Table III. Summary of Literature Values

|  | Density of <br> Carbon | Density of <br> Nitromethane, | Density of <br> Nitroethane, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temp., | Tetrachloride <br> ${ }^{\circ}$ C. <br> G./Ml. | G./Ml. | G./Ml. |
| 30 | $1.57478(14)$ | $1.12439(10)$ | $1.03870(10)$ |
|  | $1.57480(13)$ | $1.12453(13)$ |  |
| 35 | $1.56480(2)$ | $1.11700(2)$ |  |
| 45 | $1.56478(9)$ | $1.1033 \quad(2)$ |  |
|  | $1.5442(2)$ |  |  |

Brown and Smith (1) have reported the maximum value of $V^{E}$ for nitromethane-carbon tetrachloride solutions at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. to be 0.17 ml . per mole. The present results are in agreement with this value as well as with the graphical results presented by Brown and Smith (2) and with their conclusion that the volume of mixing of solutions of which carbon tetrachloride is one component increases with an increase in temperature.

## CONCLUSIONS

The density and volume data of binary solutions (in carbon tetrachloride) of nitroparaffins in the temperature range of $30^{\circ}$ to $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. indicate that the solutions are nearly ideal. Nitroethane forms a more ideal solution than nitromethane. The conclusion follows that the nitroparaffin molecule in these solutions exists, predominantly, as a single specie. This conclusion applies whether one considers acid dissociation or dimer dissociation. In both instances, the more polar nature of nitromethane would predict a greater perchance for dissociation.
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# Thermodynamic Properties of 1,1,1,2,2-Pentafluoropropane (Refrigerant 245) 

R. L. SHANK<br>Research and Development Department, Union Carbide Corp., South Charleston, W. Va.


#### Abstract

Experimental volumetric and spectral data have been used to calculate the thermodynamic properties of 1,1,1,2,2-pentafluoropropane. Properties are presented for the saturated liquid and vapor from $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. to the critical temperature, $224.52^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The data for the superheated vapor range from the saturation temperature to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The properties listed are volume, enthalpy, entropy, heat capacity at constant pressure, and heat capacity ratio as functions of temperature and pressure.


IN DESIGNING refrigeration equipment using a particular refrigerant, tables of thermodynamic properties of the refrigerant and graphs representing the properties are a virtual necessity. This paper is part of a continuing program in progress at the UCON Refrigerant Laboratories, Union Carbide Corp., to compile tables and construct graphs presenting the thermodynamic properties of halogenated hydrocarbons.
The present study provided detailed information on the thermodynamic properties of Refrigerant 245. The proper-
ties listed are volume, enthalpy, and entropy as functions of temperature and pressure. The values of the thermodynamic properties were computed from analytical expressions representing the heat capacity of the ideal vapor and the pressure-volume-temperature ( $P-V-T$ ) relations of the refrigerant.

The ideal vapor heat capacity was calculated by Angell (1) from the fundamental frequencies of vibration of the molecule and assumed molecular dimensions and conformation, using standard methods of statistical mechanics. The
data were correlated as a polynomial function of temperature.

The $P \cdot V-T$ relations were determined by isothermal pressure-volume measurements. The pressure of the saturated vapor and densities of the saturated liquid and vapor were included in the volumetric measurements. The volumetric data were represented by three analytical expressions. The Benedict-Webb-Rubin (2) (BWR) equation of state was chosen to represent the experimental data in the superheated and saturated vapor region. Equations proposed by Martin (3) were used to correlate the vapor pressure and liquid density data.

All arithmetric operations invloved in the calculation and correlation of the experimental data were programmed for a digital computer using double precision arithmetic. The conventional method of least squares was followed to determine the coefficients of the various equations chosen to represent the experimental data.

Thermodynamic properties of the saturated liquid and vapor were computed from the four basic equations representing the volumetric data and the heat capacities of the ideal vapor. In addition, the Clausius-Clapeyron equation was used to determine the latent heat of vaporization, and enabled calculation of the entropy of vaporization. The properties of the superheated vapor were derived from the heat capacity function of the ideal vapor and the equation of state.

## MATERIAL

The Refrigerant 245 used in the experimental observations was purified by scrubbing and distillation. The refrigerant was scrubbed with water and a $5 \%$ by weight solution of sodium hydroxide in water to remove acidic materials. The material as a vapor was passed through a tower of calcium chloride to remove moisture. The dry condensate was then distilled through a 0.5 - by 48 -inch glass column packed with protruded stainless steel packing (approximately 30 theoretical plates). A midfraction boiling
between $-1^{\circ}$ and $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. at atmospheric pressure was taken at a 20 to 1 reflux ratio. A purity exceeding 99.9 mole $\%$ was indicated by gas chromatography.

