# Excess Volumes and Isentropic Compressibilities of Mixtures of 1,2-Dichlorobenzene + Isopropyl Alcohol, + Isobutyl Alcohol, and + Isopentyl Alcohol at 303.15 K

## Vadiamoodi C. Kumar, Bojja Sreenivasulu, and Puligundia R. Naldu\*

Department of Chemistry, University College, Srl Venkateswara University, Tirupati 517502, India

Excess volume and deviation in isentropic compressibility were measured for mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopropyl alcohol, isobutyl alcohol, and isopentyl alcohol at 303.15 K. Excess volume exhibits inversion in sign in the three mixtures. This is similar to the trend between excess volume and composition observed in the mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with 1-propanol, 1-butanol, and 1-pentanol. However, the negative excess volume becomes dominant in mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopropyl alcohol and isobutyl alcohol. Deviation in isentropic compressibility is negative over the whole range of composition and is similar to that observed for the mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with the corresponding 1-alkanols. However, a large negative deviation is observed in mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with the isoalcohois.

## Introduction

Excess volumes ( $V^E$ ) and isentropic compressibilities ( $K_s$ ) for binary mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with 1-butanol and 1pentanol are reported in the literature (1, 2). While  $V^E$  exhibited inversion in sign, deviation in isentropic compressibility was negative throughout the whole range of composition. We report here new experimental excess volumes and deviations in isentropic compressibilities for mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopropyl alcohol, isobutyl alcohol, and isopentyl alcohol. The results have been compared with those of the three corresponding 1-alcohols. The comparison was made to study the effect of branching of an alcohol on the two properties. The experimental data for the mixture 1,2-dichlorobenzene + 1propanol needed for the comparison were also determined in the present investigation.

#### **Experimental Section**

Excess volumes were measured directly by using the dialatometer described by Rao and Naidu (3). The mixing cell contained two bulbs of different capacities which were connected through a U tube with mercury to separate the two components. One end of the bulb was fitted with a capillary (1-mm i.d.), and the other end of the second bulb was fitted with a ground-glass stopper. The excess volumes were accurate to  $\pm 0.003$  cm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>.

Isentropic compressibilities were computed from measured sound speed data and densities evaluated from excess volumes. The ultrasonic sound speed was measured with a single-crystal interferometer at 4-MHz frequency, and the data were accurate to  $\pm 0.15\%$ . All the measurements were made at constant temperature, employing a thermostat that could be

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

#### Table I. Densities (p) of Pure Components at 303.15 K

1	$ ho/( extrm{g-cm}^{-3})$		
component	lit.	present study	
1,2-dichlorobenzene	1.299 22	1.299 19	
1-propanol	0.796 00	0.79602	
isopropyl alcohol	0.77690	0.77685	
isobutyl alcohol	0.794 37	0.794 35	
isopentyl alcohol	0.80179	0.80178	

Table II. Mole Fraction of 1,2-Dichlorobenzene $(\mathbf{x}_1)$ ,
Excess Volumes $(V^{E})$ for the Binary Mixtures of
1,2-Dichlorobenzene with 1-Propanol and Isoalcohols at
303.15 K

<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	$V^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3\cdot{ m mol}^{-1})$	<i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	$V^{\rm E}/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1})$		
1.2-Dichlorobenzene + 1-Propanol					
0.0663	-0.084	0.4918	-0.048		
0.2341	-0.146	0.5946	0.005		
0.3334	-0.125	0.7062	0.047		
0.4329	-0.080	0.8450	0.059		
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	+ Isopropyl A	lcohol		
0.0824	-0.173	0.5066	-0.120		
0.2005	-0.264	0.6126	-0.038		
0.3142	-0.253	0.7219	0.028		
0.4650	-0.159	0.8312	0.064		
	1,2-Dichlorobenzen	e + Isobutyl Al	cohol		
0.1074	-0.155	0.6322	-0.043		
0.1791	-0.207	0.7419	0.008		
0.2950	-0.215	0.7857	0.028		
0.3887	-0.177	0.8547	0.035		
0.4829	-0.132				
	1,2-Dichlorobenzene	+ Isopentyl A	lcohol		
0.1164	-0.135	0.5741	-0.064		
0.1975	-0.168	0.6878	-0.007		
0.2923	-0.174	0.7695	0.020		
0.3896	-0.147	0.8791	0.041		
0.5037	-0.094				

maintained to  $\pm 0.01$  K. The density was computed from the measured excess volume using the relation

$$\rho_{1,2} = \frac{x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2}{V^\circ + V^{\mathsf{E}}} \tag{1}$$

where M,  $\rho_{1,2}$ ,  $V^{\circ}$ , and x represent the molecular weight, density, molar volume of the ideal mixture, and mole fraction of the mixture, respectively.

