Table II. Boiling Poini Data of $\beta$-Ethoxypropionitrile

| $\begin{array}{cl} \mathrm{Sl} \\ \text { no. } \end{array}$ | $P, \mathrm{mmHg}$ | Boiling point ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { d(deviation) } \\ \text { in } \% \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exptl | Calcd |  |
| 1 | 25 | 75.1 | 77.5 | +3.2 |
| 2 | 30 | 81.0 | 81.4 | +0.49 |
| 3 | 40 | 88.2 | 87.7 | -0.57 |
| 4 | 50 | 93.5 | 92.9 | -0.64 |
| 5 | 60 | 97.4 | 97.2 | -0.21 |
| 6 | 70 | 102.5 | 100.9 | -1.56 |
| 7 | 80 | 103.7 | 104.2 | +0.48 |
| 8 | 90 | 106.5 | 107.2 | +0.66 |
| 9 | 100 | 109.4 | 109.9 | +0.46 |
| 10 | 200 | 128.6 | 128.9 | +0.23 |
| 11 | 300 | 141.1 | 141.0 | -0.07 |
| 12 | 400 | 150.7 | 150.1 | -0.40 |
| 13 | 500 | 158.5 | 157.4 | -0.69 |
| 14 | 600 | 164.6 | 163.7 | -0.55 |
| 15 | 760 | 171.7 | 172.1 | +0.23 |

${ }^{a}$ (Calculated - experimental)/experimental $\times 100$. Average deviation $=0.696 \%$.

## Results

The experimental results are fitted to the Calingaert-Davis equation using the method of least squares. The constants $A$ and $B$ of the Calingaert-Davis equation are found to be 7.6998 and 1937.5, respectively. The equation is as follows:

$$
\log P=7.6998-(1937.5 /(230+t))
$$

where $P=$ pressure in mmHg and $t=$ boiling point, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The calculated values from the above equation are compared with the experimental values (Table II), and the average deviation is found to be $0.696 \%$.
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#### Abstract

In this paper we present the results of high precision experimental PVT measurements in compressed fluid ethane. The PVT measurements were performed on 22 nearly isochoric samples of ethane whose nominal densitles ranged from 0.03 to $0.57 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. In addition to these isochoric measurements, saturated vapor pressures have been measured at temperatures ranging from 214 to 305 K . Saturated liquid densities, thought to be accurate to wilhin a few tenths of one percent, have been obtalned from the intersection of the equation of state used to smooth the experimental isochoric data and the vapor pressure curve. The accuracy of the data is estimated to be within $0.2 \%$ except in the very neighborhood of the critical point.


There have been three major experimental investigations of pressure-volume-temperature relationships for compressed fluid ethane. The earliest study was performed by Reamer et al. ( 7 ) in 1944 and covered the temperature range of $310-510 \mathrm{~K}$, with pressures ranging from 5 to $70 \mathrm{MPa}(1 \mathrm{MPa}=9.869233$ atm $=145.0377$ psia $=10$ bar). Later, Michels et al. (6) published PVT measurements in the range of $273-423 \mathrm{~K}$ and $1.5-22$ MPa. More recently, Douslin and Harrison (3) have reported comprehensive high precision measurements in the temperature range of $248-623 \mathrm{~K}$ with pressures ranging from 1.2 to 41 MPa . Even though these investigations cover a considerable portion

[^0]of the PVT surface for ethane (see Figure 1), the low temperature, high density fluid remains uninvestigated.

In an attempt to correct this situation, the PVT relationship for 22 nearly isochoric samples of fluid ethane has been measured. These measurements range in density from 0.03 to 0.57 $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ with temperatures ranging from 157 to 340 K at pressures to 70 MPa . Pressure measurements for the saturated vapor over the temperature range of 214-305 K are also reported and graphically compared to the results of other authors. Finally, saturated liquid densities have been obtained from the intersection of the function used to smooth the experimental PVT data and the vapor pressure curve.

