

Figure 3. Plots of (a)  $\partial C_p / \partial P$  and  $\partial C_v / \partial P$  for pure water at 0 °C, and (b)  $\partial C_{o}/\partial S$  and  $\partial C_{v}/\partial S$  vs. salinity for seawater at 0 °C and 1 atm.

to within  $\pm 0.026\%$  over the measurement ranges of 20-100 °C and up to 500 bar.

#### Glossary

$C_p \\ C_v$	specific heat capacity at constant pressure, J $g^{-1}$ K <sup>-1</sup> specific heat capacity at constant volume, J $g^{-1}$ K <sup>-1</sup>
J	joules
Ρ	absolute pressure
τ	absolute temperature, K
t	temperature, °C
V	specific volume

Registry No. H<sub>2</sub>O, 7732-18-5.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Bromley, L. A. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1966, 13, 60–62.
   Bromley, L. A.; Desaussure, V. A.; Clipp, J. C.; Wright, J. S. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1967, 12, 202–205.
   Bromley, L. A.; Dlamond, A. E.; Salami, E.; Wilkins, D. G. J. Chem.
- Eng. Data 1970, 15, 246-253.
- Cox, R. A.; Smith, N. D. Proc. R. Soc. London 1959, A252, 51-62. (5) Millero, F. J.; Perron, G.; Desnoyers, J. E. J. Geophys. Res. 1973, 78, 4499-4507
- Osborne, N. S.; Stimson, H. F.; Ginnings, D. C. J. Res. Natl. Bur. (6) Stand. 1939, 23, 197.
- Sirota, A. M.; Shrago, Z. Kh. Teploenergetika 1968, 15, 86-90. (7)
- Chen, C. T. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1962, 27, 356-358. Fotonoff, N. P. The Sea; Hill, M. N., Ed.; Interscience: New York, (8) (9)
- 1962; Vol. 1, pp 3-30. Fotonoff, N. P. J. Geophys. Res. 1985, 90, 3332-3342. (10)
- (11) Fotonoff, N. P.; Millard, R. C. Jr. UNESCO Tech. Pap. Mar. Sci. 1983,
- 44, 53. (12) Kell, G. S., unpublished, 1977; Division of Chemistry, National Research Council Canada, Ottawa, Canada.
- (13) Chen, C. T. M.S. Thesis, University of Miami 1974, p 258 (14) Chen, C. T.; Millero, F. J. Deep-Sea Res. 1976, 23, 595-612; 1977,
- 24. 265-269. (15) Chen, C. T.; Fine, R. A.; Millero, F. J. J. Chem. Phys. 1977, 66,
- 2142-2144. (16) Chen, C. T.; Fine, R. A.; Millero, F. J. Deep-Sea Res. 1978, 25, 499-501.
- (17) Chen, C. T.; Millero, F. J. J. Mar. Res. 1978, 36, 657-691. (18) Millero, F. J.; Chen, C. T.; Bradshaw, A.; Schleicher, K. Deep-Sea
- Res. 1980, 27A, 255-264.
- (19) Millero, F. J.; Chen, C. T.; Bradshaw, A.; Schleicher, K. UNESCO Tech. Pap. Mar. Sci. 1981, 38, 99-188.

Received for review September 15, 1986. Accepted May 26, 1987. This work was partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China (NSC 76-0209-M110-03).

# Solubility of Nonpolar Gases in Halogenated Compounds. 1. Solubility of H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CF<sub>4</sub>, SF<sub>6</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub> in Chlorocyclohexane at 263.15–303.15 K and 101.32 kPa of Partial **Pressure of Gas**

# María C. López, María A. Gallardo, José S. Urleta, and Celso Gutlérrez Losa\*

Departamento de Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Ciudad Universitaria, 50009, Zaragoza, Spain

Solubility measurements of several nonpolar gases (H<sub>2</sub>,  $D_2$ ,  $N_2$ ,  $O_2$ ,  $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_4$ ,  $C_2H_6$ ,  $CF_4$ ,  $SF_6$ , and  $CO_2$ ) in chlorocyclohexane have been determined from 263.15 to 303.15 K at a partial pressure of gas of 101.32 kPa. Experimental results are compared with those obtained from Hildebrand's semiempirical approach. Partial molal Glbbs energy, partial molal enthalpy, and partial molal entropies of solution at 298.15 K and 101.32 kPa of partial pressure of gas are evaluated.

