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#### Abstract

The solubility of carbon monoxide, CO , in $n$-hexane was measured at $293,323,373,423$, and 473 K for CO partial pressures up to 200 bar. The enthalpy of solution was calculated between 293 and 473 K . Using the Krichevsky-Ilinskaya equation of state, the solubility of CO in $n$-hexane can be calculated between 293 and 423 K for CO partial pressures up to 200 bar with an accuracy better than $5 \%$.


## Introduction

Carbonylation reactions are widely used in organic industrial processes. Despite this, little is known about the solubility of carbon monoxide, CO , in solvents, especially at elevated temperatures and pressures, where oxo reactions are normally carried out. In this study, the solubility of CO was measured in $n$-hexane, a typical representative of alkanes, the class of solvents often used for spectroscopical investigations involving CO .
As early as 1952 , Gjaldbaek (1) postulated an empirical equation for calculating the solubility of different gases at standard conditions in a variety of solvents. For CO in $n$-hexane, the mole fraction solubility $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ can be calculated at 1.013 bar and 293.15 K as $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}=17.4 \times 10^{-4}$. Until now, its solubility was determined experimentally only by Patyi et al. (2) and Makranczy et al. (3) with $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}(293.15 \mathrm{~K})=16.97$ $\times 10^{-4}$ or $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}(293.15 \mathrm{~K})=18.5 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively (the latter was calculated from the reported Kuenen coefficient).

## Experimental Section

Apparatus and Procedure. The gas solubility was measured by degassing a $\mathrm{CO} / n$-hexane sample, which was before pressurized and equilibrated with CO at the desired temperature and pressure (4) (Figure 1). The 2.5-L Hastelloy $B$ autoclave ( A ) was first evacuated and then vented several times with CO before filling it with between 1.5 and 2 L of $n$-hexane. Afterward, for at least three times, 5 or more bar of CO was pressurized and decompressed in order to get rid of possible traces of other gases. The $\mathrm{CO} / n$-hexane equilibrium was adjusted under vigorous stirring, for at least half an hour, at the desired temperature ( $\pm 0.2 \%$, measured with a $\mathrm{Cr} / \mathrm{Ni}$ thermocouple ( G ) ) and pressure ( $\pm 1 \%$, measured with a 40.0 - and 400 -bar pressure transducer (F)). The pressure drop due to the equilibration process was recorded and normally completed within 15 min .
Before taking a sample, $5-10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of solution was released into flask I in order to flush the tubes. Immediately afterward a sample of the solution, containing at least 5 , but normally $40-60 \mathrm{~mL}$, of $n$-hexane was released by opening $v_{3}$ and $v_{6}$ into the solution buret ( K ). The liberated CO was collected in a $100-\mathrm{mL}(\mathrm{L}), 500-\mathrm{mL}(\mathrm{M})$, or $2000-\mathrm{mL}(\mathrm{N})$ gas buret, containing a saturated aqueous NaCl solution, according to the expected amount of gas. All burets were equipped with a cooling mantle

[^0]

Figure 1. Apparatus to measure the solubility of carbon monoxide at elevated temperatures and pressures: (A) $2.5-\mathrm{L}$ Hastelloy B autoclave; (B) electrical heating mantle; (C) shovel stirrer; (D) sampling tube (contains the thermoelement); (E) stirring motor; (F) piezoresistive pressure transducer; (G) $\mathrm{Cr} /$ Ni thermoelement; ( H ) entrance for sucking in the solvent; ( I ) flask for the forerun; ( K ) $70-\mathrm{mL}$ solution buret; ( L )-(N) $100-500$-, and $2000-\mathrm{mL}$, respectively, gas burets with double glass walls for thermostation; ( O ) exhaust; ( P ) thermostat; $\left(\mathrm{h}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{h}_{4}\right)$ glass stopcocks; $\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}\right)-\left(\mathrm{v}_{6}\right)$ stainless steel needle valves.
and thermostated to $293.1 \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K}$. The gas volume was measured at both 5 and 30 min after decompression. The latter measurement was not significantly different from the first one, indicating a fast and efficient thermal equilibrium. During the volume measurements, an $n$-hexane film was not observed on any NaCl solution in the gas burets.

