

# Liquid–Liquid Equilibria for Aromatics Extraction Systems with Tetraethylene Glycol

Wen Wang, Zeming Gou, and Shenlin Zhu\*

Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China

Liquid–Liquid equilibria (LLE) have been measured for five ternary and two multicomponent mixtures containing cyclohexane, benzene, toluene, *p*-xylene, tetraethylene glycol (TTEG), and water at 60 °C and 140 °C. A new UNIFAC group was defined, and its parameters were determined from the experimental data. The calculated values are in good agreement with the experimental and literature data with sufficient accuracy for the engineering design.

## Introduction

Tetraethylene glycol (TTEG) is one of the most widely used solvents in the extraction process of aromatic hydrocarbons from reformed naphtha and pyrolysis gasoline. The generation and the prediction of liquid–liquid equilibrium (LLE) data for such systems are therefore essential.

Ternary LLE data for heptane + benzene + (TTEG + water) (Rawat and Prasad, 1980) and heptane + toluene + (TTEG + water) (Wang et al., 1993) at different temperatures were compiled earlier. However, there are few data reported for the systems containing xylene, which is the most difficult substance to be extracted by the solvent, and for the multicomponent systems, which are close to the actual feed stock. In the present study, therefore, LLE data for the ternary systems of heptane + *p*-xylene + (TTEG + water) and multicomponent systems are determined at the temperature of 60 °C under atmospheric pressure and the temperature of 140 °C under 10 atm. This study also demonstrates the applicability of the UNIFAC model for the prediction of LLE in the aromatics extraction system with TTEG.

## Experimental Section

**Chemicals.** TTEG was obtained from Beijing Yanshan Petrochemical Co. and was purified by distillation under reduced pressure. The water content and the refractive index were then measured for TTEG, which corresponds to minimum 99% purity. The other chemicals were obtained from Beijing Chemistry Plant with a stated minimum of 99.5% purity.

**Measurement of the LLE.** The liquid–liquid equilibria at atmospheric pressure were carried out in large tubes placed in a shaker at the temperature (60 ± 1 °C). The LLE experiment under high pressure at 140 °C was carried out in a high-pressure LLE bath with a magnetic agitator for mixing. The contents were mixed for about 120 min and then settled for at least 40 min until both phases became transparent. Approximately 5 mL samples of each phase were then taken off, and acetone was added to the sample in order to maintain a homogenous phase at normal temperature. The concentration of the components in each phase were analyzed by GC using octane as the internal standard.

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed.

**Table 1. Interaction Parameters  $a_{nm}$  (n/TETRA) and  $a_{mn}$  (TETRA/n) for the UNIFAC Model for TETRA**

	CH <sub>2</sub> /TETRA	ACH/TETRA	ACCH <sub>2</sub> /TETRA	H <sub>2</sub> O/TETRA
$a_{nm}$ (K)	481.45	221.85	83.53	4567.1
$a_{mn}$ (K)	14.68	-19.94	102.7	-371.49

**Table 2. Experimental and Calculated Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium Compositions for the System Heptane (1) + *p*-Xylene (2) + TTEG (3) + 2 mass % or 5 mass % Water (4) at 60 °C**

