# Solubility of Sodium Aluminosilicates in Synthetic Bayer Liquor

# Kali Zheng, Roger St. C. Smart, Jonas Addai-Mensah, and Andrea Gerson\*

Ian Wark Research Institute, University of South Australia, The Levels, South Australia 5095

The solubility of two major sodium aluminosilicate Bayer refinery scale phases, sodalite and cancrinite, has been measured in sodium aluminate liquor as a function of time, sodium carbonate concentration, initial seeding phase, and temperature. Solubility is assessed by the measurement of SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution for at least 13 days. At both 90 °C and 160 °C, sodalite seed partially transforms to cancrinite over 13 days. As this transformation is partial, the use of the term "equilibrium solubility" is not justified. The kinetics of this process are faster at the higher temperature; hence, the proportion of cancrinite in the final crystalline products is greater at 160 °C. As expected, the more thermodynamically stable product, cancrinite, was found to be less soluble. Hence, SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility in sodalite-seeded solutions was not greater at 160 °C than at 90 °C. In cancrinite seeded solutions, where no further phase transformation occurs, the equilibrium SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution increases with increasing temperature. Increasing Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration does not appear to affect the sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation kinetics. In all sodalite or cancrinite-seeded solutions, SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility decreases with increasing Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration.

# Introduction

During the Bayer process, bauxite is digested in hot caustic liquor; on filtration, cooling, and then seeding of the clarified liquor, gibbsite is precipitated. SiO<sub>2</sub>-containing minerals such as quartz and kaolin, present in bauxite, also dissolve in the caustic liquor. In pregnant liquors (i.e., liquors from which gibbsite, Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>, has not yet precipitated) the initial supersaturated and final equilibrium concentrations of  $SiO_2$  are approximately 0.60 g dm<sup>-3</sup> and 0.30 g dm<sup>-3</sup> (Ostap, 1986), respectively. The precipitation process required to obtain an approximate equilibrium concentration of SiO<sub>2</sub> takes up to five times as long as the time required to digest bauxite. This renders such a SiO<sub>2</sub> reduction process cost prohibitive. Therefore, SiO<sub>2</sub> equilibrium is not reached, and the caustic aluminate solutions remain supersaturated with respect to both Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub>. After the precipitation of gibbsite, the solubility of SiO<sub>2</sub> is reduced (Ostap, 1986) owing to the decreased Al- $(OH)_3$  content in the spent liquor. This results in the formation of sodium aluminosilicate scale in the downstream section of the Bayer cycle.

In designing processing conditions to minimize formation of this scale, it is necessary to know and understand the factors controlling the solubility of the precipitating aluminosilicate phases. This paper reports on three factors influencing the solubility of  $SiO_2$  in synthetic sodium aluminate solutions (i.e., synthetic Bayer liquors undersaturated with respect to gibbsite), namely; temperature, crystalline phase, and the effect of  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration.

**Temperature.** In the study carried out by Breuer et al. (1963), the solubility of  $SiO_2$  in unseeded sodium aluminate solutions, supersaturated with respect to  $SiO_2$ , was observed to increase from 150 °C to 250 °C. The solutions were allowed to equilibrate for variable times from 69 to 117 h. Kraus et al. (1968) also reported that the solubility of sodium hydroaluminosilicates increases with increasing temperature from 120 °C to 280 °C. These solutions were allowed to equilibrate for 12 h and were not

seeded. The final crystallization products were not reported.

Eremin et al. (1968) carried out a series of SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility measurements in solutions containing between 76.5 and 137.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> and 70 and 209 g dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH. These solution were seeded "corresponding to a content of 0.6 g/L  $SiO_2$  in the pulp". The phase of the seed is not given. At "equilibrium" a decrease in SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration between 90 °C and 125 °C, followed by an increase between 125 °C and 175 °C, is reported. This observation was explained as being due to the presence of an equilibrium between ionic. colloidal, and deposited SiO<sub>2</sub> that was influenced by temperature. (In light of results reported in this paper, it is likely that this observation is due to transformation occurring within the solution precipitation products.) It is unclear whether all these solutions were allowed to equilibrate for the same length of time. Also, no indication of the phase of the final crystallization product is given. Lack of information makes these results difficult to interpret; however, clear patterns of decreasing SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility with increasing caustic concentration and increasing SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility with increasing Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> content were observed.

In Oku and Yamada's (1971) work examining the effect of temperature, the solubility of  $SiO_2$  (from quartz or bauxite) was found to be independent of temperature between 110 °C and 240 °C. Hence there is disagreement in the literature on the effect of temperature on silica solubility.

