Glossary

C_{i}	ion concentration, kg mol/m ³
C*	interfacial concentration of molecular chlorine, kg mol/m ³
d	jet diameter, m
D	diffusion coefficient, m ² /s
H°	Henry's law coefficient for pure water, Pa m ³ /(kg mol)
н	Henry's law coefficient corrected for ions in solution, Pa m ³ /(kg mol)
h, h_,	empirical parameters, m ³ /(kg mol)
h ₊ , h _g	
Ι	ion valence
1	jet length, m
q	liquid flow rate, m ³ /s
t	contact time, s
π	3.141 59
Registry	No. Cl ₂ , 7782-50-5.

Literature Cited

- (1) Spalding, C. W. AIChE J. 1962, 8, 685.
- (2) Ibrahim, S. H.; Kuloor, N. R. Br. Chem. Eng. 1960, 5, 795. (3) Kramers, H.; Douglas, R. A.; Ulman, R. M. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1959, 10,
- 190. (4)
- Ì5)
- Wike, C. R.; Chang, P. AIChE J. 1955, 1, 264. Scheibel, E. G. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1954, 46, 2007. Othmer, D. F.; Thakar, M. S. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1953, 45, 589. (6)
- Akgermann, A.; Gainer, J. L. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam. 1972, 11, 373. (7)
- (8) Raimondi, P.; Toor, H. L. AIChE J. 1959, 5, 86. (9) Ratcliff, G. A.; Holdcroft, J. G. Trans. Inst. Chem. Eng. 1963, 41, 315.
- (10) Vivian, J. E.; King, C. J. AIChE J. 1964, 10, 220.
 (11) Thomas, W. J.; Adams, M. J. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1965, 61, 668.
 (12) Perez, J. F.; Sandail, O. C. AIChE J. 1973, 19, 1073.
 (13) Perry, R. H.; Chilton, C. H.; Kirkpatrick, S. D. "Chemical Engineers'
- Handbook", 4th ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1963; p 14-4. (14) Danckwerts, P. V. "Gas-Liquid Reactions"; McGraw-Hill: New York,
- 1970; p 14-4. (15) Hikita, S. A.; Himukashi, Y.; Takatsuka, T. Chem. Eng. J. 1973, 5,
- 77.

Received for review March 26, 1984. Accepted August 27, 1984.

Relative Viscosity and Density Data for Some Alkali Halides in Aqueous 20% Sucrose Solution at 25 °C

Dennis E. Mulcahy*[†] and Barry J. Steel

Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Adelaide, North Terrace, Adelaide, S.A. 5000, Australia

Relative viscosity and density data are presented for seven alkali halides in aqueous 20% sucrose solution at 25 °C. The relative viscosities were fitted to the Jones-Dole equation. Corresponding data for aqueous solutions are also presented in most instances and the coefficients of the Jones-Dole equation compared where possible with literature values. B coefficients are shown to be additive properties of the constituent ions in aqueous 20% sucrose solution. Individual ionic B coefficients are assigned.

Introduction

For concentrations below 0.2 M, the dependence of the relative viscosity, η_r , of an electrolyte solution upon the molar concentration, C, of the electrolyte is generally in accordance with the Jones–Dole (1, 2) equation

$$\eta_r = 1 + AC^{1/2} + BC \tag{1}$$

The A coefficient of this equation is an index of ionic interactions. Falkenhagen (3) derived an explicit expression for A in terms of limiting ionic equivalent conductances λ and solvent properties. For a 1:1 electrolyte in a solvent of viscosity η_0 and dielectric constant ϵ_0 , the expression reduces to

$$A = \frac{1.45}{\eta_0 (2\epsilon_0 T)^{1/2}} \left[\frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{4\lambda_1 \lambda_2} - \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)^2}{(3 + 2^{1/2})\lambda_1 \lambda_2 (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \right]$$
(2)

where the subscripts 1 and 2 designate cation and anion, respectively.

[†] Present address: South Australian Institute of Technology, Ingle Farm, S.A. 5098, Australia.

Table I. Equivalent Conductances of Cesium Chloride Solutions in Aqueous 20% Sucrose at 25 °C

 C	$\Lambda, \mathrm{cm}^2 \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{g \ equiv}^{-1}$	
0.0023138	92.579	
0.010 528	89.865	
0.016 607	88.587	
0.020 629	87.918	
0.040 881	85.446	
0.054 490	84.313	

If the influence of incomplete dissociation (or ion association) and the consequences of an approximation made in Falkenhagen's theory are neglected, the B coefficient may be regarded as an index of ion-solvent interaction.

