



Figure 1. Molar volumes of molten CsCl-ZnCl₂ mixtures as functions of composition
Each curve is labeled with the temperature in ° C.

taken from the literature (11), were extrapolated below the melting point where necessary. The excess molar volume is large and positive near 40 mole % ZnCl₂ and small and negative near pure ZnCl₂. The general shape of the molar volume curve is similar to that for KCl-ZnCl₂ (10) and KCl-MgCl₂ mixtures (12).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are grateful to C. R. Boston for technical advice and to W. M. Ewing for help with the experiments.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Irish, D.E., Young, T.F., *J. Chem. Phys.* **43**, 1765 (1965).
- (2) Moyer, J.R., Evans, J.C., Lo, G. Y-S., *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **113**, 158 (1966).
- (3) Angell, C.A., Gruen, D.M., *J. Phys. Chem.* **70**, 1601 (1966).
- (4) Bjerrum, N.J., Boston, C.R., Smith, G.P., *Inorg. Chem.* **6**, 1162 (1967).
- (5) Bjerrum, N.J., Smith, G.P., *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Letters* **3**, 165 (1967).
- (6) Smith, G.P., Peterson, G.F., *J. CHEM. ENG. DATA* **6**, 493 (1961).
- (7) Boston, C.R., *Ibid.*, **11**, 262 (1962).
- (8) Wachter, A., Hildebrand, J.H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **52**, 4655 (1930).
- (9) Mackenzie, J.D., Murphy, W.K., *J. Chem. Phys.* **33**, 366 (1960).
- (10) Duke, F.R., Fleming, R.A., *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **104**, 251 (1957).
- (11) Yaffe, I.S., VanArtsdalen, E.R., *J. Phys. Chem.* **60**, 1125 (1956).
- (12) Reding, J.N., *J. CHEM. ENG. DATA* **10**, 1 (1965).

RECEIVED for review August 7, 1967. Accepted October 24, 1967. Research sponsored by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission under contract with the Union Carbide Corp.

Temperature Dependence of Conductances and Viscosities for Some Moderately Concentrated Nonaqueous Electrolytic Solutions

PAUL G. SEARS and LYLE R. DAWSON

Department of Chemistry, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Ky. 40506

Conductance, viscosity, and density data at 10° intervals between -50° and 20° C. are reported for 28 nonaqueous electrolytic solutions ranging in concentration from 0.05 to 4.7 molal. Results are summarized also for the fitting of these data as a function of temperature to appropriate polynomial equations by digital computer methods. Conductance-viscosity products and activation energies of conductance and viscous flow are discussed.

THREE articles originating from this laboratory in 1955 described qualitatively and graphically the conductimetric and viscometric behavior of moderately concentrated solutions for three nonaqueous systems over the temperature range of -50° to 20° C. (1, 9, 10). These earlier investigations have yielded corresponding conductance and viscosity data at 10° intervals for eight to 10 solutions each of potassium thiocyanate in methanol, lithium perchlorate in a 50 to 50 weight % mixture of methanol and acetone, and lithium bromide also in the latter medium. Digital computer methods recently have been used to evaluate quantitatively these extensive experimental data in view of more appropriate conductance-temperature and viscosity-temperature relationships. Hence, the objectives of this article are to provide the original publication of the experimental data and to summarize the results of the recent quantitative calculations associated with them.

EXPERIMENTAL

The experimental equipment and procedures have been described thoroughly in the related previous articles (1, 9, 10). An IBM-360 digital computer has been used for the fitting of data by the method of least squares to various polynomial equations. The experimental conductance, viscosity, and density data are compiled in Table I. Densities were used in the calculation of absolute viscosities and in converting concentrations from a weight to volume basis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

For nonassociated liquids and very dilute solutions of electrolytes in such media, viscous flow and conductance as rate processes can be related to the following equations (3):

