Diffusion Coefficients, Kinematic Viscosities, and Refractive Indices for Heptane + Ethylbenzene, Sulfolane + 1-Methylnaphthalene, Water + N,N-Dimethylformamide, Water + Methanol, Water + N-Formylmorpholine, and Water + N-Methylpyrrolidone

Guangshun Chen,* Yujun Hou,[†] and Helmut Knapp

Institute for Thermodynamics and Reaction Engineering, Technical University of Berlin, Strasse des 17 Juni 135, 10617 Berlin, FRG

Diffusion coefficients (D_{12}) , kinematic viscosities (ν) , and refractive indices (n) are reported over the whole concentration range for the following binary systems: heptane + ethylbenzene and sulfolane + 1-methylnaphthalene at 313.15 and 333.15 K and water + N,N-dimethylformamide, water + methanol, water + N-formylmorpholine, and water + N-methylpyrrolidone at 283.15 and 313.15 K. The diffusion coefficients were measured by the dispersion method and compared with literature values. Each of the six system plots of the diffusion coefficients against mole fraction exhibits a minimum. For the aqueous systems, except water + N-formylmorpholine, the kinematic viscosities at a given temperature show a maximum and there is a corresponding minimum in D_{12} .

Introduction

Experimental liquid-phase diffusion coefficients and viscosities are the transport properties needed to evaluate mass-transfer phenomena and to design equipment for mass-transfer operations. However, relatively few experimental measurements have been made so far, largely because the experiments are tedious and expensive. The present molecular theories cannot be used to predict these properties accurately, especially for nonideal systems.

Diffusion coefficients can be measured by several methods such as laser light scattering, diaphragm cell, and interferometric and dispersion methods (Ghai et al., 1974; Johnson and Babb, 1956; Cussler, 1976; Gulari et al., 1973). The dispersion method offers several advantages: (a) Experiments can be carried out at small mole fraction differences (less than 0.001). The measured diffusion coefficient is therefore the differential coefficient as defined by Fick's law. (b) One experiment takes only 4-8 h. (c) Calibration of the apparatus is not required.

In this work, diffusion coefficients, kinematic viscosities, and refractive indices for heptane + ethylbenzene and sulfolane + 1-methylnaphthalene at 313.13 and 333.15 K and water + N,N-dimethylformamide, water + methanol, water + N-formylmorpholine, and water + N-methylpyrrolidone at 283.15 and 313.15 K were studied. Diffusion coefficients were measured by the dispersion method.

Experimental Method

In the dispersion method, a fluid of specified concentration flows through two long, thin capillaries at a constant speed in laminar flow. A sample (~20 μ L) which has a slightly different concentration relative to the initial fluid is injected into one of the two capillaries. Due to diffusion, the concentration distribution of the sample plug broadens as it flows along the capillary tube. At the end of the capillary tube, this concentration distribution can be detected by a differential refractometer. The diffusion coefficient can then be calculated from the concentration distribution.

The apparatus has been described in detail by Baldauf et al. (1981, 1983). The experimental temperature was maintained within ± 0.1 K. In previous studies (Baldauf, 1981; Melzer et al., 1989), the output signal of the differential refractometer was evaluated from a chart recording. In this work, the signal was recorded by a personal computer interfaced to the differential refractometer. The accuracy of measurement is improved.

The kinematic viscosities were measured with a KPG-Ubbelohde capillary viscometer with temperature controlled to within ± 0.01 K. The accuracy of the measured kinematic viscosity is within 0.1%. The kinematic viscosities can be converted to the absolute viscosities by using density values from previous work (Chen and Knapp, 1995).

In order to estimate the refractive index difference Δn between the initial and injected mixtures (if Δn is too small, the concentration distribution could not be detected by the differential refractometer), the refractive indices for these systems were measured with an Abbe refractometer (Zeiss, type B) which was calibrated by using distilled and degassed water. The precision of the refractive index values is 0.01%.