**Crystalline Phase.** The two main aluminosilicate scale phases found in Bayer refineries after gibbsite precipitation are sodalite and cancrinite (Zheng and Gerson, 1997). While these two phases have, ideally, the same framework stoichiometry, [AlSiO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>6</sub>, and are both composed of 12-atom and 8-atom rings made up of -O-Al-O-Si- units, they differ substantially in structure. Cancrinite, of space group  $P6_3$ , is composed of a series of channels, while sodalite, space group  $P\overline{4}3n$ , is comprised of an array of cages. X-ray powder diffraction can be used to easily differentiate between sodalite and cancrinite. Cancrinite has a clear 101 diffraction peak for which there are no sodalite

 $<sup>\</sup>label{eq:corresponding} \mbox{ author. E-mail: Andrea.Gerson@unisa.edu.au. } \label{eq:corresponding}$ 

diffraction peaks of similar d spacing. Many of the sodalite diffraction peaks do occur at very similar d spacings to those of cancrinite, and therefore it is not easy to identify and quantify the presence of sodalite in a sample where cancrinite is also presnent.

Breuer et al. (1963) measured the solubility of  $SiO_2$  at 70 °C in unseeded solutions. It was found that the initially crystallized Linde zeolite A transformed in situ to sodalite on aging. This transformation was accompanied by a slow reduction in  $SiO_2$  solubility up to 600 h when the experiment was terminated. Further experiments were carried out at 70 °C and 100 °C (for 16 h and 4 h, respectively) in solutions seeded with Linde zeolite A or sodalite. They demonstrated that Linde zeolite A is more soluble than sodalite; i.e., there is a greater concentration of  $SiO_2$  present in solutions where zeolite was present. Ni et al. (1964) carried out similar experiments at 90 °C and also reported that zeolite seed was more soluble than sodalite seed over a 5-day period.

A partial transformation of sodalite to cancrinite (in a caustic aluminate solution supersaturated with respect to  $SiO_2$ , seeded with sodalite) was observed after 15 h at 160 °C by Zheng et al. (1997). Avdeeva and Novolodskaya (1969) reported a transformation from carbonate sodalite to carbonate cancrinite above 175 °C. Breuer et al. (1963) also reported that a slight conversion to cancrinite was apparent after 72 h at 150 °C, and at 200 °C this transformation was complete before 44 h.

Kirsch (1959) observed a transformation from basic noselite to cancrinite. Both noselite and sodalite are reported to be cubic with the noselite unit cell being approximately 9.1 Å as compared to 8.9 Å for sodalite. As the distinction between noselite and sodalite is not clearly defined, the term noselite is not used in this text. Instead sodalite refers to all cubic desilication products, and all unit cells are quoted.

Although some solubility experiments have been carried out in sodalite-seeded solutions, the transformation from sodalite to cancrinite has not been recognized and accounted for in the interpretation of the resulting  $SiO_2$ concentrations. Breuer et al. (1963) reported  $SiO_2$  solubilities over a range of temperatures from 70 to 250 °C. These experimental systems were allowed to equilibrate for variable lengths of time from 4 h to 117 h. The crystalline phase in all cases was reported as basic sodalite, in apparent contradiction to the observation of a sodalite-tocancrinite transformation reported in the same paper. The combination of varying equilibration temperatures and times make their (Breuer et al., 1963)  $SiO_2$  concentrations difficult to interpret in terms of a given crystal/solution equilibrium.

Effect of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> Concentration. Breuer et al. (1963) reported that increasing solution Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration from 7 to 60 g dm<sup>-3</sup> resulted in a decrease in SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility of approximately 75 to 90%. Volkova et al. (1971) found that the solubility of SiO<sub>2</sub> was reduced significantly by the presence of  $CO_3^{2-}$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  over 20 h. The addition of  $SO_4^{2-}$  appeared to enhance the transformation kinetics of sodalite to cancrinite more than the addition of  $CO_3^{2-}$ . Hence, for the same reaction time, the SiO<sub>2</sub>-solution concentration after addition of SO42- was lower than that after the addition of CO32-. Avdeeva and Novolodskaya (1969) investigated the effects of  $CO_3^{2-}$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ , and  $Cl^$ addition and again found that the addition of SO42resulted in a lower SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration than did the addition of the same concentration of CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Solubility experiments were carried out at 175 °C. Again, it was reported that

 $\mathrm{SO}_4{}^{2-}$  was the most effective additive in promoting the sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation.