#### **Purification of Materials**

All the materials were purified by the methods described by Riddick and Bunger (4). 1,2-Dichlorobenzene (S. D. Fine Chemicals) was passed through alumina in a  $30 \times 2$  cm column and fractionally distilled. All alcohols were dried by re-

Table III. Standard Deviation  $\sigma(V^{\rm E})$  and Values of Constants in Equation 1

system	$\overline{a_0/(\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})}$	$a_1/(cm^3 \cdot mol^{-1})$	$a_2/(\mathrm{cm}^3\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-1})$	$\sigma(V^{\rm E})/({\rm cm}^3 \cdot {\rm mol}^{-1})$
1.2-dichlorobenzene + 1-propanol	-0.1687	1.0945	-0.3017	0.002
1.2-dichlorobenzene + isopropyl alcohol	-0.5015	1.7079	-0.4634	0.006
1.2-dichlorobenzene + isobutyl alcohol	-0.4816	1.2500	-0.2567	0.004
1,2-dichlorobenzene + isopentyl alcohol	-0.3969	1.0659	-0.1083	0.005



**Figure 1.** Mole fraction of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isoalcohols or 1-alcohols.  $V^{E}$  plotted against the mole fraction ( $x_{1}$ ) of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopropyl alcohol and 1-propanol at 303.15 K.

fluxing with fused calcium oxide and finally distilled using a fractionating column.

The purity of the sample was checked by comparing the measured density of the compounds with those reported in the literature (4, 5). Densities were determined with a bicapillary type pycnometer, which offered an accuracy of 2 parts in  $10^5$ . The measured densities and those reported in the literature are given in Table I.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Experimental results for excess volumes of mixtures of 1,2dichlorobenzene with 1-propanol, isopropyl alcohol, isobutyl alcohol, and isopentyl alcohol are included in Table II. The results are also graphically presented along with those for the mixture of the common component with the corresponding 1-alkanols in Figures 1–3. Experimental  $V^{\rm E}$  data have been reduced using the polynomial

$$V^{E}/x_{1}x_{2} = [a_{0} + a_{1}(x_{1} - x_{2}) + a_{2}(x_{1} - x_{2})^{2}]$$
 (2)

where  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  denote mole fractions of components 1 and 2 and  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  are constants. The values of the constants obtained by the least-squares method are given in Table III, along with the standard deviation  $\sigma(V^E)$ .

Experimental sound speed (u) data and density ( $\rho$ ) computed from measured excess volume data are presented in columns

Table IV. Volume Fractions  $(\phi_1)$ , Densities  $(\rho)$ , Sound Velocities (u), Isentropic Compressibilities  $(K_8)$ , and the Deviations in Isentropic Compressibilities  $(\Delta K_8)$  of 1,2-Dichlorobenzene with 1-Propanol and Isoalcohols at 303.15 K

