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# Compressibility Factors and Virial Coefficients of 2-Propanol 

M. P. MORELAND ${ }^{1}$, J. J. McKetta, and I. H. Silberberg<br>Department of Chemical Engineering and Texas Petroleum Research Committee, University of Texas, Austin, Tex.


#### Abstract

The compressibility factor isotherms of gaseous 2 -propanol were determined by the Burnett method at $100^{\circ}, 125^{\circ}, 150^{\circ}, 175^{\circ}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At each temperature, vapor pressures were measured, and the second and third virial coefficients were determined by graphical methods.


The buRnett method (3) essentially consists of measuring a series of pressures obtained by making repetitive expansions of a gas from one chamber into a previously evacuated second chamber under isothermal conditions. The method and apparatus have been thoroughly discussed by Silberberg, Kobe, and McKetta (8). The basic equation relating the compressibility factor of the gas to the pressure and temperature of the gas after the $r$ th expansion is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{r}=\frac{P_{r} N^{\prime} / T_{r}}{P_{0} / Z_{0} T_{0}}=\frac{P_{r} N^{r}}{\rho_{0} R T} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Equation 1, the quantity $N$ is the apparatus constant, defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{V_{\mathrm{I}}+V_{\mathrm{II}}}{V_{\mathrm{I}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{I}$ is the volume of the first chamber and $V_{I I}$ is the volume of the second chamber into which the expansions are made. The zero-subscripted quantities refer to the condition of the gas before the first expansion in a particular run. The details of determining the values of

[^0]$N$ and $P_{0} / Z_{0} T_{0}$ (or $\rho_{0}$ ) have been discussed by Silberberg and coworkers $(8,9)$.
The experimental apparatus employed in this work was that used by Heichelheim and coworkers (6), with the inclusion of a platinum resistance thermometer for more accurate temperature measurement and a fused quartz Bourdon tube pressure gage for low pressure determinations. The apparatus was a modified Burnett apparatus utilizing a third chamber of small volume into which expansions could be made in order to provide closer spacing of data points within a run. During any particular run, expansions could be made into either of the two expansion chambers in any order. Equation 1 then becomes
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{r}=\frac{P_{r} \Pi_{r} / T_{r}}{P_{0} / Z_{0} T_{0}}=\frac{P_{r} \Pi_{r}}{\rho_{0} R T_{r}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where

$$
\Pi_{r}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} N_{i}
$$

and $N_{i}$ is the value of the apparatus constant applicable to the $i$ th expansion.

The compressibility factor can be expressed in terms of molal density by the Leiden virial expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=1+B \rho+C_{\rho}^{2}+D_{\rho}^{3}+\ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $B, C$, and $D$ are the second, third, and fourth virial coefficients, respectively, and are functions
of temperature only. The basic relationship of the Burnett method, Equation 3, may also be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{r}=\rho_{0} \Pi_{r}^{-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Equations 3 and 5 to Equation 4 yields the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r} \Pi_{r} / R T_{r}=\rho_{0}+B \rho_{0}^{2} \Pi_{r}^{-1}+C_{\rho}^{0} \Pi_{r}^{-2}+D_{\rho}^{4} \Pi_{r}^{-3}+\ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A residual type of function can be obtained by rearranging Equation 6 into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[P_{r} \Pi_{r} / R T_{r}-\rho_{0}\right] \Pi_{r}=B \rho_{0}^{2}+C \rho_{0}^{3} \Pi_{r}^{-1}+D \rho_{0}^{4} \Pi_{r}^{-2}+\ldots \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the left side of Equation 7 is plotted as ordinate vs. $\Pi_{r}^{-1}$ as abscissa for the data of a particular run, the resulting graph approaches linearity quite rapidly as the value of $\Pi_{r}^{-1}$ approaches zero, that is, as the density approaches zero. Silberberg, Lin, and McKetta (9) have shown how this criterion of approach to linearity at low densities affords a means of determining the value of $\rho_{0}$ very accurately. The use of the correct value of $\rho_{0}$ in Equation 7 will result in linearity of the graph at low densities, whereas a value of $\rho_{0}$ slightly too large or too small will result in a graph curving sharply upward or downward as $\Pi_{r}^{-1}$ approaches zero. This is a very sensitive trial-anderror test, and the value of $\rho_{0}$ so obtained is used in Equation 3 to calculate the compressibility factors at each pressure of the run.

