



Cl₂·2H₂O even at 25 °C, in the presence of all six solvents under consideration. Further, dehydration from SrCl+2H₂O -SrCl₂·H₂O takes place at 70 °C, in the presence of both formic acid and acetic acid. In fact with acetic acid, the second step can be achieved even at 50 °C. In some cases at very high concentrations of solvents, solid phase in equilibrium with solutions contained a few moles of solvent. These results show that the drop in phase transition temperatures obtained in the presence of solvents is considerable; i.e., by use of organic solvents, hydrated salts can be partially or completely dehydrated at much lower temperatures compared to those involved in the presence of air or pure aqueous systems.

Correlation of Solubility Data in the Form of Achumow's Equation²

It is difficult to predict solubility of electrolytes in solvents, as the solubility of a salt depends upon many factors, such as, dielectric constant, dissociation constant of the solvent, size and charge of ions, temperature, solvent-solute interaction, etc. In some cases, where a salt is insoluble in pure organic liquids, the solubility of salt in organic liquid-water mixtures can be extrapolated or interpolated at a given temperature to limited degree by Achumow's equation

 $y = y_1(1-x)^n$

or

$$\log y = \log y_1 + n \log (1 - x)$$

where v = solubility of salt in solvent-water mixture (mol of salt/100 mol of solvent mixture), y_1 = solubility of salt in pure water (mol of salt/100 mol of water), and (1 - x) = mole fraction of water in solvent-water mixture.

The value of n in the equation is to be found from a few sets of experimental data and plotting log y against log (1 - x). The slope of this line gives the value of n. The data are plotted in Figure 3. The values of the *n* have been found from the linear portion of the curve. The typical equations from which the solubility data can be predicted are as follows for SrCl₂-2propanol-water.

$$y = 6.35(1 - x)^{2.7}$$
 for 25 °C isotherm (1)

$$y = 8.23(1 - x)^{2.3}$$
 for 50 °C isotherm (2)

Similar equations were obtained for other systems with limitations earlier expressed.

Literature Cited

- Kolarow, N. S., Manewa, M., Monatsh. Chem., 101, 1751-8 (1970).
 Emons, H. H., Roser, H., Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 346, 225-233 (1966).
 Emons, H. H., Gruner, H., Winkler, F., Z. Chem., 10, 414-6 (1970).
 Emons, H. H., Maroska, A., Winkler, F., Z. Chem., 10, 311-2 (1970).
 Winkler, F., Emons, H. H., Sonderdurck Wissenschaftliche Zeitschrift der Techmische Hochscule for chemie, 11, 235-242 (1969).
 Gavini, S. N., Vyas, S. N., Indian J. Technol., 16, 333-5 (1976).
- Gavini, S. N., Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, 1977.
 Mitchell, J., Jr., Smith, D. M., "Aquametry", Interscience, London, 1948,
- p 19. Vyas, S. N., Venkarataman, R., *Indian J. Technol.*, **12**, 199–202 (1974).
- (10) Vyas, S. N., Raman, K., Indian J. Technol., 12, 339-42 (1974).

Received for review December 6, 1978. Accepted June 8, 1979.

Pressure–Volume–Temperature Relationships of CH₃COOC₂H₅ and Generalized Tait Equation for Liquids at High Pressures

Akibumi Kumagai* and Hiroji Iwasaki

Chemical Research Institute of Non-Aqueous Solutions, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, Japan

Experimental P-V-T data of CH₃COOC₂H₅ have been determined for temperatures from -20 to +40 °C and pressures up to 1500 atm with an accuracy better than 0.13%. The Tait equation parameters were correlated by use of 321 P-V-T data points of ten compounds including CH₃COOC₂H₅. The average deviations are 0.5% in the specific volumes calculated by the Tait equation with the correlated parameters.

P-V-T relationships of liquids at high pressures are often unavailable for desired pressures, temperatures, and compounds

in engineering calculations. Various methods have been studied in predicting liquid volumes at high pressures (1-6, 12-17). However, most of these methods are not always possible to estimate with reasonable accuracy the volumetric properties of liquids.

The Tait equation has had considerable success in describing P-V-T data, but this use has been limited to compounds for which the Tait parameters are available. Hence the development of the correlation of the Tait parameters may be useful in the prediction of P-V-T data for a wide range of liquids. It has been previously shown that an approximately linear relationship exists between the reduced Tait parameter, B_T/P_c , and the

pres-	specific vol, cm ³ /g				
sure, atm	-20 °C 0 °C		20 ° C	40°C	
$\overline{P_0}$	1.0556 (-) ^a	$1.0821 (-)^a$	1.1110 (0.1) ^a	$1.1420 (0.2)^a$	
ľ94	1.0395	1.0625	1.0878	1.1143	
388	1.0268	1.0474	1.0701	1.0941	
581	1.0152	1.0335	1.0550	1.0776	
774	1.0047	1.0221	1.0417	1.0629	
968	0.9956	1.0122	1.0304	1.0493	
1161	0.9873	1.0028	1.0192	1.0371	
1354	0.9795	0.9938	1.0095	1.0267	
1547	0.9726	0.9865	1.0012	1.0166	

Table I. Specific Volumes of Ethyl Acetate

^a Saturated vapor pressure, P_0 (atm).

