pressed as mol % of sodium sulfate, is given in Table I together with the molality of the reference electrolyte (NaCl), the total molality (*m*) of the sulfite solution ($m_{\rm Na_2SO_3} + m_{\rm Na_2SO_4}$), and the apparent osmotic coefficient φ' calculated by means of the equation

$$2\varphi_{\rm NaCl}m_{\rm NaCl} = 3m\varphi' \tag{1}$$

It was then assumed that

$$m\varphi' = m_{\rm Na_2SO_3}\varphi_{\rm Na_2SO_3} + m_{\rm Na_2SO_4}\varphi_{\rm Na_2SO_4}$$
(2)

where $\varphi_{Na_2SO_3}$ and $\varphi_{Na_2SO_4}$ are the osmotic coefficients of the single salt solutions at molality *m*. As $\varphi_{Na_2SO_4}$ is known (4), $\varphi_{Na_2SO_3}$ can be calculated. Values of $\varphi_{Na_2SO_3}$ are given in Table I. In spite of the correction which had to be made for the sulfate content, it is believed that these values of $\varphi_{Na_2SO_3}$ are not in error by more than 0.005. Figure 1 contains plots of the osmotic coefficients of sodium sulfate, sodium thiosulfate, and sodium dithionate, together with three points for

sodium sulfite. The values for the dithionate are somewhat higher than those for the thiosulfate; moreover, they are consistent with the results of the freezing-point measurements (1) which gave $\varphi = 0.8288$ at the highest concentration, 0.05371 mol kg⁻¹.

The three points for sodium sulfite lie close to the plot of the thiosulfate results, and thus, for all practical purposes, the osmotic and activity coefficients of sodium thiosulfate (given in Table II) can be used for sodium sulfite.

Literature Cited

- (1) Christoffersen, M. R., Prue, J. E., *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **66**, 2878 (1970).
- Covington, A. K., Irish, D. E., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 17, 175 (1972).
 Robinson, R. A., Stokes, R. H., "Electrolyte Solutions," 2nd rev. ed.,
- p 34, Eq. 2.27, Butterworths, London, England, 1968.
 (4) Robinson, R. A., Wilson, J. M., Stokes, R. H., J. Amer. Chem. Soc.,
- (4) Robinson, R. A., Wilson, J. M., Stokes, R. H., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 63, 1011 (1941).

Received for review March 13, 1973. Accepted May 5, 1973.

Osmotic and Activity Coefficients for Aqueous Methane Sulfonic Acid Solutions at 25°C

A. K. Covington,¹ R. A. Robinson, and R. Thompson

Department of Physical Chemistry, University of Newcastle-Upon-Tyne, Newcastle-Upon-Tyne NE1 7RU, England

The osmotic and activity coefficients of methane sulfonic acid in aqueous solution at 25° C are determined from 0.1 to 40 mol kg⁻¹ by isopiestic vapor-pressure measurements.

Raman spectra and nmr measurements (3) indicate that methane sulfonic acid, like nitric acid, belongs to the class of electrolytes which are not as markedly weak as acetic acid but exhibit some association even in moderately concentrated solution. Thus, methane sulfonic acid is 99.7% dissociated at a concentration of 0.428 mol kg⁻¹ but only 72.8% dissociated at 15.89 mol kg⁻¹. A knowledge of activity coefficient values is needed if the dissociation constant is to be calculated from the degrees of dissociation given by Raman spectra and nmr measurements. Moreover, the acid is very soluble in water, and its osmotic coefficient is of interest to the theory of concentrated solutions (9). Isopiestic vaporpressure measurements have been made (4) up to 3.5m at 25°C, and new determinations now extend the concentration range to 40 mol kg⁻¹.

Method

An Eastman Kodak sample of methane sulfonic acid, which assayed 99.7% by titration with sodium hydroxide, was purifed by the method described by Clarke and Woodward (1). An aqueous solution, about 5m in concentration, was treated with an excess of activated charcoal to remove the yellow color of the original sample and then filtered through a 0.25 μ m pore-size Millipore filter.

The isopiestic apparatus has been described (2). Sodium chloride was used as the reference electrolyte for solutions of the acid up to a concentration of 3.4 mol kg⁻¹, and lithium bromide for more concentrated solutions of the acid. Silver dishes were used to contain the reference solutions, and platinum dishes for the acid. The osmotic coefficient of lithium bromide solutions is known (6) up to a concentration of 20 mol kg⁻¹; at this concentration the solution was in equilibrium with the acid of concentration about 40 mol kg⁻¹.

Results and Discussion

Table I gives the concentrations of solutions in equilibrium, together with the osmotic coefficient of the solution of the acid, by use of the osmotic coefficients of sodium chloride (8) and lithium bromide already tabulated (6). Table II gives osmotic coefficients of the acid at round values of the concentrations and the activity coefficients derived therefrom, by means of the equation (7)

$$\ln \gamma = (\varphi - 1) + \int_{0}^{m} (\varphi - 1) \, d \ln m \tag{1}$$

For concentrations up to 3.5*m*, an equation already used in the evaluation of the activity coefficient of ammonium bromide (2):

$$\ln \gamma / \gamma_{st} = (\varphi - \varphi_{st}) + \int_0^m [(\varphi - \varphi_{st}) / m] dm \quad (2)$$

was more convenient for calculating values of the integral. In this case, γ_{st} and φ_{st} were the activity and osmotic coefficients of perchloric acid, values of which have been tabulated (5).

