# Ionic Conductivity in Binary Solvent Mixtures. 5. Behavior of Selected 1:1 Electrolytes in Ethylene Carbonate + Water at 25 °C

# Vaishali S. Bhat and Ashwini K. Srivastava\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Mumbai, Vidyanagari, Santacruz (E), Mumbai 400 098, Maharashtra, India

Electrolytic conductivity measurements for a number of 1:1 electrolytes, including potassium chloride, potassium nitrate, tetramethylammonium chloride, tetraethylammonium bromide, tetraethylammonium perchlorate, hydrochloric acid, perchloric acid, methanesulfonic acid, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, and the potassium salts of methanesulfonic acid and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, are reported in binary aqueous mixtures containing 20, 40, 60, and 80 mass % ethylene carbonate at 25 °C. The conductivity data were analyzed by the Fuoss conductance–concentration equation to calculate the limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$ , association constant  $K_A$ , and cosphere diameter *R*. The limiting ionic conductivities of eight ions were calculated using the known values of potassium and perchlorate ions. Walden products of ions in different compositions of ethylene carbonate + water mixtures were examined with respect to solvent structural effects and ion–solvent interactions.

### 1. Introduction

Among solvents classified as dipolar aprotic, ethylene carbonate1 (1,3-dioxolan-2-one) has several advantages as a medium for proton-transfer reactions ( $t_{\rm m} = 36.4$  °C and  $t_{\rm b} = 238$  °C). Despite its inertness to chemical attack and almost complete lack of acid-base properties, it is an excellent solvent for studying the influence of solvent structure on the behavior of ions. Solute-solvent interactions of the ion-dipole type are favored by the high dipole moment ( $\mu = 4.87$  D at 40 °C) of the ethylene carbonate molecule. Ethylene carbonate has a higher relative permittivity than water ( $\epsilon = 89.78$  at 40 °C) and is slightly structured.<sup>2,3</sup> Ethylene carbonate is slightly associated<sup>3,4</sup> (Kirkwood g factor of 1.6 at 40 °C, Trouton's constant of 23), with a three-dimensional structure as a result of hydrogen bonding. Thus, in many ways, ethylene carbonate has similarities with water. Although the freezing point of ethylene carbonate is high, it is miscible with water up to a composition of about 90 mass % at 25 °C.

In a continuation of our earlier work,<sup>5</sup> this paper reports the behavior of certain univalent electrolytes, viz., potassium chloride, potassium nitrate, tetramethylammonium chloride, tetraethylammonium bromide, tetraethylammonium perchlorate, hydrochloric acid, perchloric acid, methanesulfonic acid, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, and the potassium salts of methanesulfonic acid and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, in 20, 40, 60, and 80 mass % ethylene carbonate + water mixtures conductometrically, including their ionic conductivities, association constants, and cosphere diameters. The variations of ionic conductivities and Walden products are discussed with respect to solvent structural effects and ion-solvent interactions.

## 2. Experimental Section

**2.1.** Chemicals. The purification and storage of ethylene carbonate and water have been described elsewhere.<sup>5</sup>

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: aks@ chem.mu.ac.in; akschbu@yahoo.com. Fax: + 91-22-6528547.

Table 1.	<b>Relative I</b>	Permittivity	$\epsilon$ , <b>Density</b>	$\rho$ , and	Viscosity
$\eta$ for Eth	iylene Car	bonate (1) +	Water (2)	at 25 °	C

ů v			
100 W <sub>1</sub>	$\epsilon^{a}$	$\rho^a$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$\eta^b$ (mPa s)
0 <sup>c</sup>	78.35	0.9971	0.89
20	80.00	1.0551	1.05
40	81.53	1.1178	1.18
60	83.24	1.1842	1.36
80	86.52	1.2595	1.60
$100^{d}$	91.60	1.3360	1.85

<sup>a</sup> Reference 1. <sup>b</sup> Reference 5. <sup>c</sup> Reference 9. <sup>d</sup> At 40 °C.

Known masses of ethylene carbonate and water were mixed to obtain the desired compositions just prior to use. All solutions were prepared using a digital single-pan balance (Mettler Toledo AB204).

Perchloric acid (70% Loba) and methanesulfonic acid (S. D. Fine), being highly pure, were used without further purification.

Potassium chloride (S. D. Fine) and potassium nitrate (S. D. Fine) were recrystallized three times from water and dried at 100-110 °C before use.

Tetramethylammonium chloride (Sisco) and tetraethylammonium bromide (Fluka) were dried under vacuum before use.

Tetraethylammonium perchlorate was prepared by dissolving tetraethylammonium bromide (Fluka) in a slight excess of perchloric acid (70% Loba). The solution was carefully evaporated; the obtained solid was recrystallized twice from water and allowed to dry in a vacuum at ~60 °C.

Hydrochloric acid stock solutions in the desired compositions of ethylene carbonate + water were prepared by bubbling through the solvent gaseous anhydrous HCl that was generated from concentrated sulfuric acid (S. D. Fine) and sodium chloride (Merck) using an all-glass apparatus.

*p*-Toluenesulfonic acid (S. D. Fine) was recrystallized from ether and dried under vacuum before use.

