# Solubility of Ferulic Acid in Supercritical Carbon Dioxide with Ethanol as Cosolvent

# Helena Sovová\*

Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Rozvojová 135, 16502 Prague 6, Czech Republic

The solubility of ferulic acid in supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO<sub>2</sub>), both pure and ethanol modified, was measured using a flow type apparatus. The mass of solute trapped after decompression was determined spectrophotometrically. The solubility was measured at (313.2, 323.2, and 333.2) K over the pressure range from (12 to 28) MPa. Solubility data in pure SC-CO<sub>2</sub> were correlated by the Chrastil equation. When ethanol cosolvent was added to SC-CO<sub>2</sub> in concentrations from (0.2 to 3.6) mass %, the solubility increase was proportional to the product  $w_{et}^{1.08}S^{0.6}$ , where  $w_{et}$  is the mass fraction of ethanol in CO<sub>2</sub> and *S* is the mass fraction solubility of ferulic acid in pure SC-CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Introduction

One of the modern mild methods used for obtaining natural products is the extraction with supercritical carbon dioxide (SC-CO<sub>2</sub>). Though this solvent dissolves preferably nonpolar and low-polarity substances of small and medium molecular size, the polar and/or large-molecular antioxidants contained in materials of natural origin may be at least partially coextracted and thus their extracts may be preserved. The solubility of natural antioxidants in SC-CO<sub>2</sub> is therefore of interest. Recently, the solubilities of ascorbic acid, ascorbyl palmitate, dodecyl gallate, gallic acid, propyl gallate, and  $\alpha$ -succinate acid<sup>1</sup> have been measured in SC-CO<sub>2</sub>. Special attention has been focused on the solubility of  $\beta$ -carotene,<sup>2–9</sup> which can be increased by addition of ethanol to the supercritical carbon dioxide.<sup>2,3</sup>

Another strong antioxidant that could stabilize natural products is ferulic acid. This phenolic aromatic component is found widely in nature. It is used in traditional Chinese medicine<sup>10</sup> as well as in modern nutraceuticals and functional food ingredients. The present investigation was undertaken to determine the solubility of ferulic acid in SC-CO<sub>2</sub>, both pure and with ethanol as cosolvent.

#### **Experimental Section**

**Apparatus and Procedure**. A schematic diagram of the apparatus is shown in Figure 1.  $CO_2$  was supplied to the extractor from a cylinder by the compressor (Novaswiss 560.007) equipped at its outlet with a pressure regulator unit (Novaswiss 560.009) that maintained the outlet pressure within  $\pm 0.05$  MPa. The  $CO_2$  then flowed through line A to the pressure gauge (uncertainty 0.01 MPa) measuring the operating pressure. Alternatively, in the experiments with the ethanol cosolvent, the pressurized  $CO_2$  flowed to the pressure gauge through line B and the vessel of volume 0.17 L containing a homogeneous solution of ethanol in  $CO_2$ . The extractor was a stainless steel tube of length 25 cm and 8 mm i.d. with glass wool and a porous frit at either end. It was filled with glass beads covered

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax:  $+420\mathchar`20920661.$  E-mail: sovova@icpf.cas.cz.



**Figure 1.** Schematic diagram of the apparatus: 1, compressor; 2, pressure regulator; 3, vessel with ethanol solution; 4, extractor; 5, switching valve; 6, micrometer valve; 7, trap; 8, gas meter.

with ferulic acid and immersed in the water bath whose temperature can be controlled to  $\pm 0.1$  K. The switching valve behind the extractor allowed rinsing the downstream part of the equipment with ethanol. The CO<sub>2</sub> flow rate was regulated using the micrometer valve inside which the loaded solvent expanded to ambient pressure and ferulic acid was separated from the gas. Part of the extract settled inside the micrometer valve, and the rest was collected behind the valve in the trap, a U-tube equipped at its outlet with a glass-wool filter. The gas flowed through the U-tube further to the gas meter where its volume was monitored.

The volume and flow rate of  $CO_2$  were measured at ambient conditions. The sampling procedure started by adjusting the solvent flow rate to (40 to 50) cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. This flow rate was checked by preliminary experiments to be sufficiently low to obtain a fully saturated solvent at the extractor outlet. After 1 L of  $CO_2$  had passed through the equipment, the flow was stopped. The metering valve was rinsed with ethanol, and the amount of ferulic acid in the solution collected in the trap was determined by UV spectrophotometry at 322 nm. The procedure was repeated until four samples were obtained at identical operating conditions, and the average value was used to calculate the solubility.



 $\ln (\rho/g \cdot L^{-1})$ 

**Figure 2.** Solubility of ferulic acid in SC-CO<sub>2</sub> as a function of CO<sub>2</sub> density:  $\blacklozenge$ , 28 °C;  $\Box$ , 40 °C;  $\blacktriangle$ , 50 °C;  $\bigcirc$ , 60 °C; lines, calculated using eq 3.