## APPARATUS AND EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

The experimental $P-V-T$ data for Refrigerant 245 were compiled with a variable volume apparatus which has been described in detail (4). A known mass of material was confined with a liquid mercury piston in a closed-end glass tube. The effective volume of the system could be varied by changing the pressure on the mercury piston. Provisions were made for measuring volume, and controlling and measuring pressure and temperature. The experimental technique involved the measurement of the volume of a gas-free sample under increasing values of pressure at a constant temperature.

The volume was determined by measuring, with a cathetometer, the length of space occupied by the sample in the glass tube. The volume of the tube as a function of length was determined by calibration with mercury. Corrections were made for the thermal expansion of glass but not for the effect of pressure on the tube. The precision of the volume measurements was $\pm 1$ part in 5000 to $\pm 1$ part in 50,000 , depending upon the volume.

Pressures were measured with a dead-weight piston gage calibrated in this laboratory. Corrections were made for the height and temperature of the mercury piston, mass of sample, vapor pressure of mercury, and atmospheric pressure. The precision of the pressure measurements was $\pm 0.005$ p.s.i.

The thermometric measuring system consisted of a 25 -ohm sealed-in-glass platinum resistance thermometer, resistance bridge, and electronic galvanometer. The system could detect temperature differences of $0.0002^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The temperature was controlled with a thermostat surrounding the sample tube. The precision of the controlled temperature was at all times $\pm 0.01^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. or better.


Figure 1. Pressure-volume isotherms for Refrigerant 245 in high-density region


Figure 2. Pressure-volume isotherms for Refrigerant 245 in critical region

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The $P-V-T$ relations for Refrigerant 245 were determined by pressure-volume measurements on 25 isotherms from 30 to 1025 p.s.i.a. at $18^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. intervals over the temperature range $32^{\circ}$ to $464^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The critical region was defined by 8 additional isotherms from $221^{\circ}$ to $224.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The pressure of the saturated vapor and densities of the saturated liquid and vapor were included in the volumetric measurements. The data for the density of the saturated liquid were extended to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. using a standard volumnometer. The data for the pressure of the saturated vapor were extended to $-41.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. using a Cottrell-type ebulliometer.

The experimental $P-V-T$ data in the high-density region are presented graphically in Figure 1. These data represent the compressed liquid and were not used in the calculation of thermodynamic properties because of the limitations of the BWR equation of state. The $P-V-T$ measurements of the pressure-volume isotherms in the critical region are plotted in Figure 2. The critical constants were determined graphically from large-scale plots of these isotherms. The critical constants are given in Table I.

The $P-V-T$ measurements for 13 of the isotherms in the superheated and saturated vapor region are shown as compressibility factors in Figure 3. The BWR equation

of state represented the experimental data in this region. The equation may be written as

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P=\frac{R T}{V}+\frac{\left(B_{0} R T-\mathrm{A}_{0}-C_{0} / T^{2}\right)}{V^{2}}+\frac{(b R T-a)}{V^{3}}+\frac{a \alpha}{V^{6}}+ \\
\frac{c}{V^{3} T^{2}}\left(1+\frac{\gamma}{V^{2}}\right) \operatorname{exp.}\left(-\gamma / V^{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

Numerical values for the coefficients of the BWR equation of state were determined with the restrictions that the critical isotherm would pass through the critical pressure at the critical volume with a slope of zero. As $\gamma$ is a nonlinear coefficient, the remaining coefficients were determined with arbitrarily chosen values for this coefficient. The accepted set of coefficients was the set with the lowest value for the sum of the absolute percentage deviations that produced a maximum in the critical isotherm at the critical point. The values for the BWR coefficients accepted as a satisfactory representation of the experimental $P-V$. $T$ data are given in Table I. The coefficients represented, where applicable, the experimental data with an average