## Experimental Section

The experimental apparatus and procedure have been described in detail elsewhere ( 9,11 ). Briefly, however, the stainless steel isochoric chamber was charged with ethane to a predetermined pressure, the mass of the charge being determined by differential weighings. The zero pressure volume of the system had been previously determined as a function of temperature by making a series of Burnett ( 1 ) type expansions into a reference chamber of known volume. The actual volume of the system at a given temperature and pressure was then calculated by correcting for the pressure distortion of the isochoric chamber (8). The uncertainty in the system volume obtained in this manner was estimated to be less than $0.025 \%$.

Temperatures were measured on the IPTS-1948 using a platinum resistance thermometer which had been calibrated by the manufacturer. (This calibration was traceable to a calibration by the National Bureau of Standards.) These temperatures were then converted to the IPTS-1969 using the tables found in ref 2. The temperature of the system was controlled to within $\pm 0.002$


|  |  | 13.6156 | 328.588 | 27.6381 | 339.989 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 15.4913 | 335.200 |  |  |  |
|  | 17.2370 | 341.335 |  |  |  |
| $0.41241 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $0.43763 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $0.45251 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |  |  |  |
| 2.6071 | 269.313 | 2.2940 | 257.326 | 1.9908 | 249.192 |
| 2.8600 | 269.861 | 2.6232 | 257.911 | 2.6578 | 250.248 |
| 3.1732 | 270.539 | 3.2730 | 259.065 | 4.6318 | 253.374 |
| 3.5523 | 271.359 | 5.7440 | 263.450 | 7.9639 | 258.654 |
| 4.2140 | 272.788 | 8.6662 | 268.631 | 12.5036 | 265.858 |
| 6.4519 | 277.605 | 11.4107 | 273.496 | 16.9763 | 272.972 |
| 8.4030 | 281.789 | 16.8341 | 283.116 | 20.7790 | 279.035 |
| 10.5196 | 286.317 | 21.4327 | 291.287 | 24.6290 | 285.189 |
| 12.3976 | 290.328 | 25.4120 | 298.372 | 30.6459 | 294.840 |
| 15.1557 | 296.212 | 30.3884 | 307.254 | 36.6230 | 304.470 |
| 17.9385 | 302.145 | 37.2415 | 319.529 | 44.2010 | 316.744 |
| 21.9583 | 310.715 |  |  |  |  |
| 25.8855 | 319.093 |  |  |  |  |
| 32.9676 | 334.225 |  |  |  |  |
| a $1 \mathrm{MPa}=$ | 9.869233 atm $=145.0377$ psia $=10$ bar. |  |  |  |  |

K by means of a commercial temperature controller. The absolute accuracy of the temperature measurement is believed to be within $\pm 0.015 \mathrm{~K}$. Pressures were measured by referencing the system pressure to oil pressures derived from an oil dead weight gauge. The estimated accuracy of the pressure measurement was on the order of $0.01 \%$ at the highest pressures, increasing to $0.05 \%$ at the lowest pressures investigated.

The experimental procedure consisted of charging the ethane to the system and determining the differential mass. After the system reached temperature equilibrium, the temperature and pressure of the sample were recorded. The temperature of the system was then changed and a second pressure was recorded. In this manner, a series of pressure-temperature determinations was made on a constant mass sample. Usually, the temperature


Figure 1. Schematic representation of the $P-T$ surface of ethane and the region of the surface covered by this and previous investigations. Note that this work (the shaded region) constitutes the first investigation of the low temperature, high density fluid.


Figure 2. This figure presents a percentage deviation plot of the experimental ethane vapor pressures. The reference values, $P_{\text {ref }}$, were calculated using a correlation proposed by Goodwin (5). Symbol key: $\square(3), \Delta(4)$, and $O$ this work.


Flgure 3. Percentage deviation plot of experimental saturated liquid densities. The reference values were calculated using a correlation proposed by Goodwin (5). Note the qualitative agreement in the systematic trends of the data sets. Symbol key: $\square$ (3), $\Delta$ (4), and - this work.
of the system was initially set at the highest temperature of interest and then lowered to generate the pseudo-isochoric data. This procedure was adopted so that when the two-phase boundary was reached, measurements could be continued along the vapor pressure curve. For further information as to the experimental apparatus and technique, the reader is referred to ref 11.