Table 1. Ferulic Acid Mass Fraction Solubility, *S*, and Mole Fraction Solubility, *y*, in Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>, and Pure CO<sub>2</sub> Density,  $\rho$ , at 28, 40, 50, and 60 °C

	• •			
t∕°C	P/MPa	$ ho/{ m g}{f \cdot}{ m L}^{-1}$	$10^{5}S$	$10^{6}y$
28	12	824.7	0.94	2.13
	15	859.7	1.09	2.47
	20	900.8	1.42	3.22
40	12	718.9	0.86	1.95
	15	781.2	1.19	2.70
	20	840.6	1.88	4.25
	25	880.2	2.38	5.40
	28	899.3	2.74	6.21
50	12	586.4	0.68	1.55
	15	701.0	1.54	3.49
	20	785.1	2.37	5.38
	25	835.0	3.77	8.53
	28	857.8	4.35	9.85
60	15	605.6	1.42	3.22
	20	724.5	2.99	6.77
	22	753.1	3.52	7.98
	25	787.2	4.33	9.81
	28	814.7	5.22	11.8

In one of four measurements with ethanol as cosolvent, the trap was cooled in a dry ice + ethanol bath in order to prevent loss of volatile ethanol. Before the valve was rinsed, the amount of ethanol collected in the trap was determined gravimetrically using an analytical balance (readability 0.1 mg). In the whole set of experiments the collected amounts ranged from (5 to 60) mg. Ethanol concentration in  $CO_2$ was monotonically decreasing, as the solution flowing from the mixing vessel (3) to the extractor was substituted by pure CO<sub>2</sub> from the compressor. It was checked by comparing a series of ethanol amounts collected successively in the trap with mathematical simulation that the mixing vessel could be described as an ideal mixer. During each measurement with 1 L of CO<sub>2</sub> (at ambient conditions) the outlet ethanol concentration in  $\text{CO}_2$  decreased to 99% of its initial value.

The reproducibility of the solubility determination was within  $\pm 8\%$  for the experiments with pure CO<sub>2</sub> and within  $\pm 20\%$  for the experiments with ethanol cosolvent. The main source of the variation of measured values was most probably an early precipitation of a small part of extracted ferulic acid in front of the switching valve and irregular discharge of this precipitate into the trap. Therefore, we can assume that the measured values fluctuate around the correct solubility value, which should be within the above given reproducibility limits.

Table 2. Effect of Ethanol Mass Fraction in Supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>,  $w_{et}$ , on the Ferulic Acid Mass Fraction Solubility,  $S_c$ , at 40, 50, and 60 °C

40 °C			50 °C		60 °C			
<i>P</i> /MPa	$10^2 W_{\rm et}$	$10^5 S_c$	P/MPa	$10^2 W_{\rm et}$	$10^{5}S_{c}$	P/MPa	$10^2 W_{\rm et}$	$10^5 S_c$
12	0	(0.93)	12	0	(0.62)	12	0	(0.25)
	0.29	2.58		0.28	1.51		0.27	1.01
	0.64	4.01		0.61	2.95		0.58	1.70
	1.00	6.32		3.35	26.0		3.15	16.6
	3.57	26.6						
15	0	(1.39)	15	0	(1.47)	15	0	(1.25)
	0.34	3.65		0.33	3.08		0.31	3.14
	0.74	6.07		0.71	6.62		0.67	5.39
	3.00	24.9		2.78	20.2		2.61	15.7
20	0	(1.98)	20	0	(2.55)	20	0	(2.98)
	0.45	6.41		0.48	8.46		0.78	14.9
	0.86	8.32		0.82	9.50		1.74	26.1
	1.85	31.3		1.97	36.2		2.16	25.0
	2.45	2.26		2.30	24.6			
25	0	(2.47)	25	0	(3.43)	25	0	(4.46)
	0.40	8.82		0.38	8.08		0.36	9.41
	0.42	7.17		0.40	8.34		0.37	10.5
	1.00	15.1		0.96	15.7		0.91	18.3
	2.03	26.1		1.54	25.3		1.80	26.5
				1.91	27.5			
28	0	(2.75)	28	0	(3.91)	28	0	(5.27)
	0.46	12.0		0.44	10.8		0.42	13.8
	1.20	18.1		1.12	20.2		1.06	20.8
	1.69	24.5		1.58	28.6		1.49	33.7

*Materials*. Ferulic acid (3-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2-propenoic acid,  $M_w = 194.19 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$ ) of purity better than 98% was purchased from Fluka. Ethanol for UV spectros-copy was supplied by Lachema Neratovice. Carbon dioxide of purity better than 99% was obtained from Linde Technoplyn. All chemicals were used without further purification.