Table II. Refrigerant 245 Saturated Vapor Pressure

| Temp., ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$; | Pressure, P.S.I.A. |  | Diff. | $c_{c}$ Error | Slope, <br> $\mathrm{d} P / \mathrm{d} T$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exptl. | Calcd. |  |  |  |
| -41.62 | 4.834 | 4.826 | -0.008 | -0.167 | 0.1434 |
| -20.74 | 8.702 | 8.670 | -0.032 | -0.367 | 0.2296 |
| -12.82 | 10.635 | 10.646 | 0.011 | 0.108 | 0.2703 |
| -5.98 | 12.569 | 12.626 | 0.057 | 0.455 | 0.3092 |
| -0.22 | 14.406 | 14.509 | 0.103 | 0.713 | 0.3449 |
| 32 | 29.647 | 29.428 | -0.219 | -0.739 | 0.5964 |
| 50 | 41.908 | 41.755 | -0.153 | -0.365 | 0.7785 |
| 68 | 57.668 | 57.645 | -0.023 | -0.040 | 0.9926 |
| 86 | 77.600 | 77.692 | 0.092 | 0.119 | 1.2407 |
| 104 | 102.29 | 102.53 | 0.232 | 0.226 | 1.5249 |
| 122 | 132.65 | 132.82 | 0.169 | 0.127 | 1.8481 |
| 140 | 169.17 | 169.31 | 0.142 | 0.084 | 2.2143 |
| 158 | 212.85 | 212.83 | -0.019 | -0.009 | 2.6298 |
| 176 | 264.50 | 264.34 | -0.162 | -0.061 | 3.1043 |
| 194 | 325.46 | 325.05 | -0.410 | -0.126 | 3.6570 |
| 212 | 397.09 | 396.75 | -0.343 | -0.086 | 4.3403 |
| 221 | 437.42 | 437.75 | 0.332 | 0.076 | 4.7942 |
| 221.9 | 441.72 | 442.09 | 0.371 | 0.084 | 4.8487 |
| 222.8 | 446.09 | 446.48 | 0.391 | 0.088 | 4.9058 |
| 223.34 | 448.70 | 449.14 | 0.440 | 0.098 | 4.9415 |
| 223.88 | 451.40 | 451.82 | 0.418 | 0.093 | 4.9784 |
| 224.24 | 453.20 | 453.61 | 0.415 | 0.092 | 5.0038 |
| 224.42 | 454.20 | 454.52 | 0.317 | 0.070 | 5.0166 |
| 224.52 | 455.02 | 455.02 | -0.001 | 0.000 | 5.0239 |

Table III. Refrigerant 245 Saturated Liquid Density

| Temp., F. | Density, Lb./Cu. Ft. |  | \% Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Exptl. | Calcd. |  |
| -40 | 85.577 | 85.584 | 0.009 |
| -4 | 82.124 | 82.121 | -0.004 |
| 32 | 78.525 | 78.514 | -0.014 |
| 50 | 76.639 | 76.608 | -0.040 |
| 68 | 74.571 | 74.604 | 0.044 |
| 86 | 72.482 | 72.473 | -0.012 |
| 104 | 70.152 | 70.183 | 0.044 |
| 122 | 67.717 | 67.692 | -0.036 |
| 140 | 64.894 | 64.944 | 0.076 |
| 158 | 61.860 | 61.851 | -0.015 |
| 176 | 58.312 | 58.264 | -0.082 |
| 194 | 53.901 | 53.850 | -0.094 |
| 212 | 47.312 | 47.456 | 0.303 |
| 221 | 41.256 | 41.294 | 0.093 |
| 221.9 | 40.339 | 40.202 | -0.339 |
| 222.8 | 38.964 | 38.824 | -0.360 |
| 223.34 | 37.748 | 37.750 | 0.004 |
| 223.88 | 36.178 | 36.287 | 0.302 |
| 224.24 | 34.552 | 34.769 | 0.628 |
| 224.42 | 33.612 | 33.437 | -0.521 |