Potassium salts of methanesulfonic acid and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid were prepared by neutralizing the methane-

	(100 <i>W</i> )	$f_1 = 20)$		$(100 W_1 = 40)$				
10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	
(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	
			potassiun	n chloride				
110.07	118.87	32.00	122.94	109.52	98.24	31.84	101.03	
92.64	120.10	21.11	123.98	92.18	98.82	21.00	101.58	
74.52	120.98	9.96	125.13	74.15	99.30	9.91	102.43	
55.66	121.70	4.29	125.76	55.39	99.90	4.27	102.81	
43.98	122.46	1.44	126.54	43.76	100.48	1.43	103.08	
100.01			potassiu	n nitrate				
109.01	107.21	31.66	110.57	109.31	88.29	31.98	91.33	
91.73 73.77	108.00	20.00	111.40	92.00 74.00	00.02 89.26	20.90	92.12	
55.09	109.37	4.24	113.26	55.28	90.14	4.26	93.29	
43.52	110.00			43.67	90.54			
			tetramethylamn	nonium chloride				
110.84	100.20	32.23	104.13	109.85	81.31	31.94	83.04	
93.29	100.72	21.25	105.19	92.46	81.68	21.06	83.52	
75.04	101.62	10.03	106.44	74.37	82.05	9.94	84.02	
56.05	102.69	4.32	107.32	55.55	82.48 82.76	4.28	84.38	
44.20	103.33			43.65	02.70			
100.49	02 10	91 01	tetraethylamm	onium bromide	75.07	22.00	77.01	
92 09	93.19	20.98	97.32	92 64	75.07	52.00 21.11	78.38	
74.07	94.99	9.98	99.48	74.52	76.13	9.96	79.24	
55.33	95.90	4.27	100.07	55.66	76.69	4.29	79.78	
43.72	96.44			43.98	77.38			
	(100 W	( - 20)			(100 W	( - 40)		
	(100 W)	1 - 20)			(100 W)	1 - 40)		
$10^4 c$	$\Lambda$	$10^4 c$	$\Lambda$	$10^4 c$	$\Lambda$	$10^{4}c$	$\Lambda$	
(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm 3)	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
			tetraethylammor	nium perchlorate	<u>,</u>			
109.63	71.46	31.88	75.44	110.84	62.91	32.23	66.08	
92.27	72.18	21.02	75.59	93.29	63.18	21.25	68.24	
55 44	73.86	9.92 4.28	77.86	56 05	65 00	4 32	69.05	
43.80	74.31	1.20	11.00	44.28	65.57	1.02	00.00	
			notassium salt of m	ethanesulfonic a	cid			
110.40	92.51	32.10	95.41	109.42	73.84	31.81	77.25	
92.92	93.23	21.17	95.55	92.09	74.30	20.98	78.20	
74.74	93.69	9.99	96.56	74.07	74.96	9.90	79.33	
55.83	94.62	4.31	97.21	55.33	76.13	4.27	80.48	
44.11	54.02			43.72	70.02			
110.07	06.00	22.00	potassium salt of p-	toluenesulfonic a	acid 70.04	22.10	71 95	
92.64	80.33 87 13	32.00 21.11	90.00	110.40 92.92	70.04 70.95	32.10 21 17	74.80	
74.52	87.26	9.96	91.39	74.74	72.29	9.99	77.14	
55.66	88.25	4.29	92.29	55.83	73.39	4.31	78.40	
43.98	89.19			44.11	73.97			
	(100 W	(-60)			(100 W	(-80)		
	(100 W)	1 - 00)			(100 W)	1 - 80)		
$10^{4}C$	$\Lambda$	$10^{4}C$	$\Lambda$	$10^{4}C$	$\Lambda$	$10^{4}C$	$\Lambda$	
(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol dm <sup>3</sup> )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
100.07	74.40	04.05	potassiun	n chloride	54.04	04.05	54 50	
108.87	74.16	31.65	76.89	108.87	51.21	31.65	54.52	
73 70	74.09	20.87	78.76	73 70	52.43	9.85	55.03	
55.05	76.00	4.24	78.87	55.05	53.12	4.24	56.67	
43.50	76.19	1.42	79.51	43.50	53.44	1.42	57.20	
			potassiu	n nitrate				
112.15	70.75	32.61	72.98	109.52	52.63	31.84	54.85	
94.39	71.24	21.50	73.67	92.18	52.98	21.00	55.51	
75.93	71.68	10.15	74.02	74.15	53.59	9.91	55.98	
30.71 44.81	72.58	4.37	74.38	22.39 13.76	54.05 54.43	4.27	30.37	
11.01	12.00		totromothele	nonium ahlanid	51.10			
109.63	69 53	31 88	71 91	109 20	57 16	31 75	59 00	
92.27	69.94	21.02	71.60	91.90	57.47	20.94	59.33	
74.22	70.24	9.92	72.19	73.93	57.92	9.88	59.82	
55.44	70.56	4.28	72.54	55.22	58.17	4.26	60.27	
43.80	70.86			43.63	58.50			
			tetraethylamm	onium bromide				
110.40	61.99	32.10	64.61	110.29	50.34	32.07	53.80	
92.92 74 74	62.25	21.17	65.28 65.76	92.83 74.67	50.98 51 73	21.15	54.39 55.52	
55.83	63,66	4.31	66.40	55.77	52.57	4,30	56.53	
44.11	64.02		00.10	44.07	53.15	1.00	00.00	