### **Results and Discussion**

**Pure CO**<sub>2</sub>. Experimental solubilities of ferulic acid in SC-CO<sub>2</sub> are listed in Table 1. The table contains also the density values of carbon dioxide,  $\rho$ , calculated according to the Altunin–Gadetskii equation of state.<sup>11</sup> The density was used to convert the solubility values expressed originally in mass fraction, *S*, to equilibrium concentration, *c*,

$$c/\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-1} = S\rho/\mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{L}^{-1} \tag{1}$$

and further to correlate the solubilities according to the Chrastil equation  $^{12}\,$ 

$$\ln c = k \ln \rho + a/T + b \tag{2}$$

with the result

$$\ln(c/g \cdot L^{-1}) = 5.85 \ln(\rho/g \cdot L^{-1}) - 5900 \text{ K/}T - 24.65$$
(3)

The agreement of the Chrastil equation with experimental data is illustrated in Figure 2. The average deviation of the equation from experimental data was calculated according to the following formula:

$$AARD(\%) = \frac{100}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{|S_{calc} - S_{exp}|}{S_{exp}}$$
(4)

and its value was 6.5%.

The ferulic acid solubility in  $SC-CO_2$  is comparable with the solubilities reported for the antioxidants ascorbyl palmitate, dodecyl gallate, and propyl gallate.<sup>1</sup>



**Figure 3.** Ferulic acid solubility as a function of ethanol concentration in  $CO_2$ :  $\blacksquare$ , 12 MPa and 40 °C;  $\Box$ , 25 MPa and 50 °C;  $\blacktriangle$ , 28 MPa and 60 °C; lines, calculated using eq 6.

 $CO_2$  with Ethanol Cosolvent. The experimental solubilities obtained with ethanol cosolvent,  $S_c$ , are listed in Table 2. The values given in the parentheses are the solubilities of ferulic acid in pure  $CO_2$  calculated according to eq 3. When the gravimetrically determined ethanol mass fraction in  $CO_2$ ,  $w_{et}$ , varied between 0.003 and 0.036, the ferulic acid solubility increased by 100% to 6500%. The increase in solubility was fitted to a power function:

$$S_{\rm c} - S = ({\rm constant}) w_{\rm et}^{\ m} S^n \tag{5}$$

The following empirical equation for the ferulic acid solubility in  $SC-CO_2$  with ethanol was obtained:

$$S_{\rm c} = S + 9.23 \, W_{\rm et}^{-1.08} S^{0.6} \tag{6}$$

where  $S_c$  is the mass fraction solubility in CO<sub>2</sub> with cosolvent, *S* is the mass fraction solubility in pure CO<sub>2</sub>, and  $w_{et}$  is the mass fraction of ethanol in CO<sub>2</sub>. The average deviation of eq 6 from experiment was 14%. Three examples of the solubility dependence on ethanol concentration are shown in Figure 3. The maximum ferulic acid solubility attained in this study was 0.03 mass %.

## Acknowledgment

The author thanks M. Koptová and L. Gregušová, who performed most of the measurements.

#### Literature Cited

- Cortesi, A.; Kikic, I.; Alessi, P.; Turtoi, G.; Garnier, S. Effect of chemical structure on the solubility of antioxidants in supercritical carbon dioxide: experimental data and correlation. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **1999**, *14*, 139–144.
- (2) Cygnarowicz, M. L.; Maxwell, R. J.; Seider, W. D. Equilibrium solubilities of β-carotene in supercritical carbon dioxide. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1990**, *59*, 57–71.
- (3) Jay, A. J.; Steytler, D. C.; Knights, M. Spectrometric studies of food colors in near-critical carbon dioxide. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **1991**, *4*, 131–141.
- (4) Jay, A. J.; Steytler, D. C. Nearcritical fluids as solvents for β-carotene. J. Supercrit. Fluids 1992, 5, 274–282.
- (5) Sakaki, K. Solubility of  $\beta$ -carotene in dense carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide from 308 to 323 K and from 9.6 to 30 MPa. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1992**, *37*, 249–251.
- (6) Skerget, M.; Knez, Z.; Habulin, M. Solubility of β-carotene and oleic acid in dense CO<sub>2</sub> and data correlation by a density based model. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1995**, *109*, 131–138.
- (7) Subra, P.; Castellani, S.; Ksibi, H.; Garrabos, H. Contribution to the determination of the solubility of β-carotene in supercritical carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide: experimental data and modeling. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1997**, *131*, 269–286.
- (8) Mendes, R. L.; Nobre, B. P.; Coelho, J. P.; Palavra, A. F. Solubility of β-carotene in supercritical carbon dioxide and ethane. J. Supercrit. Fluids 1999, 16, 99–106.
- (9) Johannsen, M.; Brunner, G. Solubilities of the fat-soluble vitamins A, D, E, and K in supercritical carbon dioxide. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 1997, 42, 106–111.
- (10) Lay, H. L.; Shih, I. J.; Yeh, C. H.; Lin, C. F.; Liang, J. W. Simultaneous determination of five constituents in "Tzyy-Yun-Gau" medicine by high performance liquid chromatography. *J. Food Drug Anal.* **2000**, *8*, 304–308.
- (11) Angus, J.; Armstrong, B.; de Reuck, K. M. International Thermodynamic Tables of Fluid State, Carbon Dioxide; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1976.
- (12) Chrastil, J. Solubility of solids and liquids in supercritical gases. J. Phys. Chem. 1982, 86, 3016–3021.

Received for review April 2, 2001. Accepted May 31, 2001. This work was partially supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (Grant No. 203/98/1445).

JE0101146