## Introduction

As is well-known, gas solubility in liquids plays an important role both from the theoretical and the practical point of view.

In fact, it is a suitable base for studying liquid structure and for characterizing molecular interactions.

This paper is a part of a more extensive study of solubility of nonpolar gases in halogenated derivatives of benzene and cyclohexane. We report here solubility measurements of several nonpolar gases (H<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, CF<sub>4</sub>, SF<sub>6</sub>, and CO<sub>2</sub>) in chlorocyclohexane between 263.15 and 303.15 K, at a partial pressure of gas of 101.32 kPa. Similar experimental measurements on other systems, together with a more detailed discussion of them, will be presented in subsequent papers.

#### **Experimental Section**

The solubility apparatus, similar to that used by Ben Naim and Baer (1) was housed in a thermostat within  $\pm 0.1$  K. Its detailed

Table I. Experimental Solubility of Gases ( $10^4x_2$ ) in Chlorocyclohexane, at 101.32 kPa Partial Pressure of Gas between 263.15 and 303.15 K, and Coefficients of the Equation  $\ln x_2 = a + b/T + c \ln T$ 

T/V

	1/K							
gas	263.15	273.15	283.15	293.15	303.15	а	a b	с
H <sub>2</sub>	2.26	2.45	2.66	2.89	3.10	-13.9505	-297.6	1.1994
$D_2$	2.35	2.53	2.75	2.96	3.18	-15.8478	-191.6	1.4745
$N_2$	4.71	4.87	5.06	5.23	5.38	-7.3582	-238.7	0.1083
$O_2$	9.07	9.10	9.13	9.18	9.26	-12.9610	219.6	0.9189
CH₄	29.6	27.9	26.5	25.4	24.4	-16.7210	784.7	1.4202
$C_2 H_4$	237	200	171	148	129	-11.6539	1348.0	0.5004
$C_2H_6$	321	267	224	192	166	-15.2763	1606.8	1.0284
CF₄	5.88	5.93	5.98	6.05	6.13	-14.1898	217.8	1.0627
$SF_6$	39.1	35.7	32.7	30.4	28.4	-16.3507	995.0	1.2605
$CO_2$	197	163	137	117	101	-15.3774	1608.8	0.9575

description is made elsewhere (2). The reliability of the experimental method is demonstrated by comparing our results for Ar +  $H_2O(2)$  with those obtained by Battino and Clever for the same mixture at 292.85 and 298.85 K (3). Both sets of values differ only by about 0.3 and 0.7% respectively. Comparison is also made in the same paper (2) of our data for He + and SF<sub>6</sub> + *p*-xylene with those obtained by Byrne et al. (4) at 298.15 K; for these less favorable systems the deviations were 2.3 and 1.6%, respectively.

The chlorocyclohexane used was Merck (better than 98 mol %); its purity was checked by GLC and by refractive index measurements (experimental  $n_{\rm D}(293.15) = 1.4626$ ; literature, 1.4619 (*5*)). GLC analysis gave actually a purity of better than 98.5 mol % and showed also that the main impurity (0.4 mol %) was cyclohexane. Since gas solubility in the latter differs not very much from that in chlorocyclohexane, we used the commercial product without further purification.