Table I. Summary of Molar Conductance, Experimental Viscosity, and Density Data

Molality	Temperature, ° C.							
	-50°	-40°	-30°	-20°	-10°	0°	10°	20°
MOLAR CONDUCTANCES, OHM ⁻¹ CM ² MOLE ⁻¹								
KSCN in Methanol								
0.0482	20.9	26.7	33.0	40.2	48.3	56.2	65.1	74.8
0.111	18.7	23.9	29.6	35.9	43.2	50.1	57.9	66.3
0.329	15.0	19.3	24.2	29.5	35.3	41.5	48.2	55.2
0.498	13.4	17.3	21.8	26.7	32.1	37.8	44.0	50.6
0.777	11.4	14.9	18.9	23.4	28.3	33.3	39.3	45.4
1.07	9.93	13.2	16.8	21.0	25.6	30.5	35.8	41.5
1.60	7.93	10.8	14.0	17.7	21.8	26.2	31.0	36.1
2.18	6.38	8.91	11.8	15.1	18.8	22.8	27.1	31.8
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.0910	22.9	27.7	32.9	38.3	43.9	49.7	56.4	63.0
0.251	20.3	24.6	29.3	34.2	39.4	44.5	50.6	55.8
0.512	15.3	18.8	22.6	26.7	30.9	35.1	39.9	44.4
0.791	12.3	15.4	18.8	22.2	25.9	29.9	33.9	38.7
1.04	10.7	13.5	16.6	19.9	23.5	27.1	31.3	35.1
1.64	7.21	9.44	11.9	14.6	17.6	20.7	24.0	27.5
2.16	5.22	7.04	9.25	11.7	14.4	17.3	20.2	23.4
2.77	3.76	5.38	7.19	9.28	11.6	14.0	16.7	19.5
3.68	2.41	3.60	5.12	6.83	8.79	10.9	13.2	15.8
4.71	1.62	2.58	3.77	5.20	6.83	8.69	10.7	12.7
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.265	14.1	17.3	20.9	24.7	28.8	33.1	37.3	42.1
0.388	11.4	14.2	17.2	20.5	24.1	27.8	31.7	35.9
0.777	7.85	9.95	12.2	14.6	17.2	20.1	23.1	26.1
1.05	5.84	7.43	9.23	11.2	13.5	15.8	18.3	21.0
1.53	3.87	5.02	6.33	7.86	9.54	11.3	13.3	15.5
2.16	2.63	3.46	4.41	5.52	6.74	8.03	9.46	11.0
2.51	1.80	2.45	3.22	4.12	5.14	6.24	7.52	8.91
3.10	1.19	1.68	2.25	2.94	3.74	4.65	5.67	6.81
3.56	0.760	1.12	1.56	2.10	2.73	3.44	4.30	5.18
4.14	0.438	0.686	1.00	1.40	1.88	2.44	3.15	3.83
VISCOSITIES, CENTIPOISES								
KSCN in Methanol								
0.0482	2.24	1.75	1.41	1.15	0.948	0.808	0.692	0.597
0.111	2.32	1.81	1.45	1.18	0.978	0.833	0.711	0.614
0.329	2.64	2.04	1.62	1.31	1.09	0.913	0.773	0.670
0.498	2.87	2.20	1.74	1.40	1.15	0.974	0.819	0.704
0.777	3.29	2.48	1.94	1.55	1.26	1.05	0.890	0.764
1.07	3.73	2.77	2.14	1.70	1.38	1.14	0.961	0.815
1.60	4.68	3.38	2.55	1.99	1.60	1.32	1.09	0.925
2.18	"	4.14	3.07	2.35	1.86	1.51	1.25	1.05
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.0910	1.26	1.03	0.852	0.721	0.620	0.540	0.475	0.421
0.251	1.43	1.16	0.945	0.804	0.685	0.595	0.519	0.458
0.512	1.76	1.40	1.14	0.947	0.800	0.689	0.599	0.523
0.791	2.15	1.70	1.36	1.12	0.939	0.800	0.686	0.597
1.04	2.59	2.00	1.58	1.29	1.08	0.909	0.778	0.669
1.64	3.94	2.93	2.24	1.78	1.44	1.20	1.00	0.853
2.16	5.88	4.20	3.09	2.36	1.88	1.52	1.25	1.06
2.77	8.43	5.76	4.15	3.14	2.42	1.95	1.61	1.32
3.68	14.1	9.05	6.19	4.50	3.39	2.64	2.09	1.72
4.71	22.8	13.9	9.07	6.37	4.69	3.55	2.75	2.24
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.265	1.53	1.23	1.02	0.849	0.722	0.630	0.549	0.487
0.388	1.82	1.44	1.18	0.981	0.830	0.712	0.616	0.539
0.777	2.23	1.76	1.43	1.18	0.992	0.842	0.726	0.629
1.05	2.90	2.26	1.81	1.47	1.22	1.03	0.884	0.763