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Table I. Experimental Data and Osmotic Coefficients

| m _{ref} | macid | $arphi_{ m acid}$ | m _{ref} | macid | $arphi_{	ext{acid}}$ | | | |
|--|--------|-------------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Reference electrolyte, sodium chloride | | | | | | | | |
| 0.1403 | 0.1372 | 0.950 | 1.543 | 1.372 | 1.078 | | | |
| 0.3438 | 0.3272 | 0.968 | 2.030 | 1.782 | 1.122 | | | |
| 0.6223 | 0.5794 | 0.992 | 2.395 | 2.096 | 1.150 | | | |
| 0.8917 | 0.8162 | 1.018 | 3.965 | 3.393 | 1.301 | | | |
| 1.109 | 1.003 | 1.039 | | | | | | |
| Reference electrolyte, lithium bromide | | | | | | | | |
| 3.160 | 3.393 | 1.301 | 8.287 | 10.956 | 1.888 | | | |
| 4.032 | 4.523 | 1.413 | 9.429 | 13.157 | 1.979 | | | |
| 5.055 | 5.887 | 1.549 | 10.109 | 14.580 | 2.031 | | | |
| 5.489 | 6.498 | 1.598 | 12.523 | 20.360 | 2.137 | | | |
| 5.767 | 6.901 | 1.624 | 15.830 | 29.574 | 2.144 | | | |
| 6.194 | 7.529 | 1.678 | 17.607 | 34.570 | 2.113 | | | |
| 7.448 | 9.522 | 1.805 | 19.588 | 40.429 | 2.043 | | | |

 Table II. Osmotic and Activity Coefficients of Aqueous

 Methane Sulfonic Acid Solutions at 25°C

| m/mol | · | | m/mol | | |
|-------|-----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| kg⁻¹ | φ | γ | kg-1 | φ | γ |
| 0.1 | 0.950 | 0.807 | 6.0 | 1.556 | 2.097 |
| 0.2 | 0.955 | 0.785 | 7.0 | 1.641 | 2.504 |
| 0.3 | 0.965 | 0.779 | 8.0 | 1.714 | 2.948 |
| 0.4 | 0.975 | 0.779 | 9.0 | 1.776 | 3.423 |
| 0.5 | 0.984 | 0.783 | 10.0 | 1.827 | 3.920 |
| 0.7 | 1.005 | 0.799 | 12.0 | 1.931 | 5.105 |
| 1.0 | 1.039 | 0.833 | 14.0 | 2.001 | 6.353 |
| 1.5 | 1.091 | 0.901 | 16.0 | 2.067 | 7.796 |
| 2.0 | 1.139 | 0.980 | 18.0 | 2.114 | 9.288 |
| 2.5 | 1.195 | 1.071 | 20.0 | 2.155 | 10.91 |
| 3.0 | 1.248 | 1.179 | 25.0 | 2.176 | 14.48 |
| 3.5 | 1.311 | 1.307 | 30.0 | 2.148 | 17.47 |
| 4.0 | 1.356 | 1.430 | 35.0 | 2.106 | 19.90 |
| 5.0 | 1.459 | 1.736 | 40.0 | 2.058 | 21.94 |

The osmotic coefficients given in Table II can be compared with those of Gregor et al. (4) which extend up to 3.5m; the two sets of data agree with an average difference of less than 0.01 in φ (Figure 1). The corresponding activity coefficients are in agreement up to 2m, but



Figure 1. Osmotic coefficients of methane sulfonic acid (ϕ_{acid}) expressed as a difference with respect to those for perchloric acid in aqueous solutions at 25°C

△ Gregor et al. (4)
 ○ This work

the earlier values (4) are progressively higher at higher concentrations for reasons that have not been ascertained.

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to H. P. Gregor (Columbia University) for supplying the original experimental data of ref. *4.*

Literature Cited

- (1) Clarke, J. H. R., Woodward, L. A., *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, **62**, 2226 (1966).
- (2) Covington, A. K., Irish, D. E., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 17, 175 (1972).
- Covington, A. K., Thompson, R., to be published.
 Gregor, H. P., Rothenberg, M., Fine, N., J. Phys. Chem., 67, 1110
- (1963).
- (5) Robinson, R. A., Baker, O. J., Proc. Roy. Soc. N. Z. 76, 250 (1946).
 (6) Robinson, R. A., McCoach, H. J., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 69, 2244
- (1947).
 (7) Robinson, R. A., Stokes, R. H., "Electrolyte Solutions," 2nd rev. ed., p 34, Butterworths, London, England, 1968.
- (8) Robinson, R. A., Stokes, R. H., *ibid.*, p 476.
- (9) Stokes, R. H., Robinson, R. A., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*. **70**, 1870 (1948).

Received for review March 12, 1973. Accepted May 5, 1973.