All the gases were used directly from their tanks. They were from Sociedad Española del OxÍgeno, except for CF<sub>4</sub> which was from J. T. Baker; their purities (in mole percent) were H<sub>2</sub> 99.99; D<sub>2</sub> 99.4; N<sub>2</sub> 99.998; O<sub>2</sub> 99.98; CH<sub>4</sub> 99.95; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 99.90; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> 99.0; CF<sub>4</sub> 99; SF<sub>6</sub> 99.5; and CO<sub>2</sub> 99.998.

# **Results and Discussion**

Table I contains the experimental solubilities in chlorocyclohexane in terms of molar fraction  $(10^4x_2)$ , between 263.15 and 303.15 K and at partial pressure  $p_2 = 101.32$  kPa. The solubility measurements were carried out on the saturated gases. Density and vapor pressure of the solvent were also measured by us at several temperatures between 263.15 and 303.15 K; the results for both properties are well reproduced by the equations

$$\rho = -9.770 \times 10^{-4}T + 1.2856 \tag{1}$$

$$\ln P = -5240.7T^{-1} + 13.07 \tag{2}$$

respectively (P/kPa, T/K).

The results for  $x_2$  (101.32 kPa, T) were fitted to the equation

$$\ln x_2 = a + b/T + c \ln T$$
 (3)

The values of the constants a, b, and c are also given in Table I.

Partial molal Gibbs energy  $\Delta \tilde{G}^{\circ}$ , partial molal enthalpy  $\Delta \bar{H}^{\circ}$ , partial molal entropy  $\Delta \bar{S}^{\circ}$ , and partial molal Hildebrand's entropy  $\Delta \bar{S}_{\rm H}$  (Table II) were calculated by means of (see ref 6)

$$\Delta \bar{G}^{\circ} = -RT \ln x_2 \tag{4}$$

$$\Delta \bar{H}^{\circ} = RT(\partial \ln x_2 / \partial \ln T)$$
 (5)

$$\Delta \bar{S}^{\circ} = R(\partial \ln x_2 / \partial \ln T + \ln x_2)$$
(6)

$$\Delta \bar{S}_{\rm H} = R(\partial \ln x_2 / \partial \ln T) \tag{7}$$

Table II. Partial Molal Gibbs Energy, Partial Molal Enthalpy, Partial Molal Entropy, and Partial Molal Hildebrand's Entropy of Solution, at 298.15 K and 101.32 kPa Partial Pressure of Gas

<i></i>	$\Delta \bar{G}^{\circ} / kJ mol^{-1}$	∆ <b>H</b> °∕ kJ mol⁻¹	$\Delta \bar{S}^{\circ}/$ kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup>	$\Delta ar{S}_{ m H}/ = k J \  m mol^{-1} \  m K^{-1}$
gas	KJ MOI -	K0 mor	KJ MOI - K -	ко шог - К -
$H_2$	20.12	5.45	-0.049	0.018
$D_2$	20.05	5.25	-0.050	0.018
$N_2$	18.69	2.25	-0.055	0.008
$O_2$	17.33	0.45	-0.057	0.002
CH₄	14.87	-3.00	-0.060	-0.010
$C_2H_4$	10.61	-9.98	-0.069	-0.033
$C_2H_6$	9.98	-10.81	-0.070	-0.036
$CF_4$	18.35	0.82	-0.059	0.003
$SF_6$	14.46	-5.15	-0.066	-0.017
CO <sub>2</sub>	11.22	-11.00	-0.075	-0.037

Table III. Experimental and Calculated (Hilderbrand Theory) Results of  $10^4x_2$  at 298.15 K and 101.32 kPa Partial Pressure of Gas, and Partial Molal Volumes of Gases Evaluated from Eq 4