Experimental Section

Relative viscosities were determined by using a flared-capillary viscometer described in a previous publication (4). For this viscometer kinetic energy corrections were unnecessary for water and 20% sucrose solution (4, 5) and hence for the systems included in the present study. Thus, relative viscosities were calculated according to

$$\eta_{\rm r} = \rho t / (\rho_0 t_0) \tag{3}$$

where ρ represents density and t flow time. The subscript 0 refers to values for the solvent. The uncertainty in the measurements of flow times was about 0.01%. For the minimum of three consecutive flow times which were averaged for each solution the reproducibility was usually much better than 0.01%.

Densities were determined by using tare pycnometry. Three single-stemmed bulb pycnometers of approximately 30-cm³ capacity were fabricated such that their masses were equal within 0.002 g and all contained the same volume of liquid (within 0.02 cm³) when filled to a scratch mark on the stem of each. An accompanying tare of closely similar mass and shape

C	ρ , g cm ⁻³	η	10 ⁴	С	ρ , g cm ⁻³	η_r	10 ⁴ Δ	С	ρ , g cm ⁻⁸	η,	1044
~	r, 5 ····	41			Aqueous Sol			~	r, 5 011	41	10 2
					NaCl ^a						
0.000 991	0.997 09	1.0002	0.5	0.024915	0.998 08	1.0031	-1.5	0.124 358	1.00217	1.0123	-0.6
0.001 975	0.99713	1.0003	1.2	0.047 287	0.999 01	1.0051	0.8	0.149 168	1.003 19	1.0145	-0.2
0.004 949	0.997 25	1.0007	1.0	0.049810	0.999 11	1.0056	-2.1	0.173 950	1.004 20	1.0166	0.7
0.009 940	0.997 46	1.0012	2.0	0.074 684	1.000 14	1.0077	0.2	0.198722	1.005 20	1.0188	0.2
0.019841	0.997 87	1.0024	0.6	0.099132	1.001 14	1.0100	-0.3	0.100722	1.000 20	1.0100	0.2
0.010 041	0.001 01	1.0024	0.0	0.000 102	KCl ^b	1.0100	0.0				
0.001 954	0.997 13	1.0001	1.4	0.049 030	0.999 36	1.0005	-0.8	0.147 700	1.003 97	1.0000	0.0
0.004 887	0.997 27	1.0002	0.8	0.074 994	1.000 57	1.0003	0.7	0.171721	1.005 08	0.9998	-0.3
0.009754	0.997 50	1.0002	-0.5	0.097 851	1.001 63	1.0003	-0.2	0.197 426	1.006 30	0.9996	0.1
0.025038	0.998 22	1.0004	-0.5	0.120943	1.00272	1.0003	0.2	0.197420	1.000.30	0.5550	0.1
0.020000	0.990 22	1.0000	-0.5	0.120 340		1.0001	0.5				
0.004 792	0.997 65	1.0000	0.8	0.072 175	CsCl ^c 1.006 38	0.9978	0.2	0.148 668	1.016 12	0.9945	0.2
0.012457	0.99867	1.0001	-1.0	0.074 560	1.006 66	0.9978	-1.0	0.173241	1.019 29	0.9935	-0.2
0.024904	1.000 27	0.9997	-1.3	0.098 295	1.009 69	0.9965	1.7	0.173 241 0.197 446	1.019 29	0.9933	-0.2
0.024 504	1.003 31	0.9985	-1.3 1.6		1.01297	0.9965					
0.048639	1.003 31	0.9965	1.0	0.123 886		0.9957	-1.1	0.197 801	1.022 40	0.9926	-2.3
0 000 940	0.998 00 ^d	1 0007	-0.3	0.074.900	NaI	1 0001	0.0	0 146 557	1 01 0 01	1 0005	0.1
0.009 840 0.024 754	0.998 00-	1.0007		0.074 399	1.005 57	1.0021	0.8	0.146 557	1.01381	1.0035	-0.1
		1.0012	-0.9	0.097 721	1.008 22	1.0026	0.3	0.172 133	1.01673	1.0038	0.9
0.0 49 423	1.00271	1.0017	-0.5	0.122280	1.011 04	1.0030	0.6	0.198 000	1.01969	1.0044	-1.2
0.000.005	0.000.04	0.0007	0.1	0.079.969	KI	0.0050	<u> </u>	0.147.150	1 01 4 77	0.000	~ ~
0.009 905	0.99824	0.9997	-0.1	0.073 362	1.005 88	0.9952	0.4	0.147 173	1.01475	0.9897	-0.6
0.024 367	0.999 99	0.9988	-0.8	0.096 931	1.00872	0.9935	-0.1	0.171 596	1.01768	0.9876	1.5
0.048 594	1.00291	0.9970	0.6	0.122 133	1.01174	0.9916	-0.3	0.197 602	1.02081	0.9858	-0.8
0.001.045	0.007.44	1 0000	0.0	0.070.050		0.0004	1.0	0.140.075	1 000 00	0.0040	