no.	raffinate phase (α)				extract phase (β)			
	$X_1^{\alpha}$	$X_2^{\alpha}$	$X_3^{\alpha}$	$X_4^{\alpha}$	$X_1^{\beta}$	$X_2^{\beta}$	$X_3^{\beta}$	$X_4^{\beta}$
1	exptl 0.7325	0.2614	0.0037	0.0024	0.0119	0.0347	0.5817	0.3716
	calcd 0.7338	0.2584	0.0047	0.0031	0.0172	0.0379	0.5766	0.3683
2	exptl 0.4565	0.5268	0.0102	0.0065	0.0072	0.0531	0.5734	0.3663
	calcd 0.4815	0.4986	0.0154	0.0045	0.0166	0.0832	0.5481	0.3521
3	exptl 0.4593	0.5284	0.0075	0.0048	0.0103	0.0741	0.5587	0.3569
	calcd 0.4772	0.5010	0.0166	0.0052	0.0180	0.0993	0.5373	0.3453
4	exptl 0.6248	0.3692	0.0037	0.0024	0.0071	0.0473	0.5770	0.3686
	calcd 0.6267	0.3629	0.0080	0.0024	0.0173	0.0555	0.5651	0.3620
5	exptl 0.5492	0.4204	0.0185	0.0118	0.0102	0.0595	0.5677	0.3626
	calcd 0.5479	0.4244	0.0184	0.0093	0.0159	0.0614	0.5624	0.3603
6	exptl 0.1617	0.8177	0.0125	0.0080	0.0077	0.1255	0.5289	0.3379
	calcd 0.1628	0.8174	0.0196	0.0002	0.0072	0.1256	0.5259	0.3412
7	exptl 0.4299	0.5504	0.0160	0.0037	0.0172	0.1215	0.7014	0.1599
	calcd 0.4399	0.5338	0.0219	0.0045	0.0196	0.1350	0.6882	0.1572
8	exptl 0.6176	0.3729	0.0077	0.0018	0.0227	0.0852	0.7265	0.1657
	calcd 0.6176	0.3729	0.0089	0.0006	0.0227	0.0852	0.7260	0.1662
9	exptl 0.3121	0.6780	0.0081	0.0018	0.0291	0.1795	0.6444	0.1470
	calcd 0.3121	0.6780	0.0096	0.0003	0.0290	0.1795	0.6438	0.1476
10	exptl 0.5442	0.4120	0.0357	0.0081	0.0167	0.0877	0.7293	0.1663
	calcd 0.5313	0.4441	0.0204	0.0043	0.0184	0.0711	0.7413	0.1692
11	exptl 0.5408	0.4259	0.0271	0.0062	0.0205	0.0939	0.7212	0.1645
	calcd 0.5393	0.4293	0.0260	0.0054	0.0209	0.0924	0.7218	0.1649
12	exptl 0.4743	0.4911	0.0282	0.0064	0.0199	0.1071	0.7108	0.1621
	calcd 0.4741	0.4916	0.0289	0.0055	0.0199	0.1068	0.7107	0.1625
13	exptl 0.4627	0.4955	0.0340	0.0077	0.0199	0.0958	0.7201	0.1642
	calcd 0.4623	0.4964	0.0347	0.0067	0.0193	0.0947	0.7210	0.1649

**Table 3. Experimental and Calculated Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium Compositions for the System Heptane (1) + *p*-Xylene (2) + TTEG (3) + 5 mass % Water (4) at 140 °C**

no. exptl	raffinate phase (α)				extract phase (β)			
	$X_1^{\alpha}$	$X_2^{\alpha}$	$X_3^{\alpha}$	$X_4^{\alpha}$	$X_1^{\beta}$	$X_2^{\beta}$	$X_3^{\beta}$	$X_4^{\beta}$
1	exptl 0.9839	0.0146	0.0010	0.0006	0.0516	0.0034	0.5831	0.3619
	calcd 0.9818	0.0142	0.0022	0.0018	0.0309	0.0034	0.5964	0.3693
2	exptl 0.7734	0.2223	0.0026	0.0016	0.0528	0.0655	0.5440	0.3377
	calcd 0.7738	0.2193	0.0039	0.0030	0.0394	0.0665	0.5518	0.3423
3	exptl 0.5811	0.4130	0.0037	0.0023	0.0685	0.1355	0.4912	0.3049
	calcd 0.5741	0.3900	0.0048	0.0021	0.0553	0.1376	0.4929	0.3142
4	exptl 0.4917	0.4978	0.0064	0.0040	0.0898	0.1879	0.4457	0.2766
	calcd 0.4864	0.4928	0.0140	0.0068	0.0703	0.1837	0.4654	0.2956
5	exptl 0.3262	0.6472	0.0164	0.0102	0.0730	0.2291	0.4306	0.2673
	calcd 0.3270	0.6465	0.0194	0.0071	0.0724	0.2296	0.4273	0.2707