Table II. Tait Equation Parameters for Ethyl Acetate

temp, °C	B_T , atm	С	
 -20	1064	3	
0	885	0 0070	
20	744	0.0070	
40	632)	

reciprocal of the reduced temperature for several liquids (10), but this correlation fails for CH₃COOCH₃, which has a large value for the ratio of $T_{\rm b}/T_{\rm c}$, compared with those for other liquids.

The purpose of this investigation is to determine P-V-T data of CH₃COOC₂H₅ with the large temperature ratio of T_b against T_c and to develop a new correlation for various liquids including CH₃COOC₂H₅.

P-V-T Relationships of CH₃COOC₂H₅

The apparatus and the experimental procedure were the same as those described previously (9, 11). The sample of CH₃C-OOC₂H₅ was obtained from Nakarai Chemicals, Ltd. (Japan), of purity stated to be better than 99%, and no further purification was attempted. Table I presents the experimental specific volumes for CH₃COOC₂H₅. All measured points lie on a smooth curve with deviations less than 0.13% at each isotherm. The largest deviation of the fit of the specific volumes at saturated vapor pressures was 0.06% from the previous literature (7). The Tait equation is written as

$$(V_0 - V)/V_0 = C \ln ((B_T + P)/(B_T + P_0))$$
(1)

The Tait parameters B_{T} and C were evaluated from the experimental P-V-T data for each of the four isotherms by a least-squares method. Table II is a summary of the results. In this evaluation, the specific volume at the saturated vapor pressure, P_{0} , was chosen as V_{0} . The Tait equation with the parameters listed in Table II reproduces the experimental



Figure 1. Tait parameter B_{τ} as function of reciprocal of temperature. (Numbers refer to compounds in Table III.)

specific volumes with a deviation not exceeding 0.13%.

Correlation for Tait Parameters B_T and C

The Tait parameter $B_7(8, 9, 11)$ is inversely proportional to temperature for several liquids including $CH_3COOC_2H_5$ as shown in Figure 1.

$$B_{T} \propto T^{-1} \tag{2}$$

In this figure, the parameter B_r can be reasonably extrapolated to the value of $-P_c$ at the critical temperature for each liquid.

$$B_{T_c} = -P_c \tag{3}$$

The parameter B_{τ_c} at the critical temperature should be equal to $-P_c$ from eq 1 and the critical condition $(\partial P/\partial V)_{\tau_c} = 0$. Consequently, the B_T/B_{τ_b} ratio is represented by a straight line against T_b/T , which passes through $-P_c/B_{\tau_b}$ at T_b/T_c and B_{τ_b}/B_{τ_b} (=1) at T_b/T_b (=1), respectively, for any liquid as the following equation.

$$B_{T}/B_{T_{b}} = (-P_{c}/B_{T_{b}}) + ((1 + P_{c}/B_{T_{b}})/(1 - T_{b}/T_{c}))(T_{b}/T - T_{b}/T_{c})$$
(4)

If the B_{T_b} value of a given compound is not available, the value is further correlated by P_c as shown in Figure 2. The parameter C can be obtained from the temperature ratio T_b/T_c presented in Figure 3.

Comparison of Calculated Results

The specific volumes of ten compounds for temperatures from -20 to +40 °C and pressures up to 1500 atm were evaluated by using the generalized Tait parameters B_T by eq 4 and *C* estimated from T_b/T_c in Figure 3, where B_{T_b} was determined

Table III. Deviation of Calculated Specific Volumes from Experimental Values^a

no.	compd	P range, atm	no. of points	av dev, %	max dev, %	data source	$T_{\rm b}/T_{\rm c}$
1	NH,	95-1774	37	0.3	-0.7	9	0.591
2	SO,	283-1587	24	0.6	-0.9	8	0.611
3	CCI.F.	95-1587	34	0.4	-1.1		0.632
4	CHCIF.	95-1575	34	0.8	1.4		0.603
5	CH ₂ Cl	283-1574	32	0.4	0.7		0.599
6	CHJ	283-1574	32	0.7	1.2	11	0.597
7	CH, Br	283-1574	32	0.2	-0.5		0.607
8	C, H, Br	194-1547	32	0.6	-1.0		0.613
9	CH.COOCH,	194-1547	32	0.4	0.7		0.651
10	СН,СООС,Й,	194- 15 4 7	32	0.7	1.0	b	0.669

^a Temperature range is from +40 to -20° C except for SO₂. The range for SO₂ is from +20 to -20° C. ^b Present work.







