Solubilities of Some Nitrogen-Containing Drugs in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide

Yadollah Yamini,*,† Jalal Hassan,† and Soheila Haghgo‡

Department of Chemistry, Tarbiat Modarres University, Tehran, Iran, and Food and Drug Quality Control Laboratories, Tehran, Iran

The equilibrium solubilities of five nitrogen-containing drugs carbamazepine, diazepam, codeine, atropine, and lorazepam have been measured in supercritical carbon dioxide using a simple and reliable static method. The measurements were performed in the pressure range (122 to 355) bar at the temperatures (308, 318, 328, 338, and 348) K. The measured solubilities were correlated using a semiempirical model. The calculated results show satisfactory agreement with experimental data.

1. Introduction

Recently, interest in using supercritical fluids as an alternative to conventional extraction methods has grown. The conventional extraction consumed large amounts of solvents, required lots of time, and polluted the environment. Supercritical fluid extraction (SFE) has been widely applied to the extraction processes in food processing and pharmaceutical industries.^{1–5} CO₂ has so far been the most widely used supercritical fluid because it evaporates upon decompression at the restrictor outlet, which facilitates off-line collection of extracts. On the other hand, CO₂ is not only a powerful solvent for a wide range of compounds but also relatively inert, inexpensive, nontoxic, nonflammable, recyclable, readily available in high purity, and it leaves no residues.

Information on solubilities of pharmaceutical products in supercritical fluids is of vital importance for the efficient design of the extraction and purification process on the basis of supercritical fluids. Numerous research groups have measured the solubility of pharmaceutical products in different supercritical fluids.⁶⁻¹¹ This work was undertaken to determine the solubilities of five compounds used as drugs (atropine, diazepam, codeine, carbamazepine, and lorazepam) in supercritical carbon dioxide over a wide range of temperatures and pressures. Atropine is a tertiary amine that has become the prototype and most widely used of antimuscarinic drugs. Diazepam, lorazepam, and carbamazepine all are benzodiazepine. Diazepam is indicated for the symptomatic relief of tension and anxiety and acute alcohol withdrawal adjunct therapy in skeletal muscle spasms and is preferred by many clinicians for the management of status epileptics. Lorazepam is mainly promoted as an antianxiety agent, but also is approved for treatment of insomnia. Carbamazepine is an anticonvulsant drug that is useful in complex partial seizures (temporal lob, psychomotor) and generalized tonic-clinic seizures, particularly in patients who have not responded to other less toxic anticonvulsants. Codeine is a cough suppressant, antidiarrhoeal, and analgesic.^{12,13} To the best

of our knowledge, there is not any report about the solubility of these drugs.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Experimental and Procedure. A Suprex MPS/ 225 integrated SFE/SFC system equipped with a modified static system for the solubility determination in the SFE mode was used. A detailed description of the apparatus and operating procedures is given elsewhere.^{8,9,14} Solubility measurements were accomplished with a 1-mL extraction vessel in the pressure range from (122 to 355) bar at temperatures (308, 318, 328, 338, and 348) K for a duration of 30 min. It should be noted that, when the solubility data versus time was monitored, 30 min was found adequate to ensure the attainment of equilibrium. The solid solutes (100 mg) were mixed well with glass beads and packed into the extraction vessel. This procedure prevents channeling, increases the contact surface between the sample and the supercritical fluid, and consequently, reduces the equilibration time. Supercritical CO₂ was pressurized and passed into the extraction vessel. After equilibrium at the desired temperature and pressure was reached (for about 30 min) a 145- μ L portion of the saturated supercritical CO₂ was loaded into an injection loop. Then, the loop was depressurized into the collection vial containing suitable solvent (Table 2). Finally, the sample loop was washed with the same solvent, which was collected in the collection vial. The final volume of the solution was 5 mL.

The solubilities of carbamazepine, diazepam, codeine, and lorazepam were calculated by absorbency measurements at suitable λ for each compound (Table 1) using a model 2100 Shimadzo UV–Vis spectrophotometer with 1-cm pass length quartz cells.