## Table 2. (Continued)

	(100 <i>W</i> )	1 = 60)		$(100 W_1 = 80)$					
10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ	10 <sup>4</sup> c	Λ		
(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	(mol $dm^{-3}$ )	(S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup><math>-1</math></sup> )		
			tetraethylammo	nium perchlorat	e				
109.42	58.80	31.81	62.97	<b>1</b> 15.24	55.61	40.46	58.36		
92.09	59.31	20.98	63.51	100.64	56.06	26.76	58.99		
74.07	60.25	9.90	64.95	85.54	56.48	12.67	60.27		
55.33	61.32	4.27	65.64	69.93	56.94	5.47	61.17		
43.72	62.06			55.42	57.76				
		p	otassium salt of m	ethanesulfonic a	acid				
110.73	58.46	32.19	60.99	109.74	42.31	31.91	45.50		
93.19	58.95	21.23	61.02	92.36	43.24	21.04	46.84		
74.96	59.54	10.02	62.13	74.30	43.52	9.93	47.40		
56.00	60.43	4.32	62.40	55.50	44.39	4.28	48.29		
44.24	60.66			43.85	45.24				
	notassium salt of $p$ -toluenesulfonic acid								
110.29	56.80	32.07	59.41	110.18	45.14	32.03	46.42		
92.83	57.25	21.15	59.82	92.73	45.33	21.13	46.62		
74.67	57.74	9.98	60.42	74.59	45.56	9.97	47.13		
55.77	58.34	4.30	61.13	55.72	45.84	4.30	47.22		
44.07	59.09			44.05	46.20				

Table 3. Molar Conductivity  $\Lambda$  at Concentration *c* of Various Acids in Ethylene Carbonate (1) +Water (2) at 25 °C

	(100 <i>W</i>	$V_1 = 20$ )		$(100 W_1 = 40)$				
10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$({ m S~cm^2~mol^{-1}})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$({ m S~cm^2~mol^{-1}})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$(S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$\Lambda$ (S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	
			hvdroch	loric acid				
113.24	316.07	32.93	318.75	112.37	248.59	32.67	252.93	
95.31	316.35	21.71	319.38	94.57	249.89	21.54	253.59	
76.67	317.28	10.25	320.53	76.07	250.33	10.17	255.06	
57.27	317.54	4.42	320.99	56.82	251.36	4.38	256.10	
45.25	318.15	1.48	321.40	44.90	251.99	1.47	256.31	
			perchlo	ric acid				
107.23	294.01	31.18	296.89	105.15	242.84	30.57	245.79	
90.24	294.74	20.56	297.96	88.50	243.33	20.16	246.22	
72.59	295.15	9.70	298.44	71.19	244.07	9.52	247.41	
54.22	295.92	4.18	299.33	53.17	244.75	4.10	248.01	
42.84	296.34			42.01	245.27			
			methanesi	ulfonic acid				
127.23	285.53	32.10	288.72	110.18	225.39	32.03	232.69	
110.40	286.48	21.17	289.46	92.73	226.82	21.13	234.97	
92.92	286.57	9.99	290.01	74.59	228.76	9.97	237.11	
74.74	287.52	7.16	290.27	55.72	229.98	7.14	237.47	
55.83	287.76	2.88	290.85	44.02	231.57	2.87	239.11	
44.11	288.31							
			<i>n</i> -toluenes	ulfonic acid				
139.46	229.20	32.21	237.23	139.71	189.55	35.25	192.02	
121.01	229.88	23.20	238.68	121.23	189.92	23.24	192.48	
101.85	231.89	17.11	239.50	102.03	190.27	17.14	192.76	
81.93	232.62	10.95	240.91	82.07	190.73	7.86	193.31	
61.20	234.62	4.72	242.16	61.31	191.24	4.73	193.51	
41.13	236.48	1.58	243.23	48.44	191.68			

	(100 <i>W</i>	$V_1 = 60$ )		$(100 W_1 = 80)$				
10 <sup>4</sup> <i>c</i> (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$({ m S~cm^2~mol^{-1}})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$(S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$(S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	10 <sup>4</sup> c (mol dm <sup>-3</sup> )	$(S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	
			hvdroch	loric acid				
108.76	176.21	31.62	181.02	109.31	74.12	31.78	77.78	
91.54	177.35	20.85	181.94	92.00	75.02	20.96	78.72	
73.63	177.97	9.84	183.29	74.00	75.84	9.89	79.45	
55.00	178.98	4.24	184.32	55.28	76.74	4.26	80.41	
43.45	180.28	1.42	184.70	43.67	77.44	1.43	80.56	
			perchlo	pric acid				
119.48	176.76	34.74	180.01	104.60	82.14	30.41	84.14	
100.56	177.34	22.91	180.86	88.04	82.51	20.06	84.59	
80.89	177.79	10.81	181.59	70.82	83.02	9.47	85.10	
60.42	178.79	4.66	182.68	52.90	83.44	4.08	85.66	
47.74	179.38			41.79	83.83			
			methanesi	ulfonic acid				
110.18	148.89	32.03	152.48	111.38	71.04	32.38	72.34	
92.73	149.30	21.13	153.58	93.74	71.18	21.36	72.65	
74.59	149.67	9.97	154.92	75.41	71.51	10.08	72.98	
55.72	151.38	7.14	155.36	56.33	71.77	7.22	73.07	
44.02	152.07	2.87	156.01	44.50	72.19	2.90	73.66	
			<i>n</i> -toluenes	ulfonic acid				
139.96	128.08	23.29	130.31	139.21	52.89	23.16	57.89	
121.45	128.36	17.17	130.64	120.79	53.56	17.08	58.29	
102.22	128.67	10.99	130.76	101.67	54.39	10.93	58.88	
82.22	129.01	7.87	130.98	81.78	54.90	7.83	59.32	
61.42	129.48	4.74	131.12	61.09	55.82	4.71	59.58	
48.52	129.67	1.58	131.46	41.00	56.79	1.58	60.27	
35.31	129.91			32.16	57.31			

