

Figure 1. Log D vs. log [MO] for metal extraction (HNO3 concn = 9.46N; O/A = 2.50; initial metal concn = 0.05M)

To obtain the value of n in the above equation, extractions of HNO3 were carried out as a function of the solvent concentration with solutions containing no metal ions. The results are given as a log D vs. log [MO] plot shown in Figure 2, where the slope of the best line is 1.18. The species (HNO3+H2O+MO) was either coextracted with the metal ions or could be formed first and then coordinated with the metal nitrates. In each of these experiments the acidity of the aqueous raffinate was practically the same as that of the corresponding experiment for the metal extraction.



Log MO

Figure 2. Log D vs. log [MO] for HNO3 extraction (HNO3 concn = 9.46N; O/A = 2.50; initial metal concn = 0.05M)

Although extraction of the metals is greater at higher HNO₃ concentration and O/A value, the largest separation factor between thorium and yttrium $(D_{\rm Th}/D_{\rm Y})$, 84.4, occurred at a HNO3 concentration of 9.46N and an O/A value of 1.25. The separation factor between yttrium and lanthanum $(D_{\rm Y}/D_{\rm La})$ was less than 1.2 in all cases.

Literature Cited

- Khopkar, S. M., J. Sci. Ind. Res., 24, 242 (1965).
 Levine, H., Grimaldi, F. S., U.S., Geol, Surv., Bull., No. 1006 (1954).
 Marcus, Y., Kertes, A. S., "Ion Exchange and Solvent Extraction of Metal Complexes," p 601, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1969.
 Michael V. M. Khopkar, C. K. Schultz, New York, N.Y., 1969.
- (4)Shinde, V. M., Khopkar, S. M., Chem. Anal. (Warsaw), 14, 749 (1969).
- (5) Shinde, V. M., Khopkar, S. M., Fresenius' Z. Anal. Chem., 249, 239 (1970).
- Shinde, V. M., Khopkar, S. M., Indian J. Chem., 7, 504 (1969).
- (7) Shinde, V. M., Khopkar, S. M., Talanta, 16, 525 (1969).

Received for review December 15, 1972. Accepted May 7, 1973.

Binary Diffusion Coefficients of *n*-Pentane in Gases

Minoru Nagasaka

Faculty of Engineering, Ibaraki University, Hitachi, Japan

The Stefan method was used to measure the binary gaseous diffusion coefficients of n-pentane (nPe) in hydrogen, helium, nitrogen, air, and argon at 1 atm pressure and a temperature from -15° to 25°C at sec; nPe-He, 0.3044 \pm 0.0003 cm²/sec; $nPe-N_2$, $0.0885 \pm 0.0001 \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}, nPe-air, 0.0877 \pm 0.0002$ cm^2/sec ; and *n*Pe-Ar, 0.0792 ± 0.0007 cm^2/sec . The 0.0885 ± 0.0001 cm²/sec, 2nPe−air, 0.0877 **●** 0.0002 cm^2/sec ; and *n*Pe-Ar, 0.0792 ± 0.0007 cm^2/sec . The temperature exponent for each system lay between 1.778 and 1.971, in good agreement with the predicted values based upon the Lennard-Jones force constants in the Chapman-Enskog approximate kinetic theory.

Binary gaseous diffusion coefficients in hydrocarbon systems are important physical properties for chemical engineering. The temperature exponents of diffusion coefficients are also important for simplifying interpolation and (possibly) for extrapolation. There are relatively few such data in the literature, and those values differ in many cases. Therefore, we measured the diffusion coefficients by the Stefan method with hydrogen, helium, nitrogen, air, and argon as the carrier gases for n-pentane.

Experimental

The n-pentane was of pure grade (99 mol % minimum purity). The carrier gases-hydrogen, helium, nitrogen, air, and argon-were supplied by Hitachi Oxygen Co. (99.9 mol % minimum purity).

The Stefan method was used for the determination of the binary gaseous diffusion coefficients. Since the experimental method is well known, only a brief description will be given here. The apparatus consisted of the diffusion cell (Figure 1), which contained a capillary tube of 0.10-cm i.d., 0.60-cm o.d., and 10-cm length. The cell was immersed in a well-agitated water (or methanol solution) bath whose temperature was controlled to within 0.05°C. The temperature of the bath was measured to a precision of 0.01°C with a calibrated mercury thermometer with a magnifying glass. After steady state was reached, at certain time intervals the liquid level in the capillary tube was measured with a reading microscope which could be read to a precision of 0.001 cm.

The time duration of experimental runs varied between 1 and 25 hr, depending on the temperature level and carrier gases. Care was taken to ensure that the diffusion coefficients were not influenced by flow rates of carrier gases in the approximate range of $100-500 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$. The gas flow rate was actually controlled at $250 \text{ cm}^3/\text{min}$. A check was made to ensure that the diffusion coefficients did not change in the range of 2–8 cm of diffusion path.