When the correct value of $\rho_{0}$ has been determined in this manner, the zero-abscissa intercept of the resulting graph will be $B \rho_{0}^{2}$, and the slope at the intercept will be $C_{\rho}{ }_{0}^{3}$. From these values of the intercept and slope at the intercept, the second and third virial coefficients may be calculated.

## MATERIAL

The 2-propanol used in this work was reagent grade material obtained from the J. T. Baker Chemical Co. The alcohol, analyzed by gas chromatography, contained $0.12 \%$ water and $0.003 \%$ of some lower-boiling compound. After the sample of alcohol used in the measurements was dried by being slowly dripped through a bed of Type 4A Linde molecular sieve,, no water peak could be seen in the chromatogram of the material. After completion of the experimental measurements, a sample of the material was once again analyzed and no change in purity or evidence of decompositon was observed.

## EXPERIMENTAL

Compressibility factor isotherms and vapor pressures were determined at $25^{\circ}$ intervals from $100^{\circ}$ to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No compressibility data could be taken at temperatures lower than


Figure 1. Vapor pressure residuals of 2 -propanol

Table I. Smoothed Compressibility Factors of 2-Propanol

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | Pressure, Atm. | $Z=P V / R T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.2 | 0.9943 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.9887 |
|  | 0.6 | 0.9827 |
|  | 0.8 | 0.9763 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.9696 |
|  | 1.2 | 0.9623 |
|  | 1.4 | 0.9546 |
|  | 1.6 | 0.9450 |
|  | 1.7 | 0.9382 |
|  | 1.8 | 0.9286 |
|  | $1.971^{\circ}$ |  |
| 125 | 0.5 | 0.9889 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.9772 |
|  | 1.5 | 0.9651 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.9522 |
|  | 2.5 | 0.9382 |
|  | 3.0 | 0.9235 |
|  | 3.5 | 0.9068 |
|  | 3.8 | 0.8953 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.8860 |
|  | 4.1 | 0.8798 |
|  | 4.2 | 0.8706 |
|  | 4.3 | 0.8490 |
|  | $4.357^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 150 | 1.0 | 0.9836 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.9663 |
|  | 3.0 | 0.9481 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.9292 |
|  | 5.0 | 0.9090 |
|  | 6.0 | 0.8870 |
|  | 7.0 | 0.8626 |
|  | 7.5 | 0.8491 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.8339 |
|  | 8.5 | 0.8150 |
|  | $8.580^{\text {a }}$ | 0.8113 |
| 175 | 1.0 | 0.9873 |
|  | 2.0 | 0.9742 |
|  | 3.0 | 0.9609 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.9473 |
|  | 5.0 | 0.9331 |
|  | 6.0 | 0.9184 |
|  | 7.0 | 0.9031 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.8870 |
|  | 9.0 | 0.8703 |
|  | 10.0 | 0.8528 |
|  | 11.0 | 0.8344 |
|  | 12.0 | 0.8149 |
|  | 13.0 | 0.7938 |
|  | 14.0 | 0.7704 |
|  | 15.0 | 0.7413 |
|  | $15.317^{\circ}$ | 0.7291 |
| 200 | 2.0 | 0.9794 |
|  | 4.0 | 0.9584 |
|  | 6.0 | 0.9368 |
|  | 8.0 | 0.9140 |
|  | 10.0 | 0.8897 |
|  | 12.0 | 0.8644 |
|  | 14.0 | 0.8373 |
|  | 16.0 | 0.8182 |
|  | 18.0 | 0.7768 |
|  | 20.0 | 0.7429 |
|  | 22.0 | 0.7051 |
|  | 24.0 | 0.6617 |
|  | $25.333^{\text {a }}$ | 0.6261 |

${ }^{a}$ Indicates saturated vapor.
$100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. because the vapor pressure of the alcohol was too low below this temperature to give a sufficient number of measurable points in a run. Above $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the vapor pressure of the mercury used in the apparatus becomes great enough to introduce possible errors in the measured pressures.