The CO solubility in $n$-hexane at the given temperature $T$ and pressure $p$, expressed as mole fraction $x_{2}$, can be calculated, after decompression, from the volumes of the liquid and the gas phases. In addition to this, the $n$-hexane in the gas phase and the dissolved CO in $n$-hexane had to be considered (vide infra). The latter can be calculated by extrapolating $x_{2}(p, T)$ to the averaged actual CO pressure at $813 \pm 2 \mathrm{mbar}$ as $1.42 \times 10^{-3}$. A comparison by IR of a decompressed $\mathrm{CO} / n$-hexane sample with one saturated with CO at standard conditions yielded no significant difference in the solubility, revealing that the $n$-hexane is saturated with CO after expansion. This is in contrast to the system $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ studied by Jung et al. (5). They found a clear


Figure 2. Molar concentration solubility of $\mathrm{CO}, c_{2}$, in $n$-hexane at different temperatures and partial pressures of CO, $p_{2}$.
undersaturation after the sudden decompression of a sample at high temperature and pressure. The values used for the relative density, $d_{4}$, and vapor pressure, $p$, of $n$-hexane at 293 K were $d_{4}=0.6603$ and $p=168.6$ mbar (6).

For the calculation of the partial pressure $p_{1}$ of $n$-hexane, two effects have to be considered: its decrease due to the CO solubility according to Raoult's law (eq 1), and its increase due to the effect of a foreign gas (7) (eq 2), where $p_{s}$ is the

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{1} \approx x_{1} p_{\mathrm{s}}=\left(1-x_{2}\right) p_{\mathrm{s}}  \tag{1}\\
p_{\mathrm{s}}=p_{0 \mathrm{~s}} \exp \left\{V_{1}^{\prime}\left(p_{\text {tot }}-p_{0 \mathrm{~s}}\right) / R T\right\} \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

vapor pressure of the solvent with the influence of an inert gas, $p_{00}$ the vapor pressure of the pure solvent, $p_{\text {tot }}$ the total pressure, and $V_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ the molar volume of the condensed $n$-hexane.
For the latter effect, an inert and ideal behavior of the gas is assumed. Since no mixing is assumed, the values for $n$-hexane (8) can be taken. Combining eqs 1 and 2 and expressing it for the gas pressure $p_{2}$ results in

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2} \approx p_{\text {tot }}-\left(1-x_{2}\right) p_{0 \mathrm{~g}} \exp \left\{V_{1}^{\prime}\left(p_{\mathrm{tot}}-p_{0 \mathrm{~s}}\right) / R T\right\} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Purity of the Materials. The purity of the carbon monoxide (Carbagas) was $99.97 \%$. The $n$-hexane (Merck, p.a.) ( $\geq 99 \%$ ) was first dried over a $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ alloy and distilled under argon. Before the autoclave was filled with it, $n$-hexane was frozen under vacuum.

## Results and Discussion

The accuracy of the measurements was checked with the known system $\mathrm{H}_{2} / n$-hexane ( $3,10-16$ ). The obtained molar fractions $x_{H_{8}}{ }^{\circ}\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ are, at $298 \mathrm{~K}, 7.08$; at $323 \mathrm{~K}, 8.06$; and, at $373 \mathrm{~K}, 10.63$. These experimental values are in good agreement with Brunner's (16) data (where other literature is discussed as well) and differ by $0.6 \%$ (at 298 K ), $0.4 \%$ (at 323 K ), and $0.1 \%$ (at 373 K ) only slightly. Also, the enthalpy $\Delta H_{2}{ }^{\circ}, 5.14 \pm 0.25 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$, and entropy $\Delta S_{2}{ }^{\circ},-42.2 \pm 0.84$ $\mathrm{J} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$, of solution are close (within $0.1 \%$ and $0.3 \%$, respectively) to Brunner's data and are within the experimental error. For further data and discussion see ref 17.
The extrapolation of the measured CO solubility, $x_{2}$, at different pressures to 1.013 bar and its calculation to 298 K (vide infra) give a $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ ( 298 K ) of $17.68 \times 10^{-4}$. This value is $4.2 \%$ higher than Patyi's (2), but $4.6 \%$ lower than Makranczy's (3) measurements. The value calculated from the empirical equation from Gjaldbaek (1) is $1.6 \%$ lower than the one of the present work. A three-term Clarke Glew (18) regression of the $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ values (for a CO partial pressure of 1.013 bar),