**Table 4. Experimental and Calculated Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium Compositions for the System Heptane (1) + Benzene (2) + Toluene (3) + *p*-Xylene (4) + TTEG (5) + 2 mass % Water (6) at 60 °C**

no.	phase	<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>5</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>6</sub>	
		exptl	calcd										
1	raffinate	0.6890	0.6927	0.0554	0.0523	0.1272	0.1334	0.0925	0.0936	0.0293	0.0121	0.0067	0.0159
	extract	0.0140	0.0200	0.0208	0.0227	0.0338	0.0330	0.0183	0.0192	0.7435	0.7463	0.1696	0.1588
2	raffinate	0.6289	0.6222	0.0526	0.0532	0.1312	0.1451	0.1018	0.1085	0.0697	0.0660	0.0159	0.0082
	extract	0.0175	0.0207	0.0188	0.0151	0.0322	0.0263	0.0187	0.0115	0.7434	0.7489	0.1695	0.1762
3	raffinate	0.4850	0.4778	0.0926	0.0986	0.2094	0.2204	0.1399	0.1493	0.0596	0.0489	0.0136	0.0050
	extract	0.0163	0.0204	0.0334	0.0310	0.0503	0.0459	0.0224	0.0186	0.7147	0.7178	0.1630	0.1663
4	raffinate	0.4727	0.4583	0.0795	0.0864	0.1325	0.1367	0.1380	0.1472	0.1443	0.1505	0.0329	0.0209
	extract	0.0188	0.0274	0.0309	0.0283	0.0491	0.0436	0.0241	0.0209	0.7142	0.7084	0.1629	0.1714
5	raffinate	0.4332	0.4218	0.0777	0.0835	0.1885	0.1971	0.1394	0.1477	0.1313	0.1322	0.0299	0.0177
	extract	0.0181	0.0247	0.0313	0.0290	0.0530	0.0500	0.0264	0.0234	0.7094	0.7065	0.1618	0.1664
6	raffinate	0.6831	0.6807	0.0576	0.0563	0.1445	0.1291	0.1108	0.0976	0.0032	0.0030	0.0007	0.0332
	extract	0.0227	0.0242	0.0264	0.0270	0.0433	0.0499	0.0234	0.0291	0.7200	0.7196	0.1642	0.1502
7	raffinate	0.6967	0.7008	0.0489	0.0469	0.1218	0.1257	0.1009	0.0980	0.0258	0.0114	0.0059	0.0171
	extract	0.0228	0.0250	0.0200	0.0210	0.0339	0.0328	0.0193	0.0209	0.7361	0.7423	0.1679	0.1580
8	raffinate	0.6553	0.6517	0.0489	0.0559	0.1231	0.1324	0.0989	0.1100	0.0600	0.0508	0.0137	0.0083
	extract	0.0214	0.0213	0.0199	0.0169	0.0342	0.0300	0.0199	0.0149	0.7367	0.7446	0.1680	0.1725
9	raffinate	0.4507	0.4409	0.0902	0.0963	0.2098	0.2202	0.1435	0.1533	0.0861	0.0786	0.0196	0.0108
	extract	0.0191	0.0227	0.0339	0.0313	0.0540	0.0493	0.0252	0.0208	0.7067	0.7107	0.1612	0.1651
10	raffinate	0.6139	0.6053	0.0464	0.0547	0.1134	0.1254	0.0932	0.0973	0.1084	0.1133	0.0247	0.0102
	extract	0.0208	0.0237	0.0178	0.0141	0.0316	0.0263	0.0195	0.0133	0.7412	0.7400	0.1690	0.1798