00110 11					
$\phi_1$	$\rho/(g\cdot cm^{-3})$	$u/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	$K_{ m S}/{ m TPa^{-1}}$	$\Delta K_{ m S}/{ m TPa^{-1}}$	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene + 1-Propanol					
0.0000	0.79602	1219	845		
0.0962	0.84533	1221	793	-17	
0.3142	0.95575	1228	694	-36	
0.4284	1.01303	1229	654	-35	
0.5336	1.065 43	1231	619	-31	
0.5919	1.09440	1232	602	-27	
0.6873	1.14179	1235	574	-20	
0.7827	1.18931	1241	546	-13	
0.8909	1.24363	1249	515	-5	
1.0000	1.299 19	1266	480		
	1,2-Dichloro	benzene + Iso	propyl Alco	hol	
0.0000	0.77685	1138	994		
0.1161	0.83930	1156	892	-42	
0.2684	0.91989	1174	789	-67	
0.4012	0.989 25	1185	720	-68	
0.5597	1.07102	1200	648	-58	
0.6003	1.091 77	1203	633	-52	
0.6981	1.141 <del>9</del> 5	1215	593	-42	
0.7915	1.18997	1227	558	-29	
0.8781	1.23477	1244	523	-20	
1.0000	1.2 <b>99</b> 19	1266	480		
	1.2-Dichloro	benzene + Is	obutyl Alcoh	ol	
0.0000	0.79435	1173	<b>9</b> 15		
0.1273	0.86003	1194	816	-44	
0.2092	0.901 90	1201	769	-55	
0.3366	0.96638	1201	717	-52	
0.4354	1.01592	1200	684	-42	
0.5310	1.06381	1204	648	-36	
0.6758	1.13597	1214	597	-24	
0.7771	1.18656	1228	559	-18	
0.8164	1.20618	1232	546	-14	
0.8770	1.23672	1242	524	-10	
1.0000	1.299 19	1266	480		
	1,2-Dichloro	benzene + Ise	opentyl Alco	hol	
0.0000	0.80178	1214	846		
0.1194	0.86222	1220	779	-23	
0.2021	0.90368	1225	737	-35	
0.2983	0.95164	1227	698	-39	
0.3965	1.000 30	1226	665	-36	
0.5109	1.05679	1229	626	-33	
0.5811	1.091 45	1233	603	-30	
0.6939	1.14702	1239	568	-24	
0.7746	1.186 84	1243	545	-18	
0.8821	1.24010	1251	515	8	
1.0000	1.299 19	1266	480		

3 and 2, respectively, of Table IV. Isentropic compressibility  $K_{\rm S}$  and deviation in isentropic compressibility  $\Delta K_{\rm S}$  are calculated using the equations

$$K_{\rm S} = U^{-2} \rho^{-1} \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta K_{\rm S} = K_{\rm S} - \phi_1 K_{\rm S_1} - \phi_2 K_{\rm S_2} \tag{4}$$

$$\phi_1 = \frac{x_1 V_1^{\circ}}{x_1 V_1^{\circ} + x_2 V_2^{\circ}}$$
(5)

$$\phi_2 = 1 - \phi_1$$

where  $K_{s}$ ,  $K_{s}$ , and  $K_{s}$  represent isentropic compressibilities



**Figure 2.** Mole fraction of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isoalcohols or 1-alcohols.  $V^{\text{E}}$  plotted against the mole fraction ( $x_1$ ) of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isobutyl alcohol and 1-butanol at 303.15 K.



**Figure 3.** Mole fraction of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isoalcohols or 1-alcohols.  $V^{\rm E}$  plotted against the mole fraction ( $x_1$ ) of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopentyl alcohol and 1-pentanol at 303.15 K.

of a mixture and the pure components 1 and 2, respectively.  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  denote volume fractions of the components. The values of  $K_s$  and  $\Delta K_s$  are included in columns 4 and 5 of Table IV. The results are graphically represented along with those for mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with 1-propanol, 1-butanol, and 1-pentanol in Figure 4.



**Figure 4.** Volume fraction of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isoalcohols or 1-alcohols.  $\Delta K_{\rm S}$  plotted against the volume fraction ( $\phi_1$ ) of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with isopropyl alcohol, isobutyl alcohol, and isopentyl alcohol and with 1-propanol, 1-butanol, and 1-pentanol at 303.15 K.

Table V. Standard Deviation  $\sigma(\Delta K_S)$  and Values of Constants in Equation 6

system	$b_0/\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$	$b_1/\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$	$b_2/\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$	$\sigma(\Delta K_{ m S})/{ m TPa^{-1}}$
1,2-dichlorobenzene + 1-propanol	-131.0	92.0	12.6	0
1,2-dichlorobenzene + isopropyl alcohol	-248.0	153.0	-80.2	1
1,2-dichlorobenzene + isobutyl alcohol	-153.0	199.0	-170.0	2
1,2-dichlorobenzene + isopentyl alcohol	-140.0	94.1	-26.0	2

These results also have been fitted into an empirical equation of the form

$$\Delta K_{\rm s}/\phi_1\phi_2 = [b_0 + b_1(\phi_1 - \phi_2) + b_2(\phi_1 - \phi_2)^2] \quad (6)$$

The numerical values of the constants  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ , and  $b_2$  are included in Table V, along with the standard deviation  $\sigma(\Delta K_s)$ .