(Continued on page 126)

Table I. Summary of Molar Conductance, Experimental Viscosity, and Density Data (Continued)

Molality	Temperature, °C.							
	-50°	-40°	-30°	-20°	-10°	0°	10°	20°
VISCOITIES, CENTIPOISES								
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
1.53	4.26	3.23	2.54	2.03	1.66	1.39	1.18	1.00
2.16	6.30	4.73	3.61	2.85	2.30	1.89	1.58	1.32
2.51	10.1	7.15	5.28	4.05	3.21	2.59	2.14	1.78
3.10	17.8	12.1	8.59	6.43	4.95	3.90	3.13	2.57
3.56	31.7	20.5	13.9	9.95	7.47	5.79	4.48	3.69
4.14	67.6	40.6	25.5	17.2	12.3	9.23	7.04	5.45
DENSITIES, GRAMS CM. ⁻³ × 10 ⁴								
KSCN in Methanol								
0.0482	8604	8509	8419	8321	8217	8123	8031	7938
0.111	8628	8535	8441	8346	8252	8161	8068	7979
0.329	8742	8643	8549	8457	8367	8276	8183	8091
0.498	8823	8730	8637	8545	8458	8367	8287	8196
0.777	8966	8872	8780	8690	8596	8507	8417	8325
1.07	9098	9006	8914	8826	8738	8647	8557	8468
1.60	9342	9251	9160	9070	8979	8890	8801	8712
2.18	9484	9394	9306	9217	9127	9039	8950	8861
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.0910	8710	8612	8509	8409	8311	8210	8112	8006
0.251	8804	8703	8606	8506	8405	8306	8208	8104
0.512	8943	8847	8745	8647	8548	8454	8353	8255
0.791	9114	9011	8909	8815	8721	8621	8523	8427
1.04	9216	9123	9025	8927	8827	8735	8639	8540
1.64	9492	9396	9302	9206	9111	9016	8920	8822
2.16	9735	9642	9547	9456	9360	9268	9176	9083
2.77	10040	9948	9854	9764	9674	9582	9491	9402
3.68	10341	10258	10168	10072	9975	9885	9794	9709
4.71	10707	10611	10521	10422	10325	10239	10148	10057
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.265	8844	8742	8647	8543	8445	8349	8248	8148
0.388	8931	8830	8738	8641	8541	8444	8343	8245
0.777	9111	9018	8921	8821	8727	8632	8532	8437
1.05	9322	9234	9136	9039	8939	8840	8748	8651
1.53	9620	9528	9431	9333	9241	9143	9048	8952
2.16	9927	9830	9736	9642	9546	9454	9360	9264
2.51	10183	10096	9990	9906	9810	9715	9617	9532
3.10	10516	10414	10318	10227	10129	10041	9952	9861
3.56	10785	10690	10598	10509	10414	10324	10229	10150
4.14	11102	11018	10918	10826	10730	10644	10548	10463

^a Some solid phase also present.

$$\eta = A \exp(B/RT) \quad (1)$$

$$\Lambda = A' \exp(-B'/RT) \quad (2)$$

Equations 1 and 2 may be expressed also in a familiar logarithmic form:

$$\log \eta = \log A + \frac{B}{2.303R} \frac{1}{T} \quad (3)$$

$$\log \Lambda = \log A' - \frac{B'}{2.303R} \frac{1}{T} \quad (4)$$

In the application of Equations 3 and 4, where $\log \eta$ or $\log \Lambda$ is a linear function of $1/T$, B or B' is constant and considered as the activation energy of viscous flow, E_{vis} , or the activation energy of conductance or ion mobility, E_{con} .