Breuer et al. (1963) also investigated the relative desilication effectiveness of a number of additives including  $CO_3^{2-}$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$ ,  $C_2O_4^{2-}$ , and  $PO_4^{-}$ .  $SO_4^{2-}$  was again found to be the most effective additive for the reduction of  $SiO_2$ in Bayer-type liquors increasing both the rate of desilication and decreasing the final  $SiO_2$ -solution equilibrium concentration. In digestions varying in length from 46 to 96 h where  $CO_3^{2-}$  or  $SO_4^{2-}$  had been added, the crystallization products were cancrinite.

Rozen et al. (1978) reported similar results where the addition of  $SO_4^{2-}$  to a caustic aluminate solution gives rise to a lower  $SiO_2$  solubility than the addition of  $MOO_4^{2-}$ ,  $CO_3^{2-}$ , or  $CrO_4^{2-}$ . This effect was ascribed to the lower degree of hydration of  $SO_4^{2-}$  than the other bivalent ions examined. A previous study, also by these authors (Pevzner et al., 1974), had shown that  $SO_4^{2-}$  was a less effective  $SiO_2$  removal reagent than either  $ClO_4^{-}$  or  $NO_3^{2-}$ .

While the relative desilication effectiveness of a number of additives has been studied in reasonable detail, no systematic study has been carried out examining the desilication behavior of one additive over a range of temperatures and concentrations including those used in Bayer plants. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is the most concentrated impurity within Bayer liquors, with up to 40 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. In this text, a systematic study of the effect of  $CO_3^{2-}$  addition at 90 °C and 160 °C is reported. Both SiO<sub>2</sub> solution concentrations and resulting crystalline phases are reported as a function of concentration of  $CO_3^{2-}$  addition.

It is apparent that the true  $SiO_2$  solubilities in Bayer liquors undersaturated with respect to gibbsite have rarely, if ever, been measured under equilibrium conditions owing to the slow nature of the phase transformations undergone by the crystallization products.  $SiO_2$  solubilities reported are therefore likely to be a function of solution conditions: initial seeding type (size and mass), temperature, solution concentrations, length of time of equilibration, and stirring rates. For  $SiO_2$  solubilities to be meaningful they must be correlated to a phase analysis of the resulting crystalline products.

In light of the difficulty in interpreting much of the  $SiO_2$  solubility measurements carried out previously, it is the aim of this text to cross-correlate solution conditions, crystalline phase, and  $SiO_2$  solubility.

# **Experimental Method**

Synthetic Bayer liquors were prepared from (1) gibbsite (C-31 Alcoa, Arkansas, X-ray fluorescence analyses: 0.01% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.04% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 15% Na<sub>2</sub>O by mass, 34.5% loss of mass on heating at 1200 °C), (2) laboratory-grade NaOH (Ajax Chemicals, Australia 97.5% pure, 2.5% Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>), (3) Na<sub>2</sub>-CO<sub>3</sub> anhydrous (BDH, Analar 99%), (4) technical-grade Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (Ajax Chemicals, Australia, SiO<sub>2</sub> 27.8–29.2%, Na<sub>2</sub>O 28.1–29.5%, H<sub>2</sub>O 42–43% by mass), and (5) Milli-Q water (surface tension of 72.8 mN m<sup>-1</sup> at 20 °C and specific conductivity <0.5  $\mu$ S).

The minimum concentration of  $Na_2CO_3$  in any of the synthetic spent liquors (i.e., Bayer liquors after precipitation of Al(OH)<sub>3</sub>) was 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. This was due to contamination of NaOH by  $Na_2CO_3$ .

Solubility experiments were carried out in synthetic spent liquors as a function of  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration at 90 °C and 160 °C for over 13 days in six stainless steel Parr bombs. Each of these bombs contained a Teflon liner and had an operating volume of 0.027 dm<sup>3</sup>. The initial super-saturated SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration was 0.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. All liquors

contained NaOH = 181 g dm<sup>-3</sup> and Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> = 126.7 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. The Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration was varied systematically from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>.

Pure, synthetic sodalite and cancrinite seed as well as plant-grown cancrinite seed (all characterized by X-ray powder diffraction; unit cells are given in Tables 1, 2 and 3 respectively) were used during this investigation. So-dalite crystals previously precipitated over a 3-day period from solutions with the same NaOH and Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> concentrations at 95 °C were used as synthetic sodalite seeds. Synthetic cancrinite was prepared from solution as for the sodalite preparation with the addition of 28.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Plant cancrinite grown at 180 °C was used as the source of Bayer plant-grown cancrinite seed.

