H=-2463$
plus the required correction for heat of dilution of the acid (4).

The calculated heats of solution are listed in Table VIII (deposited with ADI) and the corresponding intercepts in Table IV.

## PARTIAL MOLAL ENTHALPIES

Partial molal enthalpies were calculated for the four systems $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in which M represents the calcium phosphate. The partial molal enthalpies were calculated from relative total enthalpies, $L$, in which

$$
L=m_{2} \phi_{L \text { (acid) })}+m_{3} \phi_{L \text { (salt) }}
$$

The relative total enthalpy, $L$, represents the total heat involved in going from infinite dilution to the solution composition.
The values for $\phi_{L \text { (acid) }}$ were taken from the heat of dilution
data for $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (4). The values for $\phi_{L \text { salt) }}$ were taken from the heats of solution listed in Tables $V$ to VIII and the corresponding heat of solution intercepts listed in Table IV. Partial differentiation of the relative total enthalpies yielded the partial molal enthalpies for the salt and the acid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial m_{3}}\right)_{m_{2}, m_{1}, T, P}=L_{3}(\text { salt }) \\
& \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial m_{2}}\right)_{m_{3}, m_{1}, T, P}=L_{2}(\text { acid })
\end{aligned}
$$

The partial molal enthalpy of water in each system was calculated by difference

$$
L_{1}=\left(L-m_{2} L_{2}-m_{3} L_{3}\right) / m_{1}
$$

The curves of the relative total enthalpies could not be represented conveniently by analytical expressions, and the differentiations were made by tabular differentiation through use of 5 -point first derivative coefficients (13). At each interval of 0.5 in $m_{2}, L_{3}$ for the salt was calculated at intervals of 0.05 in $m_{3}$. Then at each interval of 0.05 in $m_{3}$, $L$ was differentiated with respect to $m_{2}$ to obtain $L_{2}$, the partial molal enthalpy of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, at intervals of 0.5 in $m_{2}$. As shown above $L_{1}$ was obtained by difference. The results are shown in Tables IX to XII.

## DISCUSSION

The calculated heats of solution of the four calcium phosphates in phosphoric acid solutions represent the actual heats of solution reasonably well. The calculated heats of solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ in 1.5 molal $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ are not entirely consistent with those calculated for the other acid strengths in the system $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This inconsistency is particularly noticeable in the calculation of $L_{3}$, the partial molal enthalpy of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ in the same solution. The reason for this inconsistency is not apparent.

The intercepts of the heats of solution on the $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ axis at $m_{3}=0$ for all four salt systems were calculated by


Table IV. Integral Heats of Solution, Intercepts on $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ Axis, Calories per Mole

| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ <br> Molality, <br> $m_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.5 | -659.6 | -3131 | -5514 | -1169 |
| 1.0 | -280.0 | -2768 | -5320 | -909.3 |
| 1.5 | 107.5 | -2435 | -5469 | -658.3 |
| 2.0 | 476.6 | -2138 | -4981 | -410.8 |
| 2.5 | 801.5 | -1835 | -4880 | -267.7 |
| 3.0 | 1053 | -1525 | -4742 | -159.0 |
| 3.5 | 1249 | -1238 | -4581 | -68.97 |
| 4.0 | 1401 | -981.0 | -4403 | 14.46 |
| 4.5 | 1549 | -754.4 | -4227 | 96.36 |
| 5.0 | 1732 | -544.6 | -4042 | 181.3 |
| 5.5 | 2070 | -433.7 | -3879 | 281.1 |
| 6.0 | 2326 | -286.8 | -3814 | 389.6 |
| 6.5 | 2545 | -84.32 | -3865 | 501.9 |
| 7.0 | 2756 | 128.3 | -3803 | 615.3 |
| 7.5 | 2969 | 307.8 | -3694 | 726.6 |
| 8.0 | 3190 | 471.7 | -3576 | 837.1 |
| 8.5 | 3398 | 665.3 | -3429 | 949.4 |
| 9.0 | 3580 | 832.4 | -3346 | 1037 |
| 9.5 | 3733 | 1070 | -3290 | 1095 |
| 10.0 | 3841 | 1290 | -3271 | 1110 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table V. Heats of Solution of $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}(\mathrm{c})$

| Molality <br> $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, m_{2}$ | $\Delta H$ Soln., Cal./G. |  |
| :---: | :--- | ---: |
|  | Obsd. | Calcd. |
| 3 | 35.00 | 34.75 |
|  | 34.95 | 35.12 |
| 4 | 35.27 | 34.85 |
| 4 | 33.14 | 33.37 |
|  | 33.05 | 33.15 |
| 6 | 29.17 | 30.05 |
|  | 28.94 | 30.10 |
|  | 29.19 | 30.06 |
| 8 | 26.03 | 26.18 |

straight-line equations for $\Delta H$ vs. $m_{3}^{1 / 2}$. Plots of all the heats of solution have significant curvature at low values of $m_{3}$. Additional measurements at values of $m_{3}$ below 0.1 would be required to define adequately the shape of the curves as $m_{3}$ approaches 0 . A somewhat different type of solution calorimeter would be required in this concentration range.