$$E_{\text{vis}} = B = 2.303R \frac{d(\log \eta)}{d(1/T)} \quad (5)$$

$$E_{\text{con}} = B' = 2.303R \frac{d(\log \Lambda)}{d(1/T)} \quad (6)$$

For associated liquids or for nondilute electrolytic solutions, however, plots of $\log \eta$ or $\log \Lambda$ vs. $1/T$ are nonlinear. In these types of systems, B or B' is not constant but a function of temperature. Equations 1 and 2 consequently may be modified appropriately to account for this:

$$\eta = D \exp\left(\frac{F + G/T}{RT}\right) \quad (7)$$

$$\Lambda = D' \exp\left(\frac{-F' - G'/T}{RT}\right) \quad (8)$$

Equations 7 and 8 in logarithmic form may be written:

$$\log \eta = \alpha + \frac{\beta}{T} + \frac{\gamma}{T^2} \quad (9)$$

$$\log \Lambda = \alpha' + \frac{\beta'}{T} + \frac{\gamma'}{T^2} \quad (10)$$

Equation 9 is known as the Girifalco equation (2, 7) which upon differentiation with respect to $1/T$ provides a means for calculating the activation energy of viscous flow as a function of temperature (8):

$$E_{\text{vis}} = 2.303R \frac{d(\log \eta)}{d(1/T)} = 2.303R[\beta + (2\gamma/T)] \quad (11)$$

An expression for E_{con} can be obtained in a logical parallel manner.

$$E_{\text{con}} = 2.303R \frac{d(\log \Lambda)}{d(1/T)} = 2.303R[\beta' + (2\gamma'/T)] \quad (12)$$

For the moderately concentrated solutions under consideration, plots of $\log \eta$ or $\log \Lambda$ vs. $1/T$ were nonlinear with the deviation from linearity becoming more pronounced with increasing concentration of solute. Consequently, analysis of the experimental data in Table I in terms of Equations 9 and 10, respectively, appeared both appropriate and desirable. The results are summarized in Tables II and III. The percentages of deviation between calculated and observed values for both viscosities and conductances are uniformly low reflecting the excellent representation of the data by Equations 9 and 10.

Values of E_{vis} and E_{con} at 20° C. calculated using Equations 11 and 12 also are presented in Tables II and III. Evidently, the values of E_{vis} or E_{con} continuously increase with increasing solute concentration for each system. E_{vis} is always greater than the corresponding E_{con} . For each solution the difference decreases with decreasing temperature; also, for each system at a fixed temperature the difference increases with increasing concentration of electrolyte.

The conductance-viscosity products are summarized in Table IV. For each of the 28 solutions, the $\Lambda\eta$ -product decreases continuously with increasing temperature. Also, quite simply, the logarithm of the $\Lambda\eta$ -product is a linear function of $1/T$.

$$\log \Lambda\eta = H + (J/T) \quad (13)$$

The results for fitting the data in Table IV to Equation 13 are summarized in Table V. In general, agreement between calculated and observed products is very good. Equation 13 appears to be a versatile, general relationship which applies also to molten salt systems (5). For infinitely dilute solutions of electrolytes the $\Lambda\eta$ -product is approximately constant and therefore practically independent of temperature (4).

The data in Table IV show that the $\Lambda\eta$ -product for each system at each temperature tends to approach or pass through a minimum value as the concentration of

Table II. Summary of Results for Conductance Data in Table I Fitted to Equations 10 and 12