Vapor Pressures. At each experimental temperature, at least three separate vapor pressure measurements were made with different ratios of vapor to liquid-phase volumes. No significant change in the vapor pressure with this ratio was observed, attesting to the purity of the alcohol. The averages of the experimental vapor pressures are compared on a residual basis with values reported by other investigators (1, 2, 10) in Figure 1. The smoothed values of vapor pressure are reported in Table I. These values are estimated to be in error by no more than $0.1 \%$.

Compressibility Factors. At least two complete runs were made for each isotherm, and partial runs to define the
high-pressure regions better were made for the $100^{\circ}, 125^{\circ}$, $175^{\circ}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. isotherms. The maximum pressure of each run was slightly below the vapor pressure of the 2 -propanol at that temperature. For each complete compressibility run, the value of $\rho_{0}$ was determined graphically as described earlier, and the compressibility factor $Z_{r}$ was calculated at each pressure $P_{r}$ according to Equation 3. Values of the apparatus constants at $100^{\circ}, 150^{\circ}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. were determined with helium using the graphical pressure-ratio technique described by Silberberg, Kobe, and McKetta (8).

The experimental compressibility data for 2-propanol are presented in Table II and shown graphically in Figure 2. None of the data points deviates from a smooth curve drawn through the points by more than $0.15 \%$, and the average deviation is less than $0.05 \%$. The smoothed values of the compressibility factors at the saturation point are also listed in Table I for the $150^{\circ}, 175^{\circ}$, and $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. isotherms. Because of the excessive curvature of the $100^{\circ}$