The solubilities of atropine were determined by the addition of picric acid into atropine solution and absorbance measurements of the resulting atropine–picric acid charge tansfer complex at 420 nm. The stock solution of the drug compound (100 μ g mL⁻¹) was prepared by dissolving appropriate amounts of solid sample in the proper solvent (Table 1). A set of standard solutions was prepared by appropriate dilution of the stock solutions. The calibration curves obtained (with regression coefficients better than 0.999) were used to estabilish the concentration of the drugs in the collection vial. The mole fraction composition of the solutes were generally reproducible within ±3%.

 $[\]ast$ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: <code>yyamini@net1cs.modares.ac.ir.</code>

[†] Tarbiat Modarres University.

[‡] Food and Drug Quality Control Laboratories.

Table 1. Physical Properties of the Solutes^a

Compound	Formula	Structure	MW/g.mol ⁻¹	T _m /K	Solvent	λ/nm
Atropine	C ₁₅ H ₂₃ NO ₃	Me N CH2OH OOCC H	289.38	115	CHCl3	420 *
Diazepam	C ₁₆ H ₁₃ CIN ₂ O		284.76	126	СН₃ОН	314
Codeine	C ₁₈ H ₂₁ NO ₃	MeO 0 ///// HO ^{1////} H	299.36	155	H ₂ O	210
Carbamazepine	C ₁₅ H ₁₂ N ₂ O	CONH2	236.26	205	СН₃ОН	285
Lorazepam	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ CIN ₂ O ₂		321.16	167	CH₃OH	318

 a The asterisk indicates that λ is obtained for an atropine–picric acid charge-transfer complex.

			atrop	oine	diaze	pam	code	ine	azep	ine
Т	P	ρ	S	X	S	X	S	X	S	X
Κ	bar	kg m ⁻³	$\overline{g L^{-1}}$	104	$\overline{g L^{-1}}$	104	$\overline{g L^{-1}}$	104	$\overline{g L^{-1}}$	105
308										
	122	771	0.32	0.6	0.88	18	0.53	10		
	152	818	0.47	0.9	1 07	2.0	0.69	12		
	182	850	0.53	0.0	1 31	24	1.05	1.2		
	213	876	0.58	1.0	1 39	25	1 1 3	1.0		
	2/3	807	0.00	1.0	1.00	2.0 2.1	1.15	2 1	0.05	1 1
	271	016 016	0.00	1.4	1.77	3.1	1.45	25	0.05	1.1
	204	021	0.01	1.4	1.02	3.1	1.30	2.0	0.00	1.5
	334	946	0.02	1.0	2 30	3.8	1.07	3.0	0.07	1.1
	355	955	0.07	1.4	2.30	3.0	2 40	3.0	0.07	1.5
318	555	555	0.75	1.2	2.40	5.5	2.40	5.7	0.00	1.0
010	122	661	0.52	12	0.68	16	0.28	0.6	0.02	0.6
	152	745	0.02	1.2	1.05	2.2	0.20	1.2	0.02	0.0
	182	792	1.00	2.1	1.00	26	1.02	2.0	0.05	1 1
	213	826	1.05	2.1	1.50	2.0	1.00	2.5	0.03	1.1
	2/3	852	1.07	2.5	1.00	3.1	1.45	2.0	0.07	1.5
	274	875	2.00	4.0	2 24	1 1	2.00	2.5	0.00	2.0
	204	803	2 72	63	2.34	4.1	2.00	3.4	0.03	22
	334	Q10	2 70	4.5	2.17	5.4	2 11	3.1	0.11	21
	355	010	2.70	53	3.17	5.6	2 65	12	0.12	28
328	555	515	5.15	5.5	0.00	5.0	2.00	7.2	0.14	2.0
520	199	516	0.6	1 0	0.54	16	0.20	0.8	0.01	03
	152	657	0.74	1.0	0.84	2.0	0.25	1.5	0.01	0.5
	182	726	1 07	2.2	1 27	2.7	1 15	2.3	0.02	1.0
	213	771	1.07	2.5	1.27	3.2	1.10	2.9	0.05	1.3
	243	804	2.30	4.3	2 11	4 1	1.85	3.4	0.08	1.0
	274	831	2 75	5.0	2.63	49	2 45	4.3	0.11	2.4
	304	853	2.91	5.2	2.94	5.3	2 71	47	0.13	2.8
	334	872	3.53	6.2	3.79	6.7	3.03	5.1	0.16	3.3
	355	884	3.75	6.5	4.04	7.1	3.53	5.9	0.17	3.6
338	000	001	0.1.0	0.0	1101		0.00	0.0	0.117	0.0
000	122	396	0.3	1.0	0.42	1.6	0.44	1.6		
	152	561	0.63	1.7	0.75	2.1	0.48	1.2	0.01	$\bar{0.4}$
	182	654	1.37	3.2	1.16	2.7	0.92	2.1	0.03	1.0
	213	712	2.13	4.5	1.76	3.8	1.36	2.8	0.07	1.9
	243	754	3.24	6.5	2.31	4.7	2.17	4.2	0.10	2.6
	274	786	4.25	8.2	3.03	6.0	2.73	5.1	0.14	3.4
	304	812	4.59	8.6	3.78	7.2	3.25	5.9	0.20	4.5
	334	834	5.39	9.8	4.48	8.3	3.78	6.7	0.25	5.5
	355	848	5.51	9.9	4.95	9.0	4.85	8.4	0.32	7.1
348										
	122	327	0.2	0.8	0.39	1.8	0.10	0.4	_	_
	152	477	0.56	1.8	0.62	2.0	0.30	0.9	0.06	2.4
	182	585	1.70	4.4	1.11	2.9	0.78	2.0	0.06	2.0
	213	652	2.86	6.7	1.66	3.9	1.51	3.4	0.06	1.7
	243	702	4.21	9.1	2.38	5.2	2.75	5.8	0.10	2.7
	274	740	5.38	11.0	3.19	6.7	3.66	7.3	0.17	4.2
	304	772	6.69	13.2	4.04	8.1	4.71	9.0	0.23	5.6
	334	796	7.99	15.2	4.99	9.7	5.22	9.6	0.32	7.5
	355	811	8.92	16.7	5.82	11.1	7.97	12.3	0.41	9.4