A solution containing SiO<sub>2</sub>, NaOH, and Al(OH)<sub>3</sub> was prepared. From this, six stock solutions were made by the addition of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> so that the final Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentrations were 4.6, 12.0, 19.0, 26.0, 33.0, and 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. Approximately 0.3 g of seed was added to each 0.027 dm<sup>3</sup> Teflon insert. The inserts were then filled with the required amount of stock solutions and sealed. They were kept, without any agitation, in the chamber of a thermostatically controlled oven that provided isothermal heating at (90.0  $\pm$  0.3) °C and (160.0  $\pm$  0.3) °C.

Up to five samples were periodically taken from the slurry and centrifuged in order to monitor the change in SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration of the liquor. The supernatants were analyzed by the ammonium molybdate method (Wherli, 1990) on a Cary 5 UV–vis NIR spectrophotometer. This procedure was repeated periodically for (1) 10 days for the synthetic-cancrinite-seeded solutions at 160 °C, (2) 13 days for the sodalite-seeded solutions at 90 °C and 160 °C and the plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions at 90 °C, (3) 14 days for the synthesized-cancrinite-seeded solutions at 90 °C, and (4) 16 days for the plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions at 160 °C.

Crystal samples taken from the solutions were washed with water and ethanol and dried in a fume hood overnight. They were analyzed using X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) on a Philips PW 1130/90 using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation with a step size of 0.2° 2 $\theta$  and a scan rate of 1° 2 $\theta$  min<sup>-1</sup> from 10 to 90° 2 $\theta$ . Typical XRD patterns of both synthetic sodalite and cancrinite and plant cancrinite have been published previously (Zheng et al., 1997) and, hence, are not included in this text. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) was carried out in transmission geometry using a KBr disk on a Bio-Rad Digilab Division FTS-65. The disk consisted of less than 2 mass % of the crystalline samples.

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Sodalite-Seeded Solutions.** The SiO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured from the sodalite-seeded liquors are shown in Figure 1. Also shown are some SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility concentrations interpolated from the literature. The error in the SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements was  $\pm 0.02$  g dm<sup>-3</sup>, to the point of 95% confidence. It can be seen from Figure 1 that

(1) The solubility of sodalite (as SiO<sub>2</sub>) obtained after 13 days decreased from 0.34 to 0.19 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 90 °C and from 0.35 to 0.22 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 160 °C with the increase of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>.

(2) The SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility data obtained at 90 °C were wellmatched with literature values. Ni et al. (1964) reported a SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility of 0.34 g dm<sup>-3</sup> in a solution containing no added Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. This is very similar to the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility reported here of 0.34 g dm<sup>-3</sup> for the solution containing 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. A SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility of 0.20 g dm<sup>-3</sup> for a



Figure 1. Solution SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in sodalite-seeded solutions as a function of  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration:  $-\Diamond -$  90 °C, -- $\blacksquare$ -- 160 °C.

Table 1. Unit Cells of Sodalite-Seeded Solutions<sup>a</sup>

|                      |      |                      | cancr       | cancrinite |  |
|----------------------|------|----------------------|-------------|------------|--|
| $Na_2CO_3/g~dm^{-3}$ | t/°C | sodalite <i>a</i> /Å | <i>a</i> /Å | c/Å        |  |
| initial seed         |      | 8.9133(2)            |             |            |  |
| 4.6                  | 90   | 8.971(8)             | 12.685(4)   | 5.187(3)   |  |
| 40.0                 | 90   | 8.9702(6)            | 12.684(3)   | 5.181(2)   |  |
| 4.6                  | 160  |                      | 12.693(2)   | 5.490(2)   |  |
| 40.0                 | 160  |                      | 12.703(1)   | 5.181(1)   |  |

<sup>*a*</sup> The number in parentheses indicates the standard deviation of the last digit of the unit-cell dimensions.

solution containing 40 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> was interpolated from Breuer et al.'s (1963) data. This compares favorably with our SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 0.19 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. At 160 °C the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubilities reported in the literature compared less favorably to our values. A value of 0.25 g dm<sup>-3</sup> SiO<sub>2</sub> was interpolated from Breuer et al.'s, (1963) data for a solution containing 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> as compared to 0.22 g dm<sup>-3</sup> reported here.

(3) The SiO<sub>2</sub> solubilities recorded at 160  $^{\circ}$ C were not significantly higher, as would be expected, than those recorded at 90  $^{\circ}$ C.