Molality	α'	$-\beta'$	$-\gamma'$	(% Dev.) ^a 10		E_{con} , at 293° K., Cal./Mole	Std. Dev. in E_{con} , Cal./Mole
				Mean	Max.		
KSCN in Methanol							
0.0482	3.1036	242.28	34736	3	6	2193	30
0.111	2.9286	181.68	41953	3	7	2141	32
0.329	2.8488	169.77	45399	1	2	2194	12
0.498	2.8352	174.34	46157	1	2	2239	13
0.777	2.8096	168.82	49606	1	1	2321	7
1.07	2.6954	115.63	58746	2	4	2363	19
1.60	2.4756	7.59	76749	2	5	2431	23
2.18	2.2057	-130.22	98747	3	5	2487	29
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone							
0.0910	2.9540	285.67	15592	3	7	1793	33
0.251	2.7183	187.99	28314	2	7	1744	32
0.512	2.5237	123.23	39183	2	5	1787	22
0.791	2.6050	176.42	35991	5	8	1931	51
1.04	2.4801	114.84	46609	2	5	1980	27
1.64	2.2948	29.47	64943	2	3	2162	16
2.16	1.9741	-152.22	96607	2	5	2319	28
2.77	1.5492	-370.67	131110	4	8	2397	45
3.68	1.2393	-555.50	166616	4	8	2659	49
4.71	0.6210	-883.63	217577	4	7	2749	41
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone							
0.265	2.6877	211.55	29432	2	4	1887	21
0.388	2.7090	242.31	28180	1	2	1988	13
0.777	2.5042	190.98	37461	3	5	2043	29
1.05	2.6345	281.89	30138	2	5	2231	23
1.53	2.6742	338.71	28320	2	4	2434	24
2.16	2.3197	218.09	45949	1	3	2432	17
2.51	2.3832	247.59	50695	2	5	2715	22
3.10	2.3414	241.90	58781	2	6	2942	27
3.56	1.9360	38.65	93666	3	6	3101	34
4.14	1.6750	-107.09	125082	5	12	3415	60

$$^a \% \text{ Dev.} = \frac{(\Lambda_{\text{calcd.}} - \Lambda_{\text{obsd}})}{\Lambda_{\text{obsd}}} 100.$$

Table III. Summary of Results for Viscosity Data in Table I Fitted to Equations 9 and 11

Molality	$-\alpha$	β	γ	(% Dev.) ^a 10		E_{vis} , at 293° K., Cal./Mole	Std. Dev. in E_{vis} , Cal./Mole
				Mean	Max.		
KSCN in Methanol							
0.0482	1.7551	382.72	19439	3	7	2358	33
0.111	1.6790	347.97	24161	2	5	2347	25
0.329	1.6951	361.52	24717	2	4	2426	25
0.498	1.6575	344.49	28441	2	9	2464	36
0.777	1.4479	236.02	45181	2	3	2490	17
1.07	1.4490	235.29	48059	3	4	2577	27
1.60	1.1521	79.64	72898	4	10	2640	46
2.18	1.0752	38.60	82981	1	2	2767	21
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone							
0.0910	1.5723	279.74	20886	2	3	1932	16
0.251	1.5754	288.12	21880	3	10	2001	42
0.512	1.5438	278.81	26868	2	3	2115	21
0.791	1.6380	334.62	23469	2	4	2264	24
1.04	1.4932	266.49	35414	4	7	2325	45
1.64	1.3538	192.50	54080	3	8	2569	36
2.16	1.0121	5.12	87610	3	5	2758	33
2.77	0.7241	-130.67	111249	4	11	2875	62
3.68	0.3930	-322.87	148755	5	8	3166	50
4.71	0.0340	-516.78	184546	5	10	3396	63
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone							
0.265	1.4643	240.68	28418	3	6	1988	32
0.388	1.6331	330.94	20354	2	5	2150	27
0.777	1.7648	418.28	11845	2	3	2284	20
1.05	1.5880	347.11	24660	1	3	2358	16
1.53	1.4876	323.82	33123	3	6	2516	36
2.16	1.6235	420.47	26829	3	6	2762	38
2.51	0.9494	87.10	77794	4	6	2827	38
3.10	0.9076	85.43	88326	4	8	3148	43
3.56	0.4315	-149.90	129674	5	12	3362	67
4.14	0.0555	-363.37	174997	8	13	3800	86

^a % Dev. = $\frac{(\eta_{calcd} - \eta_{obsd})}{\eta_{obsd}} \cdot 100$.