sulfonic and *p*-toluenesulfonic acids, respectively, with potassium hydroxide solutions. The respective products were recrystallized twice from water and then from aqueous ethanol and dried at 100-120 °C.

**2.2. Standardization of Acids.** A Mettler Toledo DL53 Autotitrator with automatic temperature compensation (ATC) was used for the potentiometric titration of hydrochloric and perchloric acids against standardized sodium hydroxide to obtain the exact concentrations of the respective stock solutions prepared in various compositions (viz., 20, 40, 60, and 80 mass %) of ethylene carbonate + water. The probe used was a glass electrode with an inbuilt calomel reference electrode (DG 111-SC).

**2.3.** Conductivity Measurements. All conductivity values were measured at  $(25 \pm 0.05)$  °C using a dip-type cell (cell constant 1.068 cm<sup>-1</sup>) with lightly platinized electrodes. A Chemito 130 autoranging conductivity meter with automatic temperature compensation (ATC) was used for the measurements. The conductivity meter was standardized regularly using standard potassium chloride solutions. Experiments were repeated at least twice for concordant results. All molar conductivities reported have been calculated after the correction for the solvent conductivity.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The results of conductivity measurements were analyzed by the Fuoss conductance–concentration equation,<sup>6</sup> which is based on the model of coupled equilibria.

$$A^+ + B^- \rightleftharpoons (A^+ \cdots B^-) \rightleftharpoons A^+ B^- \rightleftharpoons (AB)$$

where the symbol  $(A^+ \cdots B^-)$  represents a solvent-separated pair, A<sup>+</sup> B<sup>-</sup> a contact pair, and AB a neutral molecule. The equations and notations used are the same as those used by Fuoss and described elsewhere.<sup>7</sup> The program "SCAN ON RHO"<sup>6,8</sup> was used to compute the conductivity parameters, viz., limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$ , association constant  $K_{\rm A}$ , and cosphere diameter R. To accomplish the required calculations, input is the data set  $(C_i, \Lambda_i)$ , the relative permittivity  $\epsilon$ , the viscosity  $\eta$ , the total number of readings *n*, the temperature *T*, the estimated values for  $\Lambda_0$ , the fraction of paired ions present as contact pairs  $\alpha$ , and instructions to cover a preselected range of *R* values. On the basis of the relative permeability of the solvent, the initial  $\alpha$  value is set at 0.75, and the initial value of  $\Lambda_{o}$ is obtained from a freehand extrapolation of the Kohlrausch plot. To cover the *R* range, three numbers are given, viz., RST, RIN, and RND, where RST is the first value minus the increment RIN in *R* and RND is the terminal value. Computations are carried out over a range of *R* values with the help of instructions for all of the sets of data. The values of  $\Lambda_0$  and  $\alpha$  are found by minimizing the standard deviation for a sequence of *R* values and then plotting  $\sigma\% = 100\sigma/\Lambda_0$ against R; the best-fit value of R corresponds to the minimum in the  $\sigma$ %-*R* curve. First, a coarse scan over a fairly wide range of R is made to locate the minimum approximately; then, a fine scan around the minimum is made. Finally, at the minimum value of *R*, the corresponding values of  $\Lambda_0$ ,  $K_A$ , and the remaining parameters are calculated. The physical properties of ethylene carbonate + water mixtures are given in Table 1. The molar conductivity values  $\Lambda$  as a function of concentration *c* in the four compositions of ethylene carbonate + water mixtures at 25 °C are given in Tables 2 and 3. The best-fit conductivity parameters and the other constants derived from analysis of the conductivity data corresponding to the minimum in

Table 4. Derived Conductivity Parameters for Various Salts and Acids in Ethylene Carbonate (1) + Water (2) at 25  $^\circ\text{C}$ 