The relative partial molal enthalpies, $\bar{L}_{3}$, are somewhat less curved for the monocalcium phosphates than for the dicalcium phosphates. The values of $\bar{L}_{3}$ for $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CaHPO} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ go through minima at $m_{3}$ of about 0.5 when $m_{2}$ is between 2.0 and 5.0.

The plots of $L_{2}$ us. $m_{2}$ for all four salts show distinct changes in shape of curve or in slope. These changes occur at $m_{2}$ of about $2.5,4.0$ to 6.0 , and 8.0 to 10.0 . The same changes were observed in the system $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ alone ( 6 ) and are more pronounced in heat capacity data on this system (5). Similar changes in slope have been observed also in the density, conductivity, pH , and activity of phosphoric acid solutions. The introduction of calcium ion with a common phosphate ion into phosphoric acid solutions thus has little effect on the properties of the phosphoric acid. The changes in slope probably are related to changes in the ion species in phosphoric acid solutions or to marked changes in the concentration or activity of particular ion species (10). The structure of phosphoric acid solutions is complex and is not well enough defined for correlation of the observed changes with the acid structure.
Table IX. Relative ${ }^{a}$ Partial Molal Enthalpies in the System $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., Calories per Mole

| $\stackrel{\square}{9}$ |  | F\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{-}$ |  |  |
| $\cdots$ |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ |  |  |
| $\Xi$ |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\circ}{-}$ |  | ¢ |
| 9 <br> O |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 옹 |  |  |
|  | ¢f |  |
|  |  | 8\%\% |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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| Table XI. Relative ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Partial Molal Enthalpies in the System $\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., Calories per Mole |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ <br> Molality, <br> $m_{2}$ |  | CaHPO4. $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Molality, $\mathrm{m}_{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| $L_{3}\left(\mathrm{CaHPO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | -400 | $-538$ | -524 | --446 | -385 | -411 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | -370 | -465 | -505 | -503 | .-473 | -428 | -379 | -335 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | -375 | -446 | -496 | -524 | --528 | -428 | -379 -456 | -335 | -303 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | -391 | -485 | -542 | -566 | $-528$ | $-504$ | -456 -509 | -384 | -295 | -195 | $-95$ | -3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | -324 | -421 | -483 | -516 | -564 | -544 | -509 -488 | -461 | -402 | -333 | -252 | -161 | -59 | 53 | 173 | 298 |
| 3.0 | -247 | -343 | -409 | -448 | -464 | -5142 | -488 -444 | -449 | --371 | -341 | -274 | -200 | -121 | -36 | 52 | 141 |
| 3.5 | -174 | -266 | -332 | -375 | -397 | $-401$ | -444 | -413 | -371 -330 | -320 -286 | -263 -235 | -200 | -134 | -67 | 1 | 69 |
| 4.0 | -115 | -198 | -261 | -305 | -331 | -341 | -396 | -365 | -330 -289 | -286 -251 | -235 | -180 | -122 | -63 | -5 | 51 |
| 4.5 | -70 | -143 | -200 | -243 | -271 | -381 | -336 | -318 | -289 | -251 | -206 | -156 | -101 | -45 | 12 | 68 |
| 5.0 | -39 | -101 | -152 | -191 | -220 | -287 | -284 | -270 | -284 | -222 | -182 | -135 | -82 | -24 | 38 |  |
| 5.5 | -37 | --83 | -124 | -159 | -187 | -209 | -224 | -240 | -226 | -202 | -169 | -127 | -75 | -15 | 54 |  |
| 6.0 | -50 | -79 | -110 | -141 | -170 | - -195 | -222 | -226 | -221 | -205 | -178 | -139 | -87 | -21 |  |  |
| 6.5 | -63 | -82 | -107 | -135 | -163 | -195 | -214 | -225 | -227 | -219 | -200 | -169 | -124 |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | -70 | -90 | -113 | -138 | -163 | -189 | -212 | -229 | -238 | -240 | -234 | -218 | -194 |  |  |  |