0.001 945	0.99744	1.0000	-0.3	0.073 959	1.01198	0.9924	1.0	0.146975	1.026 69	0.9843	0.5
0.004 886	0.998 03	0.9999	-2.3	0.096730	1.01657	0.9898	1.6	0.171 234	1.03158	0.9817	-0.3
0.024 489	1.00198	0.9980	-2.5	0.120467	1.021 36	0.9873	0.3	0.197 121	1.03682	0.9789	-1.3
0.049 117	1.00697	0.9950	1.0								
0 001 020	0.005.00	1 0001	0.0	0.050 5 41	KBr	0.0000		0.1.40.000	1 000	0.005-	. .
0.001968	0.997 22	1.0001	0.0	0.073741	1.003 30	0.9982	-1.2	0.148023	1.00957	0.9951	1.1
0.004 910	0.997 47	1.0002	-0.1	0.098 188	1.005 37	0.9973	-1.6	0.172543	1.01163	0.9944	-1.9
0.024 673 0.049 719	0.999 15 1.001 27	0.9996 0.9988	0.8 0.8	0.123768	1.007 53	0.9960	1.4	0.198011	1.01378	0.9931	0.9
0.040710	1.00127	0.0000	0.0								
				Aqueo	us 20% Sucro NaCl ^e	ose Soluti	ons				
0.001 098	1.07940	1.0003	0.8	0.028 244	1.080 44	1.0042	-1.7	0.142622	1.084 83	1.0164	0.6
0.002 183	1.079 45	1.0005	1.3	0.056 022	1.081 55	1.0072	0.0	0.168 645	1.085 82	1.0190	1.0
0.005381	1.079 57	1.0011	-0.4	0.056 653	1.081 56	1.0071	1.2	0.190787	1.086 69	1.0213	0.8
0.011 090	1.07979	1.0020	-1.4	0.080 237	1.082 47	1.0099	-1.1	0.202 452	1.087 14	1.0213	-1.7
0.020722	1.080 15	1.0030	1.5	0.109 123	1.083 57	1.0129	-0.1	0.202402	1.007 14	1.0220	-1.7
0.020722	1.000 10	1.0000	1.5	0.105 125		1.0125	-0.1				
0.010 269	1.079 82	1.0002	2.9	0.083648	KCl ^e 1.08365	1.0000	-1.0	0.166 360	1.086 59	0.9986	0.2
0.026 963	1.080 58	1.0003	2.5	0.107788	1.084 12	0.9998	-2.4	0.189794	1.087 60	0.9981	0.2
0.026 503	1.080 58	1.0003	-0.5	0.143 116	1.08561	0.9992	-2.4 -1.3	0.189794	1.08760	0.9981	1.4
0.00 - 141	1.001.00	1.0004	0.0	0.140 110		0.0002	-1.9	0.2109/0	11000.00	0.3310	1.4
0.005 254	1.080 01 ^d	1.0001	-0.5	0.081 225	CsCl 1.089 51	0.9961	0.0	0 161 167	1 000 05	0.0010	~ ~
							0.2	0.161 167	1.09935	0.9913	0.6
0.013 093 0.026 506	1.08101^{d}	0.9998	-0.8	0.106814	1.09268	0.9946	0.1	0.188 191	1.10268	0.9897	-0.2
0.026506	1.08271	0.9990	1.2	0.135 579	1.096 18	0.9927	1.7	0.213814	1.105 86	0.9882	-1.1
0.002 800	1.08599	0.9979	-1.9		_						
0.010 504	1 000 50	1 0010	0.0	0.079 457	NaI	1 0000	1.0	0 101 410	1.005.01	1 00 40	
0.010 594	1.080 59	1.0010	-2.6		1.088 20	1.0030	-1.0	0.161 416	1.097 21	1.0049	1.4
0.026769	1.08239	1.0014	0.3	0.106864	1.091 24	1.0037	-0.8	0.183 685	1.09965	1.0054	0.9
0.053917	1.085 38	1.0021	1.0	0.134 563	1.094 25	1.0041	2.1	0.213 845	1.103 05	1.0065	-2.4
0.005 288	1.079 88	0.0000		0.000 500	KI	0.0000		0 170 000	1.005.01	0.0050	~ -
0.005 288	1.079 88	0.9998 0.9982	-0.3 -2.4	$0.080586 \\ 0.106546$	1.08857 1.09156	0.9930 0.9906	0.8	0.159 232	1.09761	0.9856	0.5
							0.2	0.186423	1.10075	0.9829	1.6
0.053 250	1.085 41	0.9955	0.3	0.132621	1.094 56	0.9881	0.8	0.213635	1.103 94	0.9807	-2.4
0.002075	1.07975	0.99999	-0.4	0.054 010	CsI 1.089 91	0.9930	_ 0 1	0 191 600	1 105 10	0.0004	
0.002075	1.07975	0.9999	-0.4 -1.5		1.089 91 1.095 21		-0.1	0.131690	1.10513	0.9824	-0.4
0.005 375	1.08040 1.08453	0.9996		0.082249		0.9892	-0.5	0.157792	1.110 50	0.9785	2.1
0.020 000	1.004.00	0.5300	1.0	0.105272	1.099 95	0 .986 0	0.3	0.184922	1.11552	0.9751	-1.5
	1.07963	1.0004	-1.7	0.090.004	KBr 1.085 95	0 0065	9.0	0 160 050	1 009 97	0.0000	0 "
0.000 1.00		1.0004	-1.1	0.080 904	1.000 90	0.9965	2.9	0.160 959	1.09237	0.9922	2.5
0.002120			_1 1			0056					
0.002 120 0.005 503 0.026 659	1.079 90 1.081 60	1.0003 0.9997	-1.1 -2.2	0.106 649 0.129 178	1.088 08 1.089 82	0.9956 0.9939	-2.1 2.9	0.191 439 0.214 234	1.094 81 1.096 68	0.9906 0.9897	0.6 -3.6