Dioxide

2.2. Materials. All of the drugs (Table 1) were obtained in pure form from the Food and Drug Quality Control Lab in Tehran, Iran and used without any further purification. HPLC-grade methanol and ethanol-stabilized chloroform (Merck) were used as received. Reagent-grade picric acid (Merck) was used without any further purification expect for vacuum-drying. Doubly distilled water was used as the solvent for codeine. Pure carbon dioxide (Sabalan, Tehran, 99.99%) was used for all extractions.

3. Results and Discussion

In preliminary experiments, the solubility of lorazepam in supercritical CO₂ was found to be very low; its mole fraction solubility (x) at 348 K and 355 bar was $<10^{-6}$. Thus, because of high uncertainty of the solubility due to the low values, the solubility experiments were not per-

formed at other experimental conditions. Table 2 represents the solubilities of drugs at temperatures (308, 318, 328, 338, and 348) K over a pressure range from (122 to 355) bar. The resulting solubilities are reported in terms of equilibrium mole fraction, *x*, of the solute and in g L^{-1} , s, of the solute in supercritical carbon dioxide.

$$x = n_{\text{solute}} / (n_{\text{solute}} + n_{\text{CO}_2})$$
(1)

where

$$n_{\text{solute}} = [C(\mu g/\text{mL}) \times V_{\text{s}}(\text{mL}) \times \text{mg}/1000 \ \mu\text{g}]/$$
$$[M_{\text{s}}(g/\text{mol})] (2)$$

and

$$n_{\rm CO_2} = [V_1(\mu L) \times \rho(g/L) \times mL/1000 \ \mu L]/[M_{\rm CO_2}(g/mol)]$$
(3)

where n_{solute} and n_{CO_2} are milimoles of solute and CO_2 in sampling loop, C is the concentration of solute ($\mu g m L^{-1}$) in the collection vial that was obtained from the calibration curve, V_s (mL) and V_1 (μ L) are volumes of the collection vial and sampling loop, and M_s and M_{CO_2} are molecular weights of the solute and CO₂, respectively.