The ethane used in this investigation was Phillips Research Grade whose purity was shown to be $99.95 \%$ (w/w) by GLC analysis.

## Results and Discussion

Due to the temperature and pressure changes in the volume of the isochoric cell, the density of the constant mass sample

Table II. Vapor Pressures of Ethane

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , K | $p, \mathrm{MPa}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 214.302 | 0.3973 | 282.247 | 2.9540 |
| 221.101 | 0.5153 | 284.635 | 3.1174 |
| 224.102 | 0.5712 | 287.653 | 3.3365 |
| 229.756 | 0.6948 | 288.263 | 3.3833 |
| 234.558 | 0.8140 | 290.040 | 3.5147 |
| 239.844 | 0.9622 | 292.236 | 3.6927 |
| 240.514 | 0.9824 | 293.098 | 3.7573 |
| 243.359 | 1.0716 | 296.347 | 4.0287 |
| 246.814 | 1.1869 | 299.665 | 4.3220 |
| 247.816 | 1.2210 | 300.205 | 4.3737 |
| 249.741 | 1.2931 | 300.443 | 4.3881 |
| 250.146 | 1.3070 | 303.451 | 4.4693 |
| 251.587 | 1.3621 | 303.477 | 4.6815 |
| 252.544 | 1.3989 | 304.049 | 4.6893 |
| 254.290 | 1.4682 | 304.360 | 4.7390 |
| 257.543 | 1.6035 | 304.446 | 4.7846 |
| 263.380 | 1.8699 | 304.519 | 4.7828 |
| 267.536 | 2.0792 | 304.734 | 4.8059 |
| 271.749 | 2.3068 | 304.796 | 4.8148 |
| 275.922 | 2.5492 | 304.924 | 4.8316 |
| 276.363 | 2.5793 | 304.980 | 4.8345 |
| 276.385 | 2.5786 | 305.121 | 4.8484 |
| 276.514 | 2.5886 | 305.135 | 4.8459 |
| 277.813 | 2.6667 | 305.153 | 4.8515 |
| 280.041 | 2.8071 |  |  |

Table III. Saturated Liquld Densities of Ethane

| $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | $\rho, \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ | $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | $\rho, \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| 215.775 | 0.50375 | 256.493 | 0.43763 |
| 223.500 | 0.49383 | 268.412 | 0.41241 |
| 230.486 | 0.48214 | 277.858 | 0.39056 |
| 241.202 | 0.46469 | 292.736 | 0.34208 |
| 248.479 | 0.45251 | 301.727 | 0.29134 |
|  |  | 304.038 | 0.26493 |

was not constant. Thus, after correcting the raw experimental data for volume changes, one actually has measured pseudo rather than true isochoric data. In order to obtain results along a true isochore, the pseudo-isochoric data were fit to an equation of state of the form proposed by Vennix and Kobayashi (10) with appropriate modifications for the temperature and density range covered in this investigation. To minimize the possibility of serious systematic errors in the equation of state, the highly precise data of Douslin and Harrison (3) were included (with equal weight) in the regression analysis. The resulting equation was then used to calculate a $\Delta p$ for a $\Delta \rho$ where

$$
\Delta \rho=\rho \text { (true isochore) }-\rho \text { (pseudo-isochore })
$$

at the experimental temperature. Table l ists the isochoric experimental data that have been obtained in this manner. It should be pointed out that the constant densities listed in Table I differ by only a few tenths of one percent from the experimentally observed densities. In other words the amount of correction being done by the equation of state is very small and should be regarded as a correction for the temperature and pressure distortion of the system. The original unsmoothed experimental data are available upon request. (In addition to the 22 isochores listed in Table I, two additional isochores have been studied, and data are available. These isochores were not included in Table I due to the fact that they are very close to the critical isochore and did not smooth well.)

Table II lists the vapor pressure measurements and Figure 2 compares these measurements to those of Douslin and Harrison
(3) and those reported by Eubank (4). The reference values in Figure 2 are those calculated by Goodwin (5) and have been chosen only as a convenient reference point without regard to their overall accuracy.