The purity of the laboratory-distilled water was 99.99%, and the purities of other chemicals were over $99 \mod \%$. All chemicals were degassed and used without further purification. Binary mixtures were prepared on a mass basis. The mole fraction error is estimated to be less than 0.0002.

Results and Discussion

Diffusion coefficients, kinematic viscosities, and refractive indices were measured over the whole concentration range for the following binary systems: heptane + ethylbenzene, and sulfolane + 1-methylnaphthalene at 313.15 and 333.15 K and water + N,N-dimethylformamide, water + methanol, water + N-formylmorpholine, and water + N-methylpyrrolidone at 283.15 and 313.15 K.

The experimental results are listed in Table 1. Each of the reported values for the diffusion coefficients is the

 ^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed at the Department of Chemical Engineering, The University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721.
 [†] Current address: Department of Chemical Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

<i>T/</i> K	x_1	$10^9 D_{12} ({\rm m}^{2} {\rm s}^{-1})$	$10^6 \nu \ (m^2 s^{-1})$	n	<i>T/</i> K	<i>x</i> ₁	$10^9 D_{12} ({ m m}^2 { m s}^{-1})$	$10^6 \nu \ (m^2 \cdot s^{-1})$	n
212 15	0.0000		Hep 0.620	otane (1) + 1 1 4863	Ethylbenzer	ne (2)		0.515	1 4770
313.10	0.0000	2.45 ± 0.08	0.020	1.4000	000.10	0.0000	3.01 ± 0.09	0.010	1.4770
	0.1965			1.4610		0.1986	2.91 ± 0.08		
	0.1997	9 99 1 0 09	0.567			0.1997		0.477	1 4500
	0.2039	2.38 ± 0.03	0.534			0.2026			1.4506
	0.3994	2.46 ± 0.09	0.004			0.3976		0.451	1.1202
	0.4155			1.4361		0.4021	3.02 ± 0.11		
	0.5909	2.58 ± 0.10	0 519			0.6025		0.436	1 4000
	0.6025		0.012	1 4143		0.6020	343 ± 012		1.4009
	0.7934			1.3971		0.7952			1.3871
	0.8005	0.40.0.44	0.500			0.8005	0.04 + 0.45	0.424	
	0.8050	3.10 ± 0.11 3.70 ± 0.06				0.8063	3.91 ± 0.15		
	1.0000	5.70 ± 0.00	0.495	1.3782		1.0000	4.02 ± 0.17	0.419	1.3688
			Sulfolar	e(1) + 1-M	ethvlnapht	halene (2)			
313.15	0.0000		2.117	1.6051	333.15	0.0000		1.488	1.5968
	0.0009	0.640 ± 0.013				0.0010	0.863 ± 0.019		
	0.1993	0.376 ± 0.010	0.750			0.2017	0 504 1 0 019	1.863	
	0.2017 0.2057		2.700	1 5881		0.2044 0.2057	0.504 ± 0.012		1 5805