Figure 3. Compressibility factors for Refrigerant 245

Table IV. Refrigerant 245 Properties of Saturated Liquid and Vapor

|  | Pressure |  | Volume, Cu. Ft./Lb. |  | Density, Lb./Cu. Ft. |  | Enthalpy, B.T.U./Lb. |  |  | B.T.U./Lb. Deg. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - F. | P.S.I.A. | P.S.I.G. | Liquid | Vapor | Liquid | Vapor | Liquid | Latent | Vapor | Liquid | Vapor |
| -40 | 5.06286 | $19.6131^{\text {a }}$ | 0.011684 | 6.49157 | 85.5842 | 0.15405 | 0.00000 | 75.0580 | 75.0580 | 0.00000 | 0.17885 |
| -30 | 6.74200 | $16.1943^{\text {a }}$ | 0.011816 | 4.96513 | 84.6285 | 0.20140 | 2.77547 | 73.9848 | 76.7603 | 0.00652 | 0.17871 |
| -20 | 8.84126 | $11.9203^{\text {d }}$ | 0.011952 | 3.85118 | 83.6694 | 0.25966 | 5.59998 | 72.8699 | 78.4699 | 0.01301 | 0.17875 |
| -10 | 11.4305 | $6.6485^{\circ}$ | 0.012091 | 3.02573 | 82.7041 | 0.33050 | 8.47241 | 71.7120 | 80.1844 | 0.01946 | 0.17893 |
| 0 | 14.5847 | $0.2266^{\text {a }}$ | 0.012235 | 2.40531 | 81.7298 | 0.41575 | 11.3914 | 70.5099 | 81.9013 | 0.02586 | 0.17925 |
| 10 | 18.3834 | 3.68740 | 0.012385 | 1.93278 | 80.7433 | 0.51739 | 14.3555 | 69.2627 | 83.6181 | 0.03222 | 0.17969 |
| 20 | 22.9104 | 8.21442 | 0.012541 | 1.56844 | 79.7414 | 0.63758 | 17.3629 | 67.9694 | 85.3323 | 0.03853 | 0.18023 |
| 30 | 28.2534 | 13.5574 | 0.012703 | 1.28426 | 78.7207 | 0.77866 | 20.4123 | 66.6290 | 87.0412 | 0.04479 | 0.18086 |
| 40 | 34.5035 | 19.8075 | 0.012874 | 1.06021 | 77.6776 | 0.94321 | 23.5020 | 65.2402 | 88.7422 | 0.05101 | 0.18158 |
| 50 | 41.7551 | 27.0591 | 0.013053 | 0.88179 | 76.6083 | 1.13406 | 26.6307 | 63.8015 | 90.4322 | 0.05717 | 0.18236 |
| 60 | 50.1057 | 35.4097 | 0.013244 | 0.73834 | 75.5085 | 1.35438 | 29.7976 | 62.3107 | 92.1083 | 0.06329 | 0.18319 |
| 70 | 59.6560 | 44.9600 | 0.013446 | 0.62199 | 74.3738 | 1.60774 | 33.0019 | 60.7649 | 93.7669 | 0.06935 | 0.18407 |
| 80 | 70.5096 | 55.8136 | 0.013661 | 0.52682 | 73.1992 | 1.89818 | 36.2438 | 59.1604 | 95.4041 | 0.07536 | 0.18498 |
| 90 | 82.7738 | 68.0778 | 0.013893 | 0.44834 | 71.9793 | 2.23043 | 39.5239 | 57.4919 | 97.1058 | 0.08132 | 0.18592 |
| 100 | 96.5596 | 81.8636 | 0.014143 | 0.38314 | 70.7078 | 2.61001 | 42.8439 | 55.7527 | 98.5965 | 0.08724 | 0.18686 |
| 110 | 111.982 | 97.2861 | 0.014414 | 0.32857 | 69.3777 | 3.04351 | 46.2065 | 53.9340 | 100.140 | 0.09313 | 0.18780 |
| 120 | 129.163 | 114.467 | 0.014710 | 0.28257 | 67.9806 | 3.53899 | 49.6159 | 52.0242 | 101.640 | 0.09898 | 0.18873 |
| 130 | 148.228 | 133.532 | 0.015036 | 0.24352 | 66.5067 | 4.10647 | 53.0779 | 50.0083 | 103.086 | 0.10481 | 0.18962 |
| 140 | 169.314 | 154.618 | 0.015398 | 0.21014 | 64.9435 | 4.75876 | 56.6010 | 47.8661 | 104.467 | 0.11063 | 0.19045 |
| 150 | 192.565 | 177.869 | 0.015804 | 0.18140 | 63.2753 | 5.51273 | 60.1965 | 45.5709 | 105.767 | 0.11647 | 0.19121 |
| 160 | 218.139 | 203.443 | 0.016265 | 0.15646 | 61.4805 | 6.39139 | 63.8798 | 43.0861 | 106.966 | 0.12234 | 0.19187 |
| 170 | 246.213 | 231.517 | 0.016799 | 0.13463 | 59.5288 | 7.42765 | 67.6733 | 40.3599 | 108.033 | 0.12827 | 0.19237 |
| 180 | 276.984 | 262.288 | 0.017430 | 0.11532 | 57.3732 | 8.67159 | 71.6095 | 37.3146 | 108.924 | 0.13432 | 0.19265 |
| 190 | 310.685 | 295.989 | 0.018203 | 0.09798 | 54.9346 | 10.2062 | 75.7399 | 33.8247 | 109.565 | 0.14055 | 0.19262 |
| 200 | 347.608 | 332.912 | 0.019209 | 0.08205 | 52.0593 | 12.1883 | 80.1588 | 29.6580 | 109.817 | 0.14711 | 0.19206 |
| 210 | 388.152 | 373.456 | 0.020673 | 0.06670 | 48.3712 | 14.9923 | 85.0859 | 24.2707 | 109.357 | 0.15429 | 0.19054 |
| 220 | 432.987 | 418.291 | 0.023636 | 0.04946 | 42.3079 | 20.2170 | 91.4534 | 15.3896 | 106.843 | 0.16346 | 0.18610 |
| 224.52 | 455.019 | 440.323 | 0.032649 | 0.03265 | 30.6288 | 30.6288 | 99.5943 | 0.00000 | 99.5943 | 0.17523 | 0.17523 |

${ }^{a}$ Inches of vacuum. ${ }^{b}$ Critical.
absolute deviation of $0.11 \%$. The coefficients were not valid for the experimental data where the volume was less than the critical volume.