Table IV. Summary of Conductance-Viscosity Product Data

Molality	Conductance-Viscosity Product (Ohm ⁻¹ Cm. ² Mole ⁻¹ Centipoise)							
	-50°	-40°	-30°	-20°	-10°	0°	10°	20°
KSCN in Methanol								
0.0482	46.8	46.7	46.5	46.2	45.8	45.4	45.1	44.7
0.111	43.4	43.3	42.9	42.4	42.3	41.7	41.2	40.7
0.329	39.6	39.3	39.2	38.6	38.5	37.9	37.3	37.0
0.498	38.5	38.1	37.9	37.4	36.9	36.8	36.0	35.6
0.777	37.5	37.0	36.7	36.3	35.7	35.3	35.0	34.7
1.07	37.0	36.6	36.0	35.7	35.3	34.8	34.4	33.8
1.60	37.1	36.5	35.7	35.2	34.9	34.6	33.8	33.4
2.18	...	36.9	36.2	35.5	35.0	34.4	33.9	33.4
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.0910	28.9	28.5	28.0	27.6	27.2	26.8	26.8	26.5
0.251	29.0	28.5	27.7	27.5	27.0	26.5	26.3	25.6
0.512	26.9	26.3	25.8	25.3	24.7	24.2	23.9	23.2
0.791	26.5	26.2	25.6	24.9	24.3	23.9	23.3	23.1
1.04	27.7	27.0	26.2	25.7	25.4	24.6	24.4	23.5
1.64	28.4	27.7	26.7	26.0	25.3	24.8	24.0	23.5
2.16	30.7	29.6	28.6	27.6	27.1	26.3	25.3	24.8
2.77	31.7	31.0	29.8	29.1	28.1	27.3	26.9	25.7
3.68	34.0	32.6	31.7	30.7	29.8	28.8	27.6	27.2
4.71	36.9	35.9	34.2	33.1	32.0	30.9	29.4	28.5
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone								
0.265	21.6	21.3	21.3	21.0	20.8	20.8	20.5	20.5
0.388	20.8	20.5	20.3	20.1	20.0	19.8	19.5	19.3
0.777	17.5	17.5	17.4	17.2	17.1	16.9	16.8	16.4
1.05	16.9	16.8	16.7	16.5	16.5	16.3	16.2	16.0
1.53	16.5	16.2	16.1	16.0	15.8	15.7	15.7	15.5
2.16	16.6	16.4	15.9	15.7	15.5	15.2	15.0	14.5
2.51	18.2	17.5	17.0	16.7	16.5	16.2	16.1	15.9
3.10	21.2	20.3	19.3	18.9	18.5	18.1	17.8	17.5
3.56	24.1	23.0	21.7	20.9	20.4	19.9	19.3	19.1
4.14	29.6	27.9	25.5	24.1	23.1	22.5	22.2	20.9

Table V. Summary of Results for Conductance-Viscosity Data in Table IV Fitted to Equation 13

Molality	G	H	$\frac{(\Delta\eta)_{\text{calcd}} - (\Delta\eta)_{\text{obsd}}}{(\Delta\eta)_{\text{obsd}}} 100$	
			Mean	Max.
KSCN in Methanol				
0.0482	1.5481	19.91	0.3	0.7
0.111	1.5237	26.05	0.5	0.7
0.329	1.4738	28.32	0.5	0.9
0.498	1.4507	30.53	0.5	0.8
0.777	1.4300	32.32	0.2	0.4
1.07	1.4109	35.46	0.3	0.6
1.60	1.3828	41.70	0.3	0.8
2.18	1.3562	49.23	0.1	0.2
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone				
0.0910	1.3003	35.76	0.3	0.6
0.251	1.2421	49.45	0.4	0.7
0.512	1.1695	58.56	0.3	0.8
0.791	1.1597	59.58	0.5	1.0
1.04	1.1592	63.45	0.4	1.1
1.64	1.1083	77.45	0.4	0.8
2.16	1.1005	86.43	0.4	0.8
2.77	1.1309	83.51	0.6	1.2
3.68	1.1217	91.80	0.6	1.2
4.71	1.0956	106.57	0.8	1.3
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone				
0.265	1.2389	21.15	0.4	0.7
0.388	1.1962	27.02	0.2	0.5
0.777	1.1336	25.50	0.6	1.2
1.05	1.1304	22.14	0.2	0.5
1.53	1.1125	22.99	0.2	0.4
2.16	0.9906	51.71	0.6	1.2
2.51	1.0162	53.12	0.6	1.2
3.10	0.9789	76.33	0.7	1.5
3.56	0.9498	95.26	0.9	1.5
4.14	0.8505	137.09	1.5	2.6

