# Solubility of Pyrene in Binary Alkane + 1-Octanol Solvent Mixtures

## Anita I. Zvaigzne and William E. Acree, Jr.\*

Department of Chemistry, University of North Texas, Denton, Texas 76203-0068

Experimental solubilities are reported for pyrene dissolved in seven binary mixtures containing 1-octanol with hexane, heptane, octane, cyclohexane, methylcyclohexane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane, and (1,1-dimethylethyl)cyclohexane at 26 °C. Results of these measurements are used to test two mathematical representations based upon the combined nearly ideal binary solvent (NIBS)/Redlich-Kister equation and modified Wilson model. For the systems studied, the three-parameter combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister equation was found to provide the better mathematical representation, with deviations between experimental and back-calculated values being on the order of  $\pm 1.5\%$  or less. Slightly larger deviations were noted in the case of the two-parameter modified Wilson equation.

#### Introduction

Solid-liquid equilibrium data of organic nonelectrolyte systems are becoming increasingly important in the petroleum industry, particularly in light of present trends toward heavier feedstocks and known carcinogenicity/mutagenicity of many of the larger polycyclic aromatic compounds. Solubility data for a number of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (i.e., anthracene and pyrene) and heteroatom polynuclear aromatics (i.e., carbazole, dibenzothiophene, and xanthene) have been published in the recent chemical literature (for listing of references see Acree (1994, 1995a,b)). Despite efforts by experimentalists and scientific organizations, both in terms of new experimental measurements and critically-evaluated data compilations, there still exist numerous systems for which solubility data are not readily available.

To address this problem, researchers have turned to group contribution methods and semiempirical expressions to predict desired quantities. Group contribution methods have proved fairly successful in estimating solid solubility in pure and binary solvent mixtures from structural information. Practical application though is limited to systems for which all group interaction parameters are known. Generally, interaction parameters are evaluated from solid-liquid and liquid-vapor equilibrium data. It is important that the data base contain as many different functional groups as possible, preferably with adequate representation from both mono- and multifunctional solute/ solvent molecules to permit evaluation of potential synergistic effects. The data base should contain sufficient experimental values near infinite dilution in the event that one wishes to determine separate interaction parameters for finite concentration and infinite dilution activity coefficient predictions.

Continued development of solution models for describing the thermodynamic properties of a solute in binary solvent systems requires that a large data base be available for assessing the applications and limitations of derived expressions. Currently, only a limited data base exists for crystalline nonelectrolyte solubility in binary solvent mixtures. For this reason, pyrene solubilities were determined in seven binary alkane + 1-octanol solvent mixtures. Results of these measurements are used to further test the

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: acree@cas1.unt.edu.

descriptive abilities of several previously derived expressions

#### **Experimental Methods**

Pyrene (Aldrich, 99+%) was recrystallized several times from methanol. Cyclohexane (Aldrich, HPLC), hexane (Aldrich, 99%), heptane (Aldrich, HPLC), octane (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous), methylcyclohexane (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous), 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (Aldrich, HPLC), (1,1-dimethylethyl)cyclohexane (Aldrich, 99%), and 1-octanol (Aldrich, 99+%, anhydrous) were stored over molecular sieves before being fractionally distilled. Gas chromatographic analysis showed solvent purities to be 99.7 mol % or better. Karl Fischer titration gave a water content of mass/mass % of <0.005% for 1-octanol. Binary solvent mixtures were prepared by mass so that compositions could be calculated to 0.0001 mole fraction.

Excess solute and solvent were placed in amber glass bottles and allowed to equilibrate in a constant temperature water bath at  $(26.0 \pm 0.1)$  °C for several days. Attainment of equilibrium was verified by repetitive measurements after several additional days and by approaching equilibrium from supersaturation by preequilibrating the solutions at a higher temperature. Aliquots of saturated pyrene solutions were transferred through a coarse filter into a tared volumetric flask to determine the amount of sample and diluted quantitatively with methanol. Concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically at 372 nm on a Bausch and Lomb Spectronic 2000. Experimental pyrene solubilities in the seven binary alkane + 1-octanol mixtures studied are listed in Table 1. For each binary system studied, solubilities were determined at seven different compositions  $(x_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ})$  spanning the entire mole fraction range, in addition to the two pure solvents. The mole fraction composition  $x_{\mathbb{C}}^{\circ}$  represents the initial solvent composition, and is calculated as the number of moles of 1-octanol (component C) divided by the total number of moles of 1-octanol plus the second alkane cosolvent. Experimental solubilities represent the average of between four and eight independent determinations, with the measured values being reproducible to within  $\pm 1.5\%$ .