Simple models for vapor pressure-temperature relations were unable to represent the vapor pressure data adequately over the entire range. A seven-constant equation proposed by Martin (3) best represented the experimental data. The equation and values for the constants are presented in Table I. The vapor pressure equation described the experimental data with an average absolute deviation of $0.18 \%$. The experimental and calculated values with their deviations and the first derivative are listed in Table II.

The analytical expression used to correlate the saturated liquid density with temperature was of the form proposed by Martin (3). The expression is a polynomial in the function $\left(1-T_{r}\right)^{1 / 3}$, where $T_{r}$ is the reduced temperature. The number of terms in the equation was determined by computing the coefficients with increasing number of terms and comparing the residuals. The experimental data were adequately reproduced with a six-degree polynomial. The equation and values for the constants are shown in Table I. The expression represented the experimental data with an average absolute deviation of $0.15 \%$. The goodness of fit was $0.062 \%$ to within $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. of the critical temperature.


Table V. Refrigerant 245 Properties of Superheated Vapor

| ${ }_{\circ}^{\text {Temp. }}$. | Volume, <br> Cu. Ft./ <br> Lb. | Enthalpy, <br> B.T.U./ <br> Lb. | Entropy, B.T.U./ Lb. Deg. | $C_{p}$, B.T.U./ Lb. Deg |  | Temp., | Volume, <br> Cu. Ft./ <br> Lb. | Enthalpy, B.T.U./ Lb. | Entropy, <br> B.T.U./ <br> Lb. Deg |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. |  |  | Lb. Deg. | Lb. Deg. | $C_{p} / C_{v}$ | ${ }^{\text {F }}$. |  |  | Lb. Deg. | Lb. Deg. | $C_{p} / C_{v}$ |

> Pressure 1.000 P.S.I.A., 27.8851 Inches of Vacuum, Saturation Temp. $-87.74^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

| SAT | 29.5840 | 67.106 | 0.18249 | 0.16680 | 1.1012 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| -50 | 32.6483 | 73.641 | 0.19920 | 0.17829 | 1.0930 |
| 0 | 36.6898 | 82.944 | 0.22060 | 0.19295 | 1.0846 |
| 50 | 40.7188 | 92.956 | 0.24126 | 0.20698 | 1.0780 |
| 100 | 44.7403 | 103.650 | 0.26126 | 0.22035 | 1.0727 |
| 150 | 48.7569 | 114.993 | 0.28066 | 0.23305 | 1.0683 |
| 200 | 52.7702 | 126.952 | 0.29951 | 0.24506 | 1.0647 |
| 250 | 56.7814 | 139.494 | 0.31783 | 0.25638 | 1.0616 |
| 300 | 60.7909 | 152.583 | 0.33565 | 0.26699 | 1.0589 |
| 350 | 64.7992 | 166.184 | 0.35298 | 0.27692 | 1.0567 |
| 400 | 68.8067 | 180.266 | 0.36985 | 0.28619 | 1.0547 |
| 450 | 72.8134 | 194.795 | 0.38628 | 0.29484 | 1.0530 |
| 500 | 76.8197 | 209.741 | 0.40227 | 0.30290 | 1.0515 |
| 550 | 80.8255 | 225.077 | 0.41785 | 0.31040 | 1.0502 |
| 600 | 84.8309 | 240.773 | 0.43302 | 0.31734 | 1.0490 |
| 650 | 88.8361 | 256.802 | 0.44780 | 0.32369 | 1.0480 |
| 700 | 92.8411 | 273.131 | 0.46219 | 0.32935 | 1.0472 |