Each reported datum is the average of at least three replicate samples. The mole fractions of the solutes were reproducible within $\pm 3\%$.

Table 2 shows the temperature and pressure effects on solubility. The effect of pressure on the solute solubility shows the usual trend. As the pressure is raised, the carbon dioxide density increases and the mean intermolecular distance of the carbon dioxide molecules decreases, thereby increasing the specific interaction between the solute molecules which increases the solubility of solid solutes.

The temperature influences the solute vapor pressure, the solvent density, and the intermolecular interactions in the fluid phase. At pressures under the crossover region (for atropine, diazepam, codeine, 150 bar and for carbamazepine, 180 bar), the solvent densities are lowered by small increases in temperature; as the density effect is dominant in this region, the solubility will decrease with the raising of temperature. At higher pressures, the solvent density is less dependent on temperature so the increase in solubility is primarily due to the higher vapor pressure of the solid. A similar retrograde solubility (crossover/ pressure effect) behavior for the solubility of organic compounds in supercritical CO₂ has been reported in the literature.^{7,9–11,14,15} It is interesting that, in all cases, for a given pressure above the crossover points, the highest solubility values were observed at the lowest density for the drugs (i.e., highest temperatures). On the other hand, observing no change in the UV-Vis spectra (800-200 nm) of the extracted samples in the temperature range of (308-348) K, speak for the stability of these drugs under the given conditions.

The results obtained in this study indicate that the solubility of the drugs vary in the order: atropine > diazepam > codeine > carbamazepine. The solubilities parallel the order of the relative melting point: the higher the melting point, the lower the solubility. Similar results have been reported in the literature.^{10,14}

The experimental solubility data for the drugs were correlated using the following equation proposed by Bartle et al.:16,17

$$\ln(xP/P_{\rm ref}) = A + C(\rho - \rho_{\rm ref}) \tag{4}$$



Figure 1. Plots of $\ln(xP/P_{ref})$ versus ρ for atropine, diazepam, codeine, and carbamazepine at various temperatures.

Table 3. Solubility Constant *a*, *b*, and *C* and Estimated $\Delta_{vap}H$ Values Obtained from the Data Correlation Procedure

		b	С	$\Delta_{\mathrm{vap}} H$
compound	а	K	$\overline{m^3 \ kg^{-1}}$	kJ mol ⁻¹
atropine diazepam codeine carbamazepine	28.94 17.46 20.62 21.63	$-10583 \\ -6785 \\ -7923 \\ -9258$	0.0097 0.0097 0.011 0.013	88 56 66 77

where

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}/\mathbf{T} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\ln(xP/P_{ref}) = a + b/T + C(\rho - \rho_{ref})$$
(6)

parameteres of above equtions already were discussed.^{14,16,17}

In the first step, the $ln(xP/P_{ref})$ values were plotted against density (Figure 1), and the resulting plots were fitted to a straight line by least-squares regression to estimate *C* and *A* values. According to eq 4, the plots are expected to be reasonably straight lines of similar slopes. The value of *C* obtained from the slopes of the corresponding plots were then averaged for each compound (Table 3).

By holding *C* at its average value, the experimental solubility data were then used to evaluate the *A* values at various temperatures for each drug. The plots of *A* versus 1/T for each compound resulted in a nice straight line (Figure 2) from the intercept and slope of which the values of *a* and *b* were obtained, respectively. The resulting *a* and *b* values for compounds are also included in Table 3. Finally, the values of *a*, *b*, and *C* were used to predict solubility from eq 6. Figure 3 compares the calculated isoterms with the experimental data for diazepam. As seen, the agreement is satisfactory.



Figure 2. Plots of A versus 1/T for atropine, diazepam, codeine, and carbamazepine.

The parameter *b* is approximately related to the enthalpy of vaporization of the solid solutes $\Delta_{\text{vap}} H$ by¹⁸

$$\Delta_{\rm vap}H = -Rb \tag{7}$$

where *R* is the gas constant. The validity of eq 7 relies on the assumption that the enhancement factor $\ln(xP/P_{\nu})$, where P_{ν} is the vapor pressure of the solute, is independent of temperature, which was found to be nearly true in practice. The estimated $\Delta_{vap}H$ values are included in Table 3.