**Table 5. Experimental and Calculated Liquid–Liquid Equilibrium Compositions for the System Cyclohexane (1) + Benzene (2) + Toluene (3) + *p*-Xylene (4) + TTEG (5) + 2 mass % Water (6) at 60 °C**

no.	phase	<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>3</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>4</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>5</sub>		<i>X</i> <sub>6</sub>	
		exptl	calcd										
1	raffinate	0.3996	0.4050	0.1303	0.1264	0.2278	0.2289	0.1980	0.1891	0.0361	0.0431	0.0082	0.0075
	extract	0.0545	0.0511	0.0563	0.0586	0.0721	0.0730	0.0431	0.0482	0.6303	0.6221	0.1437	0.1459
2	raffinate	0.4195	0.4065	0.1029	0.1108	0.1953	0.2072	0.1656	0.1657	0.0950	0.0977	0.0217	0.0121
	extract	0.0605	0.0642	0.0490	0.0453	0.0659	0.0601	0.0407	0.0356	0.6383	0.6401	0.1456	0.1548
3	raffinate	0.4547	0.4483	0.0818	0.0854	0.1887	0.1942	0.1732	0.1779	0.0827	0.0843	0.0189	0.0099
	extract	0.0626	0.0638	0.0409	0.0361	0.0563	0.0534	0.0370	0.0344	0.6541	0.6557	0.1492	0.1567
4	raffinate	0.3649	0.3667	0.1381	0.1418	0.2357	0.2434	0.1831	0.1804	0.0636	0.0707	0.0145	0.0102
	extract	0.0530	0.0504	0.0610	0.0601	0.0734	0.0713	0.0402	0.0424	0.6290	0.6218	0.1434	0.1485
5	raffinate	0.3840	0.3944	0.1512	0.1524	0.2433	0.2447	0.1790	0.1700	0.0346	0.0357	0.0079	0.0028
	extract	0.0562	0.0529	0.0715	0.0712	0.0830	0.0831	0.0428	0.0471	0.6079	0.6028	0.1386	0.1414
6	raffinate	0.3684	0.3738	0.1539	0.1500	0.2297	0.2202	0.1892	0.1890	0.0480	0.0553	0.0109	0.0118
	extract	0.0531	0.0530	0.0667	0.0690	0.0799	0.0766	0.0416	0.0469	0.6178	0.6107	0.1409	0.1438
7	raffinate	0.2883	0.2957	0.1442	0.1373	0.2512	0.2406	0.2014	0.1943	0.0936	0.1082	0.0213	0.0289
	extract	0.0500	0.0510	0.0598	0.0661	0.0741	0.0861	0.0407	0.0525	0.6315	0.6014	0.1440	0.1429

**Table 6. Average Absolute Deviation between the Experimental and Calculated Data**

system <sup>a</sup>	phase	δ <i>x</i> <sub>1</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>2</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>3</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>4</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>5</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>6</sub>	δ <i>x</i> <sub>7</sub>
1	raffinate	0.0057			0.0115	0.0031	0.0024	
	extract	0.0034			0.0078	0.0077	0.0038	
2	raffinate	0.0029			0.0064	0.0028	0.0021	
	extract	0.0134			0.0016	0.0062	0.0087	
3	raffinate	0.0089	0.0020		0.0069	0.0023		
	extract	0.0044	0.0018		0.0027	0.0143		
4	raffinate	0.0054		0.0060		0.0047	0.0034	
	extract	0.0030		0.0093		0.0075	0.0035	
5	raffinate	0.0072		0.0047	0.0095	0.0082	0.0075	0.0104
	extract	0.0039		0.0024	0.0042	0.0038	0.0041	0.0077
6	raffinate		0.0084	0.0044	0.0068	0.0047	0.0059	0.0053
	extract		0.0022	0.0030	0.0036	0.0052	0.0087	0.0044

<sup>a</sup> System: 1. Heptane (1) + *p*-xylene (5) + TTEG (6) + water (7) 60 °C (this work). 2. Heptane (1) + *p*-xylene (5) + TTEG (6) + water (7) 140 °C (this work). 3. Heptane (1) + benzene (3) + TTEG water (7) 120 °C (Rawat et al., 1980). 4. Heptane (1) + toluene (4) + TTEG (6) + water (7) 100 °C (Wang et al., 1993). 5. Heptane (1) + benzene (3) + toluene (4) + *p*-xylene (5) + TTEG (6) + water (7) 60 °C (this work). 6. Cyclohexane (2) + benzene (3) + toluene (4) + *p*-xylene (5) + TTEG (6) + water (7) 60 °C (this work).