Table II. Experimental Compressibility Factors of 2-Propanol

| Isotherm |  | Expansion |  |  |  | Isotherm |  | Expansion |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. | $r$ | Chamber Used | $P_{r},$ <br> Atm. | $T$ | $Z$ | Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $r$ | Chamber Used | $\begin{gathered} P_{r} \\ \text { Atm. } \end{gathered}$ | $T_{r}$ | $Z$ |
| 100 | 0 |  | 1.7840 | 373.15 | 0.9330 | 150 | 6 | II | 1.9590 | 423.15 | 0.9670 |
|  | 1 | III | 1.6134 | 373.15 | 0.9452 |  | 7 | II | 1.3983 | 423.16 | 0.9770 |
|  | 2 | III | 1.4515 | 373.14 | 0.9527 |  | 0 | . | 8.0431 | 423.16 | 0.8327 |
|  | 3 | III | 1.3037 | 373.15 | 0.9586 |  | 1 | II | 6.0420 | 423.16 | 0.8854 |
|  | 4 | III | 1.1696 | 373.15 | 0.9635 |  | 2 | II | 4.4368 | 423.16 | 0.9204 |
|  | 5 | III | 1.0482 | 373.15 | 0.9674 |  | 3 | II | 3.2158 | 423.16 | 0.9443 |
|  | 0 | . . | 1.8382 | 373.15 | 0.9250 |  | 4 | II | 2.3114 | 423.15 | 0.9608 |
|  | 1 | III | 1.6700 | 373.14 | 0.9415 |  | 5 | II | 1.6530 | 423.15 | 0.9727 |
|  | 2 | III | 1.5046 | 373.15 | 0.9503 |  | 6 | II | 1.1770 | 423.15 | 0.9804 |
|  | 3 | III | 1.3519 | 373.15 | 0.9566 | 175 | 0 | . . | 14.8493 | 448.16 | 0.7465 |
|  | 4 | III | 1.2135 | 373.15 | 0.9620 |  | 1 | II | 11.5558 | 448.15 | 0.8224 |
|  | 5 | III | 1.0878 | 373.15 | 0.9660 |  | 2 | II | 8.6861 | 448.15 | 0.8750 |
|  | 6 | III | 0.9752 | 373.15 | 0.9702 |  | 3 | II | 6.3948 | 448.15 | 0.9119 |
|  | 0 |  | 1.8504 | 373.16 | 0.9177 |  | 4 | II | 4.6448 | 448.15 | 0.9376 |
|  | 1 | III | 1.6891 | 373.16 | 0.9386 |  | 5 | II | 3.3460 | 448.14 | 0.9561 |
|  | 2 | III | 1.5247 | 373.16 | 0.9491 |  | 6 | II | 2.3968 | 448.15 | 0.9695 |
|  | 0 |  | 1.8800 | 373.14 | 0.9060 |  | 7 | II | 1.7088 | 448.15 | 0.9784 |
|  | 1 | III | 1.7328 | 373.15 | 0.9355 |  | 8 | II | 1.2161 | 448.15 | 0.9857 |
|  | 2 | III | 1.5652 | 373.15 | 0.9466 |  | 0 | ... | 13.0527 | 448.15 | 0.7922 |
|  | 3 | III | 1.4085 | 373.15 | 0.9544 |  | 1 | II | 9.9406 | 448.15 | 0.8541 |
| 125 | 0 |  | 4.2171 | 398.17 | 0.8645 |  | 2 | II | 7.3800 | 448.16 | 0.8975 |
|  | 1 | II | 3.1624 | 398.17 | 0.9176 |  | 3 | II | 5.3893 | 448.15 | 0.9278 |
|  | 2 | II | 2.2976 | 398.16 | 0.9436 |  | 4 | II | 3.8946 | 448.15 | 0.9491 |
|  | 3 | II | 1.6532 | 398.17 | 0.9610 |  | 5 | II | 2.7945 | 448.16 | 0.9640 |
|  | 4 | II | 1.1821 | 398.18 | 0.9725 |  | 6 | II | 1.9962 | 448.15 | 0.9748 |
|  | 0 | . . . | 4.1376 | 398.17 | 0.8773 |  | 7 | II | 1.4213 | 448.15 | 0.9825 |
|  | 1 | III | 3.7731 | 398.17 | 0.8962 |  | 0 |  | 15.1248 | 448.19 | 0.7360 |
|  | 2 | II | 2.7684 | 398.18 | 0.9307 |  | 1 | III | 14.1012 | 448.17 | 0.7686 |
|  | 3 | II | 2.0012 | 398.18 | 0.9522 |  | 2 | III | 13.0013 | 448.17 | 0.7937 |
|  | 4 | II | 1.4354 | 398.17 | 0.9668 |  | 3 | III | 11.9340 | 448.17 | 0.8160 |
|  | 5 | II | 1.0250 | 398.17 | 0.9771 |  | 4 | III | 10.9155 | 448.17 | 0.8360 |
|  | 0 |  | 4.2496 | 398.15 | 0.8614 | 200 | 0 |  | 23.8631 | 473.14 | 0.6645 |
|  | 1 | III | 3.9220 | 398.15 | 0.8906 |  | 1 | II | 19.2024 | 473.15 | 0.7569 |
|  | 2 | III | 3.5559 | 398.15 | 0.9047 |  | 2 | II | 14.7969 | 473.15 | 0.8256 |
|  | 3 | II | 2.5986 | 398.15 | 0.9357 |  | 3 | II | 11.0840 | 473.15 | 0.8754 |
|  | 4 | II | 1.8750 | 398.15 | 0.9556 |  | 4 | II | 8.1520 | 473.15 | 0.9114 |
|  | 5 | II | 1.3434 | 398.15 | 0.9690 |  | 5 | II | 5.9208 | 473.15 | 0.9370 |
|  | 0 |  | 4.1986 | 398.15 | 0.8722 |  | 6 | II | 4.2648 | 473.15 | 0.9554 |
|  | 1 | III | 3.8441 | 398.16 | 0.8946 |  | 0 |  | 17.0564 | 473.15 | 0.7932 |
|  | 2 | III | 3.4792 | 398.15 | 0.9072 |  | 1 | II | 12.9494 | 473.15 | 0.8524 |
|  | 0 |  | 4.2341 | 398.16 | 0.8654 |  | 2 | II | 9.6044 | 473.15 | 0.8950 |
|  | 1 | III | 3.8947 | 398.16 | 0.8918 |  | 3 | II | 7.0154 | 473.14 | 0.9254 |
|  | 2 | III | 3.5289 | 398.16 | 0.9052 |  | 4 | II | 5.0710 | 473.15 | 0.9468 |
| 150 | 0 |  | 8.3770 | 423.16 | 0.8209 |  | 5 | II | 3.6417 | 473.15 | 0.9625 |
|  | 1 | III | 7.6895 | 423.16 | 0.8440 |  | 0 |  | 24.6401 | 473.14 | 0.6456 |
|  | 2 | III | 7.0102 | 423.16 | 0.8618 |  | 1 | III | 23.1879 | 473.15 | 0.6805 |
|  | 3 | II | 5.1992 | 423.17 | 0.9047 |  | 2 | III | 21.6629 | 473.16 | 0.7120 |
|  | 4 | II | 3.7899 | 423.15 | 0.9336 |  | 3 | III | 20.1237 | 473.15 | 0.7409 |
|  | 5 | II | 2.7340 | 423.16 | 0.9534 |  | 4 | II | 15.6199 | 473.16 | 0.8140 |