F. K.; Mathias S. P. S. Solubility Study of Sulfamethazine and Sulfadimethxine in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide, Fluoroform, and Subcritical Freon 134A. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1997, 42, 636–640.

Journal of Chemical and Engineering Data, Vol. 46, No. 2, 2001 455

- (7) Johnnsen, M.; Brunner, G. Solubilities of the Fat-Soluble Vitamines A, D, E, and K in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1997, 42, 106–111.
- (8) Fathi, M. R.; Yamini, Y.; Sharghi, H.; Shamsipur, M. Solubilities of Some 1,4-Dihydroxy-9,10-Avthraquinone Derivatives in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1998**, *43*, 400– 402.
- (9) Fathi, M. R.; Yamini, Y.; Sharghi, H.; Shamsipur, M. Solubility of Some Recently Synthesized 1,8-Dihydroxy-9,10-Anthraquinone Derivatives in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *Talanta* 1999, 48, 951–957.
- (10) Macnaughton, S. J.; Kikic, I.; Foster, N. R.; Allesi, P.; Cortesi, A.; Colombo, I. Solubility of Anti-inflammatory Drugs in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 1083–1086.
- (11) Knez, Z.; Skerget, M.; Sencar-bozic, P.; Rinzner, A. Solubility of Nifedipine and Nitrendipine in Supercritical CO₂. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1995**, *40*, 216–220.
- (12) Remingtons Pharmaceutical Sciences, Mack Publishing company, 1980.
- (13) Gostanzo, L. S.; Physiology, Williams and Wilkins, USA, 1995.(14) Yamini, Y.; Bahramifar, N. Solubility of Polycyclic Aromatic
- (14) Yamini, Y.; Bahramifar, N. Solubility of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2000**, *45*, 53–56.
- (15) Yamini, Y.; Fathi, M. R.; Alizadeh, N.; Shamsipur, M. Solubility of Dihdroxybenzene Isomers in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *Fluid Phase Equilibr*, **1998**, *152*, 299–305.
- (16) Bartle, K. D.; Clifford, A. A.; Jafar, S. A.; Shiltone, G. F. Solubilities of Solids and Liquids of Low Volatility in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1991**, *40*, 713–756.
- (17) Safa-Ozacan, A.; Clifford, A. A.; Bartle, K. D. Solubility of Disperse Dyes in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 1997, 42, 590–592.
- (18) Miller, D. J.; Hawthorne, S. B.; Clifford, A. A.; Zhu, S. Solubility of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 779-786.

Received for review August 31, 2000. Accepted December 21, 2000. JE000286N

Figure 3. Comparison of experimental (points) and calculated (lines) solubilities at various temperatures for codeine.

Literature Cited

- Lin, M. C.; Tsai, M. J.; Wen, K. C. Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Flavonoids from Scutellairae Radix. *J. Chromatogr.* 1999, *830*, 387–395.
- (2) Becerra, G.; Menolasina, S.; Salvador, A. Supercritical Fluid Extraction and Supercritical Fluid Chromatography of Vitamin E in Pharmaceutical Preparations. *J. High Resolut. Chromatogr.* 1999, *22*, 300–302
- Sargenti, S. R.; Lancas, F. M. Influence of Extraction Mode and Temperature in the Supercritical Fluid Extraction of Citrus Sinensis (Osbeck) *J. Chromatogr. Sci.* **1998**, *36*, 169–174.
 Rincon, J.; Lucas, A. D.; Garica, M. A.; Garica, A.; Alvarez, A.;
- (4) Rincon, J.; Lucas, A. D.; Garica, M. A.; Garica, A.; Alvarez, A.; Carnicer, A. Preliminary Study on Supercritical Carbon Dioxide Extraction of Nicotine from Tobacco Wastes. *Sep. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *33*, 411–423.
- (5) Palmer, M. W.; Ting, S. T. Applications for Supercritical Fluid Technology in Food. *Food Chem.* **1995**, *52*, 345–352.