cal properties of the synthesized 2-aminothiazoles are summarized in Table II. Some derivatives of 2-aminothiazoles were prepared by the method of Shriner et al. (12) and are listed in Table II.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Badger, G. M., "The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds," pp 207, 209, Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1961.
- (2)Dodson, R. M., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 67, 2242 (1945).
- (3) Dodson, R. M., *ibid.*, **66**, 871 (1946).
   (4) Dodson, R. M., *ibid.*, **69**, 1813 (1947).
   (5) Dodson, R. M., *ibid.*, **72**, 3722 (1950).

- (6) Hantzsch, H., Ann., 249, 1, 31 (1888)
- Hantzsch, H., Ann., **250**, 257, 281 (1889). Mijovic, M. P., Waker, J., *J. Chem. Soc.*, 3381 (1961). (7)(8)
- Modena, G., Risaliti, A., Bull. Sci. Fac. Chim. Ind. Bolgara, 11 (9)
- (1953).
- Okamiya, J., J. Chem. Soc. (Japan), 80 (1959).
   Randall, H. M., Fowler, R. B., Fuson, N., Dangle, J. R., "Infrared Determinations of Organic Structures," Van Nostrand, New York, N. Y., 1950.
- (12) Shriner, R. L., Fuson, R. C., Curtin, D. Y., "Systematic Identifica-tion of Organic Compounds," pp 226, 229, Wiley, New York, N. Y., 1956.

Received for review February 16, 1972, Accepted October 10, 1972, Research was supported by the University of Bachdad.

# Synthesis and Spectral Data For Quinoxaline Derivatives

## George Y. Sarkis<sup>1</sup> and Subhi Al-Azawe

Department of Chemistry, College of Science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad Adhamiya-Iraq

Thirteen quinoxaline derivatives were synthesized by the interaction of o-phenylenediamine with either  $\alpha$ bromoketones or the glyoxal derivatives of the corresponding methylketones. The uv, ir, and nmr spectral data for the quinoxaline derivatives obtained are presented.

Thirteen quinoxaline derivatives were prepared by the interaction of o-phenylenediamine with either  $\alpha$ -bromoketones (Method A) (3, 10, 11), or the glyoxal derivatives of the corresponding methylketones (Method B) (6). The  $\alpha$ -bromoketones used were: 2-bromoacetylfluorene, p-

<sup>1</sup>Present address; Department of Chemistry, McGill University, Montreal 101, Que., Canada. To whom correspondence should be addressed.

#### Table I. Physical Properties of Quinoxaline Derivatives<sup>a</sup>

hydroxyphenacylbromide, *p*-nitrophenacylbromide, 2bromo-1-tetralone, 3-bromoacetoacetic ester, 5-bromoacetylindane, 3-bromoacetylindol, and 2-bromo-7-nitro-1tetralone. The ketones used in Method B were: p-hydroxyacetophenone, 7-nitro-1-tetralone, 2-acetylthiophene, 2acetylfuran, and  $\alpha$ -,  $\beta$ -, and  $\gamma$ -acetylpyridines. The structure and physical properties of the synthesized quinoxalines are given in Table I. The uv, ir, and nmr spectral data are presented in Tables II and III.

Dihydroquinoxaline, which is the primary condensation product, is so readily oxidized to the corresponding quinoxaline that it is not isolated under the standard conditions (7). In Method B, the ketones were oxidized to the corresponding glyoxal derivative with selenium dioxide in dioxane solution. It was not attempted in this study to