$100 W_1$	$\Lambda_{ m o}\pm\Delta\Lambda_{ m o}$ (S cm <sup>2</sup> mol <sup>-1</sup> )	K <sub>A</sub>	K <sub>R</sub>	Ks	<i>R</i> (Å)	σ (%)	$(S \text{ cm}^2 \frac{\Lambda_0 \eta}{\text{mol}^{-1}} \text{ Pa s})$		
potassium chloride									
20	$126.54\pm0.14$	53.33	44.93	0.23	25.2	0.19	1.329		
40	$103.39\pm0.03$	38.30	31.09	0.23	21.2	0.05	1.220		
60	$79.71\pm0.11$	77.39	63.08	0.22	27.4	0.23	1.084		
80	$57.29 \pm 0.09$	89.84	65.13	0.38	29.0	0.24	0.917		
		D0	tassiun	n nitra	ate				
20	$113.80\pm0.07$	73.38	61.57	0.19	28.2	0.09	1.194		
40	$93.74 \pm 0.07$	50.53	40.34	0.25	24.4	0.11	1.106		
60	$74.84 \pm 0.06$	43.89	35.22	1.24	23.2	0.11	1.018		
80	$56.71 \pm 0.04$	42.06	30.50	0.38	21.8	0.11	0.907		
	te	trameth	vlamm	oniun	n chlo	ride			
20	$108.08 \pm 0.07$	64.34	50.25	0.12	25.6	0.09	1.135		
40	$84.73 \pm 0.03$	49.11	42.72	0.15	24.2	0.06	1.000		
60	$72.89 \pm 0.04$	71.09	62.19	0.14	27.8	0.08	0.991		
80	$60.70\pm0.04$	59.07	48.88	0.21	24.4	0.11	0.971		
	t	otraoth	lamme	mium	brom	ida			
20	$100.87 \pm 0.08$	39.87	28 13	0 49	20.4	0.11	1.059		
20 40	$80.15 \pm 0.05$	56.80	45 18	0.42	25.6	0.10	0.946		
60	$66.68 \pm 0.07$	43.53	31.90	0.37	22.6	0.16	0.907		
80	$56.94 \pm 0.12$	77.83	53.08	0.47	27.0	0.27	0.911		
	4-4			•••••					
20	$79.29 \pm 0.16$	50 71	411111011	10111 p	24 G	nate	0.822		
20	$70.20 \pm 0.10$ 69.71 ± 0.10	04 44	43.33	0.37	24.0	0.20	0.823		
40 60	$66.21 \pm 0.10$	54.44	33 50	0.33	228	0.19	0.823		
80	$61.84 \pm 0.09$	90.25	67.23	1.34	29.2	0.17	0.990		
00	01101 ± 0100		1		10		1		
0	potas	sium sa	It of me	ethane	esulto	nic aci	d		
20	$117.80 \pm 0.24$ 07.42 \pm 0.14	29.29	41.41	0.43	24.0	0.20	1.001		
20	$97.43 \pm 0.14$ $91.11 \pm 0.11$	32.40	20.09	0.27	21.2	0.21	1.023		
40 60	$62.84 \pm 0.11$	104.00	38 37	0.20	24.0	0.10	0.854		
80	$48.76 \pm 0.14$	68.61	42.07	1.63	24.8	0.44	0.780		
				.1	10				
0	$112.05 \pm 0.17$	sium sa	67 10	oluen		nic ac	1.017		
20	$113.03 \pm 0.17$ 02.76 $\pm$ 0.16	62.46	40.19	0.33	26.0	0.20	1.017		
20 40	$52.70 \pm 0.10$ 78.81 ± 0.20	55 20	35 30	1 56	23.2	0.24	0.974		
60	$61.51 \pm 0.09$	28.22	17.90	0.58	16.6	0.21	0.837		
80	$47.47 \pm 0.04$	55.38	47.47	0.19	25.8	0.19	0.759		
20	222 14 1 0.00	hy	drochlo	0.05	21Cl	0.04	0 000		
20	$322.14 \pm 0.08$ 256 73 $\pm 0.12$	43.17	41.00 62.13	0.05	28.2	0.04	3.303		
40 60	$230.73 \pm 0.12$ 185.07 ± 0.10	53 56	45 32	0.05	25 O	0.08	2 517		
80	$80.69 \pm 0.23$	62.76	47.73	0.32	25.8	0.47	1.291		
00	00.00 ± 0.20	02.10			, 20.0	0.17	1.801		
00	000.00 + 0.11	p or aa	erchlor	ric aci	d	0.05	0.140		
20	$299.92 \pm 0.11$	65.77	62.25	0.00	28.2	0.05	3.149		
40	$248.72 \pm 0.09$ 182 44 $\pm 0.00$	49.32	40.78	0.08	24.0 25.2	0.05	2.935		
80	$185.44 \pm 0.09$ $85.88 \pm 0.06$	57.38	49.31	0.11	26.2	0.07	1 374		
00	00.00 ± 0.00	07.00	10.07	10.10		0.10	1.071		
00	000.00 + 0.11	met	nanesu	ltonic	acid	0.07	0.055		
2U 40	$290.99 \pm 0.11$	30.38 56 74	30.20	0.07	23.1	0.07	3.033		
40 60	$240.24 \pm 0.10$ 156 54 $\pm 0.14$	90.74 81.09	40.01	0.23	24.2 20 F	0.11	۵.034 2.120		
80	$73.66 \pm 0.07$	88 42	70.14 80.41	0.10	20.0 21.1	0.15	2.129		
00	, 0.00 ± 0.07	00.43	50.41	0.10	01.1	0.10	1.175		
0.0	04444 + 045	<i>p</i> -tol	uenesu	lfonic	acid	0.10	0.500		
20	$244.11 \pm 0.13$	45.28	37.00	0.22	21.8	0.10	2.563		
40	$193.94 \pm 0.03$	39.91	37.09	0.08	23.6	0.03	2.289		
6U 80	$131.45 \pm 0.04$	57.50	48.73	0.08	20.2	0.06	1.974		
80	$00.29 \pm 0.07$	37.33	37.34	0.53	23.2	0.23	0.904		

the  $\sigma$ %-*R* curve, where  $\sigma$ % is the standard deviation, are presented in Table 4.