	0.4017		3.444	1,0001		0.4017		2.245	1.0000
	0.4034			1.5674		0.4030	0.378 ± 0.001		
	0.4094	0.277 ± 0.003		1 5494		0.4034			1.5593
	0.5979	0.205 ± 0.001		1.0404		0.6019	0.317 ± 0.006		1.5360
	0.6074	0.200 ± 0.001	4.237			0.6074	0.011 ± 0.000	2.739	
	0.7920		5.009			0.7920		3.234	
	0.7967	0.107 + 0.006		1.5143		0.7967	0.900 1.0.019		1.5072
	0.7555	0.197 ± 0.000 0.219 ± 0.007				0.8013 0.9512	0.290 ± 0.012 0.297 ± 0.007		
	1.0000		6.271	1.4780		1.0000		4.068	1.4720
			Water (1	(1) + N, N-Di	methylform	amide (2)			
283.15	0.0000		1.040	1.4350	313.15	0.0000		0.738	1.4220
	0.0030	1.50 ± 0.02		1 4940		0.0044	2.73 ± 0.04	0 505	
	0.1040 0.1875			1.4342		0.0465		0.767	1 4210
	0.1988	1.09 ± 0.01		1.1002		0.1875			1.4203
	0.2348		1.499			0.2029		0.912	
	0.3069		9.917	1.4310		0.2030	1.90 ± 0.04		1 4196
	0.4102		2.211	1.4286		0.4087	1.42 ± 0.01		1.4100
	0.4140	0.868 ± 0.005				0.4088		1.205	
	0.5072	0.500 + 0.000		1.4251		0.4102			1.4162
	0.6003	0.723 ± 0.002	3 633			0.5072		1 597	1.4130
	0.6099		0.000	1.4194		0.6052	1.38 ± 0.04	1.001	
	0.6860			1.4127		0.6099			1.4075
	0.7993	0.580 ± 0.001	3.960			0.6860	1 20 + 0.02		1.4018
	0.8169	0.580 ± 0.001		1.3935		0.8011	1.39 ± 0.02	1.568	
	0.9200			1.3662		0.8169			1.3845
	0.9994	0.867 ± 0.016	1 000	1 0005		0.9200		0.050	1.3604
	1.0000		1.323	1.3335		0.9687	1.63 ± 0.02	0.856	
	-					1.0000	1.00 ± 0.02	0.677	1.3335
				Water (1) +	Methanol (2)			
283.15	0.0000		0.839	1.3340	313.15	0.0000		0.570	1.3210
	0.0020	1.46 ± 0.01				0.0017	2.75 ± 0.05		
	0.1028		1 995	1.3350		$0.1028 \\ 0.1977$	9.94 ± 0.03		1.3245
	0.1354 0.2015	1.08 ± 0.02	1.000			0.2193	2.24 1 0.00		1.3281
	0.2997			1.3410		0.2247		0.833	
	0.4033	0.792 ± 0.010		1.3430		0.2997		1 009	1.3305
	0.4066 0.4174	0.762 ± 0.010	1.962			0.3920	1.71 ± 0.03	1.008	
	0.5011			1.3465		0.4033			1.3332
	0.5976	0.643 ± 0.014	0 500			0.5011	1 49 1 0 09		1.3355
	0.5984 0.6077		2.502	1.3450		0.6017	1.43 ± 0.03		1.3365
	0.6981			1.3448		0.6093		1.178	2.0000
	0.7909		0.070	1.3425		0.6981			1.3370
	0.7945	0.750 ± 0.006	2.073			0.7909	1.58 ± 0.04		1.3362
	0.8975	0.100 ± 0.000		1.3370		0.8011	100 1 0.01	1.135	
	0.9986	0.967 ± 0.009	1.004	1 0005		0.8975	0.00 + 0.01		1.3340
	1.0000		1.324	1.3335		0.9976	2.23 ± 0.01	0.667	1 3335
						1.0000		0.001	1.0000