Table I. Experimentally Determined Mole Fraction Solubilities of CO, $\mathbf{x}_{2}$ (exptl), in $\boldsymbol{n}$-Hezane as well as Calculated Mole Fractions, $x_{2}$ (calcd), and Molar Concentration, $c_{2}$, Solubilities at Various Temperatures and Pressures

| $T$ (K) | $\underset{\text { (bar) }}{p}$ | $\begin{aligned} & p_{2}{ }^{a} \\ & \text { (bar) } \end{aligned}$ | $x_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ (exptl) | $x_{2}{ }^{\text {c }}$ (calcd) | $\Delta x_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} c_{2}^{e} \\ (\mathrm{~mol} / \mathrm{L}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 293 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 0.0213 | 0.0213 | 0.0 | 0.164 |
|  | 12.8 | 12.6 | 0.0217 | 0.0216 | -0.3 | 0.167 |
|  | 22.6 | 22.4 | 0.0380 | 0.0381 | 0.4 | 0.297 |
|  | 55 | 54 | 0.0893 | 0.0887 | -0.7 | 0.725 |
|  | 61 | 61 | 0.0993 | 0.100 | 1.2 | 0.812 |
|  | 90 | 90 | 0.141 | 0.144 | 2.2 | 1.18 |
|  | 123 | 123 | 0.192 | 0.191 | -0.7 | 1.68 |
|  | 155 | 155 | 0.229 | 0.232 | 1.4 | 2.07 |
|  | 169 | 169 | 0.248 | 0.250 | 0.7 | 2.25 |
|  | 198 | 198 | 0.291 | 0.284 | -2.6 | 2.76 |
|  | 203 | 203 | 0.289 | 0.290 | 0.3 | 2.73 |
| 323 | 12.3 | 11.7 | 0.0207 | 0.0205 | -1.0 | 0.154 |
|  | 12.8 | 12.2 | 0.0220 | 0.0214 | -2.9 | 0.164 |
|  | 25.6 | 25.0 | 0.0439 | 0.0435 | -1.0 | 0.333 |
|  | 52 | 51 | 0.0870 | 0.0871 | 0.2 | 0.680 |
|  | 71 | 70 | 0.119 | 0.118 | -0.7 | 0.951 |
|  | 106 | 105 | 0.170 | 0.173 | 1.6 | 1.413 |
|  | 128 | 127 | 0.207 | 0.205 | -0.8 | 1.77 |
|  | 150 | 149 | 0.233 | 0.237 | 1.7 | 2.03 |
|  | 178 | 177 | 0.278 | 0.273 | -1.7 | 2.51 |
|  | 199 | 198 | 0.303 | 0.300 | -1.0 | 2.80 |
|  | 204 | 203 | 0.312 | 0.306 | -1.9 | 2.90 |
| 373 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 0.0232 | 0.0232 | 0.0 | 0.159 |
|  | 14.9 | 12.4 | 0.0239 | 0.0240 | 0.4 | 0.167 |
|  | 28.7 | 26.1 | 0.0503 | 0.0503 | 0.0 | 0.350 |
|  | 54 | 51 | 0.0984 | 0.0981 | -0.3 | 0.707 |
|  | 77 | 74 | 0.139 | 0.142 | 2.3 | 1.03 |
|  | 105 | 102 | 0.191 | 0.195 | 2.0 | 1.46 |
|  | 128 | 125 | 0.226 | 0.237 | 4.8 | 1.78 |
|  | 158 | 154 | 0.277 | 0.285 | 3.0 | 2.31 |
|  | 179 | 175 | 0.326 | 0.318 | -2.4 | 2.75 |
|  | 183 | 179 | 0.330 | 0.324 | -1.8 | 2.80 |
|  | 205 | 200 | 0.364 | 0.352 | -3.4 | 3.16 |
|  | 209 | 205 | 0.376 | 0.361 | -4.0 | 3.26 |
| 423 | 16.6 | 8.7 | 0.0213 | 0.0202 | -5.1 | 0.130 |
|  | 17.3 | 9.4 | 0.0224 | 0.0218 | -2.7 | 0.136 |
|  | 31.0 | 22.8 | 0.0512 | 0.0521 | 1.8 | 0.317 |
|  | 54 | 45 | 0.104 | 0.103 | -0.5 | 0.662 |
|  | 86 | 77 | 0.177 | 0.181 | 2.2 | 1.17 |
|  | 111 | 101 | 0.234 | 0.239 | 2.2 | 1.55 |
|  | 137 | 127 | 0.292 | 0.303 | 3.6 | 2.07 |
|  | 162 | 152 | 0.346 | 0.361 | 4.2 | 2.47 |
|  | 187 | 177 | 0.408 | 0.409 | 0.4 | 3.09 |
|  | 217 | 207 | 0.489 | 0.454 | -7.1 | 3.85 |
|  | 223 | 213 | 0.523 | 0.457 | -12.6 | 3.91 |
| 473 | 28.4 | 9.8 | 0.0288 | 0.0293 | 1.6 | 0.154 |
|  | 59 | 39 | 0.123 | 0.105 | -14.7 | 0.631 |
|  | 88 | 68 | 0.225 | 0.173 | -23.3 | 1.28 |
|  | 105 | 86 | 0.321 | 0.206 | -35.9 | 1.86 |