As is evident from Table 4, the limiting molar conductivity  $\Lambda_0$  for each of the systems shows a decrease from the water-rich region to the ethylene carbonate-rich region (Figures 1 and 2). This could be attributed to the preferential solvation of ions by ethylene carbonate and the increasing viscosity of the corresponding solvent systems.

Table 5 contains the estimates of the limiting ionic conductivities ( $\lambda_0$ ) for 20, 40, 60, and 80 mass % ethylene carbonate + water solvent systems. The limiting ionic conductivities were calculated by considering the  $\lambda_0$  values of potassium and perchlorate ions reported earlier.<sup>5</sup> The

Table 5. Limiting Ionic Conductivity of Cations and Anions in Ethylene Carbonate (1) + Water (2) at 25 °C

$\lambda_{0}$	$100 W_1$								
$(S \text{ cm}^2 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	0 <sup>a</sup>	20	40	60	80	100 <sup>b</sup>			
$K^{+c}$	73.48	54.78	51.53	35.15	22.43	15 <sup>d</sup> /17.18 <sup>e</sup>			
$\mathrm{H}^+$	349.65	249.73	204.19	140.91	45.31				
$Me_4N^+$	44.90	36.32	32.86	28.33	25.84	18 <sup>d</sup> /19.11 <sup>e</sup>			
$Et_4N^+$	32.60	28.09	25.18	23.68	21.28	15.8 <sup>d</sup> /17.13 <sup>e</sup>			
$ClO_4^{-c}$	67.30	50.19	44.53	42.53	40.57	26 <sup>d</sup> /24.81 <sup>e</sup>			
Cl-	76.31	71.77	51.86	44.56	34.86	$22.49^{e}$			
Br <sup>-</sup>	78.10	72.78	54.98	43.00	35.66	26.5 <sup>d</sup> /25.26 <sup>e</sup>			
$NO_3^-$	71.42	59.02	42.21	39.70	34.28				
methanesulfonate <sup>- f</sup>	44.38	42.65	29.58	27.70	26.33				
<i>p</i> -toluenesulfonate <sup>- f</sup>	39.57	37.98	27.28	26.36	25.04				

<sup>a</sup> Reference 9. <sup>b</sup> At 40 °C. <sup>c</sup> Reference 5. <sup>d</sup> Reference 2. <sup>e</sup> Reference 10. <sup>f</sup> Data obtained from the present work.



**Figure 1.** Variation in limiting molar conductivity of various salts with mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacklozenge$ , KCl;  $\blacksquare$ , KNO<sub>3</sub>; \*, Me<sub>4</sub>NCl; ×, Et<sub>4</sub>NBr;  $\blacktriangle$ , Et<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>; +, potassium salt of methanesulfonic acid;  $\bigcirc$ , potassium salt of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.



**Figure 2.** Variation in limiting molar conductivity of various acids with mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacklozenge$ , HCl;  $\blacksquare$ , HClO<sub>4</sub>; \*, methane-sulfonic acid;  $\blacktriangle$ , *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.

ionic conductivity decreases with increasing amount of ethylene carbonate in the mixture, as expected because of the gradual increase in viscosity of the solvent in the ethylene carbonate-rich region.

The variations in the salt, acid, and ionic Walden products for each system are presented in Figures 3, 4 and 5, respectively. An increase in viscosity leads to a decrease in conductivity. This effect was formulated quantitatively by the Walden rule,<sup>11</sup> which states that the product  $\Lambda_0\eta$  should be approximately constant for a given electrolyte/ ion, irrespective of the nature of the solvent, provided that the radius of the ion remains unchanged. The Walden



**Figure 3.** Variation in Walden product of various salts with mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacklozenge$ , KCl;  $\blacksquare$ , KNO<sub>3</sub>; \*, Me<sub>4</sub>NCl; ×, Et<sub>4</sub>NBr;  $\blacktriangle$ , Et<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>; +, potassium salt of methanesulfonic acid;  $\blacklozenge$ , potassium salt of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.



**Figure 4.** Variation in Walden product of various acids with mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacklozenge$ , HCl;  $\blacksquare$ , HClO<sub>4</sub>; \*, methanesulfonic acid;  $\blacklozenge$ , *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.

product is observed to be high for 0 mass % ethylene carbonate as the viscosity of the solvent system is lower. This is found to be the case in all of the systems except in the case of tetraethylammonium bromide and tetramethylammonium chloride. However, to understand ion-solvent interactions in a better way, the ionic, rather than salt or acid, Walden products should be calculated. Different workers<sup>12-15</sup> have explained the variation of the ionic Walden product in different solvent compositions. From Figure 5a, it is observed that the bulkier ions, tetramethylammonium and tetraethylammonium, nearly obey the Walden rule, whereas the other ions show deviations from it. This suggests that these ions do not have the same



**Figure 5.** (a) Variation in ionic Walden product of various ions with mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacksquare$ , Me<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>;  $\blacktriangle$ , Et<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>;  $\ast$ , Cl<sup>-</sup>;  $\bullet$ , Br<sup>-</sup>;  $\times$  NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; +, methanesulfonate<sup>-</sup>;  $\bigcirc$ , *p*-toluenesulfonate<sup>-</sup>. (b) Variation in ionic Walden product of H<sup>+</sup> ion ( $\blacklozenge$ ) with mass % ethylene carbonate.

effective radius in different solvent compositions and provides evidence for the solvation of ions in solution. As can be seen from Figure 5b, the ionic Walden product for the hydrogen ion smoothly decreases with ethylene carbonate enrichment of the solvent. This obviously suggests that the bulk viscosity does not predominantly control hydrogen ion mobility. As is known, the high mobility of hydrogen ion in water depends on the abnormal proton-transfer mechanism among associated solvent molecules. Ethylene carbonate addition to water produces a rapid decrease of proton conductivity, because of the breakup of hydrogenbonded water molecule chains and, hence, the breakdown of the abnormal transfer mechanism.