^a Densities calculated by using the Root equation presented by Jones and Christian (6). ^b Densities obtained by graphical interpolation of the data of Jones and Talley (7), using successive approximations to the molarity of the solutions. ^c Densities obtained by graphical interpolation from a set of five measured values. ^d Obtained by graphical interpolation. ^e Densities obtained by graphical interpolation and extrapolation from a set of six measured values. ^f $\rho_{H_2O} = 0.99704_7$ g cm⁻³, $\rho_{20\%}$ success

Table II. Relative Viscosities and Densities of Aqueous and Aqueous 20% Sucrose Solutions of Single Electrolytes at 25 °C

was sealed after introducing a mass of water equal to that contained by a pycnometer when filled to the scratch mark at 25 °C.

The volume V of each pycnometer was obtained by weighing the water contained at 25 °C. Also the difference in mass m_1 between the pycnometer filled with water and the sealed tare was obtained in each case. In practice it was found most convenient not to adjust the meniscus level in the stem to coincide with the scratch mark. Instead, after thermal equilibration, the small separation between meniscus and scratch mark was measured with a cathetometer. Knowing the area of cross section of the capillary used in the stem, one can calculate a correction to the volume.

When measuring the density of a solution, we weighed the filled pycnometer and tare and the difference in mass m_2 was obtained. The Δd , the difference in density between the solution and water, is given by

$$\Delta d = [(m_2 - m_1)/V](1 - d_a/d_m)$$
(4)

where d_a is the density of air and d_m the density of the balance weights. With this procedure it is not necessary to know the density of air to the high accuracy which is required if a tare is not used.

For solutions of sodium or potassium chloride in water, densities were generally obtained by interpolation of literature data (6, 7). However, a few check determinations were made. The temperature of the water bath used in the viscosity and

density measurements was controlled at 25 ± 0.002 °C.