The total pressure of the experiment was taken as barometric pressure in the laboratory at the time of the experimental run. Since the barometric pressure sometimes changed during a run, the arithmetic average of barometric pressures at the start and finish of the run was taken as the pressure of the system. The largest typical pressure change during a run was 2.0 mm Hg. The pressure was measured on a standard barometer to a precision of 0.01 cm of mercury.

The measured diffusion coefficients were corrected to a pressure of 1 standard atm.

Results

The molecular diffusion coefficients were calculated with Equation 1 (7).

$$D_{AB} = \frac{(z_{\theta}^2 - z_0^2)}{2 \theta} - \frac{RT\rho_L}{M_L} - \frac{p_{BM}}{\rho_{A1} - \rho_{A2}}$$
(1)

The density (ρ_L) and vapor pressure (ρ_A) of *n*-pentane used to calculate the diffusion coefficients were taken from the literature, and the precision of the density (ρ_L) and vapor pressure (ρ_A) were both within $\pm 0.1\%$ (3, 5, 8). The diffusion coefficients obtained in the experimental measurements are summarized in column 3 of Table I. The reproducibility of the experimental runs was excellent since the average deviation for all runs from the average value was 0.3%, and the maximum observed deviation was 0.7%.

The gaseous diffusion coefficients were represented with the following equation:

$$D_{AB} = D_{AB0} \left(\frac{T}{T_0}\right)^m \tag{2}$$

The raw data were smoothed by a least-squares treatment on log D_{AB} vs. log *T* to give a straight line. The diffusion coefficients recalculated by the resulting straight line equation are given in column 4 of Table I. The temperature exponents in the range of -15° to 25° C for each system are also given in column 4 of Table II. The maximum standard deviation in these five systems was 0.5%. When the precision of the literature values (M_L , ρ_L , ρ_A) and original data (z, θ , *T*) were taken into account, the



Figure 1. Diffusion cell

precision of the experimental values in column 3 of Table I was in most cases better than $\pm 1.0\%$.

The diffusion coefficients of *n*-pentane in air at 25° C were investigated by Lugg (6) and Barr and Watts (1) and are shown in Table III along with the results of this work. Lugg used the Stefan method, and Barr and Watts used the method of infrared spectrophotometry. Their data were lower than that of this work by about 3%.

 Table I. Experimental Diffusion Coefficients for n-Pentane in

 Gases at 1 atm

Temp.	No. of	Diffusion coefficients, cm²/sec				
°C	data	Expti	Recalcd			
	2	$0.2633 \pm 0.0004^{\circ}$	0 26430			
-10.0	2	0.2741 ± 0.0004	0.2736			
-10.0	2	0.2741 ± 0.0000	0.2730			
	4	0.2820 ± 0.0014	0.2030			
0.0	6	0.2925 ± 0.0006	0.2925			
5.0	2	0.3035 ± 0.0005	0.3021			
10.0	9	0.3128 ± 0.0006	0.3119			
15.0	5	0.3214 ± 0.0006	0.3218			
20.0	6	0.3327 ± 0.0003	0.3319			
25.0	2	$\texttt{0.3404} \pm \texttt{0.0009}$	0.3421			
He-n-pentane						
-15.0	3	0.2343 ± 0.0010	0,2360			
	3	0.2437 ± 0.0011	0.2442			
-5.0	2	0.2535 ± 0.00011	0.2526			
-5.0	2	0.2633 ± 0.0000	0.2520			
0.0	2	0.2032 ± 0.0013	0.2010			
5.0	5	0.2707 ± 0.0005	0.2697			
10.0	4	0.2786 ± 0.0014	0.2784			
15.0	3	0.2865 ± 0.0006	0,2872			
20.0	5	0.2957 ± 0.0004	0,2962			
25.0	3	0.3044 ± 0.0003	0.3053			
N₀-p-pentane						
15.0	2	0.0675 - 0.0001	0 0675			
-13.0	2	0.0073 ± 0.0001	0.0075			
-10.0	2	0.0702 ± 0.0000	0.0700			
-5.0	2	$0.0/21 \pm 0.0000$	0.0/25			
0.0	3	0.0749 ± 0.0001	0.0751			
5.0	2	0.0782 ± 0.0001	0.0778			
10.0	3	0.0807 ± 0.0001	0.0804			
15.0	2	0.0830 ± 0.0002	0.0831			
20.0	4	0.0860 ± 0.0001	0.0859			
25.0	2	0.0885 ± 0.0001	0.0887			
Air-a-pentane						
-15.0	2	0 0676 + 0 0009	0.0679			
-10.0	2	0.0070 ± 0.0000	0.0075			
-10.0	2	0.0703 ± 0.0000	0.0703			
5.0	4	0.0732 ± 0.0004	0.0727			
0.0	4	$0.0/51 \pm 0.0005$	0.0/51			
5.0	4	0.0772 ± 0.0005	0.0776			
10.0	4	0.0804 ± 0.0001	0.0801			
15.0	4	0.0825 ± 0.0004	0.0826			
20.0	4	0.0851 ± 0.0002	0.0852			
25.0	4	0.0877 ± 0.0002	0.0878			
		Ar-a-nentane				
_15.0	э	0.0507 0.0005	0 0500			
-15.0	5	0.0597 ± 0.0005	0.0399			
-10.0	3	0.0018 ± 0.0009	0.0622			
-5.0	2	0.0649 ± 0.0003	0.0645			
0.0	5	0.0670 ± 0.0000	0,0669			
5.0	2	0.0695 ± 0.0001	0.0693			
10.0	2	0.0720 ± 0.0002	0.0718			
15.0	2	0.0743 ± 0.0000	0.0743			
20.0	2	0.0770 ± 0.0001	0.0769			
25.0	3	0.0792 ± 0.0007	0.0795			