、1 Coefficients D₁₀, Kinematic Viscosities v and Refractive Indic Table Different of Six Binary Svet

T/K	<i>x</i> ₁	$10^9 D_{12} ({ m m^2 s^{-1}})$	$10^6 \nu ({ m m^2 \cdot s^{-1}})$	n	T/K	· x ₁	$10^9 D_{12} (\mathrm{m}^2 \mathrm{s}^{-1})$	$10^6 \nu \ (m^2 s^{-1})$	n	
	Water $(1) + N$ -Formylmorpholine (2)									
283.15	0.0000		11.637	1.4898	313.15	0.0000		4.584	1.4791	
	0.0854			1.4878		0.0029	0.731 ± 0.020			
	0.1933			1.4850		0.0854			1.4768	
	0.2090	0.260 ± 0.006	40.05.			0.1933			1.4745	
	0.2195		10.954			0.1993	0.652 ± 0.016			
	0.2942			1.4812		0.2533		4.122		
	0.3969	0.236 ± 0.007				0.2942			1.470 9	
	0.4031		10.726			0.3945		3.921		
	0.4229			1.4750		0.4068	0.620 ± 0.009			
	0.5029			1.4700		0.4229			1.4649	
	0.6056		9.363			0.5029			1.4600	
	0.6190	0.325 ± 0.012				0.5999		3.412		
	0.6349			1.4580		0.6030	0.670 ± 0.002			
	0.7103			1.4480		0.6349			1.4488	
	0.7906			1.4326		0.7103			1.4387	
	0.7940		5.717			0.7906			1.4240	
	0.8018	0.404 ± 0.011				0.8017		2.224		
	0.9030			1.3962		0.8031	0.748 ± 0.014			
	0.9996	0.605 ± 0.013				0.9030			1.3895	
	1.0000	•	1.323	1.3335		0. 9 994	1.12 ± 0.02			
						1.0000		0.677	1.3335	
			Water ((1) + N - Me	thylpyrroli	done (2)				
283.15	0.0000		2.112	1.4741	313.15	0.0000		1.321	1.4627	
	0.0026	0.810 ± 0.004				0.0037	1.38 ± 0.01			
	0.1226			1.4715		0.1226			1.4606	
	0.2118		2.913			0.2019		1.679		
	0.2155	0.642 ± 0.002				0.2026	1.24 ± 0.01			
	0.2166			1.4698		0.2166			1.4590	
	0.3149			1.4678		0.3149			1.4566	
	0.3967		4.488			0.4003	1.04 ± 0.01			
	0.3987	0.448 ± 0.010				0.4033		2.071		
	0.4222			1.4640		0.4222			1.4530	
	0.5105			1.4597		0.5105			1.4488	
	0.5873			1.4548		0.5873			1.4442	
	0.5969		7.896			0.5943		2.715		
	0.6004	0.356 ± 0.011				0.6014	0.925 ± 0.007			
	0.7034			1.4430		0 7034			1 4322	
	0.7958		8.804	1.1100		0.7926		2 674	1.1022	
	0.7992	0.352 ± 0.010	0.001			0 8004		2.011	1 4157	
	0 8004			1 4247		0.8007	0.864 ± 0.004		1.4101	
	0 9507			1 3804		0.9257	0.001 1 0.001		1 3749	
	0.9994	0.682 ± 0.020		1.0001		0 9991	1.53 ± 0.01		1.0142	
	1.0000	5.002 ± 0.020	1.323	1.3335		1.0000	1.00 - 0.01	0.677	1.3335	
Table 2.	Values of	the Constants A	in Eq 1 and the	e Standar	d Deviatio	ons $\sigma(D_{12})$	for Six Binary Sy	stems		
T/K		A_0	A_1	A_2		A_3	A ₄	$10^9 \sigma(D_{12})/(1$	$m^2 s^{-1}$	

I/K	<u>A</u> 0	A_1	A_2	A_3	A_4	$10^{\circ}\sigma(D_{12})/(m^{2}s^{-1})$
			Heptane + Ethyl	benzene		
313.15	2.44018	-0.97712	2.25089	-0.03599		0.016
333.15	3.02119	-1.66025	5.21207	-2.24451		0.006
		Su	lfolane + 1-Methyl	naphthalene		
313.15	0.64070	-1.90605	3.72752	-3.85188	1.61425	0.039
333.15	0.86540	-2.68100	5.58300	-5.77211	2.31912	0.006
		Wa	ater + N,N -Dimeth	ylformamide		
283.15	1.48742	-1.83158	0.25050	0.94093		0.071
313.15	2.75395	-5.45261	6.37565	-2.05367		0.019
			Water + Meth	nanol		
283.15	1.47077	-2.30499	1.31848	0.49374		0.024
313.15	2.76048	-2.55526	-1.56142	3.60469		0.008
			Water + N-Formyl	mopholine		
283.15	0.32854	-0.49067	0.69088	0.07351		0.036
313.15	0.72337	-0.17613	-0.72069	1.28424		0.030
		7	Water $+ N$ -Methylr	ovrrolidone		
283.15	0.81063	-0.51090	-1.76579	2.14500		0.037
313.15	1.36300	0.28157	-4.50577	4.37246		0.051

average of three to six repeat measurements. The maximum error ΔD_{12} in three to six repeat measurements for each D_{12} is also summarized in Table 1. The accuracy of D_{12} measurements is estimated to be within $\pm 3\%$ except for the heptane + ethylbenzene system for which maximum error reaches $\pm 4\%$. Diffusion coefficients and kinematic viscosities for the six binary systems are plotted against mole fraction in Figures 1-6. The results are fitted by means of a smoothing equation which is a polynomial in