	10	$\bar{V}_2/$		
gas	exptl	calcd	$cm^3 mol^{-1}$	
H <sub>2</sub>	2.99	3.07	54.3	
$N_2$	5.31	4.65		
$O_2$	9.22	8.71		
CH₄	24.9	22.1		
$C_2 H_4$	138	111	63.2	
$C_2H_6$	178	159	68.2	
ĊF₄	6.08	7.22	66.2	
$SF_6$	29.3	30.1	84.9	
$CO_2$	108	89.8	53.9	

or, taking into account eq 3

$$\Delta \bar{G}^{\circ} = -RT(a + b/T + c \ln T) \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta \bar{H}^{\circ} = R(-b + cT) \tag{9}$$

$$\Delta \bar{S}^{\circ} = R(a + c + c \ln T)$$
(10)

$$\Delta \bar{S}_{\rm H} = R(-b/T+c) \tag{11}$$

The experimental solubilities were compared with those obtained from the regular solution theory for gas-liquid solutions (7). The solubility parameter of the solvent  $\delta_1$  was evaluated from its enthalpy of vaporization. For all the gases, except for CF<sub>4</sub> and for SF<sub>6</sub>, the solubility parameters were taken from ref  $\beta$ ; for CF<sub>4</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub> we have used average values deduced from their solubility in cyclohexanone ( $\beta$ ) and in dloxane (10);  $\delta_2$ (CF<sub>4</sub>) = 4.60;  $\delta_2$ (SF<sub>6</sub>) = 4.85.

The partial molal volumes of the gases in chlorocyclohexane were calculated from the equation (11)

$$(\overline{V}_2 - V_2^{\circ})(\partial P/\partial T) = (\Delta - 1) R \ln x_2$$
(12)

where  $(\bar{V}_2 - V_2^{\circ})$  is the volume change associated with the mixing process,  $(\partial P/\partial T)$  can be calculated from the thermal expansion and the isothermal compressibility coefficients of chlorocyclohexane, and  $\Delta$  is the slope of experimental  $R(\partial \ln$  $x_2/\partial \ln T$ ) vs. -R ln  $x_2$  plot, except for N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> whose values were taken from the literature (12) as they lead to results in better agreement with the experimental ones.

In Table III, experimental and calculated results of  $10^4 x_2$ at 298.15 K, and the partial molal volumes of the gases obtained from equation (12), are shown.

Registry No. H<sub>2</sub>, 1333-74-0; D<sub>2</sub>, 7782-39-0; N<sub>2</sub>, 7727-37-9; O<sub>2</sub>, 7782-44-7; CH<sub>4</sub>, 74-82-8; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, 74-85-1; C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, 74-84-0; VF<sub>4</sub>, 75-73-0; SF<sub>6</sub>, 2551-62-4; CO2, 124-38-9; chlorocyclohexane, 542-18-7.

### Literature Cited

(1) Ben Naim, A.; Baer, S. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1963, 59, 2735.

- (2) Carnicer, J.; Gibanel, F.; Urieta, J. S.; Gutiérrez Losa, C. Rev. Acad. *Ciencias . Zaragoza* **1979**, *34*, 115. Battino, R.; Clever, H. L. *Chem. Rev.* **1966**, *66*, 395.
- (4) Byrne, J. E.; Battino, R.; Wilhelm, E. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1975, 7, 512.
- (5) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 58th ed.; Weast, Robert C., Ed.; Chemical Rubber Co.: Boca Raton, FL, 1977–1978.
- (6) Dack, R. J. Techniques of Chemistry and Physics (Solutions and Solubilities; Weissberger: New York, 1975. Prausnitz, J. M. AIChE J. **1961**, 7, 682. Prausnitz, J. M. J. Phys. Chem. **1962**, *66*, 640.
- (8)
- Gallardo, M.; Urieta, J.; Gutiérrez Losa, C. J. Chim. Phys. 1983, 80, (9) 718
- (10) Melendo, J. M.; Gallardo, M.; Urieta, J.; Gutiérrez Losa, C. Acta Cient. Compostelana 1985, 23, 269.
- (11) Wing, Y.; Walkey, J. J. Phys. Chem. 1969, 73, 2274.
- (12) Hilderbrand, J. M.; Scott, R. L. Solubility of Nonelectrolytes; Dover: New York, 1964.