### Parameter Estimation

In the analysis process, TTEG and water were treated as a pseudo-component so that the concentration of each of them could not be determined separately. In the UNIFAC model, however, the concentration of each component in both phases is required. As water and TTEG are all polar solvents, water is soluble in TTEG and very

difficult to dissolve in hydrocarbons. Moreover, the content of water is not high. We assume that the molar ratio between TTEG and water is a constant in both phases and it equals that in the original solvent. The assumption was verified by eight sets of experimental data (Wang, 1996).

According to the rules of the determination of the UNIFAC group (Fredenslund, et al., 1977), a new UNIFAC group, TETRA, is defined, which treats the whole molecule of TTEG as a UNIFAC group. The UNIFAC model requires two group interaction parameters (*a*<sub>mn</sub> and *a*<sub>nm</sub>) per pair of groups and group volumes (*R*<sub>k</sub>) and surface area (*Q*<sub>k</sub>) of an individual group (Reid et al., 1977). The parameters concerning the new group should be obtained by regression of experimental data. Phase equilibrium criterion and material balance suggest that the objective function for evaluation of the parameters is as follows

$$f = \min \left[ \frac{1}{n_y n} \sum_{j=1}^{n_y} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\chi_{ij}^{\alpha} \chi_{ij}^{\alpha}}{\chi_{ij}^{\beta} \chi_{ij}^{\beta}} - 1 \right)^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

where *n* is the number of components and *n<sub>y</sub>* is the number of data points. The group volume and surface area of TETRA are estimated as 5.920 and 4.200, respectively. The estimated values of group interaction parameters for TETRA are presented in Table 1.

### Prediction of LLE

To illustrate the applicability of the UNIFAC model, particularly that of the estimated parameters for the

prediction of LLE data of the aromatics extraction system with TTEG, the calculated phase equilibrium compositions have been compared with the experimental data in Tables 2–5.

Table 6 lists the average absolute deviations of the mole fraction of the compounds in the experimental systems.

It can be seen that the calculated data are in good agreement with the experimental values. The fact that the temperature of these measurements, ranging from 60 to 140 °C, covers the operating temperature and the components presented in the experiments include the main components in the feed stock demonstrates that the UNIFAC model can be used to predict the LLE data in the aromatics extraction system with TTEG with sufficient accuracy for the engineering design.

### Conclusion

Five ternary and two multicomponent LLE data of the aromatics extraction systems with TTEG at different temperatures were determined in this study. A new UNIFAC group, TETRA, was defined, and its parameters required were estimated by the experimental data. From the good agreement between the calculated and both the

experimental and literature data, it can be concluded that the UNIFAC group contribution model with the estimated parameters can be used for the prediction of the LLE data with good accuracy for the design and analysis of the actual extraction units in petrochemical engineering.

### Literature Cited

- Fredenslund, Aa.; Gmehling, J.; Rasmussen, P. *Vapor-Liquid Equilibria Using UNIFAC*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1977.
- Rawat, B. S.; Prasad, G. Liquid–Liquid Equilibria for Benzene-*n*-Heptane Systems with Triethylene Glycol, Tetraethylene Glycol, and Sulfolane Containing Water at Elevated Temperatures. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1980**, *25*, 227–230.
- Reid, R. C.; Prausnitz, J. M.; Sherwood, T. K. *The Properties of Gases and Liquid*, 3rd ed.; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1977.
- Wang, W. *The Study on the Modeling and Simulation of the Extraction of Aromatic Hydrocarbons by Tetraethylene Glycol*. M.S. Thesis, Tsinghua University, 1996.
- Wang, Z. W.; Fan, J. H.; Li, Z. G. Liquid–Liquid Equilibria of *n*-Heptane-Toluene-Tetraethylene Glycol-Water System. *Acta Petr. Sin., Pet. Process. Sect.* **1993**, *9*, 92–99.

Received for review June 27, 1997. Accepted October 6, 1997.®

JE970152I

® Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts*, November 15, 1997.