Table 1 (Continued)

mole fraction as shown for D_{12} in the following:

$$10^9 D_{12} / (\mathrm{m}^2 \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1}) = \sum_{i=0}^4 A_i x_1^{\ i} \tag{1}$$

Values of the constants A_i in eq 1 and the standard deviations $\sigma(D_{12})$ for each system at each temperature are listed in Table 2.



Figure 1. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for heptane (1) + ethylbenzene (2): •, 313.15 K; \bigcirc , 333.15 K.



Figure 2. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for sulfolane (1) + 1-methylnaphthalene (2): •, 313.15 K; \bigcirc , 333.15 K.

As expected, the diffusion coefficients decrease with increasing viscosities. The system heptane + ethylbenzene has the lowest viscosity values and largest diffusion coefficients. From Table 1 and Figures 1-6, the following characteristics were found: (1) The diffusion coefficients of the six systems at each temperature exhibit a minimum. The shift of the minimum is not obvious by changing the temperature. (2) For the aqueous systems, except water + N-formylmorpholine, the formation of complexes of water and organic molecules leads to a maximum in the viscosity



Figure 3. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for water (1) + N,N-dimethyformamide (2): •, 283.15 K; \bigcirc , 313.15 K; \square , literature data (Della Volpe et al., 1986) at 313.15 K.



Figure 4. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for water (1) + methanol (2): •, 283.15 K; \bigcirc , 313.15 K; \diamondsuit , literature data (Lee and Li, 1991) at 313.15 K; \triangle , \blacksquare , literature data (Van de Ven-Lucassen et al., 1995) at 298.15 and 308.15 K, respectively.

at each temperature. The maximum in the viscosity becomes less pronounced as the temperature is raised. A corresponding minimum occurs for the diffusion coefficient. (3) For water + N-formylmorpholine, the kinematic viscosities show no maxima. The difference between two pure viscosities for this system is much larger than those for the other systems.

Table 3. Diffusion Coefficients of Water at Infinite Dilution in Methanol and N-Methylpyrrolidone (D_{12}^{∞}) and of Methanol and N-Methylpyrrolidone at Infinite Dilution in Water (D_{21}^{∞})

	10 ⁹ D ₁₂ °°/($m^{2}s^{-1}$)		10 ⁹ D ₂₁ °°/(:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
T/K	this work	lit.	ΔD_{12}^{∞} /%	this work	lit.	$\Delta D_{21}^{\infty}/\%$	
	, T	Water in Methanol	Methanol in Water				
298.15	2.12	2.13^{a}	0.5	1.59	1.56 ^a	2	
308.15	2.54	2.61 ^a	2.7	2.02	1.94^{a}	4	
	Water	in N-Methylpyrro	lidone	N-Methylpyrrolidone in Water			
283.15	0.810	0.762^{b}	6	0.682	0.663 ^b	3	
313.15	1.35	1.38^{b}	2	1.53	1.37^{b}	10	

^a Van de Ven-Lucassen (1995). ^b te Riele et al. (1995).



Figure 5. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for water (1) + N-formylmorpholine (2): \bullet , 283.15 K; \bigcirc , 313.15 K.