Table III lists selected saturated liquid densities which were obtained by calculating the intersection of the smoothed isochores and the vapor pressure curve. Data were not obtained above 304 K due to the difficulties encountered with the smoothing equation in the critical region. Figure 3 graphically compares these densities to those of ref 3 and 4.
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# Vapor Pressures and Enthalpies of Vaporization of Benzyl Halides 
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#### Abstract

Vapor pressures over a range of temperatures have been measured for the benzyl halides using an isoteniscope. The calculated enthalpies of vaporization at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are $44.5 \pm$ $0.4,50.1 \pm 0.5,50.5 \pm 0.5$, and $50.6 \pm 1.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$, respectively, for the fluoride, chloride, bromide, and lodide. The enthalples of fusion of benzyl bromide and iodide, measured by differential scanning calorimetry, are $13.7 \pm$ $0.2 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at $\mathbf{- 1 . 4}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $13.2 \pm 0.4 \mathrm{~kJ} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ at 26.3 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively.


During thermochemical measurements on benzyl halides (2) a literature search revealed that values of the enthalpies of vaporization were available only for the chloride and bromide members of the series, and that these were based on old work (7). Accordingly, a study of the temperature dependence of vapor pressures of all four benzyl halides was undertaken.

## Experimental Section

Materials. Benzyl chloride, benzyl bromide, and $n$-heptane (all from British Drug Houses) and benzyl fluoride (P.C.R. Chemicals, Gainesville, Fla.) were dried and fractionally distilled before use. Benzyl iodide was prepared by the method of Coleman and Hauser ( 5 ) and purified by fractional crystallization. The purities of these materials, as determined by GLC, are reported in Table I which also includes melting temperatures and enthalpies of fusion. Analyses were by a Philips PV4000 gasliquid chromatograph, equipped with a $3 \mathrm{~m} \times 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ column ( 10 mass \% squalane on diatomite ' $C$ ') and a flame ionization detector. Nitrogen was used as a carrier gas. It is considered that this equipment could detect impurities with the exception of water, down to 0.01 mass \% or better. Enthalpies of fusion were found by differential scanning calorimetry (Perkin-Elmer DSC-1) only for the bromide and iodide.

Vapor Pressures. Vapor pressures were measured directly by means of an isoteniscope and a vacuum system using standard techniques (11). A closed-ended mercury manometer was
used to record the pressure, the mercury height being read with a cathetometer. Pressures were corrected to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and standard gravity. The isoteniscope was immersed in a stirred, thermostated oil bath of which the temperature close to the bulb was read by a series of short-range mercury thermometers (British Standards 593) calibrated on the IPTS 68 scale.

## Results

Between three and six readings of vapor pressure were taken at each temperature, the mean values of each group being reported in Table II. The scatter of the values about these means was about $0.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 0.1 mmHg , respectively, although the thermometers could be read to the nearest $0.02^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the cathetometer to the nearest 0.01 mm .

The original, unaveraged results were fitted to the Antoine equations

$$
\left.\log p(\mathrm{mmHg})=A-B /\left(t^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)+C\right)
$$

by a least-squares procedure with $t$ as the independent variable, the value of the constant $C$ being first calculated from the correlation given by Kreglewski and Zwolinski ( 8 )

$$
C=273.2-0.04 T_{\mathrm{b}}-3 \times 10^{-4} T_{\mathrm{b}}^{2}
$$

where $T_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{K})$ is the boiling temperature (Table III). The calculated Antoine constants are presented in Table III together with their

Table I. Purities (GLC), Melting Temperatures, and Enthalpies of Fusion of Benzyl Halides

| Compound | Purity, <br> mass $\%$ | Melting <br> temp, <br> ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\Delta_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ at <br> melting temp, <br> kJ mol |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzyl fluoride | 99.50 |  |  |
| Benzyl chloride | 99.85 |  |  |
| Benzyl bromide | 99.80 | -1.4 | $13.7 \pm 0.2$ |
| Benzyl iodide | 99.87 | 26.3 | $13.2 \pm 0.4$ |
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