^a Standard deviation.^b Smoothed experimental data by use of the temperature exponent *m* for each system.

Table II. Temperature Exponents of Diffusion Coefficients for n-Pentane at 1 atm

Carrier	Temp	No. of Temp exponent		nent
gases	range, °C	data	Exptl	Calcd
H ₂	-15-25	38ª	1.790 ± 0.006^{5}	1.809°
He	-15-25	30	1.789 ± 0.009	1.710
N ₂	-15-25	22	1.894 ± 0.007	1.902
Air	-15-25	32	1.778 ± 0.007	1.881
Ar		24	1.971 ± 0.008	1.936

^a Total number of data in temperature range of -15-25°C. ^b Standard deviation. ^c Calculated values by use of Equation 3.

Table III, Comparison of Diffusion Coefficients in n-Pentane-Air System at 25°C and 1 atm

This work	$0.0877 \pm 0.0002 \ \mathrm{cm^2/sec}$
Barr and Watts (1)	0.0856 \pm 0.0035 cm²/sec
Lugg (6)	$0.0842 \pm 0.00182 \mathrm{cm^2/sec}$

Since their standard deviations were 2-4%, the agreement with this work was within experimental error.

According to kinetic theory (4),

$$D_{AB} = \frac{0.0018583 T^{3/2}}{P \sigma_{AB}^2 \Omega_{AB}} \left(\frac{1}{M_A} + \frac{1}{M_B} \right)$$
(3)

The temperature exponents (m) calculated with Equation 3 are listed in Table II. In calculating m by Equation 3, Ω_{AB} for Lennard-Jones (12, 6) potential model as a function of (kT/ϵ) was used, and σ_{AB} and ϵ_{AB} were calculated from the collision diameter σ and the energy at minimum potential well ϵ of each molecule, with the conventional combining rule $[\sigma_{AB} = (\sigma_A + \sigma_B)/2, \epsilon_{AB} =$ $\sqrt{\epsilon_A \epsilon_B}$ (2). The temperature exponents agreed well with those calculated for each system, as shown in Table II.

Acknowledgment

The author is indebted to Kakusaburo Onda and Eizo Sada of Nagoya University and acknowledges the capable assistance of Yukio Yonezawa.

Nomenclature

 D_{AB} = binary diffusion coefficient for A in B, cm²/sec

 M_L = molecular weight of pure liquid

m = temperature exponent, Equation 2

P = total pressure, atm

 p_A = partial pressure of component A, atm

 p_{BM} = logarithmic mean partial pressure of component B, atm

- $R = \text{gas constant, } \text{cm}^3 \text{ atm}/\text{g-mol K}$
- T = absolute temperature, K
- z = instantaneous length of diffusion path, cm

Greek Letters

 θ = time, sec

 ρ_L = liquid density, g/cm³

 σ_{AB} = collision diameter, distance between centers of molecules at zero potential energy, Å

 Ω_{AB} = collision integral used in Chapman-Enskog kinetic theory

Subscripts

- 0 = zero time
- 1 = specifies the position at the gas-liquid interface
- 2 = specifies the position at the top of the capillary tube
- θ = time after start of observation

Literature Cited

- Barr, R. F., Watts, H., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 17, 45 (1972).
 Bird, R. B., Stewart, W. E., Lightfoot, E. N., "Transport Phenomena," pp 511, 746, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1960.
 Hála, E., Wichterle, I., Polák, J., Boublik, T., "Vapour Liquid Equilibrium Data at Normal Pressures," p 286, Pergamon Press, London, Enclosed 1069 England, 1968.
- Hirschfelder, J. O., Curtiss, C. F., Bird, R. B., "Molecular Theory of Gases and Liquids," p 539, Wiley, New York, N.Y., 1954. "International Critical Tables," Vol 3, p 29, McGraw-Hill, New York, (4)
- (5) N.Y., 1928.
- (6) Lugg, G. A., *Anal. Chem.*, **40**, 1072 (1968).
 (7) Sherwood, T. K., Pigford, R. L., "Absorption and Extraction," 2nd ed., p 17, McGraw-Hill, New York, N.Y., 1952.
- (8) Stull, D. R., Ind. Eng. Chem., 39 (4), 517 (1947).

Received for review December 19, 1972. Accepted June 20, 1973.