The association constants or conductometric pairing constants  $K_A$  for all of the systems given in Table 4 are found to be generally appreciable for  $K_A > 25$ , which reflects a strong association in these solvent mixtures. The association constant is the product of two terms,<sup>6</sup>  $K_R$  and  $K_S$ . The relationship is expressed as

$$K_{\rm A} = K_{\rm R}(1 + K_{\rm S})$$

The first term,  $K_{\rm R}$ , describes the formation and separation of solvent-separated pairs by diffusion in and out of spheres of diameter R around cations and can be calculated by continuum theory. The second,  $K_{\rm S}$ , is the constant describing the short-range process by which contact pairs form and dissociate. Figures 6 and 7 record the plot of log  $K_{\rm A}$  as a function of the reciprocal of the dielectric constant. No



**Figure 6.** Plots of log  $K_A$  vs  $1/\epsilon$  for various salts:  $\blacklozenge$ , KCl;  $\blacksquare$ , KNO<sub>3</sub>; \*, Me<sub>4</sub>NCl;  $\succ$ , Et<sub>4</sub>NBr;  $\blacktriangle$ , Et<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>; +, potassium salt of methanesulfonic acid;  $\blacklozenge$ , potassium salt of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.



**Figure 7.** Plots of log  $K_A$  vs  $1/\epsilon$  for various acids:  $\blacklozenge$ , HCl;  $\blacksquare$ , HClO<sub>4</sub>; \*, methanesulfonic acid;  $\blacktriangle$ , *p*-toluenesulfonic acid.

linear trend could be seen for the electrolytes studied. This observation forces  $K_A$  to absorb the multiplicity of short-range system-specific parameters other than the relative permittivity of the medium such as ionic size, shape, charge distribution, dipole moment, and polarizability; possibly other details of solvent—solute interactions could also be taken into account for the rationalization of the  $K_A$  values obtained in the present work.

The cosphere diameter (R) values for all of the electrolytes studied in ethylene carbonate + water mixtures are given in Table 4. As R is the measure of the extent of influence of the ionic charge in the solvent, and consequently the ionic association, R values are expected to decrease with increasing relative permittivity of the solvents, as seen in the case of potassium nitrate. Except for the case of methanesulfonic acid, which shows exactly the reverse behavior to that of potassium nitrate, no such significant trend has been observed for all of the other systems. As *R* is increased, more ions are counted as paired; therefore,  $\gamma$ , the fraction of solute unpaired decreases. Because the best-fit conductivity parameters are reproduced equally well over a wide range of arbitrarily chosen R values, a comprehensive correlation of the cosphere diameters of the respective systems could not be made in the present instance; the same has been noticed by Fuoss and several other workers.<sup>6,16</sup>

The ratio of the ionic Walden product (R) in each ethylene carbonate + water mixture to its value in water can be represented by

$$\mathbf{R} = (\lambda_0 \eta)_{\rm S} / (\lambda_0 \eta)_{\rm W}$$



**Figure 8.** Ionic Walden products  $\lambda_0\eta$  normalized to their values in water as a function of mass % ethylene carbonate:  $\blacklozenge$ , H<sup>+</sup>;  $\blacksquare$ , Me<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>;  $\blacktriangle$ , Et<sub>4</sub>N<sup>+</sup>; \*, Cl<sup>-</sup>;  $\blacklozenge$ , Br<sup>-</sup>; × NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>; +, methanesulfonate<sup>-</sup>;  $\bigcirc$  *p*-toluenesulfonate<sup>-</sup>.

This ratio is found to be strongly dependent on the solvent composition. It is observed from Figure 8 that the R values for tetraethylammonium ion are greater than unity in all of the ethylene carbonate + water mixtures, with a maximum at 80 mass % ethylene carbonate. This behavior can be compared with that observed for several ions by Kay and Broadwater<sup>17-19</sup> in other aqueous mixtures. The authors have suggested that maxima in R curves can be explained by assuming that the interactions between the ions and the two solvents in these mixtures are mainly of the acid-base type such that the ionic cosphere is richer in water than the bulk mixture, known as the sorting effect. Because in the low-water-concentration region in the mixtures the viscosity decreases with increasing water content, the viscosity should be lower near the ions than in the bulk mixture. According to this hypothesis, ionwater interactions will be stronger than the ion-ethylene carbonate interactions in ethylene carbonate + water mixtures, because of the inert nature of ethylene carbonate with respect to its acid-base properties. Sorting of water in the ionic cosphere should give a local viscosity lower than the bulk viscosity, as in ethylene carbonate + water mixtures, the viscosity steadily decreases from ethylene carbonate to water. Therefore, ionic Walden products, which involve bulk viscosity, should be greater in the mixtures than in water, as observed for the tetraethylammonium ion.