Figure 2. 2-Propanol compressibility factors



Figure 3. Second virial coefficients of 2-propanol

Figure 4. Third virial coefficients of 2-propanol

and $125^{\circ}$ isotherms near the saturation point, it was not possible to extrapolate these curves to obtain values of the saturated vapor compressibility factors. This abnormal deviation of the compressibility factors, which becomes much more pronounced near the saturation point, is attributed to the formation of dimers and other higher alcohol polymers through hydrogen bonding.

Virial Coefficients. Second and third virial coefficients of 2 -propanol were determined at each experimental temperature from the intercepts and limiting slopes of the residual function plots according to Equation 7. Table III lists these experimental values. The dependency of the virial coefficients upon temperature is shown in Figures 3 and 4. Figure 3 also shows values of the second virial coefficient reported by other investigators (4, 5, 7). Agreement among the sets of values is fairly good. A search of the literature revealed no values of the third virial coefficient with which the results reported here might be compared. Below about $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$., the third virial coefficient has negative values, a further indication of the strong associative forces existing between the 2 -propanol molecules. Smoothed values of the virial coefficients are given in Table IV.

Table III. Experimental Virial Coefficients of 2-Propanol Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \quad-B, \mathrm{~L} . / \mathrm{G}$. Mole $-C,(\mathrm{~L} . / \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{Mole})^{2}$

| 100 | 0.8708 | 0.702 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0.8742 | 0.669 |
| 125 | 0.7160 | 0.439 |
|  | 0.7145 | 0.312 |
|  | 0.7145 | 0.264 |
| 150 | 0.5584 | 0.083 |
|  | 0.5578 | 0.076 |
| 175 | 0.4599 | 0.009 |
|  | 0.4541 | 0.017 |
| 200 | 0.3900 | -0.024 |
|  | 0.3875 | -0.028 |