	Molecular formula		R <sub>2</sub>	Mp, °C	% Yield Method		Def		4-N-oxide
		R <sub>1</sub> (or X)			A	в	time	Crystn solvent	(crystn solvent)
اھ	C <sub>21</sub> H <sub>14</sub> N <sub>2</sub>	2-fluorenyl	н	200–201 <i><sup>b</sup></i>	75		5	Ethanol	
ŀъ	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>10</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O	C <sub>6</sub> H₄∙OH(p)	Н	209–210°	68	73	2	Benzene	234-235 (Benzene)
۱ <sub>c</sub>	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>19</sub> N <sub>3</sub> O <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>6</sub> H₄∙NO <sub>2</sub> (p)	Н	189–190 <sup>d</sup>	60		4	Ligroin (bp 120)	267–268 (Acetic acid)
۱a	$C_{12}H_{12}N_2O_2$	CH3	CO <sub>2</sub> Et	70–71 <sup>e</sup>	25		8	Water	
le	C17H14N2	5-Indanyl	н	116–117	50		4	Methanol	
ir	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>11</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	3-Indolyl	н	208–209 <sup>7</sup>	60		4	Acetic acid	
١g	C12H8N2S	2-Thienyl	н	120121 <sup><i>s</i></sup>	55	65	5	Methanol	
1 <sub>h</sub>	C13H9N3	$\alpha$ -Pyridyl	н	113–114 <sup><i>h</i></sup>		60	5	Methanol	
! i	C13H9N3	$\beta$ -Pyridyl	н	243-244		55	5	Methanol	
ا ا	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>9</sub> N <sub>3</sub>	$\gamma$ -Pyridyl	н	255-256		45	6	Methanol	
I <sub>k</sub>	C12H8N2O	2-Furyl	н	101–102 <sup>i</sup>		72	5	Methanol-water	
lla	$C_{16}H_{12}N_2$	н		152–153 <sup>j</sup>	55		4	Ligroin (bp 40–60)	
Нъ	$C_{16}H_{11}N_3O_2$	NO <sub>2</sub>		300301	65	75	2	Acetic acid	

<sup>a</sup> Elemental analyses (C,H,N) in agreement with theoretical values were obtained and submitted for review. <sup>b</sup> Ref. 9. mp 193°C. <sup>c</sup> Ref. 8. mp 204°C. <sup>d</sup> Ref. 3. 4. mp 187-188°C. <sup>e</sup> Ref. 1. mp 73°C. <sup>f</sup> Ref. 14. mp 202-203.

<sup>g</sup> Ref. 5, mp 117-119°C. <sup>h</sup> Ref. 16. mp 112-114°C. <sup>i</sup> Ref. 6, mp 101°C. <sup>j</sup> Ref. 13, 152.5°C.

	Ring stretching vibrations	CH in-plane deformation	C—H out-of-plane deformation	$\lambda_{\max}$ (m $\mu$ ) log $\epsilon$	Other bands
ia	1695(s), 1625(m),	1267(s), 1230(m),	955(s), 900(m),	208	238, 270, 343
	1575(m), 1380(s),	1155(m), 1100(w),	860(m), 775(s),	(4.56)	
	1367(s), 1300(s)	1025(w), 1000(m)	740(s), 685(w)		
۱ <sub>b</sub>	1620(s), 1610(s),	1245(s), 1233(s),	970(s), 955(m),	213	245, 260, 283, 355
	1595(s), 1550(s),	1210(w), 1170(s),	935(m), 880(m),	(4.34)	
	1525(s), 1495(s),	1145(m), 1135(m),	840(s), 790(m),		
	1415(w), 1343(m),	1110(w), 1157(m),	770(m), 760(s),		
	1325(s), 1275(s)	1115(w)	732(m), 680(m),		
			665(m), 618(w)		
l <sub>c</sub>	1600(m), 1525(s),	1217(w), 1138(m),	960(m), 920(m),	203	239, 273, 323
	1345(s)	1118(m), 1100(m),	865(s),850(s),	(4.49)	
		1015(m)	825(w), 788(s),		
			756(w), 725(m)		
۱ <sub>e</sub>	1600(m), 1555(m),	1300(m), 1155(w),	972(s), 955(m),	209	252, 329
	1317(m)	1130(w), 1095(w),	935(w), 885(m),	(4.47)	
		1040(m)	852(m), 758(s),		
			707(m)		
l <sub>f</sub>	1567(s), 1300(m)	1245(m), 1175(m),	950(m), 760(s),	204	223, 34 <b>6</b>
		1136(m), 1015(w),	750(s), 725(w)	(4.45)	
		1000(w)		-	
۱ <sub>g</sub>	1580(m), 1550(s),	1210(m), 1137(m),	960(s), 945(m),	218	273, 353
	1495(m), 1430(s),	1130(m), 1075(m),	930(m), 910(m),	(4.36)	
	1340(w), 1325(s),	1055(s), 1020(w),	865(m), 855(m),		
	1315(m), 1280(w),	1000(m)	840(w), 760(s),		
	1240(m)		725(s), 680(m),		
			620(m), 590(m)		
h	1595(m), 1553(m),	1135(m), 1100(w),	965(s), 930(m),	211	251, 275, 333
	1495(m), 1405(m),	1060(s), 1045(s),	880(m), 810(s),	(4.18)	
	1370(m), 1340(w),	1000(m)	790(s), 770(s),		
	1325(w), 1275(w)		740(s), 720(m),		
			670(m), 630(m),		
			615(m), 580(w)		
۱ <sub>b</sub> ′ <sup>ø</sup>	1540(m), 1500(w),	1290(s), 1250(s),	930(s), 875(m),	301	210, 354
	1384(s), 1365(s)	1220(s), 1196(m),	840(s), 775(s),	(4.97)	
		1175(m), 1135(m)	732(w)		
llb	1515(m), 1345(s)	1165(m), 1120(m),	935(m), 898(s),	253	209, 260, 304, 363
		1086(m)	860(s), 805(m),	(4.44)	
			775(s), 760(s),		
			745(m)		
1°'c				282	202, 236, 324
				(4.24)	