| 7.5 | -66 | -99 | -125 | -147 | -167 | -188 -186 | $-211$ | -232 | -251 | -266 | -277 | -285 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 | -64 | -111 | -141 | -161 | -175 | -186 -189 | -208 | -232 | -260 | -293 | -329 | -369 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 | -85 | -125 | -154 | -175 | -192 | -189 | -207 | -234 | -270 | -318 | -378 | -448 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 | -76 | -122 | -153 | -174 | -188 | -200 | -224 | -245 | -273 | -308 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 | -52 | -98 | -128 | -147 | -160 | - -171 | -215 | -234 | -260 | -295 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 | -9 | -41 | -65 | -82 | -160 -95 | -171 -107 | -184 -119 | -200 -134 | -224 | -255 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -119 | -134 | -152 | -176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $L_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.5 | 403 | 416 | 418 | 399 | 371 | 360 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 558 | 567 | 578 | 580 | 572 | 558 | 626 |  | 651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.5 | 682 | 678 | 673 | 667 | 658 | 646 | 605 | 587 | 566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 798 | 801 | 802 | 802 | 802 | 802 | 800 | 795 | 785 | 535 | 480 | 426 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.5 | 926 | 941 | 957 | 971 | 983 | 994 | 800 1002 | 795 1009 | 785 1014 | 805 1018 | 794 1020 | 779 1020 | 791 | 769 | 739 | 701 |
| 3.0 | 1058 | 1074 | 1090 | 1105 | 1119 | 1131 | 1142 | 1151 | 1160 | 1018 | 1020 | 1020 | 1005 | 997 | 983 | 964 |
| 3.5 | 1185 | 1199 | 1214 | 1229 | 1243 | 1256 | 1268 | 1278 | 1287 | 1168 | 1172 | 1175 | 1177 | 1176 | 1173 | 1166 |
| 4.0 | 1308 | 1319 | 1332 | 1345 | 1359 | 1371 | 1382 | 1392 | 1287 1400 | 1295 | 1301 1413 | 1307 | 1311 | 1314 | 1317 | 1320 |
| 4.5 | 1427 | 1436 | 1446 | 1458 | 1469 | 1480 | 1490 | 1499 | 1400 1507 | 1407 1512 | 1413 1517 | 1418 | 1423 | 1427 | 1432 | 1440 |
| 5.0 5.5 | 1541 | 1546 | 1553 | 1561 | 1569 | 1577 | 1585 |  | 1597 | 1512 1597 | 1517 | 1521 | 1524 | 1527 | 1529 |  |
| 5.5 6.0 | 1651 | 1652 | 1655 | 1660 | 1664 | 1669 | 1672 | 1674 | 1675 | 1597 1674 | 1598 | 1598 | 1598 | 1601 | 1607 |  |
| 6.0 | 1760 | 1759 | 1759 | 1761 | 1764 | 1766 | 1767 | 1767 | 1766 | 1763 | 1671 | 1667 | 1663 | 1660 |  |  |
| 6.5 7.0 | 1866 | 1864 | 1863 | 1863 | 1864 | 1864 | 1865 | 1864 | 1863 | 1859 | 1759 | 1752 | 1730 |  |  |  |
| 7.0 | 1969 | 1968 | 1966 | 1964 | 1963 | 1963 | 1964 | 1964 | 1863 | 1859 1959 | 1853 | 1843 | 1830 |  |  |  |
| 7.5 8.0 | 2068 | 2068 | 2065 | 2062 | 2060 | 2060 | 2060 | 2061 | 1963 | 1959 | 1951 | 1939 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.0 8.5 | 2163 | 2160 | 2157 | 2154 | 2151 | 2148 | 2145 | 2144 | 2142 | 2140 | 2048 | 2035 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.5 9.0 | 2255 | 2254 | 2252 | 2251 | 2249 | 2247 | 2246 | 2245 | 2245 | 2246 | 2136 | 2127 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.0 9.5 | 2348 | 2351 | 2353 | 2355 | 2358 | 2361 | 2365 | 2369 | 2373 | 2378 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9.5 10.0 | 2436 | 2443 | 2451 | 2460 | 2468 | 2477 | 2486 | 2495 | 2505 | 2516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.0 | 2524 | 2538 | 2554 | 2572 | 2590 | 2608 | 2626 | 2644 | 2662 | 2681 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ See footnote in Table IX.

$\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ Molality, $m_{3}$

$\because \quad$ 숭

$\stackrel{\otimes}{\circ}$



0.7


0.5






0.







| 중영 <br>  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 毋 6 <br>  |  |
|  が |  |
|  Nion miricio |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
| Not <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  －へi |  |
|  ドオジ |  |
|  <br>  |  |
| か． <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  <br>  |  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  <br>  |
|  |  |