The results in Table II show that  $V^{\text{E}}$  exhibits inversion in sign in the three binary mixtures. However it remains negative over a large range of composition. The curves in Figures 1–3 show that replacement of 1-propanol and 1-butanol by the corresponding isoalcohols leads to a more negative value of  $V^{\text{E}}$ . However the replacement of 1-pentanol by isopentyl alcohol does not change the value of  $V^{\text{E}}$  to a significant extent.

The deviation in the isentropic compressibility  $\Delta K_s$  is negative over the whole range of composition. The curves in Figure 4 indicate that deviation becomes more negative in mixtures of 1,2-dichlorobenzene with the three isoalcohols.

Registry No. 1,2 DCB, 95-50-1; isopropyl alcohol, 67-63-0; isobutyl alcohol, 78-83-1; isopentyl alcohol, 123-51-3.

## **Literature Cited**

- Vijayalakshmi, T. S.; Naklu, P. R. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1989, 34, 413.
   Vijayalakshmi, T. S.; Naklu, P. R. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 1990,
- 28, 215.
- (3) Rao, M. V. P.; Naidu, P. R. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 788-90.
- (4) Riddlick, J. A.; Bunger, W. B. *Techniques of Chemistry*, 3rd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1970.
- (5) Timmermans, J. Physico-chemical constants of pure organic compounds; Elsevier: New York, 1950.

Received for review April 30, 1991. Revised September 17, 1991. Accepted September 26, 1991.

## Vapor-Liquid Coexistence Curve and the Critical Parameters of 1-Chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (HCFC-142b)

## Selsho Tanikawa, Jun Tatoh, Yukishige Maezawa,\* Haruki Sato, and Koichi Watanabe

Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223, Japan

Vapor-liquid coexistence curve near the critical point of 1-chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (HCFC-142b) was measured by a visual observation of the meniscus in an optical cell. Saturation densities including 13 saturated-vapor and 18 saturated-liquid densities were obtained in the range of temperature from 354 K to the critical temperature, corresponding to the density range from 181 to 947 kg/m<sup>3</sup>. The experimental errors in temperature and density were estimated to be within  $\pm 10$  mK and between  $\pm 0.09$  and  $\pm 0.53\%$ , respectively. Not only the level where the meniscus disappeared but also the intensity of the critical opalescence were considered for the determination of the critical temperature and density as 410.29  $\pm$  0.02 K and 446  $\pm$  3 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively. The critical exponent,  $\beta$ , was also determined to be 0.339  $\pm$ 0.002. A saturated-vapor/saturated-liquid density correlation was developed on the basis of the present measurements.

#### Introduction

HCFC-142b (1-chloro-1,1-difluoroethane) is one of the environmentally acceptable refrigerants. A binary mixture of HCFC-22 (chlorodifluoromethane) + HCFC-142b has the possibility of becoming a promising substitute for CFC-12 (dichlorodifluoromethane). We have already reported the critical parameters of several CFC-alternative refrigerants, i.e., HFC-152a (1), HFC-134a (2), and HCFC-123 (3). This paper reports the measured vapor-liquid coexistence curve in the critical region, the experimentally determined critical temperature, density, and exponent, and a saturation density correlation for HCFC-142b.

## **Experimental Section**

A visual observation apparatus, originally built by Okazaki et al. (4) and redesigned by Tanikawa et al. (3), was used for all measurements. The apparatus and procedure have been reported in detail in our previous publications (3-6).