Received for review June 27, 1986. Accepted July 8, 1987. We are grateful to Comisión Asesora de Investigación Ciéntífica y Técnica (Madrid) for financial help (Proyecto 1877/82).

# Transference Number Measurements in Aqueous Solutions at 25 $^{\circ}$ C. 3. Lithium Bromide

# Miguel A. Esteso, \* Miguel Esparza, Domingo M. Grandoso, and Oscar M. Gonzalez-Diaz

Departamento de Química Fisica, Universidad de La Laguna, Tenerife, Spain

Transference numbers for aqueous solutions of LIBr at 25 °C are determined by employing the direct moving-boundary method. Due to the hygroscopic character of this electrolyte, the value of the concentration for each of the solutions studied, with its most probable error included, is obtained from its experimental density. The corrected ionic transference numbers are optimized on the basis of their sum which must equal 1 at each concentration. From the "best" transference numbers thus obtained, C best values are calculated which allow the direct determination of experimental values for such transference numbers that would be coincident with these best ones. The extrapolation to zero concentration of these transference numbers is done by using the 1963 Fuoss and Onsager equation and from such limiting values,  $T_{\pm}^{0}$ , the limiting equivalent conductance for the lithium lon, is also obtained. The density-concentration relationship found for these aqueous solutions of LIBr is also presented.

#### Introduction

In solutions of hygroscopic electrolytes, the knowledge of their concentration is usually accompanied by a high lack of precision that prevents an accurate calculation of the electrolyte magnitudes which depend on these concentrations as well as a rigorous analysis of their variation with that concentration. Nevertheless, in the case of transference numbers, this situation is not very problematic. In fact, if we take into account that this ionic parameter can be independently obtained for each of the ions present in the solution plus the fact that the sum of the transference numbers for all of these ions must equal 1 (from the definition of the transference number), we have an easy way to optimize the results and thus to minimize the errors derived from the use of that concentration value.

In the present paper we illustrate this assertion by presenting the transference numbers for both Li<sup>+</sup> and Br<sup>-</sup> ions in aqueous solutions of LiBr at 25 °C. The hygroscopic character of the LiBr does not permit the preparation of their solutions by the most accurate method of weighing both solvent and solute, but instead uses stock solutions whose concentrations have to be determined by titration. The possibility of optimizing the value of such concentrations on the basis of the transference numbers obtained makes possible the obtainment of more precise values for other thermodynamic parameters of this electrolyte.

#### Experimental Section

Chemicals. LiBr, Merck "suprapur", was heated in vacuo at 150 °C for 72 h before use. (C2H5)4NBr, Carlo Erba for polarography, was used without any previous manipulation but dried by means of a dry nitrogen stream before use. KOOC-CH<sub>3</sub>, Merck analytical reagent grade, was recrystallized twice from a saturated solution with ethanol, dried in an oven at 110 °C for 24 h, and stored in a desiccator. Sodium salt was used instead of the lithium salt because the latter was not available; nevertheless it is well-known (1) that the use of the former salt does not introduce any error into the experimental determination of the transference numbers.

Solutions. Determination of Their Concentrations. The following electrolyte solutions were prepared by weighing and correcting to vacuo both conductivity-grade water ( $\kappa_0 = 5 \times$  $10^{-7}$  ohm<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>) and the solute.

LiBr solutions, due to the hygroscopic character of the electrolyte, must be prepared from stock solutions which were obtained as follows: LiBr, after heating to vacuo, was added to a small known quantity of conductivity-grade water, determining by difference the weight of salt added and estimating the concentration of the solution thus prepared (which we will call "initial solution"). From this initial solution, "stock solutions" at different concentrations were prepared by taking portions of it and adding to them different quantities of conductivity-grade water. The concentration of each one of these stock solutions was determined from the value of its experimental density by substituting it into the expression

$$d = (0.997055 \pm 3.4 \times 10^{-5}) + (0.00726 \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-5})p$$
(1)