Pressure 14.696 P.S.I.A., 0.000 P.S.I.G., Saturation Temp. $0.32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

| SAT | 2.38812 | 81.956 | 0.17926 | 0.19410 | 1.1068 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 50 | 2.68658 | 92.159 | 0.20031 | 0.20769 | 1.0914 |
| 100 | 2.97825 | 103.023 | 0.22063 | 0.22088 | 1.0814 |
| 150 | 3.26456 | 114.487 | 0.24024 | 0.23347 | 1.0743 |
| 200 | 3.54738 | 126.534 | 0.25922 | 0.24541 | 1.0689 |
| 250 | 3.82783 | 139.143 | 0.27764 | 0.25667 | 1.0647 |
| 300 | 4.10660 | 152.283 | 0.29553 | 0.26724 | 1.0613 |
| 350 | 4.38416 | 165.926 | 0.31292 | 0.27713 | 1.0586 |
| 400 | 4.66080 | 180.040 | 0.32983 | 0.28638 | 1.0562 |
| 450 | 4.93675 | 194.597 | 0.34628 | 0.29501 | 1.0542 |
| 500 | 5.21216 | 209.566 | 0.36230 | 0.30305 | 1.0525 |
| 550 | 5.48714 | 224.920 | 0.37789 | 0.31054 | 1.0510 |
| 600 | 5.76177 | 240.633 | 0.39308 | 0.31747 | 1.0498 |
| 650 | 6.03613 | 256.675 | 0.40787 | 0.32380 | 1.0486 |
| 700 | 6.31025 | 273.017 | 0.42228 | 0.32946 | 1.0477 |

Pressure 5.000 P.S.I.A., 19.7411 Inches of Vacuum, Saturation Temp. $-40.42^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

| SAT | 6.56788 | 74.986 | 0.17886 | 0.18146 | 1.1002 |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 7.24580 | 82.645 | 0.19629 | 0.19318 | 1.0904 |
| 50 | 8.07283 | 92.728 | 0.21709 | 0.20715 | 1.0817 |
| 100 | 8.89198 | 103.469 | 0.23718 | 0.22049 | 1.0751 |
| 150 | 9.70614 | 114.846 | 0.25664 | 0.23317 | 1.0700 |
| 200 | 10.5170 | 126.831 | 0.27552 | 0.24516 | 1.0659 |
| 250 | 11.3256 | 139.392 | 0.29387 | 0.25646 | 1.0625 |
| 300 | 12.1325 | 152.496 | 0.31171 | 0.26706 | 1.0596 |
| 350 | 12.9383 | 166.109 | 0.32906 | 0.27698 | 1.0572 |
| 400 | 13.7432 | 180.200 | 0.34594 | 0.28624 | 1.0552 |
| 450 | 14.5473 | 194.737 | 0.36238 | 0.29489 | 1.0534 |
| 500 | 15.3510 | 209.690 | 0.37838 | 0.30295 | 1.0518 |
| 550 | 16.1542 | 225.031 | 0.39396 | 0.31044 | 1.0504 |
| 600 | 16.9571 | 240.732 | 0.40914 | 0.31738 | 1.0493 |
| 650 | 17.7597 | 256.765 | 0.42392 | 0.32372 | 1.0482 |
| 700 | 18.5620 | 273.098 | 0.43831 | 0.32938 | 1.0473 |

Pressure 50.000 P.S.I.A., 35.304 P.S.I.G., Saturation Temp. $59.88^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

| SAT | 0.739871 | 92.089 | 0.18318 | 0.21400 | 1.1362 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100 | 0.821719 | 101.283 | 0.20022 | 0.22321 | 1.1113 |
| 150 | 0.917383 | 113.113 | 0.22046 | 0.23501 | 1.0930 |
| 200 | 1.00873 | 125.417 | 0.23985 | 0.24653 | 1.0816 |
| 250 | 1.09730 | 138.213 | 0.25854 | 0.25755 | 1.0738 |
| 300 | 1.18400 | 151.496 | 0.27662 | 0.26796 | 1.0681 |
| 350 | 1.26938 | 165.250 | 0.29415 | 0.27774 | 1.0637 |
| 400 | 1.35377 | 179.453 | 0.31117 | 0.28690 | 1.0603 |
| 450 | 1.43744 | 194.082 | 0.32771 | 0.29547 | 1.0575 |
| 500 | 1.52054 | 209.110 | 0.34379 | 0.30346 | 1.0552 |
| 550 | 1.60321 | 224.515 | 0.35943 | 0.31090 | 1.0533 |
| 600 | 1.68551 | 240.270 | 0.37466 | 0.31779 | 1.0516 |
| 650 | 1.76753 | 256.348 | 0.38949 | 0.32410 | 1.0503 |
| 700 | 1.84932 | 272.721 | 0.40392 | 0.32972 | 1.0491 |