The presence of cancrinite is accurately identified by the 101 diffraction peak (Grundy and Hassan, 1982) for cancrinite. No sodalite diffraction peak is present at this particular d spacing. XRD d data shows that a phase transformation from sodalite to cancrinite was observed in the crystalline product at both 90° and 160 °C. The unit cells of the initial sodalite seed and the crystallization products are given in Table 1. The sodalite 211 and cancrinite 300 diffraction peaks occur at approximately the same  $2\theta$  value of 24.5°. By examining the ratio of the intensities of cancrinite 101 with the sum of the intensities of sodalite 211 and cancrinite 300 it is possible to roughly assess the relative concentration of cancrinite in a sample (Zheng et al., 1997). This ratio indicates that the sodaliteto-cancrinite transformation was less complete at the termination of the experiment at 90 °C than at 160 °C. The crystalline products of a sodalite-seeded solution initially containing 0.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> SiO<sub>2</sub>, 181 g dm<sup>-3</sup> NaOH and 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sup>3</sup> held at 90 °C for 13 days had a C(101)/ (S(211)+C(300)) diffraction peak intensity ratio of 0.2 as compared to the identical solution held at 160 °C, where this ratio was found to be 0.3. For the same solution but containing 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, this ratio after 13 days at 90 °C was found to be 0.1 as compared to the identical solution held at 160 °C where the ratio was 0.4 (Gerson and Zheng, 1997). As there is no proof that this phase transformation has been completed at even the higher



**Figure 2.** Solution  $SiO_2$  concentration in synthetic-cancriniteseeded solutions as a function of  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration after 13 days:  $-\diamondsuit - 90$  °C, -- $\blacksquare$ -- 160 °C.

temperature, the terminology "equilibrium solubility" has been avoided in this text.

Literature (Hermeler et al., 1991) suggests that the addition of  $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$  to Bayer-like solutions increases the rate of the sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation, which in turn leads to a reduction in SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in solution. There is no conclusive evidence in our work to suggest that the addition of a high concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, i.e., 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> as compared to 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup>, increases the rate of this transformation. However, the results quoted are after a 13-day experimental period and therefore give no indication of the initial or early sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation rate.

Ni et al.'s (1964) data for 90 °C (as shown in Figure 1) was reported for a solution containing no added  $CO_3^{2-}$ . No explanation was given as to how  $Na_2CO_3$  contamination of the NaOH was avoided. This solubility corresponds extremely well to our minimum  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration of 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup>. A possible explanation for the discrepancy between the data obtained at 160 °C is that Breuer et al.'s (1963) solubility experiment was allowed to equilibrate for up to 4 days only, and therefore the sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation is likely to have been less complete than in the solutions reported here (13 days). It is predictable, therefore, that the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility reported here for a similar solution.

The FTIR vibrations characteristic of cancrinite were present at 691, 629, and 565 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Leiteizen et al., 1974) after the solubility experiment at 160 °C for the liquor containing 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The FTIR vibrations of sodalite at 737, 711, and 665 cm<sup>-1</sup> (Leiteizen et al., 1974) are present in the FTIR spectra of the initial sodalite seed but not in the final product.

Synthetic-Cancrinite-Seeded Solutions. The  $SiO_2$  solution concentrations, after 13 days, in caustic aluminate solutions seeded with synthetic cancrinite are shown in Figure 2. It is apparent from Figure 2 that

(1) The SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration of solutions in contact with synthetic cancrinite seed decreased from 0.15 to 0.13 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 90 °C and from 0.23 to 0.19 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 160 °C as the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration was increased from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>.

(2) In synthetic-cancrinite-seeded solutions the solubility of SiO<sub>2</sub> at 160 °C is always greater than at 90 °C for a given Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration.

Neither XRD or FTIR analyses gave any indication of a phase change from cancrinite to sodalite at either 90 °C or 160 °C. It is apparent, therefore, that cancrinite is the more thermodynamically stable phase. A decrease in the

Table 2. Unit-Cell Dimension and Volumes of the InitialSynthetic Cancrinite Seed and the Crystalline Productfrom the Solubility Experiments Described in ResultsPart 2. Synthetic-Cancrinite-Seeded Solutions<sup>a</sup>

| 0                                    |      |             |          |                       |
|--------------------------------------|------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|
| $Na_2CO_3/g~dm^{-3}$                 | t/°C | <i>a</i> /Å | c/Å      | volume/Å <sup>3</sup> |
| initial synthetic<br>cancrinite seed |      | 12.789(5)   | 5.221(3) | 740(1)                |
| 4.6                                  | 90   | 12.638(7)   | 5.150(5) | 712(1)                |
| 40.0                                 | 90   | 12.547(6)   | 5.104(4) | 696(1)                |
| 4.6                                  | 160  | 12.645(2)   | 5.161(2) | 714.6(5)              |
| 40.0                                 | 160  | 12.645(3)   | 5.152(2) | 713.4(6)              |
|                                      |      |             |          |                       |

<sup>a</sup> The standard deviation of the last digit is given in parentheses.