Temperature measurements were performed by using a standard platinum resistance thermometer, and measured temperatures were converted into two different temperature scales of IPTS-68 and ITS-90. All the descriptions given in figures and correlations in the present paper are exclusively dependent on the temperature scale of IPTS-68. The thermometer was put

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

### Table I. Saturated-Vapor Densities $\rho''$ of HCFC-142b<sup>a</sup>

$T_{68}/{ m K}~(T_{9}$	$ ho_0/ m K)  ho_0''/( m k)$	$({ m g/m^3})$ $T_{68}/{ m J}$	K $(T_{90}/K)$	$ ho^{\prime\prime}/( m kg/m^3)$
395.833 (39	5.802) 181.3	$\pm 1.0^{b}$ 408.99	1 (408.958)	$326.3 \pm 0.3^{b}$
399.071 (399	9.039) 202.8	± 0.8 <sup>b</sup> 409.95	6 (409.922)	$365.5 \pm 0.6^{*b}$
402.747 (40)	2.714) 232.8	± 0.9 <sup>b</sup> 410.23	2 (410.198)	$389.1 \pm 0.4^{*b}$
404.660 (404	4.627) 252.4	$\pm 0.4^{b}$ 410.29	5 (410.261)	$412.8 \pm 0.8^{*b}$
405.739 (40)	5.706) 266.9	± 0.5 <sup>b</sup> 410.29	2 (410.258)	$438.0 \pm 0.4^{*c}$
408.218 (40)	8.185) 308.5	± 0.3 <sup>b</sup> 410.29	3 (410.259)	$442.0 \pm 0.7^{*b}$
408.693 (40)	8.660) 318.3	$\pm 0.5^{b}$		

<sup>a</sup> Values marked with asterisks are from points at which critical opalescence was observed. <sup>b</sup>Sample with 99.8 mass % purity was used. Sample with 99.9 mass % purity was used.

Table II. Saturated-Liquid Densities p' of HCFC-142b<sup>a,d</sup>

T/K(T/K)	o//(kg/m3)	T/V(T/V)	a///leg/m3)
1 <sub>68</sub> / K (1 <sub>90</sub> / K)	$p/(\mathbf{kg}/\mathbf{m})$	$I_{68}/K(I_{90}/K)$	$\rho / (\mathbf{kg}/\mathbf{m}^{*})$
410.282 (410.249)	$446.0 \pm 0.4^{*c}$	403.306 (403.274)	$670.8 \pm 0.9^{b}$
410.283 (410.250)	446.8 $\pm$ 0.4* <sup>b</sup>	398.562 (398.530)	$718.5 \pm 0.6^{b}$
410.290 (410.255)	453.6 ± 0.5*°	396.188 (396.157)	$738.2 \pm 0.7^{b}$
410.281 (410.248)	$473.3 \pm 0.5^{*b}$	391.694 (391.664)	$770.2 \pm 1.9^{b}$
410.222 (410.188)	493.5 $\pm$ 1.1* <sup>b</sup>	387.434 (387.405)	$796.9 \pm 1.1^{b}$
409.645 (409.612)	$546.0 \pm 0.5^{b}$	382.291 (382.263)	$825.1 \pm 1.7^{b}$
408.450 (408.417)	$584.9 \pm 1.5^{b}$	376.617 (376.590)	$853.8 \pm 0.8^{b}$
407.686 (407.652)	$603.4 \pm 1.0^{b}$	369.842 (369.813)	$884.1 \pm 1.2^{b}$
406.465 (406.432)	$626.4 \pm 1.3^{b}$	353.548 (353.527)	$947.4 \pm 0.9^{b}$

<sup>*d*</sup> See Table I for footnotes a-c.

in the vicinity of optical cell at the same level in the bath.

The experimental uncertainty in temperature was estimated to be within  $\pm 10$  mK as the sum of 2 mK, the precision of the thermometer; 1 mK, the precision of the bridge; and 7 mK, the possible temperature fluctuation of the silicone oil in the bath. The value of the resistance measured with our thermometer at the triple point of water altered by 0.000 03  $\Omega$ . The uncertainty in density was estimated to be between  $\pm 0.09\%$ , which is considered to be due to the uncertainties of the cell volumes and the sample mass, and  $\pm 0.53\%$ , which includes the uncertainty of the expansion factor. The purities of the two samples furnished by manufacturers were 99.8 and 99.9 mass %.

#### Results

The saturated-vapor densities were measured at temperatures above 396 K (0.965 in reduced temperature) and at densities between 181 kg/m3 (0.407 in reduced density) and 442 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, whereas the saturated-liquid densities were measured above 354 K (0.862) and between 446 and 947 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (2.124). The experimental results including 13 saturated-vapor and 18 saturated-liquid densities are listed in Tables I and II.