For calculation of the A coefficients, data were available for solvent dielectric constants (8, 9) and viscosities (10, 11) and for all the limiting ionic equivalent conductances (12, 13) except that for cesium ion in 20% sucrose. We therefore measured the conductances of some solutions of cesium chloride in 20% sucrose using conventional techniques. The temperture of the oil bath used in the conductance measurements was controlled at 25 \pm 0.002 °C.

Materials

Water. Demineralized water from a bulk supply was once distilled from glass, yielding water of average specific conductivity 1.3 \times 10⁻⁶ Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹. This water was used for all recrystallizations and the preparation of all solutions except those used for conductance measurements. For this purpose a second distillation yielding water of specific conductivity 1.1 \times 10⁻⁶ Ω^{-1} cm⁻¹ was made.

Sucrose. BDH microanalytical reagent sucrose was dried at 30 °C under vacuum.

Sodium and Potassium Chiorides. The analytical reagent grade chioride was recrystallized once from water and the dried product was fused in a platinum crucible.

Cesium Chioride. BDH laboratory reagent grade cesium chloride was 3 times recrystallized from water. Flame photometric analysis (14) indicated the presence of impurities in the following insignificant amounts (ppm): Li, <1; Na, 18; K, 1; Rb, 40.

Sodium and Potassium Iodides. The corresponding anhydrous carbonate (BDH laboratory reagent, once recrystallized) was neutralized with freshly distilled BDH hydriodic acid. The products were twice recrystallized from water to which a few drops of hydriodic acid were added.

Cesium Iodide. Stolchiometric quantities of solutions of BDH laboratory reagent barium iodide and cesium sulfate were mixed and the resultant barium sulfate was removed by centrifugation and filtration. The cesium iodide was 3 times recrystallized from water.

Potassium Bromide. Univar (Ajax Chemical Co. Sydney) grade potassium bromide was 3 times recrystallized.

Table III. Coefficients k and l of the Root Equation $\rho = \rho_0 + kC - lC^{3/2}$ for Electrolytes in Aqueous Solution and Aqueous 20% Sucrose Solution at 25 °C

	data points	k	${ m SE}(k)^{a}$	l	$SE(l)^a$	$10^{5}\Delta_{av}$		
Aqueous Solutions ^b								
CsCl	5	0.1285	±0.0003	0.0003	±0.0007	0.6		
NaI	8	0.1146	± 0.0001	0.0007	±0.0003	0.5		
KI	9	0.1207	± 0.0001	0.0011	± 0.0003	0.4		
CsI	10	0.2019	± 0.0002	0.0005	± 0.0005	0.8		
KBr	10	0.08526	± 0.00007	0.0018	± 0.0002	0.3		
		Aqueous	20% Sucro	se Solutio	ns ^c			
NaCl	6	0.0393	± 0.0004	0.0027	± 0.0012	1		
KCl	5	0.0457	± 0.0002	0.0056	± 0.0005	1		
CsCl	8	0.1262	± 0.0004	0.0053	± 0.0010	2		
NaI	9	0.1119	± 0.0007	0.0031	± 0.0016	3		
KI	7	0.1126	± 0.0004	-0.0051	± 0.0009	1		
CsI	7	0.1926	± 0.0004	-0.0087	± 0.0011	1		
KBr	9	0.0830	± 0.0007	0.0052	±0.0018	3		
a CIP -			k _ 0.00	7 04	-3 6	1 05005		

 a SE = standard error. $^{b}\rho_{0} = 0.99704_{7}$ g cm⁻³. $^{c}\rho_{0} = 1.07937$ g cm⁻³.

Prior to use all salts were dried by heating to above 100 °C under vacuum and were stored in vacuum desiccators. All solutions were prepared by weight and vacuum corrections were applied to all masses.

Results and Discussion

Conductance. In Table I we present the values of the measured equivalent conductance, Λ , for cesium chloride at various concentrations, *C*, in 20% aqueous sucrose solution. The limiting equivalent conductance Λ^0 was estimated by using the relation (15)

$$\Lambda^{0}_{\text{calcd}} = \Lambda + \frac{(B_{1}\Lambda + B_{2})C^{1/2}}{1 + (B\dot{a} - B_{1})C^{1/2}}$$
(5)

in which *B*, *B*₁, and *B*₂ are constants of Debye–Hückel–Onsager theory. The resulting values of Λ^0_{calod} were extrapolated against *C* to *C* = 0 to yield a final value for Λ^0 . Three extrapolations were made by using a = 2.5, 3.0, and 4.0 Å. All resulted in the value for $\Lambda^0 = 95.2$, cm² Ω^{-1} g equiv⁻¹. Since $\lambda^0_{C\Gamma}$ in this solvent is known to be equal to 48.2, $\lambda^0_{Cs^+}$ may be taken as 47.0 cm² Ω^{-1} g equiv⁻¹.