#### Results and Discussion

Acree and co-workers (Acree and Zvaigzne, 1991; Acree et al., 1991; Acree 1992) suggested possible mathematical

Table 1. Experimental Mole Fraction Solubilities of Pyrene  $(x_A^{\rm sat})$  in Binary Alkane (B) + 1-Octanol (C) Solvent Mixtures at 26.0 °C

| $x_{\text{C}}^{\circ}$ | $x_{ m A}^{ m sat}$ | $x_{\mathrm{C}}^{\circ}$ | $x_{ m A}^{ m sat}$ |
|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|
|                        | Hexane (B)          | - 1-Octanol (C)          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.00857             | 0.5504                   | 0.01705             |
| 0.0942                 | 0.01131             | 0.7636                   | 0.01917             |
| 0.1759                 | 0.01262             | 0.8707                   | 0.01978             |
| 0.3561                 | 0.01499             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
| 0.4589                 | 0.01433             | 1.0000                   | 0.02011             |
|                        | Hentane (B)         | + 1-Octanol (C)          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.01102             | 0.5842                   | 0.01868             |
| 0.1106                 | 0.01386             | 0.7886                   | 0.01979             |
| 0.1922                 | 0.01492             | 0.8724                   | 0.02017             |
| 0.3794                 | 0.01693             | 1.0000                   | 0.02017             |
| 0.4800                 | 0.01782             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
|                        | Octane (B) +        | · 1-Octanol (C)          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.01372             | 0.6084                   | 0.02013             |
| 0.1181                 | 0.01659             | 0.7991                   | 0.02051             |
| 0.2157                 | 0.01760             | 0.8975                   | 0.02061             |
| 0.4040                 | 0.01904             | 1.0000                   | 0.02001             |
| 0.5097                 | 0.01904             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
|                        |                     | ) + 1-Octanol (C)        | )                   |
| 0.0000                 | 0.01100             | 0.5121                   | ,<br>0.01788        |
| 0.0810                 | 0.01364             | 0.7291                   |                     |
|                        |                     |                          | 0.01917             |
| 0.1510                 | 0.01479             | 0.8399                   | 0.01976             |
| 0.3125                 | 0.01654             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
| 0.4070                 | 0.01733             |                          |                     |
|                        | lethylcyclohexane   |                          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.01292             | 0.5581                   | 0.01881             |
| 0.0905                 | 0.01576             | 0.7524                   | 0.01951             |
| 0.1698                 | 0.01681             | 0.8627                   | 0.01990             |
| 0.3534                 | 0.01800             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
| 0.4523                 | 0.01851             |                          |                     |
|                        | 4-Trimethylpenta    |                          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.00720             | 0.6128                   | 0.01606             |
| 0.1126                 | 0.00953             | 0.8053                   | 0.01846             |
| 0.2175                 | 0.01102             | 0.8853                   | 0.01940             |
| 0.4160                 | 0.01357             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
| 0.5153                 | 0.01483             |                          |                     |
|                        | nethyl)ethylcyclol  |                          |                     |
| 0.0000                 | 0.01594             | 0.6298                   | 0.02102             |
| 0.1171                 | 0.01865             | 0.8086                   | 0.02095             |
| 0.2223                 | 0.01940             | 0.8985                   | 0.02098             |
| 0.4201                 | 0.02050             | 1.0000                   | 0.02077             |
|                        |                     |                          |                     |

representations for isothermal solubility data based upon either a combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister model

$$\ln x_{\rm A}^{\rm sat} = x_{\rm B}^{\circ} \ln(x_{\rm A}^{\rm sat})_{\rm B} + x_{\rm C}^{\circ} \ln(x_{\rm A}^{\rm sat})_{\rm C} + x_{\rm B}^{\circ} x_{\rm C}^{\circ} \sum_{i=0}^{N} S_i (x_{\rm B}^{\circ} - x_{\rm C}^{\circ})^i$$
(1)