${ }^{a}$ Calculated according to eq 3 . ${ }^{b}$ The value of the mole fraction of CO in $n$-hexane, $x_{2}$, can be calculated from the number of moles in the liquid and gaseous phases of $n$-hezane, $n_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ and $n_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, and of CO, $n_{2}{ }^{\prime}$ and $n_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$, respectively. They were obtained from the measured volumes in the gas and liquid burets at 293.1 K and $982 \pm 29$ mbar: $x_{2} \approx\left\{n_{2}^{\prime \prime} /\left(n_{2}^{\prime \prime}+n_{1}^{\prime}+n_{1}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}+1.42 \times 10^{-3}$. The value $1.42 \times 10^{-3}$ represents the mole fraction solubility of $\mathrm{CO}\left(n_{2}^{\prime} /\left(n_{2}^{\prime}+n_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)\right)$ in the decompressed $n$-hezane for an averaged CO pressure, $p_{2}$, of $813 \pm$ 2 mbar at 273.1 K . ${ }^{c}$ Calculated using eqs 2, 4, 10-13. ${ }^{d} \Delta x_{2}=1-$ $x_{2}$ (calcd)/ $x_{2}$ (exptl). e The molar concentration solubility of CO, $c_{2}$, can be calculated from the mole fractions, if the molar volumes of the solution at the given temperatures and pressures, $V_{m}{ }^{\prime}(p, T)$, are known: $c_{2}=x_{2} / V_{\mathrm{m}}^{\prime}(p, T)$. The data for the present work are obtained from density measurements and taken from refs 9 and 17.
obtained at $293,323,373,423$, and 473 K (Table II), leads with good accuracy ( $\pm 0.012$ ) to
$\ln x_{2}{ }^{\circ}=a+b /(T / K)+c \ln (T / K)$ at $293-473 \mathrm{~K}$
with

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=-34.2 \pm 2.0 \tag{4a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table II. Thermodynamic Parameters for CO Solubilities in n-Hexane

| $T$ (K) | 293 | 323 | 373 | 423 | 473 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}($ exptl $) \times 10^{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 17.55 | 18.10 | 19.38 | 22.55 | 26.23 |
| $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}($ calcd $) \times 10^{4}$ | 17.62 | 17.92 | 19.59 | 22.42 | 26.26 |
| $H_{2,1}{ }^{\text {c }}$ (bar) | 577.3 | 559.8 | 523.0 | 449.3 | 386.3 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\text {m/d }}$ d $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -0.0733 | 0.967 | 2.70 | 4.43 | 6.17 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ e ( $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | -0.0103 | -0.0376 | -0.219 | -1.01 | -5.12 |
| $\Delta H_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ ( $(\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -0.0591 | 1.01 | 2.92 | 5.44 | 11.28 |
| $V_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}\left(\right.$ exptl) ${ }^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | 51.6 | 59.1 | 93.9 | 150 |  |
| $V_{2}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ (calcd) ${ }^{h}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ | 48.1 | 60.1 | 93.1 | 165.6 | 414.5 |
| $A\left(\right.$ exptl) ${ }^{\text {i }}$ (J/mol) | 1078 | 1428 | 2573 | 4408 | 8596 |
| $A$ (calcd) ${ }^{k}$ (J/mol) | 1058 | 1471 | 2546 | 4407 | 7628 |

