# **Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium of Methane-Ethane-Propane System at Low Temperatures and High Pressures**

# **IVAN WICHTERLE' and RlKl KOBAYASHl2**

**Rice University, Department** of **Chemical Engineering, Houston, Tex. 77001** 

**Measurements of 49 ternary equilibrium phase concentrations from** *0.00001-0.99999*  mol fraction were made at  $-75^{\circ}$  to  $-175^{\circ}$ F in 25° intervals for various fixed pres**sures from 32-875 psia. These data with previous binary methane-ethane and**  methane-propane data completely define the ternary from  $-75^{\circ}$  to  $-225^{\circ}$ F. A **simple linear relationship describes the system, except at the highest (800,700) pres**sures at the highest (-75°F, -100°F) temperatures.

Current low-temperature operation of chemical industrial processes led to this study, which showed that the older data  $(4)$  on this system were valid at  $0^{\circ}$  and  $-50^{\circ}$ F but were erroneous at lower temperatures. Improvements in sampling and analytical methods have made possible a more precise evaluation of this region of very low to very high mole fractions.

**<sup>1</sup>**Present address, Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague-6-Suchdol-2, Czechoslovakia.

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.



**Figure 1. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethane**propane system at  $-75^{\circ}$ F



#### **EXPERIMENTAL**

The vapor recycle equilibrium cell has been described *(S),*  with modifications for this study given in detail elsewhere (6, **7).** Individual components were charged in order of increasing vapor pressure, and the final pressure was set by addition of the most volatile component (methane) to the equilibrium cell.

Sampling and analytical improvements **(7)** have made possible measurement of mole fractions from 0.00001-0.99999 mol fraction. The error analysis given for a binary system  $(6, 7)$ can be applied also to the ternary mixtures. An exact determination of the error due to the concentration is not possible because of complexity, but the total error in the K-values is estimated as twice that for the binary systems, which gives a mean **2%** deviation in the K-values.

Material Used. "Ultra high purity" methane, purchased from Matheson Gas Products Co., was reported to analyze at least  $99.97\%$  methane, with the total amount of major impurities as 105 ppm. The gas was purified by a molecular



**Figure 2. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethane**propane system at  $-100^{\circ}$ F

**Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, Vol. 17, No. 1, 1972 13** 



**Figure 3. K-value vs. composition for the mcthane-cthane**propane system at  $-125^\circ$ F



Figure 4. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethane<br>propane system at -150°F



Figure 5. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethanepropane system at  $-175^\circ F$ 

sieve purifier to remove water, oil, and particles down to approximately  $12 \mu$  in size.

Research-grade ethane was donated by Phillips Petroleum Co. It was used without further purification. Researchgrade 99.99yo propane was also donated by Phillips Petroleum *Go.* and was used without additional purification.

No impurities in the three gases were detected by the investigator's gas chromatograph. The gases were from the same samples used in the earlier studies of binary systems **(7,8).** 

### **RESULTS**

Starting mixtures were prepared at near-infinite dilution concentrations, since these are important for extrapolation. The earlier methane binary data, interpolated where necessary, determined four end points of the ternary at each temperature and pressure. Mixtures in the midconcentration range were measured to cover the entire range.

Pressure and temperature are sufficient to define a binary system; but, as governed by the Phase Rule, a ternary system has an additional degree of freedom. Triangular composition diagrams are not suitable for this system, since the components have a wide range of relative volatilities. Thus, one of the phase compositions must be selected which will give a reasonable representation of the results. The mole fraction of the ethane in the liquid phase was selected, for the work of Price and Kobayashi *(4)* had shown this to be a suitable variable. This was also useful for comparison purposes and to establish experimental conditions for this investigation.



**Figure 6. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethane**propane system at  $-200^{\circ}$ F based only on binary system data

Results of this study are presented as K-values vs. mole fraction of ethane in the liquid phase in Figures 1 through 5. The lines in these Figures are identified by a combination of a letter and number corresponding to the component and the pressure in psia, respectively  $(M = \text{methane}, E = \text{ethane}, P = \text{pro-}$ pane). Dashed lines represent (a) the limiting binary K-value loci for the methane and ethane system, and (b) a locus of the K-values of propane at infinite dilution in the methane-ethane mixtures.

Only the results at 800 and 875 psia at  $-75^{\circ}$ F and 725 psia at  $-100^{\circ}$ F, exhibit nonlinear behavior of log K vs. the mole



**Figure 7. K-value vs. composition for the methane-ethanepropane system at- 225°F based only on binary system data** 

fraction of ethane in the liquid phase. All other data show that the dependence of logarithm of all three K-values vs. the mole fraction of ethane in the liquid phase is linear. In addition, for most cases (especially for lower pressures) the K-value is essentially independent of the mole fraction of ethane in the liquid phase. These observations made possible the construction of similar diagrams, Figures 6 and 7, for the temperatures of  $-200^{\circ}$  and  $-225^{\circ}$ F based only on binary data. At these low temperatures only methane deviates from ideal behavior. Table I gives the 49 experimental data sets of this investiga-



**Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, Vol. 17,** No. **1, 1972 15** 





 $\label{eq:1} \mathcal{L}_{\text{GMM}} = \left\{ \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{GMM}} \right) \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{GMM}} \right) \right\} \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{GMM}} \right) \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{$ 

tion and the necessary limiting binary and infinite dilution values, interpolated when necessary, which define the ternary system from  $-75^{\circ}$  to  $-175^{\circ}$ F. The system is defined at lower temperatures by the binary data *(7,8).* Simple expressions for the linear region, which represents almost all of the data, are

$$
\log K_{\text{M}} = \log K_{\text{M(P)}} - (x_{\text{E}}/x_{\text{E}}') \log [K_{\text{M(P)}}/K_{\text{M(E)}}] \qquad (1)
$$

$$
\log K_{\rm E} = \log K_{\rm E(MP)}^{\rm \omega} - (x_{\rm E}/x_{\rm E'}) \log [K_{\rm E(MP)}^{\rm \omega}/K_{\rm E(M)}] \quad (2)
$$

$$
\log K_{\rm P} = \log K_{\rm P(M)} - (x_{\rm E}/x_{\rm E'}) \log \left[ K_{\rm P(M)}/K_{\rm P(ME)}^{\infty} \right] \quad (3)
$$
  
for  $0 \le x_{\rm E} \le x_{\rm E'}$ 

Values for  $K_{M(P)}$ ,  $K_{P(M)}$ ,  $K_{M(E)}$ , and  $K_{E(M)}$  can be easily found from the pressure temperature cross-plots of the binary data  $(7,8)$ ;  $x_E'$  has to be determined from the methane-ethane data (8); values for  $K_{E(MF)}^{\infty}$  and  $K_{P(ME)}^{\infty}$  are found from cross-plots of ternary data extrapolated to boundary concentrations. The extrapolation is justified because it is not extensive. These plots must be carefully constructed on large-scale engineering paper to retain the accuracy of the data.

The linearity of the  $K$ -values in the low temperature region was not evident in the data of Price and Kobayashi *(4).* Significant deviations from this investigation were found at temperatures below  $-50^{\circ}$ F, especially for the methane-propane system. The most probable explanations are improper sampling and lack of analytical sensitivity. At the time of the older work, analysis could only be made to a mole fraction of  $\pm 0.001$ ; now, with the improvements that have been made in chromatographic analysis, it is possible to determine amounts less than  $0.001\%$  with a good accuracy and reproducibility. Improvements in the sampling system  $(7, 8)$  are also highly significant. The effect of a small amount of the less volatile component in the vapor phase becomes more pronounced as the temperature and pressure decrease.

The construction of pressure temperature cross-plots is helped by using data of other investigators *(1* , **2,** *4, 5)* at higher temperatures than this investigation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Phillips Petroleum Co. donated research-grade ethane and propane for this study.

## NOMENCLATURE

All values are determined at the same temperature and pressure.<br> $K_M$ .

$$
K_{\rm M}, K_{\rm E}, K_{\rm P} = K
$$
-values of methane, ethane, and propane,  
respectively, in the ternary system  

$$
K_{\rm A(B)} = K
$$
-value of A in the A-B binary system

 $K_{A(BC)}^{\bullet}$  = K-value of A at infinite dilution in the B-C binary

- $x_E'$  = ethane mole fraction in the liquid phase in the methane-ethane system
- $x<sub>E</sub>$  = ethane mole fraction in the liquid phase in the ternary system

#### LITERATURE CITED

- **(1)** .. Akers. W. W., Burns. J. F., Fairchild, W. R., *Znd. Ena. Chem..*  **46, 2531 (1954).** '
- **(2)** Bloomer, 0. T., Gami, D. C., Parent, J. D., "Physicalchemical Properties of Methane-Ethane Mixtures," Inst. *Gas Technol. Res. Bull. No.* **22, 1953.**
- **(3)** Chang, **H.** L., Hurt, L. J., Kobayashi, R., *A.Z.Ch.E. J.,* **12, 1212 (1966).**
- **(4)** Price, A. **R.,** Kobayashi, R., *J. Chem. Eng. Data,* **4, 40 (1959).**  *(5)* Sage, **B.** H., Lacey, W. N., Schaafsma, J. G., *Znd. Eng. Chem.,*  **26, 214 (1934).**
- (6) Wichterle, I., Monograph, Rice University, Houston, Tex., August **31, 1970.** Available from University Microfilms, Ann Arbor, Mich.
- Wichterle, I., Kobayashi, R., *J. Chem. Eng. Data,* **17,4 (1972). (7)**
- Wichterle, **I.,** Kobayashi, R., *ibid.,* p **9. (8)**

RECEIVED for review February **22, 1971.** Accepted September **9, 1971.** The National Science Foundation and The Natural Gas Processors Assoc. provided financial support.

# **Thermal Decomposition of Alkali Metal Hexafluorophosphates**

THOMAS C. EHLERT' and MING-MING HSlA Chemistry Department, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wis. **53233** 

> The thermal decomposition of NaPF<sub>6</sub>, KPF<sub>6</sub>, RbPF<sub>6</sub>, and CsPF<sub>6</sub> has been studied using **mass spectrometric-effusion cell and differential thermal analysis techniques. All**  evolve PF<sub>5</sub> when heated under effusion conditions. The PF<sub>5</sub> decomposition pressure **equations and first values for the formation enthalpies have been determined.**

The hexafluorophosphates of the alkali metals present an interesting study of the variation in stability with cation size and are commercially important as well. Because stability data were not 'available, we conducted mass spectrometric and thermal analysis studies of the decomposition of these compounds.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

All compounds were used as received without further purification. According to the manufacturer the fluorine contents

were 99.6, 97.1, and  $101.0\%$  of theoretical for the Na, Rb, and Cs salts, respectively. The PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> content was 100.2 and 96.7 of theoretical for the Na and K salts, respectively  $(13)$ . For our experiments, volatile impurities would contribute to the total weight loss measurements upon which the decomposition pressure calibrations are based while nonvolatile substances would be troublesome only if they altered the sample's activity.

The extent of both problems can be evaluated from our data since volatiles would be mass spectrometrically observed while activity variations would be seen as variations in the sample's vapor/decomposition pressure with time at a constant temperature. The compounds are stable enough not to require unusual precautions for handling. Differential thermal analyses were performed under vacuum with a Du Pont 900 DTA in-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.