Methanesulfonate ion showed a maximum and minimum at 20 and 40 mass %, respectively, whereas p-toluenesulfonate ion interestingly showed a maximum and a minimum at 80 and 40 mass % ethylene carbonate, respectively. R values for tetramethylammonium ion were observed to be close to unity. The R values for hydrogen, chloride, bromide, and nitrate ions were found to be less than unity in all of the solvent mixtures, except in the case of chloride and bromide, where the 20 mass % ethylene carbonate mixture gave R values greater than unity. These four ions were all found to have minimum values at 80 mass % ethylene carbonate, except for nitrate ion, which exhibits a minimum at 40 mass % ethylene carbonate. This behavior, especially for chloride and bromide ions, which is different from that observed by Kay and Broadwater for the same ions in other aqueous mixtures, is the opposite to that expected on the basis of the sorting of water near the ions.

An attempt to explain this behavior could be made by assuming that structural effects are predominant in this case. In fact, the decrease of the water structure caused by the addition of ethylene carbonate should decrease the excess mobility of structure-breaker ions. For hydrogen, chloride, and bromide ions beyond the maximum (seen at 20 mass % ethylene carbonate), further additions of cosolvent cause a reduction in R, namely, in the ionic Walden products. As the range of water-rich mixtures gets further away, R values steadily decrease from the high values typical of water solutions, approaching the lower values in the pure organic solvent. The decrease in water structure caused by the addition of the cosolvent should reduce the excess mobility shown in pure water by structure-breaker ions such as hydrogen and nitrate ions, and thus, in the mixtures, its ionic Walden product should be less than in water. Consequently, R should be less than 1, as seen experimentally.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Lee, W. H. Cyclic Carbonates. In *The Chemistry of Nonaqueous* Solvents; Lagowski, J. J., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1976; Vol. 4, Chapter 6.
- (2) Kempa, R. F.; Lee, W. H. Physicochemical Studies of Some Cyclic Carbonates. Part III<sup>1</sup>. Electrical Conductivities in EC. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1961**, 100–105.
- (3) Theodorou, I. E.; Payne, R. Dielectric Properties and Relaxation in EC and PC. J. Phys. Chem. 1972, 76, 2892–2900.
- (4) Lin, R.; Dannhauser, W. Dielectric Constant of Hydrogen-Bonded Liquids. II N-Monosubstituted Acetamides. J. Phys. Chem. 1963, 67, 1805–1810.
- (5) Srivastava, A. K.; Samant, R. A.; Patankar, S. D. Ionic Conductivity in Binary Solvent Mixtures. 2. Ethylene Carbonate + Water at 25 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 431–435.
- (6) Fuoss, R. M. Conductance–Concentration Function for the Paired Ion Model. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1978**, *82*, 2427–2440.
- (7) Srivastava, A. K.; Shankar, S. L. Ionic Conductivity in Binary Solvent Mixtures. 3.Dimethyl Sulfoxide + Propylene Carbonate at 25 °C. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1998**, *43*, 25–28.
- (8) Fuoss, R. M. Paired ions: Dipolar pairs as subset of diffusion pairs. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1978, 75, 16–20.
- (9) CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 78th ed.; Lide, D. R. Ed; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1997–1998.
- (10) Petrella, G.; Sacco, A. Viscosity and Conductance Studies in Ethylene Carbonate at 40 °C. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1 1978, 74, 2070–2076.
- (11) Robinson, R. A.; Stokes, R. H. In *Electrolyte Solutions*, 2nd ed.; Butterworth: London, 1959; Chapter 6, p 130.
- (12) D'Aprano, A.; Fuoss, R. M. Conductances in isodielectric mixtures. III. Isobutyronitrile with chlorobenzene, *o*-dichlorobenzene, *p*dichlorobenzene, 1,2-dichloroethane and *p*-pentanol. *J. Solution Chem.* **1975**, *4*, 175–189.
- (13) Boyd, R. H. Extension of Stock's Law for the Ionic Motion to Include the Effect of Dielectric Relaxation. J. Chem. Phys. 1961, 35, 1281–1283.
- (14) Zwanzig, R. Dielectric Friction on a Moving Ion. J. Chem. Phys. **1963**, *38*, 1603–1605.
- (15) Zwanzig, R. Dielectric Friction on a Moving Ion. II. Revised Theory. J. Chem. Phys. 1970, 52, 3625–3628.
- (16) Shiavo, S.; Fuoss, R. M.; Marrosur, G.; Guida, G. Ion Pairing of Quaternary Salts in Solvent Mixtures. J. Solution Chem. 1979, 8, 557–571.
- (17) Kay, R. L.; Broadwater, T. L. Solvent structure in aqueous mixtures. I. Ionic mobilities in dioxane-water mixtures at 25 °C. *Electrochim. Acta* **1971**, *16*, 667–676.
- (18) Broadwater, T. L.; Kay, R. L. Solvent Structure in Aqueous Mixtures. II. Ionic Mobilities in *tert*-Butyl Alcohol-Water Mixtures at 25 °C. J. Phys. Chem. **1970**, 74, 3802-3812.
- (19) Kay, R. L.; Broadwater, T. L. Solvent structure in aqueous mixtures. III. Ionic conductances in ethanol-water mixtures at 10 and 25 °C. J. Solution Chem. 1976, 5,57-76.

Received for review March 21, 2001. Accepted May 28, 2001.

JE010097K