a Obtained from experimental Henry constants, $H_{2,1}$, by applying eq 10. ${ }^{b}$ From eq 4. ${ }^{c}$ Obtained from a regression (in $\left(f_{2} / x_{2}\right)-V_{2}{ }^{\omega \prime}\left(p_{\text {tot }}\right.$ $\left.-p_{4}\right) / R T$ vs $x_{1}{ }^{2}-1$ ); see Figure 5. ${ }^{d}$ Partial molar enthalpy of solution of carbon monoxide at infinite dilution; see eq $5 .{ }^{e}$ Molar enthalpy of pure carbon monoxide in the perfect-gas reference state; see eq 5. From eq 7. 8 Taken from ref 17. At 473 K no data are available. ${ }^{h}$ From eq 13. ${ }^{i}$ Experimental value, obtained from regression as shown in Figure 5. ${ }^{\boldsymbol{h}}$ From eq 12.


Figure 3. Mole fraction solubility of $\mathrm{CO}, x_{2}{ }^{\circ}$, in $n$-hexane at a CO partial pressure of 1.013 bar as a function of temperature $T$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& b=1230 \pm 100  \tag{4b}\\
& c=4.17 \pm 0.28 \tag{4c}
\end{align*}
$$

The calculated minimal solubility (where $\partial \ln x_{2}{ }^{\circ} / \partial T=0$ ) is at 295.1 K , explaining its weak temperature dependence around room temperature (Figure 3). Below this temperature, the solution of CO in $n$-hexane is exothermic; above it, it is endothermic (see below). However, it rises quite rapidly close to the critical temperature of $n$-hexane. Thus, it increases from 293 to 323 K about $3 \%$, and to $373 \mathrm{~K}, 10 \%$. At 423 K , however, the solubility rises dramatically by $28 \%$, and at 473 K by as much as $49 \%$.

The enthalpy of solution $\Delta H_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ is equal to the difference between the partial molar enthalpy of the gas at infinite dilution in the solvent, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \infty^{\prime}$, and the molar enthalpy of pure gas in the perfect-gas reference state, $\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{\circ \prime \prime}$. It also can be calculated (19) from the temperature dependences of the gas solubility $x_{2}$ and the solvent vapor pressure according to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta H_{2}{ }^{\circ}=\left(H_{2} \infty^{\prime}-H_{2}{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)=R T^{2}\left(\partial \ln x_{2}{ }^{\circ}\right) / \partial T+ \\
& T V_{2} \omega^{\prime} \partial p_{8} / \partial T \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

The vapor pressure from $n$-hexane, $p_{3}$, can be described with


Figure 4. Quotient of the Henry constant, $H_{2,1}$, of the CO solubility in $n$-hexane, and the fugacity of solvent vapor, $f_{1}$, as a function of temperature $T$.
the Antoine equation from constants in ref 20 as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(p_{\mathrm{s}} / \mathrm{Pa}\right)=A-B /[C+(T / \mathrm{K})] \text { at } 293-473 \mathrm{~K} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A=20.725, B=2696.8$, and $C=-48.752$.
Using eqs 4 and 6, eq 5 can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta H_{2}^{\circ} /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)\right)=-b R+c R(T / \mathrm{K})+ \\
& B\left(V_{2} \infty^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{m}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)\right)(T / \mathrm{K}) /[(T / \mathrm{K})+C]^{2} \times \\
& \quad \exp \{A-B /[(T / \mathrm{K})+C]\} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Above room temperature, the enthalpy of solution for CO in $n$-hexane is negative (see Table II). With increasing temperature, it rises exponentially from -0.0591 ( 293 K ) to 11.3 $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$ at 473 K .

Henry's law (eq 8) describes the proportionality of the mole fraction of a dissolved gas to its partial pressure (21, 22),

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i}=H_{i,} x_{i}  \tag{8}\\
p_{i} \gamma_{i}=H_{i, j} x_{i} \alpha_{i} \tag{8a}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $H_{i, j}$ is the Henry constant (component $i$ dissolved in component $j$ ), $\gamma_{i}$ the fugacity, and $\alpha_{i}$ the activity coefficient.