<sup>a</sup> s = strong, m = medium, w = weak. <sup>b</sup>  $I_b' = I_b 4$ -N-oxide. <sup>c</sup>  $I_c' = I_c 4$ -N-oxide.

isolate and characterize the glyoxal derivatives; they were used directly in the condensation with o-phenylenediamine. A comparison of the yields in these two methods shows that Method B gives better yield and pure products.

Oxidation of quinoxaline derivatives to N-oxides was carried out according to the method of Clemo and McIlwain (4) with hydrogen peroxide and glacial acetic acid. These oxides were regarded as 4-N-oxides owing to the steric hindrance obtained for the attachment of oxygen atom to the nitrogen at 1-position, which is adjacent to the bulky aryl groups (12).

The uv absorption spectra of quinoxaline derivatives  $(I_a-I_g)$ , listed in Table II, showed a hypsochromic shift in comparison with the parent compound (quinoxaline), which had absorption maxima at 233 and 315 m $\mu$  (2) while the other compounds (II<sub>b</sub>, I<sub>b</sub>', and I<sub>c</sub>') showed marked bathochromic shifts. The bathochromic shifts in the two N-oxides were probably due to the hydrogen bonding interactions with the negative oxide ion (17).

Characteristic aromatic ring vibrations appeared in the ir spectra of quinoxalines in the region  $1600-1350 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The position and intensity of these vibrations were dependent on the nature of the substituent. The investigated compounds showed three to four strong-to-medium intensity bands in this region.

The N<sup>+</sup>—O<sup>-</sup> stretching frequency in N-oxides occurred at 1300–1200 cm<sup>-1</sup> (*15*), but within this range the frequency was increased by the presence of electronaccepting substituents in the ring. N-oxides showed another characteristic band near 850 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which might be due to the N<sup>+</sup>—O<sup>-</sup> in-plane bonding mode (*18*).

### Experimental

Melting points were determined on a Kofler Hot Bench and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were performed by Alfred Bernhardt Laboratories, Ruhr, Germany. Uv absorption spectra were measured as solutions in ethanol

	Structure	Solvent	Aliphatic-H	Aromatic-H	H-3	J <sub>ab</sub> , J <sub>bc</sub> , J <sub>ac</sub> , Hz
<sub>a</sub>		TFA	5.87bs, CH₂	2.35m, 1.5m	-0.15s	
۱ <sub>b</sub>		TFA	6.8s, OH	1.6m, 2.5d	-0.10s	8.5
l <sub>c</sub>		DMSO		1.2d 2.1m, 1.7d,	-0.10s	8.5
۱ <sub>đ</sub>	$\mathbb{O}_{N}^{N} \mathcal{L}_{CH_{3}}^{CO_{4}C_{3}H_{5}}$	TFA	8.36t, 5.1q 6.5s	1.4d 1.5m, 2.15m		
۱ <sub>e</sub>		TFA	7.65qn, 6.8t	2.27d, 1.85d, 1.47m	-0.33s	8
۱¢		DMSO	1.07d, NH 1.22d,—CH	2.07m, 2.47m	0.33s	4
۱ <sub>g</sub>	${\rm Int}_N^{\rm N} {\rm Int}_{\rm S}^{\rm H_{\rm C}} {\rm Int}_{\rm S}^{\rm b}$	DMSO		2.16m, 2.95dd, 2.42d, 2.35d	0.35s	4.5, 3.5, 1.7
۱ <sub>h</sub>		DMSO		2.01m, 0.83m	0.12	
li		DMSO		2.07m, 0.7m, 0.3d, 1.45m	0.15	
از		DMSO		2.12m, 1.2d, 0.7d	0.18	6
۱ <sub>k</sub>	N <sup>N</sup> H <sub>c</sub> b	DMSO		2.1m, 2.18d, 3.18d, 3.33dd	0.31s	3.5, 1.6, 1.5

a s = singlet, bs = broad singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, qn = quintet, m = multiplet, Jab, Jbc, Jac = coupling constants in all AB

by a Unicam SP800B uv spectrophotometer. Ir absorption spectra were recorded on a Beckman IR 12 spectrophotometer as Nujol mulls. Nmr spectra were measured on a Varian A60A spectrometer as solutions in deuterated dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO- $d_6$ ), or trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal reference.