or modified Wilson equation (Comer and Kopecni, 1990)

$$\begin{split} \ln[\alpha_{\rm A}(s)/x_{\rm A}^{\rm \, sat}] &= 1 - x_{\rm B}^{\,\circ} \{1 - \ln[\alpha_{\rm A}(s)/(x_{\rm A}^{\rm \, sat})_{\rm B}]\}/\\ & (x_{\rm B}^{\,\circ} + x_{\rm C}^{\,\circ} \Lambda_{\rm BC}^{\rm \, adj}) - x_{\rm C}^{\,\circ} \{1 - \ln[\alpha_{\rm A}(s)/(x_{\rm A}^{\rm \, sat})_{\rm C}]\}/\\ & (x_{\rm B}^{\,\circ} \Lambda_{\rm CB}^{\,\, adj} + x_{\rm C}^{\,\circ}) \end{split}$$

where the various  $S_i$  and  $\Lambda_{ij}^{\rm adj}$  "curve-fit" parameters can be evaluated via least squares analysis. In eqs 1 and 2  $x_{\rm B}^{\circ}$  and  $x_{\rm C}^{\circ}$  refer to the initial mole fraction composition of the binary solvent calculated as if the solute were not present,  $a_{\rm A}(s)$  is the activity of the solid solute, and  $(x_{\rm A}^{\rm sat})_i$  is the saturated mole fraction solubility of the solute in pure solvent i. The numerical value of  $a_{\rm A}(s)=0.1312$  (Judy et al., 1987) used in the modified Wilson computations was calculated from

$$\ln a_{\rm A}(s) = -\Delta_{\rm fus} H_{\rm A}(T_{\rm mp} - T)/(RTT_{\rm mp}) \tag{3}$$

Table 2. Mathematical Representation of Pyrene Solubilities in Several Binary Alkane (B) + 1-Octanol (C) Solvent Mixtures

|  | 2-param<br>eq 1          |                  | 3-param<br>eq 1               |                  | eq 2                              |                          |
|--|--------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| binary solvent<br>system B + C                     | $S_{i}{}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ | $^{\%}_{ m dev}$ | $S_{\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{a}}}$ | $^{\%}_{ m dev}$ | $\Lambda_{ij}^{	ext{adj}}$ $^{c}$ | $\frac{\%}{{\sf dev}^b}$ |
| hexane + 1-octanol                                 | 1.069<br>0.898           | 3.6              | 0.828<br>0.836<br>0.855       | 1.4              | 3.089<br>0.325                    | 1.8                      |
| heptane + 1-octanol                                | 0.827<br>0.661           | 2.3              | 0.667<br>0.664<br>0.574       | 1.0              | 3.581<br>0.277                    | 1.0                      |
| octane + 1-octanol                                 | 0.712<br>0. <b>54</b> 3  | 1.8              | 0.591<br>0.557<br>0.430       | 1.0              | 8.300<br>0.106                    | 0.6                      |
| cyclohexane + 1-octanol                            | 0.860<br>1.0 <b>3</b> 7  | 3.6              | 0.588<br>0.875<br>1.011       | 1.4              | 4.305<br>0.217                    | 2.4                      |
| methylcyclohexane +<br>1-octanol                   | 0.69 <b>4</b><br>0.966   | 3.2              | 0.463<br>0.880<br>0.832       | 1.3              | 3.677<br>0.277                    | 2.7                      |
| 2,2,4-trimethylpentane + 1-octanol                 | 0.868<br>0.625           | 2.3              | 0.704<br>0.628<br>0.584       | 0.8              | 2.101<br>0.473                    | 1.8                      |
| (1,1-dimethylethyl)-<br>cyclohexane +<br>1-octanol | 0.643<br>0.456           | 1.7              | $0.518 \\ 0.470 \\ 0.438$     | 0.9              | 2.813<br>0.541                    | 0.6                      |

 $^a$  Combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister curve-fit parameters are ordered as  $S_0$ ,  $S_1$ , and  $S_2$ .  $^b$  Dev/% =  $(100/N) \sum |\ln[(x_A^{\rm sat})^{\rm calcd}/(x_A^{\rm sat})^{\rm exptl}]|$ ,  $^c$  Adjustable parameters for the Modified Wilson equation are ordered as  $\Lambda_{\rm BC}^{\rm adj}$  and  $\Lambda_{\rm CB}^{\rm adj}$ .