Equation 8 is exactly valid only for ideal dilute solutions. For real systems, $\gamma_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ have to be considered (eq 8 a ). Unfortunately, the latter are often unknown. However, the Jonah (23) correlation $\ln \left(H_{2,1} / f_{1}\right)$ vs $1 / T$ leads, as in most systems, to a straight line (Figure 4):
$\ln \left(H_{2,1} / f_{1}\right)=-4.448+3696 /(T / \mathrm{K})$ at $293-473 \mathrm{~K}$
where $f_{1}$ is the fugacity of the solvent vapor. The Henry coefficients can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(H_{2,1} / \text { bar }\right)=-\ln x_{2}{ }^{\circ}+0.01316 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $x_{2}{ }^{\circ}$ given by eq 4.
Krichevsky and Kasarnovsky (24) took the pressure dependence of the chemical potential into consideration by using the molar volume of the dissolved gas atinfinite dilution, $V_{2}{ }^{\prime}$. Later, Krichevsky-llinskaya (25) proposed eq 11, where mixing and concentration effects were introduced.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left(f_{2} / x_{2}\right)=\ln H_{2,1}+\left\{V_{2} \infty^{\prime}\left(p_{\text {tot }}-p_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / R T\right\}+ \\
& A / R T\left(x_{1}^{2}-1\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5. Difference between the quotient of fugacity and solubility of CO in $n$-hexane, expressed as $\ln \left(f_{2} / x_{2}\right)$, and $V_{2} \infty^{\prime}$ ( $\left.p_{\text {tot }}-p_{\mathrm{s}}\right) / R T$, as a function of the mole fraction of $n$-hexane, $x_{1}$.

Henry constants, $H_{2,1}$, as well as the Krichevsky-Ilinskaya parameter $A$, both dependent on temperature and the system (see eq 11 and Table II), can be obtained with good accuracy by a regression (Figure 5), while the molar volumes of CO, $V_{2}{ }^{\prime}$, were taken from density measurements (9).
$H_{2,1}$ can be computed between 293 and 473 K for any temperature using eqs 4 and 10. The Krichevsky-Ilinskaya parameter $A$ can be calculated for any temperature in the measured range according to (see Table II)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(A /\left(\mathrm{J} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)\right)=1.097 \times 10^{-2}(T / \mathrm{K})+3.747 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, in analogy to the Rackett equation for liquids (26), the molar volumes of the dissolved gas at infinite dilution as
$\ln \left(V_{2} \infty^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}\right)\right)=-6.761\left[1-(T / \mathrm{K}) /\left(T_{\mathrm{c}, 1} / \mathrm{K}\right)\right]^{2 / 7}+$ 9.158 (13)
where $T_{\mathrm{c}, 1}$ is the critical temperature of $n$-hexane.
The method of calculating the fugacity coefficients influences slightly the calculated data. The modified Redlich Kwong equation of state (27), which is used therefore in the present work, leads to a smaller deviation in eq 11 than the virial equation of state (calculated with the Tsonopoulos method (28-30)).

Using eqs 2, 4, and 10-13, the gas solubility of CO in $n$-hexane can be calculated for the temperature range between 293 and 423 K for CO pressures up to 200 bar. The differences between the calculated and measured data are mostly lower than $4 \%$. At 473 K as well as above 200 bar of CO at 423 K the deviations become larger due to the proximity to the critical point of the misture.
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## Glossary

A Krichevsky-Ilinskaya parameter, J/mol

molar concentration, mol/L
fugacity, bar
enthalpy of solution, $\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}$
Henry constant of component $i$ dissolved in component $j$, bar
pressure, bar
vapor pressure of the pure solvent, bar
vapor pressure of the solvent with the influence of an inert gas, bar
molar gas constant, $\mathrm{J} /(\mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$
temperature, K
molar volume, $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{mol}$
mole fraction of $i$ in the condensed phase

## Superscripts

- at a pressure of 1.013 bar
$\infty \quad$ at infinite dilution
, liquid phase
" gas phase


## Subscripts

1 n-hexane
2 carbon monoxide
Registry numbers supplied by author. $n$-hexane, 110-54-3; carbon monoxide, 630-08-0; hydrogen, 1333-74-0.
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