Densities. Measured and/or interpolated densities are included in Table II and Root equation coefficients for a least-squares fit of the measured densities are presented in Table III. The precision of the density measurements was a few parts in 10^5 .

Viscosities. Values for the relative viscosities of the solutions studied are presented in Table II. These data were fitted to the Jones-Dole equation using the method of least squares. Table II includes values of Δ , the difference between the experimental value of η_r and that calculated from the Jones-Dole equation using the values of A and B obtained for the corresponding system by least-squares fit of the data. The resultant values of the A and B coefficients together with the standard errors of the estimate of each are sumarized in Table IV. In each instance the expected value of the A coefficient, calculated by using eq 2, is presented for comparison. No explanation is offered for the significant discrepancy observed, in several of the aqueous sucrose systems, between the calcu-. lated value of the A coefficient and that obtained experimentally. If the theoretical value of A is substituted in the Jones-Dole equation, then $\eta_r = 1 - AC^{1/2}$ should be linear in C with slope B. However, for the systems in question, we find the fit of our data using this function to be significantly worse than for the case where both A and B are treated as adjustable parameters.

Table IV. Coefficients A and B of the Jones-Dole Equation (Eq 1) for Electrolytes in Aqueous Solution and Aqueous 20% Sucrose Solution at 25 °C

	Α	$SE(A)^{a}$	A(theory)	В	SE(B) ^a	B(lit.)
			Aqueous Solut	ion		
NaCl	0.0060	± 0.0005	0.0060	0.081	±0.001	0.079 (6, 16)
KCl	0.0050	±0.0003	0.0050	-0.013	±0.001	-0.014 (7, 18)
CsCl	0.0052	±0.0006	0.0049	-0.050	±0.002	.,,,,
NaI	0.0053	±0.0004	0.0060	0.010	±0.001	
KI	0.0052	± 0.0004	0.0050	-0.084	±0.001	-0.076 (19)
						-0.088 (20)
CsI	0.0038	± 0.0008	0.0049	-0.116	± 0.002	-0.118 (21)
KBr	0.0054	± 0.0006	0.0050	-0.046	±0.002	-0.049 (22)
		Ad	ueous 20% Sucrose	Solutions		
NaCl	0.0082	±0.0005	0.0052	0.093	± 0.001	
KCl	0.0075	±0.0009	0.0043	-0.027	± 0.002	
CsCl	0.0056	± 0.0005	0.0043	-0.068	±0.001	
NaI	0.0057	±0.0009	0.0053	0.017	± 0.002	
KI	0.0035	± 0.0007	0.0044	-0.099	± 0.002	
CsI	0.0033	± 0.0007	0.0044	-0.143	± 0.002	
KBr	0.0077	± 0.0012	0.0043	-0.066	±0.003	

^aSE = standard error.

Table V. Differences between B Coefficients

Alkali Halides in Aqueous 20% Sucrose Solution at 25 °C $B_{\rm NaCl} - B_{\rm NaI} = 0.076 \pm 0.003$ $B_{\rm KCl} - B_{\rm KI} = 0.072 \pm 0.004$ $B_{\rm CsCl} - B_{\rm CsI} = 0.075 \pm 0.003$

Alkali Halides in Aqueous Solution at 25 °C $B_{\text{NaCl}} - B_{\text{NaI}} = 0.071 \pm 0.002$ $B_{\text{KCl}} - B_{\text{KI}} = 0.071 \pm 0.002$ $B_{\text{CaCl}} - B_{\text{CaCI}} = 0.066 \pm 0.004$

 $B_{\rm NaCl} - B_{\rm KCl} = 0.094 \pm 0.002$

Literature $B_{\rm NaCl} - B_{\rm KCl} = 0.093 \ (17)$

Table VI. Ionic B Coefficients

	W	20% aq sucrose			
ion	this work	lit.	(this work)		
Na ⁺	0.088	0.086 (17)	0.107		
K+	(-0.0065)	(-0.007)(17)	(-0.014)		
Cs+	-0.044	-0.045 (23)	-0.055		
C1-	(-0.0065)	(-0.007) (17)	(-0.014)		
Br⁻	-0.040	-0.042 (17)	-0.053		
		-0.032 (23)			
I-	-0.078 (KI)	-0.069 (17)	-0.088^{b}		
		-0.081 (<i>20</i>)ª			
	-0.078 (NaI)				
	~0.073 (CsI)	-0.073 (21)ª			

^a The references in these cases represent the source of the datum for the appropriate salt. b An average of values derived from the Bcoefficients of KI. NaI. and CsI.