General procedures for preparation of quinoxaline derivatives. Method A. o-Phenylenediamine (0.1 mole) in ethanol or glacial acetic acid (500 ml), was mixed with the  $\alpha$ -bromoketone (0.1 mole), in ethanol (100 ml). The mixture was refluxed for several hours (Table I), the solvent was evaporated to half its volume under reduced pressure, decolorized with charcoal, and left to cool to room temperature. Recrystallization of the product from a suitable solvent (Table I) gave the pure quinoxaline derivatives. Physical constants, yields, reaction conditions, and solvents of recrystallization for the synthesized quinoxalines are given in Table I.

Method B. The ketone (0.03 mole) was added to a solution of selenium dioxide (0.024 mole) in dioxane (20 ml; 90%). The mixture was refluxed for 4 hr and filtered from the precipitated selenium metal. o-Phenylenediamine (0.03 mole) was added to this solution and refluxed for 15 min. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, cooled, and filtered. Recrystallization of the product afforded the pure guinoxaline derivative.

Preparation of quinoxaline N-oxide. The quinoxaline (1 gram) in glacial acetic acid (50 ml) and hydrogen peroxide (5 ml; 30%) were heated at 50°C for 12 hr. The solution was diluted with water and cooled. The N-oxide separated as fine orange needles. Melting points and solvents of recrystallization are given in Table I.

or ABC systems. TEA = trifluoroacetic acid. DMSO = deuterated dimethylsulfoxide.

Method A  

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\$$

Method B

 $R - CO - CH_3 + SeO_2 \rightarrow R - CO - CHO$ 

$$\bigcirc \mathbb{N}_{NH_2}^{NH_2} + \bigcirc \mathbb{O}_{O=C-R}^{CH} \rightarrow \bigcirc \mathbb{O}_N^{N} \mathbb{I}_R$$

**Literature Cited** 

- (2)
- Baxter, R. A., Spring, F. S., J. Chem. Soc., 229 (1945).
   Bohlmann, F., Chem. Ber., 84, 860 (1951).
   Buu-Hoi, N. P., Khoi, N. H., Bull. Soc. Chim. (France), 15, 753 (3) (1950).
- (4) Clemo, G. R., McIlwain, M. H., J. Chem. Soc., 479 (1938).
- Druey, J., *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **35**, 230 (1952). Fattuta, S., *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, **88**, 1122 (1958) (5)
- (6)
- Figueras, J., J. Org. Chem., **31**, 803 (1966). Fodor, G., Beke, D., Kovacs, O., Acta Chim. Hung., **1**, 149 (1951). (8)
- (9) Gualtieri, F., Riccieri, F. M., Stein, M. L., Farmaco Ed. Sci. (Pavia), 17, 430(1962); CA, 4553d (1962).
  (10) Hinsberg, O., Ann., 237, 327 (1887).
  (11) Hinsberg, O., Ber., 19, 483 (1886).

- (12) Hirotaka, O., Yamaguchi, R., Ishigooka, K., Takahashi, H., Yaku-gaku Zashi, 82, 1434 (1962); CA, 58, 5159 (1963).
   (13) Kamneva, A. I., Salmir, T. A., Zh. Obshch. Khim., 26, 449 (1956).
- (14) Kishi, Y., Goto, T., Inoue, S., Siguira, S., Kishimoto, H., Tetrahedron Lett., 3445 (1966)
- (15) Lord, R. C., Martson, A. L., Miller, F. M., Spectochim. Acta, 9, 113 (1957).
- Pfeiffer, F. R., Case, F. H., J. Org. Chem., 31, 3384 (1966). (16)
- Rao, C. N. R., "Ultraviolet and Visible Spectroscopy, Chemical Ap-plications," Butterworths, London, England, p 53, 1961. (17)
- (18) Shindo, H., Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Japan), 6, 117 (1958)

Received for review November 11, 1971. Accepted October 10, 1972. Research was supported by the University of Baghdad.