Comparisons of the present results with literature data are shown in Figures 3 and 4. For the water + N,Ndimethylformamide system at 313.15 K, the differences between the present and the literature kinematic viscosity values (Della Volpe et al., 1986) are less than 1%; the values of D_{12} are a little lower than the literature values with a deviation of 1-3%. For the water + methanol system, D_{12} data at 298.15, 308.15, and 313.15 K are available in the literature (Van de Ven-Lucassen et al., 1995; Lee and Li, 1991) and are shown in Figure 4. At 313.15 K, the present D_{12} data are a little higher than the literature data with deviations of 2-5%. The diffusion coefficients of water at infinite dilution in methanol D_{12}^{∞} and of methanol at infinite dilution in water D_{21}° at 298.15 and 308.15 K are interpolated from the present results and compared with the literature data as shown in Table 3. Table 3 shows that the deviations of the present data from the literature data for water + methanol change from 0.5% to 4%. The average deviation is only 2.3%. By this comparison, it can be concluded that the present and the literature D_{12} data for water + methanol are in agreement within the accuracy of the Taylor dispersion method. A similar comparison is made for water + N-methylpyrrolidone as listed in Table 3. The literature values D_{12}^{∞} and D_{21}° at 283.15 and 313.15 K are calculated from a correlation equation (te Riele et al., 1995). The differences be-



Figure 6. Diffusion coefficients D_{12} and kinematic viscosities ν for water (1) + N-methylpyrrolidone(2): •, 283.15 K; \bigcirc , 313.15 K.

tween the present and the calculated values appear to be acceptable except for D_{21}^{\sim} at 313.15 K.

Literature Cited

- Baldauf, W. Dissertation, Technische Universitaet Berlin, 1981.
- Baldauf, W.; Knapp, H. Experimental Determination of Diffusion Coefficients, Viscosities, Densities and Refractive Indices of 11 Binary Liquid Systems. Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem. 1983, 87, 304-309.
- Baldauf, W; Knapp, H. Measurements of Diffusivities in Liquids by the Dispersion Method. Chem. Eng. Sci. 1983, 38, 1031-1037.
- Chen, G.; Knapp, H. Densities and Excess Molar Volumes for Sulfolane + Ethylbenzene, Sulfolane + 1-Methylnaphthalene, Water + N,N-Dimethylformamide, Water + Methanol, Water + N-Formylmorpholine, and Water + N-Methylpyrrolidone. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 1001-1004.
- Cussler, E. L. Multicomponent Diffusion; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1976.
- Della Volpe, C.; Guarino, G.; Sartorio, R.; Vitagliano, V. Diffusion, Viscosity, and Refractivity Data on the System Dimethylformamide-Water at 20 and 40 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1986, 31, 37-40.
- Ghai, R. K.; Ertl, H.; Dullien, F. A. L. Liquid Diffusion of Nonelectrolytes: Part I. AIChE J. 1973, 19, 881-900; Liquid Diffusion of Nonelectrolytes: Part II. AIChE J. 1974, 20, 1-20.
- Gulari, E.; Brown, R. J.; Pings, C. J. Measurement of Mutual Diffusion Coefficients and Thermal Diffusivities by Quasi-Elastic Light Scattering. AIChE J. 1973, 19, 1196-1204.
- Johnson, P. A.; Babb, A. L. Liquid Diffusion of Non-Electrolytes. Chem. Rev. 1956, 56, 387-453.
- Lee, Y. E.; Li, S. F. Y. Binary Diffusion Coefficients of the Methanol/ Water System in the Temperature Range 30-40 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1991, 36, 240-243.

- Melzer, M.; Baldauf, W.; Knapp, H. Measurement of Diffusivity, Viscosity, Density and Refractivity of Eight Binary Liquid Mixtures. Chem. Eng. Process. 1989, 26, 71-79.
 te Riele, M. J. M.; Snijder, E. D.; Swaaij, W. P. M. Diffusion Coefficients at Infinite Dilution in Water and in N-Methylpyrrolidone. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 34-36.
 Van de Ven-Lucassen, I. M. J. J.; Kieviet, F. G.; Kerkhof, P. J. A. M. A Fast and Conventient Implementation of the Taylor Dispersion Method. J. Chem. Data 1995, 40, 407-412.
- Method. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 407-412.

Received for review March 2, 1995. Accepted April 20, 1995.® The authors wish to express their gratitude to the DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austauschsdienst) for financial support and to the DIPPR project for some literature data.

JE950053+

* Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, June 1, 1995.