Pressure 10.000 P.S.I.A., 9.5611 Inches of Vacuum, Saturation Temp. $-15.27^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

| SAT | 3.43078 | 79.280 | 0.17882 | 0.18923 | 1.1033 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 3.56382 | 82.263 | 0.18541 | 0.19356 | 1.0984 |
| 50 | 3.99134 | 92.437 | 0.20641 | 0.20741 | 1.0865 |
| 100 | 4.41054 | 103.241 | 0.22661 | 0.22068 | 1.0783 |
| 150 | 4.82458 | 114.662 | 0.24615 | 0.23332 | 1.0722 |
| 200 | 5.23522 | 126.679 | 0.26509 | 0.24529 | 1.0674 |
| 250 | 5.64354 | 139.264 | 0.28347 | 0.25656 | 1.0636 |
| 300 | 6.05020 | 152.386 | 0.30133 | 0.26715 | 1.0605 |
| 350 | 6.45565 | 166.015 | 0.31870 | 0.27706 | 1.0579 |
| 400 | 6.86021 | 180.118 | 0.33560 | 0.28631 | 1.0557 |
| 450 | 7.26407 | 194.665 | 0.35205 | 0.29495 | 1.0538 |
| 500 | 7.6739 | 209.626 | 0.36805 | 0.30300 | 1.0522 |
| 550 | 8.07029 | 224.974 | 0.38364 | 0.31049 | 1.0508 |
| 600 | 8.47284 | 240.681 | 0.39882 | 0.31742 | 1.0495 |
| 650 | 8.87512 | 256.719 | 0.41361 | 0.32376 | 1.0484 |
| 700 | 9.27716 | 273.056 | 0.42801 | 0.32942 | 1.0475 |

Pressure 100.000 P.S.I.A., 85.304 P.S.I.G., Saturation Temp. $102.33^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.
SAT
150
200
250
300
350
400
450
500
550
600
650
700

| 0.369568 | 98.960 | 0.18708 | 0.23174 | 1.1859 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0.425944 | 110.954 | 0.20756 | 0.23902 | 1.1327 |
| 0.478863 | 123.720 | 0.22768 | 0.24895 | 1.1053 |
| 0.528202 | 136.831 | 0.24684 | 0.25920 | 1.0895 |
| 0.575296 | 150.343 | 0.26523 | 0.26920 | 1.0792 |
| 0.620875 | 164.269 | 0.28298 | 0.27873 | 1.0719 |
| 0.665382 | 178.607 | 0.30016 | 0.28772 | 1.0666 |
| 0.709099 | 193.343 | 0.31682 | 0.29616 | 1.0625 |
| 0.752218 | 208.460 | 0.33299 | 0.30406 | 1.0592 |
| 0.794875 | 223.938 | 0.34871 | 0.31143 | 1.0566 |
| 0.837166 | 239.754 | 0.36400 | 0.31826 | 1.0544 |
| 0.879163 | 255.885 | 0.37888 | 0.32452 | 1.0526 |
| 0.920920 | 272.303 | 0.39335 | 0.33011 | 1.0511 |

The experimental and calculated data with their deviations are listed in Table III.

Heat capacity data are conventionally represented analytically as a polynomial function of temperature. The ideal vapor heat capacity data calculated by Angell (1) were correlated as a sixth-degree polynomial function of temperature. The values for the coefficients are given in Table I. The equation represented the heat capacity data with an average absolute deviation of $0.00015 \%$.

The four basic equations were inserted in thermodynamic relations which were differentiated and integrated analytically to obtain the derived thermal quantities. This procedure guaranteed perfect internal consistency and made it possible to interpolate and extrapolate the thermodynamic properties with a great degree of confidence. Details of the calculation have been presented (4).

The thermodynamic properties of the saturated liquid and vapor are listed in Table IV: temperature, pressure, volume, density, enthalpy, and entropy. The latent heat or enthalpy of vaporization is also included. The pressure was calculated at each tabulated temperature, directly from the vapor pressure equation. The volume of the saturated liquid was determined by taking the reciprocal of the density of the saturated liquid obtained from the liquid density equation. The volume of the saturated vapor was calculated from the BWR equation of state using the tabulated temperature and the calculated vapor pressure. The calculation required an iteration procedure and the Newton-Raphson method was used. The density of the saturated vapor was taken as the reciprocal of the volume.