Figure 3. Tait parameter C vs. ratio $T_{\rm b}/T_{\rm c}$. (Numbers refer to compounds in Table III.)

from the curve presented in Figure 2, and were compared with the literature values. The result of this comparison is presented in Table III, in which the percentage deviation is defined by 100(V_{expti} - V_{calcd})/V_{expti}. The specific volumes calculated by

eq 1 by using the generalized eq 4 and C obtained from Figure 3 reproduce the literature data within 1.4%.

Glossary

- В_т, С Tait equation parameters, atm and dimensionless, respectively
- Ρ pressure, atm
- Т temperature, K
- V specific volume, cm³/g

Subscripts

- b normal boiling point
- critical point C
- Ω reference state

Literature Cited

- Brelvi, S. W., O'Connell, J. P., AIChE J., 18, 1239 (1972).
 Brelvi, S. W., O'Connell, J. P., AIChE J., 21, 171 (1975).
 Chen, T.-T., Su, G.-J., AIChE J., 21, 397 (1975).
 Chuen, P. L., Prausnitz, J. M., AIChE J., 15, 471 (1969).
 Fuller, G. G., Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam., 15, 254 (1976).
 Hsi, C., Lu, B. C.-Y., AIChE J., 20, 616 (1974).
 "International Critical Tables", Vol. III, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1928, p. 28 p 28.
- (8) Kumagai, A., Toriumi, T., Iwasaki, H., Asahi Garasu Kogyo Gijutsu Shorelkal
- Kenkyu Hokoku, 16, 257 (1970). Kumagai, A., Toriumi, T., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 18, 293 (1971). Kumagai, A., Date, K., Iwasaki, H., Preprint of the 16th High Pressure (9) (10)
- (11)
- (12)
- Conference, Japan, 1975, p 71. Kumagai, A., Iwasaki, H., J. Chem. Eng. Data, 23, 193 (1978). Lu, B. C.-Y., Hsi, C., Chang, S.-D., Tsang, A., AIChE J., 19, 748 (1973). Lydersen, A. L., Greenkorn, R. A., Hougen, O. A., Univ. Wis. Eng. Exp. (13)
- (14)
- Cycle sen, A. L., Greenkonn, R. A., Hougen, O. A., Dinv. W.S. Eng. Exp. Stn. Rep., 4 (1955).
 Pitzer, K. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 3427 (1955).
 Pitzer, K. S., Lippmann, D. Z., Curl, R. F., Jr., Huggins, C. M., Petersen, D. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 77, 3433 (1955).
 Watson, K. M., Ind. Eng. Chem., 35, 398 (1943).
 Yen, L. C., Woods, S. S., AIChE J., 12, 95 (1966). (15)
- (16)
- 1175

Received for review December 4, 1978. Accepted June 18, 1979.

Thermal Conductivities of Trialkyl Borates[†]

Pradeep B. Deshpande,* Phoebus M. Christopher, and Larry D. Tullock

Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky 40208

This paper reports the thermal conductivities of the following trialkyl borate homologues as functions of temperature: methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, and isobutyl. A concentric sphere apparatus was used for the measurements. The close agreement between observed and reported literature values for benzene seemed to justify the use of this apparatus for the measurement of thermal conductivities.

This paper is the latest in the series of papers dealing with the systematic measurements of physicochemical properties of several homologous trialkyl borates. The previous studies have reported data on vapor pressures (2), densities and absolute viscosities (3), surface tensions (4), and refractive indices (5) as functions of temperature up to the normal boiling point. The object of the present investigation has been to

[†]This work was abstracted from the M. Eng. thesis of L.D.T., University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, 1978.

measure the thermal conductivities of the following trialkyl borates over the same range of temperatures: methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, and isobutyl. A review of literature has revealed no information with regard to the thermal conductivities of these compounds. This, coupled with the importance of this property in the design of heat exchange equipment involving these compounds, has provided the impetus to undertake this work.

Apparatus

The defining equation for thermal conductivity is the Fourier law of conduction, which can be stated as

$$q = -kA\frac{\mathrm{d}T}{\mathrm{d}r} \tag{1}$$

where q = rate of heat flow by conduction (J/s), k = thermal conductivity, $(J/(m \ s \ K))$, A = area normal to the direction ofheat flow (m^2) , T = temperature (K), and r = radial distance over which heat flows by conduction (m).