Table VI. Summary of Results for Density Data Fitted to Equation 14

Molality	$a \times 10^4$	$b \times 10^7$	(% Deviation) 100	
			Mean	Max.
KSCN in Methanol				
0.0482	10742	9576	3	7
0.111	10702	9300	2	4
0.329	10800	9245	2	6
0.498	10811	8930	4	6
0.777	11003	9137	1	3
1.07	11100	8981	1	3
1.60	11349	9001	1	2
2.18	11445	8421	4	9
LiClO ₄ in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone				
0.0910	10949	10027	2	4
0.251	11029	9971	1	3
0.512	11137	9831	1	3
0.791	11290	9770	2	6
1.04	11375	9669	2	4
1.64	11624	9551	1	2
2.16	11814	9318	1	2
2.77	12073	9115	1	2
3.69	12389	9155	3	5
4.71	12778	9295	3	7
LiBr in 50-50 Methanol-Acetone				
0.265	11057	9921	2	3
0.388	11115	9785	2	4
0.777	11267	9654	1	3
1.05	11483	9661	3	5
1.53	11756	9562	1	2
2.16	12033	9444	1	2
2.51	12276	9373	3	7
3.10	12587	9314	4	8
3.56	12819	9127	3	7
4.14	13160	9215	3	7

electrolyte increases. This type of behavior has been observed in other related investigations (6, 11) although the minima are observed to occur at somewhat higher concentrations in this study.

The density data for each solution as a function of temperature may be described by the usual type of linear equation:

$$d = a - bT \quad (14)$$

The results for fitting the data in Table I to Equation 14 are summarized in Table VI. The agreement between calculated and observed values is exceptionally good.

NOMENCLATURE

Λ	= molar conductance in $\text{ohm}^{-1} \text{cm}^2 \text{mole}^{-1}$
η	= viscosity in centipoises
d	= density in g. cm^{-3}
T	= temperature in $^\circ \text{K}$.
E_{vis}	= activation energy of viscous flow in cal. mole^{-1}
E_{con}	= activation energy of conductance in cal. mole^{-1}
log	= logarithm to base 10
exp	= notation that following term in parentheses is exponential power of base e
R	= molar gas constant, $1.987 \text{ cal. mole}^{-1} \text{ deg.}^{-1}$
a, b	= constants in Equation 14
A, B	= constants in Equations 1 and 3
A', B'	= constants in Equations 2 and 4
F, G	= constants in Equation 7
F', G'	= constants in Equation 8
H, J	= constants in Equation 13
α, β, γ	= constants in Equation 9
α', β', γ'	= constants in Equation 10

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their appreciation to the University of Kentucky Computing Center for use of its IBM-360 computer and to Donald E. Sands for his assistance with computer programs.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Dawson, L. R., Hagstrom, R. A., Sears, P. G., *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **102**, 341 (1955).
- (2) Girifalco, L. A., *J. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 2446 (1955).
- (3) Glasstone, S., Laidler, K. J., Eyring, H., "The Theory of Rate Processes," 1st ed., pp. 480-516, 552-75, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1941.
- (4) Harned, H. S., Owen, B. B., "The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions," 2nd ed., pp. 183-6, Reinhold, New York, 1950.
- (5) Kenausis, L. C., Evers, E. C., Kraus, C. A., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **49**, 141 (1963).
- (6) Longo, F.R., Kerstetter, J.D., Kumosinski, T.F., Evers, E.C., *J. Phys. Chem.* **70**, 431 (1966).
- (7) Misra, B. N., Varshni, Y. P., *J. CHEM. ENG. DATA* **6**, 194 (1961).
- (8) Moore, D. W., Burkardt, L. A., McEwan, W. S., *J. Chem. Phys.* **25**, 1235 (1956).
- (9) Sears, P. G., Holmes, R. R., Dawson, L. R., *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **102**, 145 (1955).
- (10) Sears, P. G., Wharton, W. W., Dawson, L. R., *Ibid.*, **102**, 430 (1955).
- (11) Seward, R. P., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **73**, 515 (1951).

RECEIVED for review August 11, 1967. Accepted October 24, 1967.