 $\Delta_{\text{fus}}H_{\text{A}}$  is the molar enthalpy of fusion at the normal melting point temperature of the solute,  $T_{\text{mp}}$ .

The ability of eqs 1 and 2 to mathematically represent the experimental solubility of pyrene in the seven binary alkane + 1-octanol solvent systems is summarized in Table 2 in the form of curve-fit parameters and percent deviations in back-calculated solubilities. Careful examination of Table 2 reveals that the three-parameter combined NIBS/Redlich-Kister equation provides the better mathematical representation for how the solubility of pyrene varies with solvent composition. For the most part, back-calculated and experimental values differ by less than  $\pm 1.5\%$ , which is comparable to the experimental uncertainty. Slightly larger deviations were noted in the case of the two-parameter modified Wilson equation.

From a computational standpoint, eq 1 will likely be preferred because most research groups involved in reporting thermodynamic properties have computer programs for evaluating the Redlich-Kister coefficients. With this idea in mind, we recommend not only that the future presentation of experimental isothermal solubility data for slightly soluble solid solutes dissolved in binary solvent mixtures include a tabulation of the actual observed values but if possible that the solubility data be mathematically represented by eq 1. Realizing that a single equation will not be applicable to all systems encountered, we further suggest eq 2 as an alternative mathematical representation for systems having extremely large solubility ranges and/ or highly asymmetrical  $\ln x_A^{\text{sat}}$  versus  $x_B^{\circ}$  curves, such as the carbazole + alkane + tetrahydropyran systems reported previously (Acree et al., 1991).

### Literature Cited

Acree, W. E., Jr. Mathematical Representation of Thermodynamic Properties. Part 2. Derivation of the Combined Nearly Ideal Binary Solvent (NIBS)/Redlich-Kister Mathematical Representation from a Two-Body and Three-Body Interactional Mixing Model. Thermochim. Acta 1992, 198, 71-79.

- Acree, W. E., Jr. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Pure and Binary Solvents; IUPAC Solubility Data Series; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1994; Vol. 54.
- Acree, W. E., Jr. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Binary Non-aqueous Systems: Part 1 (Solutes A-E); IUPAC Solubility Data Series; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995a; Vol. 58.
- Acree, W. E., Jr. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: Binary Nonaqueous Systems: Part 2 (Solutes F-Z); IUPAC Solubility Data Series; Oxford University Press: Oxford, U.K., 1995b; Vol. 59, in
- press.

  Acree, W. E., Jr.; Zvaigzne, A. I. Thermodynamic Properties of Nonelectrolyte Solutions. Part 4. Estimation and Mathematical Representation of Solute Activity Coefficients and Solubilities. Binary Solvents Using the NIBS and Modified Wilson Equations. Thermochim. Acta 1991, 178, 151-167.

  Acree, W. E., Jr.; McCargar, J. W.; Zvaigzne, A. I.; Teng, I.-L. Mathematical Representation of Thermodynamic Properties. Car-

- bazole Solubilities in Binary Alkane + Dibutyl Ether and Alkane + Tetrahydropyran Solvent Mixtures. Phys. Chem. Liq. 1991, 23, 27-35.
- Comer, J. F.; Kopecni, M. M. Prediction of Gas Chromatography Solute Activity Coefficients in Mixed Stationary Phases Based on the Wilson Equation. Anal. Chem. 1990, 62, 991-994.
- Judy, C. L.; Pontikos, N. M.; Acree, W. E., Jr. Solubility of Pyrene in Binary Solvent Mixtures Containing Cyclohexane. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1987, 32, 60-62.

Received for review April 27, 1995. Accepted June 26, 1995.8 JE950102I

\* Abstract published in Advance ACS Abstracts, August 1, 1995.