**Figure 3.** FTIR of synthetic-cancrinite-seeded solutions indicating the reduction in  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentrations. (a) synthetic cancrinite seed, (b) cancrinite obtained at 90 °C from a solution containing 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, (c) cancrinite obtained at 90 °C from a solution containing 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, (d) cancrinite obtained at 160 °C from a solution containing 4.6 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and (e) cancrinite obtained at 160 °C from a solution containing 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.

unit cell volume of the resulting cancrinite phase as compared to the synthetic cancrinite seed was observed (Table 2). FTIR spectra indicate that these unit cell reductions were coupled with a reduction in  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration (seen as  $v_3$  near 1460 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in the solid phase (Figure 3). This reduction in cancrinite  $CO_3^{2-}$  content was most significant at 160 °C.

**Bayer Plant-Cancrinite-Seeded Solutions.** The  $SiO_2$  solubilities resulting from caustic aluminate solutions seeded with plant cancrinite are given in Figure 4. These solubilities indicate that:

(1) On increasing Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentrations from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>, the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubilities reduced from 0.20 to 0.13 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 90 °C and from 0.26 g dm<sup>-3</sup>, to 0.21 g dm<sup>-3</sup> at 160 °C. At Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentrations greater than 10.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> the influence of increasing Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration on SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility was not very significant.

(2) As for synthetic cancrinite, the  $SiO_2$  solubility resulting for plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions increased with increasing temperature.

(3) For all three types of seeding examined, the increasing addition of  $CO_3^{2-}$  had the largest effect on sodalite-seeded solutions at 90 °C and 160 °C. The decrease in SiO<sub>2</sub>



**Figure 4.** Solution SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in Bayer plant-cancriniteseeded solutions as a function of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration:  $-\diamondsuit -90$  °C,  $-\blacksquare$ -- 160 °C.

Table 3. Unit-Cell Dimensions and Volumes of the InitialBayer Plant Cancrinite and the Crystalline Product fromthe Solubility Experiments Described in Results Part 3.Bayer Plant-Cancrinite-Seeded Solutions<sup>a</sup>

| $Na_2CO_3/g\;dm^{-3}$            | t/°C | <i>a</i> /Å | c/Å      | volume/Å <sup>3</sup> |
|----------------------------------|------|-------------|----------|-----------------------|
| initial plant<br>cancrinite seed |      | 12.712(3)   | 5.179(2) | 724.7(6)              |
| 4.6                              | 90   | 12.654(4)   | 5.178(6) | 718 (1)               |
| 40.0                             | 90   | 12.704(1)   | 5.189(1) | 725.2(3)              |
| 4.6                              | 160  | 12.684(2)   | 5.184(2) | 722.2(5)              |
| 40.0                             | 160  | 12.680(2)   | 5.182(1) | 721.5(4)              |

<sup>a</sup> The standard deviation of the last digit is given in parentheses.

Table 4. Difference of SiO<sub>2</sub> Solubility in Synthetic Bayer Liquors Containing 40.0 and 4.6 Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> g dm<sup>-3</sup>  $^a$ 

| seed                 | t/°C | $\Delta SiO_2$ solubility <sup>b</sup> |  |
|----------------------|------|--|--|
| sodalite             | 90   | 0.02                                   |  |
| synthetic cancrinite | 90   | 0.02                                   |  |
| plant cancrinite     | 90   | 0.07                                   |  |
| sodalite             | 160  | 0.13                                   |  |
| synthetic cancrinite | 160  | 0.04                                   |  |
| plant cancrinite     | 160  | 0.08                                   |  |

 $^a$  For the liquors compared the other experimental conditions were identical.  $^b$  From 40.0 to 4.6 Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> g dm<sup>-3</sup> solution.

concentration,  $\Delta$ [SiO<sub>2</sub>], from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> is shown in Table 4.