## LITERATURE CITED

(1) Bassett, H., Z. Anorg. Chem. 53, 34 (1907).
(2) Christensen, J.H., Reed, R.B., Ind. Eng. Chem. 47, 1277 (1955).
(3) Egan, E.P., Jr., Luff, B.B., J. Phys. Chem. 61, 1500 (1957).
(4) Ibid., 65, 523 (1961).
(5) Egan, E.P., Jr., Luff, B.B., J. Chem. Eng. Data 11, 509 (1966).
(6) Egan, E.P., Jr., Luff, B.B., Wakefield, Z.T., J. Phys. Chem. 62, 1091 (1958).
(7) Egan, E.P., Jr., Wakefield, Z.T., J. Chem. Eng. Data 9, 541 (1964).
(8) Egan, E.P., Jr., Wakefield, Z.T., Elmore, K.L., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 1811 (1956).
(9) Elmore, K.L., Farr, T.D., Ind. Eng. Chem. 32, 580 (1940).
(10) Elmore, K.L., Hatfield, J.D., Dunn, R.L., Jones, A.D., J. Phys. Chem. 69, 3520 (1965).
(11) Farr, T.D., Tennessee Valley Authority, Chem. Eng. Rept., No. 8 (1950).
(12) Natl. Bur. Std., Circ. 500, (1952).
(13) Salzer, H.E., "Tables of Coefficients for Obtaining the First Derivative without Differences," Natl. Bur. Std., Applied Math. Series 1948, 2.
(14) Smith, A.J., Huffman, E.O., Chem. Eng. Data Ser. 1, 99 (1956).
(15) TVA, unpublished data.

Received for review April 1, 1966. Accepted June 3, 1966. Material supplementary to this article has been deposited as Document No. 8994 with the ADI Auxiliary Publications Project, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress, Washington 25 , D.C. A copy may be secured by citing the document number and by remitting $\$ 2.50$ for photoprints or $\$ 1.75$ for $35-\mathrm{mm}$. microfilm. Advance payment is required. Make checks or money orders payable to Chief, Photoduplication Service, Library of Congress.

# Solid-Liquid Equilibrium in the Benzene-Pyridine System 

FRANCIS J. CIOFFI ${ }^{1}$ and CHARLES E. MESSER<br>Chemistry Department, Tufts University, Medford, Mass.


#### Abstract

The complete solid-liquid equilibrium phase diagram has been determined for the benzene-pyridine system by a method of warming curve thermoelectric thermometry. The system is of the eutectic type with large regions of solid solution formation. The solidus curves and the curves representing the limits of mutual solid solubility below the eutectic temperature have been located.


LITERATURE on solid-liquid equilibrium in cyclic organic systems, especially those containing hetero atoms, is relatively rare. Wright (12) and Murray (6) have shown, in their investigation of the benzene-thiophene system, that pairs of organic substances form solid solutions because of fairly close similarity in the sizes, shapes, and electrical force fields of the molecules. The fact that thiophene forms a continuous series of solid solutions with benzene would seem to indicate that pyridine, being more similar to benzene in molecular structure, most certainly would, also. However, Pickering (7), Hatcher and Skirrow (4), and Kravchenko (5) have shown that the benzene-pyridine system is not of the continuous solid solution type but rather of the eutectic type.

This paper extends the work of the above authors and presents the complete solid-liquid phase diagram for the benzene-pyridine system.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. Baker's C.P. benzene, thiophene-free, was further purified by two fractional crystallizations followed by a fractional distillation from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ through a 15 -theoretical plate fractionating column. The center cut, collected over a $0.06^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. range, had a purity of 99.98 mole $\%$, as determined by the warming curve method of Schwab and Wichers (9), and later described in greater detail by Glasgow, Streiff, and Rossini (3).

[^0]Fisher reagent grade pyridine was refluxed over BaO for 2 days and then distilled through the above fractionating column. The center cut, collected over a $0.04^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. range, had a purity of 99.68 mole $\%$.

Apparatus. The apparatus, which combined the features of the melting point calorimeter of Skau (10) and the semimicro heat conduction calorimeters of Andrews (1), Stull (11), and Ziegler and Messer (13), was a radiationtype calorimeter in which the sample was contained in a gold-plated copper can in the center of a hollow copper block which was wound with a heater coil. Thus, the sample was heated by radiation from the copper block. The whole block assembly was supported in an unevacuated, unsilvered Dewar flask immersed in an eutectic mixture of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform maintained at dry ice temperature. Temperatures were measured by a system of calibrated copper-constantan thermocouples.

Procedure. The various benzene-pyridine mixtures, each weighing 7 to 8 grams, were prepared in advance and sealed in glass capsules. The day before a run, the appropriate sample ampoule was broken and its contents were weighed quickly into the sample can to prevent exposure to atmospheric moisture. The can and contents then were placed in the calorimeter, slowly brought to dry ice temperature, and allowed to equilibrate overnight. The next morning the cooling bath was recharged with dry ice and the thermal head (defined as the temperature difference between the sample can and the surrounding copper shield) was slowly brought to approximately 140 $\mu \mathrm{v}$. (about $4.2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.) by adjusting the heaters manually while


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Present address: The M.W. Kellogg Co., Piscataway, N. J.