The latent heat or enthalpy of vaporization was calculated from the Clausius-Clapeyron equation.

$$
H_{\text {vap }}=\frac{T\left(V_{g}-V_{1}\right)(\mathrm{d} P / \mathrm{d} T) K}{M}
$$

The enthalpy and entropy of the saturated vapor were derived by the exact integration of their thermodynamic relations utilizing the BWR equation of state and the equation representing the heat capacity of the ideal vapor. The thermodynamic relation used for enthalpy was

$$
\mathrm{d} H=\mathrm{d}(P V)+C_{v} \mathrm{~d} T+\left[\mathrm{T}\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{v}-P\right] \mathrm{d} v
$$

and for the entropy

$$
\mathrm{d} S=\frac{C_{v} \mathrm{~d} T}{T}+\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T}\right)_{v} \mathrm{~d} v
$$

where

$$
C_{v}=C_{p}^{\rho}-R+\int_{a}^{\iota} T\left(\frac{\partial^{2} P}{\partial T^{2}}\right)_{v} \mathrm{~d} v
$$

The derivatives were obtained from the BWR equation of state. The thermodynamic relations for the enthalpy and entropy were integrated in a form that would give values at any desired temperature and pressure with a reference value of zero for the saturated liquid at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$.

The enthalpy of the saturated liquid was obtained by subtracting the enthalpy of vaporization from the enthalpy of the saturated vapor. The Clausius-Clapeyron equation was used without the temperature factor to give the entropy of vaporization which was subtracted from the entropy of the saturated vapor to obtain the entropy of the saturated liquid.

The thermodynamic properties of the superheated vapor are listed in Table V at constant absolute pressures with $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. intervals from the saturation temperature to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The properties listed are temperature, volume, enthalpy, entropy, $C_{p}$, and $C_{p} / C_{v}$.

The volume of the superheated vapor was calculated from the BWR equation of state using the Newton-Raphson method of iteration. The enthalpy and entropy were calculated from the integrated forms of their thermodynamic relations.
The heat capacity of the superheated vapor at constant pressure was calculated from the equation

$$
C_{P}=C_{i}-T\left(\frac{(\partial P / \partial T)_{i}^{2}}{(\partial P / \partial V)_{T}}\right)
$$

and the heat capacity ratio was determined by dividing $C_{p}$ by $C_{v}$.

The thermodynamic properties of the superheated vapor have been calculated for 222 pressures representing ranges from 0.1 to 1000 p.s.i.a. at approximately equal log-pressure intervals. These tables are available upon request from Union Carbide Corp., Olefins Division, 270 Park Ave., New York, N. Y.

A large-scale pressure-enthalpy chart has been con-
structed presenting the data covered by the tables. The chart grid is 24 by 38 inches. This chart presents lines of constant temperature, volume, and entropy with logpressure and enthalpy as ordinates. The chart was printed in four colors to facilitate its usage. This chart, shown in reduced form in Figure 4, is available upon request.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks R. I. Gray, Union Carbide Research Institute, for integrating the enthalpy and entropy relations in a form that could easily be programmed for a digital computer. Also, thanks are due to R. R. Paxton and B. L. Westfall, Union Carbide Technical Center, for assistance in compiling the $P-V-T$ data.

## NOMENCLATURE

$A_{0}, B_{0}, C_{0}, a, b, c, \alpha, \gamma=$ constants of the BWR equation of state $A, B, C, D, E, F, G=$ constants of the vapor pressure equation
$A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, A_{4}, A_{5}, A_{6}=$ constants of the saturated liquid density equation
$a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}, a_{6}=$ constants of the heat capacity equation for the ideal gas
$C_{p}^{u}=$ molar heat capacity of the ideal gas at constant pressure, B.t.u./lb. mole ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$.).
$C_{p}=$ molar heat capacity of the real gas at constant pressure, B.t.u./lb. mole ( ${ }^{\circ}$ R.).
$C_{1}=$ molar heat capacity of the real gas at constant volume, B.t.u./lb. mole ( ${ }^{\circ}$ R.).
$\mathrm{d} P / \mathrm{d} T=$ slope of the vapor pressure function, p.s.i. $/{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$.
$H=$ enthalpy, B.t.u. $/ \mathrm{lb}$.
$H_{\text {vap }}=$ latent heat or enthalpy of vaporization, B.t.u./lb.
$K=$ conversion factor for work units
$M=$ molecular weight
$P=$ pressure, p.s.i.a.
$R=$ gas constant
$S=$ entropy, B.t.u. $/ \mathrm{lb} .\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ R.).
$T=$ absolute temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{R}$.
$T_{r}=$ reduced temperature
$V=$ molar volume of superheated vapor, cu. ft./lb. mole
$V_{g}=$ molar volume of saturated vapor, cu. ft./lb. mole
$V_{1}=$ molar volume of saturated liquid, cu. ft./ lb. mole
$\rho_{c}=$ critical density, lb./cu. ft.
$\rho_{s}=$ saturated liquid density, $\mathrm{lb} . / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft}$.
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