Table IV. Smoothed Virial Coefficients of 2-Propanol
Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \quad-B, \mathrm{~L} . / \mathrm{G}$. Mole $-\mathrm{C},(\mathrm{L} . / \mathrm{G} . \text { Mole })^{2}$

| 100 | 0.889 | 0.68 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | 0.697 | 0.27 |
| 150 | 0.557 | 0.082 |
| 175 | 0.457 | 0.011 |
| 200 | 0.388 | -0.026 |
|  |  |  |

## ACCURACIES

Because of the large number of data points taken, the values of the smoothed compressibility factors are assumed to be free of random errors. The maximum systematic errors in the smoothed compressibility factor isotherms are estimated to be as follows: $0.19 \%$ at $100^{\circ}, 0.14 \%$ at $125^{\circ}$, $0.12 \%$ at $150^{\circ}, 0.10 \%$ at $175^{\circ}$, and $0.09 \%$ at $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Accuracy of virial coefficients obtained from Burnett data in the manner described here is difficult to evaluate. The effect of systematic errors in the temperature scale is entirely negligible. The effect of systematic errors in pressure would also be negligible, provided that they were uniform over the entire pressure scale used in this investigation. Errors in the values of the apparatus constants can introduce systematic errors into the calculations, but the nature of the graphical methods is such that these errors are selfcancelling to some extent. In view of these facts, the error analysis made by Silberberg, Lin, and McKetta (9) is equally applicable to the results of this study. Therefore, the error in the second virial coefficients is estimated to be less than $2 \%$ and in the third virial coefficients is estimated to be less than $5 \%$ or 0.002 liter $^{2} / \mathrm{gram} \mathrm{mole}^{2}$, whichever is the greater.

## NOMENCLATURE

$B=$ second virial coefficient, $1 . /$ gram-mole
$C=$ third virial coefficient, (1./gram-mole) ${ }^{2}$
$D=$ fourth virial coefficient, (l./gram-mole) ${ }^{3}$
$N=$ apparatus constant; ratio of system volumes after and before expansion
$P=$ absolute pressure, atm.
$R=$ gas constant, 0.0820544 (1.) (atm.)/(gram-mole) $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}.\right)$
$T=$ absolute temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.
$V=$ molal volume, $\mathrm{l} . / \mathrm{gram}-\mathrm{mole}$
$Z=$ compressibility factor, $P V / R T$
$\Pi_{r}=\Pi N_{1}=N_{1} \cdot N_{2} \ldots N$,
$\rho=$ molal density, gram-moles/liter

## Subscripts

r = state of system after $r$ th expansion

- = initial state of system
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#### Abstract

Vapor pressure-temperature measurements have been observed for the following trialkyl borates: methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, and isobutyl. The data were fitted into the equation, $\log _{11} P_{m m}=A / T+B \log _{10} T+C$, and the constants $A$, $B$, and $C$, evaluated from a least-squares calculation on the IBM 1620 computer, were employed for finding the normal boiling point, latent heat, and entropy of vaporization for each of the borates.


ALIMITED amount of physical data for trialkyl borates is scattered throughout the literature (1.4), and apparently only a very few systematic experimental investigations offer such information for this class of compounds. A search of published data revealed one work that involved vapor pressure-temperature measurements for methyl and ethyl borate (8), and another (1) concerned with the calculation of the latent heats and entropies of vaporization for 17 organoboron compounds, the calculations having been made on the basis of almost fragmentary experimental data. In the present instance, vapor pressures have been observed for the following trialkyl borates: methyl, ethyl, propyl,
isopropyl, butyl, and isobutyl. The resulting data were fitted into an appropriate equation, and the constants evaluated from that equation were employed in the calculation of the normal boiling point, latent heat, and entropy of vaporization for each of the borates.

## EXPERIMENTAL

The borates were distilled, then assayed by the standard mannitol-phenolphthalein method, and stored under nitrogen until ready for use; all samples showed a purity of better than $99.8 \%$. The vapor pressure measurements were


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Present address: IBM Corp., Houston, Tex.