That the B coefficient for each of the alkali halides in the aqueous sucrose solution is an additive property of the constituent ions is indicated by the results presented in Table V. Differences between the B coefficients of the chloride and iodide of sodium, potassium, and cesium are shown to be constant within experimental error. The constancy of the corresponding differences for the aqueous solutions is also confirmed and, in addition, the difference B(NaCl) - B(KCl) is in agreement with that obtained by Kaminsky (16).

The electrical mobilities of the potassium and chloride ions in 20% sucrose solution differ by only 4% (13). We therefore

adopt the practice used for aqueous solutions (17) and assign equal values to the B coefficients of these two ions. Individual ionic B coefficients calculated on this basis are presented in Table VI. It may be seen that dissolved ions have a greater effect upon the viscosity of the sucrose solution than they do upon the viscosity of water at 25 °C. Positive B coefficients are more positive in the sucrose solution and negative B coefficients are more negative in this solvent. This trend is similar to that which occurs in aqueous solutions when the temperature is lowered (17).

Acknowledgment

We are indebted to Mr. G. Duthle for his assistance in making the pycnometers and tare.

Literature Cited

- Jones, G.; Dole, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1929, 51, 2950.
 Stokes, R. H.; Millis, R. "Viscosity of Electrolytes and Related Properties"; Pergamon Press. London, 1965; Chapters 3 and 4.
- Falkenhagen, H. *Phys. Z.* 1931, *32*, 365, 745. James, C. J.; Mulcahy, D. E.; Steel, B. J. *J. Phys. D* 1984, *17*, 225.
- (5)
- (8)
- (7)
- Mulcahy, D. E. J. Phys. D 1984, 17, 219. Jones, G.; Christian, S. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1937, 59, 484. Jones, G.; Talley, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1933, 55, 624. Robinson, R. A.; Stokes, R. H. "Electrolyte Solutions", 2nd ed (8) , 2nd ed., revised; Butterworths: London, 1970; Appendix 1.2, p 458. Harned, H. S.; Owen, B. B. "The Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic
- (9) Solutions", 2nd ed.; Reinhold: New York, 1950; Table 5-1-4, p 118. (10)
- Reference 8, Appendix 1.1, p 457. Supplement (1958) to: "Polarimetry, Saccharimetry and the Sugars"; (11)Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office: Was-ington, DC, 1942; *Natl. Bur. Stand. (U.S.), Circ.* No. 440. Actually the value 1.701 cP was used (see ref 4).
- (12) Reference 8, Appendix 6.1, p 463.
 (13) Steel, B. J.; Stokes, J. M.; Stokes, R. H. J. Phys. Chem. 1958, 62, 1514.
- (14) Analysis performed by AMDEL (Australian Mineral Development Labo-ratories), Adelaide. ratories), Adelaide. Reference 6, p 145. Kaminsky, M. Z. Phys. Chem., (Frankfurt am Main) 1956, 8, 173. Kaminsky, M. Z. Phys. Chem., (Frankfurt am Main) 1957, 12, 206. Kaminsky, M. Z. Phys. Chem., (Frankfurt am Main) 1957, 12, 206. Kaminsky, M. Z. Phys. Chem., (Frankfurt am Main) 1955, 5, 154. Laurence, V. D.; Wolfenden, J. H. J. Chem. Soc. 1934, 1144. Jones, G.; Toriwalt, H. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1936, 55, 4124. Jones, G.; Talley, S. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1933, 55, 4124. Gurnav R. W. "Ionic Proceases in Solution"; Dover: New York. 1962
- (15)
- (16) (17)
- (18)
- (19)
- (20)
- (21)
- Gurney, R. W. "Ionic Processes in Solution"; Dover: New York, 1962 (23) (originally published by McGraw-Hill, 1953); Table 24, p 168.

Received for review March 26, 1984. Accepted August 13, 1984.