No systematic variation in unit cell size was observed in plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions (Table 3). FTIR analysis indicated that initial  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration in the plant cancrinite seed was much lower than in the synthetic cancrinite. No detectable change in  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentrations in the plant cancrinite seed were apparent during the experiment.

Sodalite seeded solutions at 90 °C appear to be the most affected by the increasing addition of  $Na_2CO_3$ . If increasing  $CO_3^{2-}$  content does enhance the rate of sodalite-to-cancrinite transformation (Hermeler et al., 1991), the component of cancrinite in the crystalline product would be expected to increase with increasing  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration. This would, in turn, further depress the  $SiO_2$  concentration in the sodium aluminate liquors.

In summary, systematically increasing the  $Na_2CO_3$  concentration from 4.6 to 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup> resulted in the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubilities shown in Table 5. Parts a and b of Figure 5 show the solubilities of the three different seed types at 90 °C and 160 °C, respectively.



**Figure 5.** Solution SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration in seeded solutions as a function of Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration:  $-\phi$ - sodalite,  $-\Delta$ -- Bayer plant-grown cancrinite,  $-\bullet$ -- synthetic cancrinite.

Table 5. Experimental SiO<sub>2</sub> Solubility Data

|                      |      | Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> / | solubility/        | duration of |
|----------------------|------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| seed                 | t/°C | $\mathrm{g}~\mathrm{dm}^{-3}$     | g dm <sup>-3</sup> | expt/days   |
| sodalite             | 90   | 4.6                               | 0.34               | 13          |
|                      |      | 12.0                              | 0.28               |             |
|                      |      | 19.0                              | 0.26               |             |
|                      |      | 26.0                              | 0.23               |             |
|                      |      | 33.0                              | 0.21               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.19               |             |
| sodalite             | 160  | 4.6                               | 0.35               | 13          |
|                      |      | 19.0                              | 0.24               |             |
|                      |      | 26.0                              | 0.24               |             |
|                      |      | 33.0                              | 0.20               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.22               |             |
| synthetic cancrinite | 90   | 4.6                               | 0.15               | 14          |
| 0                    |      | 12.0                              | 0.12               |             |
|                      |      | 19.0                              | 0.13               |             |
|                      |      | 33.0                              | 0.14               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.13               |             |
| synthetic cancrinite | 160  | 4.6                               | 0.23               | 10          |
| -                    |      | 12.0                              | 0.20               |             |
|                      |      | 19.0                              | 0.19               |             |
|                      |      | 33.0                              | 0.19               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.19               |             |
| plant cancrinite     | 90   | 4.6                               | 0.20               | 13          |
|                      |      | 12.0                              | 0.15               |             |
|                      |      | 26.0                              | 0.14               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.13               |             |
| plant cancrinite     | 160  | 4.6                               | 0.26               | 16          |
| •                    |      | 19.0                              | 0.19               |             |
|                      |      | 40.0                              | 0.18               |             |
|                      |      |                                   |                    |             |

## Conclusion

This work is the first study reporting on the solubility of sodium aluminosilicates in synthetic Bayer liquors that takes account the crystalline phase transformations and their effect on the solubility of  $SiO_2$  in sodium aluminate solution of industrial liquor strength proceeding gibbsite crystallization. The numerical values of the  $SiO_2$  solubilities measured in caustic aluminate solutions of varying  $Na_2CO_3$  concentrations, seeding types, and temperature are given in Table 5.

At both 90 °C and 160 °C over the entire range of  $Na_2CO_3$  concentrations, the sodalite-seeded solutions gave rise to the highest concentration of  $SiO_2$  in solution. At low concentrations of  $Na_2CO_3$  (i.e., between 4.6 and 30.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>  $Na_2CO_3$ ), the Bayer plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions gave rise to a lower  $SiO_2$  solubility than did the synthetic-cancrinite-seeded solutions. Between 30.0 and 40.0 g dm<sup>-3</sup>  $Na_2CO_3$ , the  $SiO_2$  concentration for both the synthetic-cancrinite-seeded solutions and the plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions were the same to within experimental error.

The crystalline product from all of the sodalite-seeded solutions included some cancrinite. The proportion of cancrinite present was greater for the solutions held at 160 °C than for the solutions held at 90 °C. Where no phase change took place, i.e., with cancrinite seeded solutions, the SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility was always greater at 160 °C than at 90 °C. The SiO<sub>2</sub> solubility did not increase significantly between 90 °C and 160 °C for the sodalite-seeded solutions owing to the phase change from sodalite to the more stable, less soluble cancrinite.

Systematically increasing the Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> concentration in all the seeded caustic aluminate liquors caused the SiO<sub>2</sub> concentration to decrease. This drop was most pronounced for the sodalite seeded solutions at 90 °C. A reduction in  $CO_3^{2-}$  concentration in the solid phase is observed in both sodalite and synthetic cancrinite on aging of these phases in situ. This trend was not observed in the plant-cancrinite-seeded solutions where the initial concentration of  $CO_3^{2-}$  was very low.

## Acknowledgment

Considerable assistance in research discussions during the project has been provided by Dr. Ray Shaw, Dr. Gerry Roe (Comalco) and Dr. Anne Duncan (QAL).

## **Literature Cited**

Avdeeva, T. I.; Novolodskaya, A. A. Effect of Salts Desilication of Sodium Aluminate Solutions. *Tsvetn. Met.* **1969**, *42*, 43–7.

- Breuer, R. G.; Barsotti. L. R.; Kelly, A. C. Behaviour of Silica in Sodium Aluminate Solutions. In *Metallurgy of Aluminium*; Interscience: New York, 1963; Vol 1, pp 133–157.
- Eremin, N. I.; Mel'nikova, V. P.; Mazel', V. A. True Solubility of Hydrated Sodium Aluminosilicate in Aluminate Solutions. *Tsvetn. Met.* **1968**, 41, 46–9.
- Gerson, A. R.; Zheng, K. Bayer Process Plant Scale: Transformation of Sodalite to Cancrinite. J. Cryst. Growth 1997, 171, 209–218.
- Grundy, H. D.; Hassan, I. The Crystal Structure of Carbonate-Rich Cancrinites. *Can. Mineral.* **1982**, *20*, 239–51.
- Hermeler, G.; Buhl, J. C.; Hoffman, W. The Influence of Carbonate on the Synthesis of an Intermediate Phase between Sodalite and Cancrinite. *Catal. Today* **1991**, *8*, 415–426.
- Kirsch, K. H. Doctoral Thesis, Rheinisch-westfalische Technische Hochshule Aachen, 1959.
- Kraus, I. P.; Derevyankin, V. A.; Kuznetsov, S. I. Kinetics of Formation and the Solubility of Hydrated Sodium Aluminosilicate in Aluminate Solutions. *Tsvetn. Met.* **1968**, *41*, 43–6.
- Leiteizen, M. G.; Pashkevich, L. A.; Firfarova, I. B.; Tsekhovol'skaya, D. I. Characteristics of Sodium Hydroaluminosilicates Formed under Alumina Production Conditions. *Tsvetn. Met.* **1974**, 21–5.
- Ni, L. P.; Perekhrest, G. L.; Solenko, T. V. The Solubility of Sodium Aluminosilicate in Aluminate Solutions. Translated from: *Zh. Prikl. Khim.* **1964**, *37*, 22–9.
- Oku, T.; Yamada, K.; The Dissolution Rate of Quartz and the Rate of Desilication in Bayer Liquor. *Light Met.* **1971**, 31–45.
- Ostap, S. Control of Silica in the Bayer Process used for Alumina Production. *Can. Metall. Q.* **1986**, *25*, 101–6.
- Pevzner, I. Z.; Rozen, Y. B.; Tsekhovol'skaya, D. I. Role of Salts During removal of Silica from Aluminate Solution. *Tsvetn. Met.* 1974, 38– 41.
- Rozen, Y. B.; Pevner, I. Z.; Tsekhovol'skaya, D. I. Desilication of Aluminate Solutions in the Presence of Sodium Salts with Doubly Charged Ions. *Tsvetn. Met.* **1978**, 47–9.
- Volkova, N. S.; Tsekhovol'skaya, D. I.; Eremin, N. I. Role of Impurities During Desilication of Aluminate Solutions. *Tsvetn. Met.* 1971, 44, 31–4.
- Wherli, J. T. *Analysis of Silica in Bayer Liquors;* Internal Memorandum ref 7.9 QAL; 1990.
- Zheng, K.; Gerson, A. R.; Addai-Mensah, J.; Smart, R. St. C. The Influence of Sodium Carbonate, on Aluminosilicate Crystallization and Solubility in Sodium Aluminate Solutions. J. Cryst. Growth 1997, 171, 197–208.

Received for review August 4, 1997. Accepted January 20, 1998. This project was funded by Comalco Aluminum Limited and Queensland Alumina Limited. The authors are grateful for this support.

JE970187I