# Measurement and Correlation of the Thermal Conductivity of Isobutane from 114 K to 600 K at Pressures to 70 MPa $^{\dagger}$

# **Richard A. Perkins\***

Physical and Chemical Properties Division, 838.07, National Institute of Standards and Technology, 325 Broadway, Boulder, Colorado 80305-3328

New experimental data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane are reported that allow improved correlations to be developed. Previous correlations have been limited by a lack of thermal conductivity data for the vapor and compressed liquid at temperatures below 300 K and near the critical point. In addition, significant discrepancies were noted in the available high-temperature data for thermal conductivity. These new experimental data, covering the temperature range from the triple point at 113.55 K to 600 K and the pressure range 0.1 MPa to 70 MPa, are used together with the previously available data to develop improved correlations for the thermal conductivity of isobutane. The data reported here are estimated to have an uncertainty of  $\pm 1\%$  for measurements removed from the critical point and at pressures above 1 MPa, which increases to  $\pm 3\%$  in the critical region and  $\pm 4\%$  at low pressures (<1 MPa) at a 95% confidence level. The quality of the new data is such that the thermal-conductivity correlation for isobutane is estimated to have an uncertainty of about 3% at a 95% confidence level, with the exception of state points near the critical point and the dilute gas, where the uncertainty of the correlation increases to 5%.

## Introduction

Accurate thermophysical property data are required for industrially important fluids such as isobutane to allow the design of efficient chemical processes and equipment. The large uncertainty associated with existing theoretical predictions for the transport properties has motivated efforts to develop empirical correlations to represent the transport properties as functions of temperature and pressure (or density). These correlations must be based on accurate experimental data that cover the entire fluid region of interest. An international effort has been coordinated by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) Subcommittee on Transport Properties to develop accurate correlations for such fluids. These correlations require a careful selection of the best available experimental data, on the basis of a critical analysis of the measurement techniques and comparisons with other reliable data. New experimental data are reported here for the thermal conductivity of isobutane that were not available during development of the wide-range correlations proposed by Younglove and Ely1 in 1987 and Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> in 1987. The present work describes improved empirical correlations incorporating these new data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane.

Although desirable, a complete theoretical analysis of the available experimental data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane in the limit of zero density and in the critical region cannot yet be performed. The thermal conductivity of polyatomic molecules is strongly influenced by inelastic collisions and the exchange of energy between translational and the internal modes (rotation, vibration, electronic) during collisions. The isobutane molecule is characterized by a significant number of excited degrees of freedom,

\* E-mail: richard.perkins@boulder.nist.gov.

 $^\dagger$  Contribution of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, not subject to U.S. Copyright.

including hindered rotations. Thus, the kinetic theory for the thermal conductivity in the dilute-gas phase of polyatomic molecules is still approximate.<sup>3</sup> In the critical region, the absence of a crossover equation of state for isobutane does not allow an adequate theoretically based description of the enhancement of thermal conductivity, and thus, an empirical formulation was also considered in this work.

The literature contains two previous wide-range correlations for the thermal conductivity of isobutane based on a comprehensive analysis of the data available at the time of publication. In 1987, Younglove and Ely1 reported a correlation for isobutane at temperatures from 114 K to 600 K and at pressures up to 35 MPa that has an estimated uncertainty of 2% outside the critical region and an uncertainty of 10% near the critical point. In 1987, Nieuwould  $et al.^2$  also reported a correlation for isobutane at temperatures from 290 K to 630 K and at densities up to 11.2 mol· $L^{-1}$  with an estimated uncertainty of 2% outside the critical region. The experimental data reported here. which cover a wide range of temperature and pressure, with improved coverage of the critical region and lowtemperatures where almost no data existed, motivated the development of improved correlations. We show that systematic deviations between thermal-conductivity data and the previous correlations<sup>1,2</sup> can be significantly reduced in the critical region and for the dilute vapor.

#### **Experimental Section**

The purity of the isobutane sample studied was verified to be 99.99 mol % by a gas-chromatographic massspectrometric method. The most significant impurity was air at the concentration 0.01 mol %. The present measurements of thermal conductivity were obtained with two hotwire instruments, operated in both transient and steadystate modes, that have been described in detail.<sup>4,5</sup> Both

10.1021/je010121u This article not subject to U.S. Copyright. Published 2002 by the American Chemical Society Published on Web 06/28/2002

instruments used dual hot wires that are 4  $\mu$ m in diameter and made from tungsten. The outer cavity around the hot wires is stainless steel and has a diameter of 9 mm. The low-temperature instrument is capable of operation from 30 K to 340 K at pressures to 70 MPa in the liquid, vapor, and supercritical gas phases. The high-temperature instrument is capable of operation from 250 K to 750 K at pressures to 70 MPa in the liquid, vapor, and supercritical gas phases. Temperatures are determined with a reference platinum resistance thermometer with an uncertainty of  $\pm 0.01$  K, and pressures are determined with a pressure transducer with an uncertainty of  $\pm 7$  kPa. The basic theory that describes the operation of the transient hot-wire instrument is given by Healy et al.<sup>6</sup> Each hot-wire cell is designed to approximate a transient line source as closely as possible, and deviations from this model are treated as corrections to the experimental temperature rise. The ideal temperature rise  $\Delta T_{id}$  is given by

$$\Delta T_{\rm id} = \frac{q}{4\pi\lambda} \left[ \ln(t) + \ln\left(\frac{4a}{r_0^2 C}\right) \right] = \Delta T_{\rm w} + \sum_{i=1}^{10} \delta T_i \quad (1)$$

where *q* is the power applied per unit length,  $\lambda$  is the thermal conductivity of the fluid, *t* is the elapsed time,  $a = \lambda/(\rho C_p)$  is the thermal diffusivity of the fluid,  $\rho$  is the density of the fluid,  $C_p$  is the isobaric heat capacity of the fluid,  $r_0$  is the radius of the hot wire, C = 1.781... is the exponential of Euler's constant,  $\Delta T_w$  is the measured temperature rise of the wire, and  $\delta T_i$  are corrections<sup>6</sup> to account for deviations from ideal line-source conduction.

Both thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity can be determined with the transient hot-wire technique, but only the thermal conductivity results are considered here. For gas-phase measurements, there are two significant corrections<sup>6–10</sup> that must be carefully considered. First, since the thermal diffusivity of the gas is much different from that of the wire, the correction for the finite wire radius becomes very significant. Second, the thermal diffusivity of the dilute gas varies inversely with the pressure, so it is possible for the transient thermal wave to penetrate to the outer boundary of the gas region during an experiment performed at low pressures.<sup>7-10</sup> The preferred method to deal with such corrections is to minimize them by proper apparatus design. For instance, the correction for finite wire radius can be minimized with wires of extremely small diameter, (4 to 7)  $\mu$ m, and penetration of the thermal wave to the outer boundary can be eliminated with a cell that has a large-diameter outer boundary. However, such designs are often not optimum for a generalpurpose instrument, where extremely fine wires may be too fragile and large outer dimensions may require too much of a scarce sample, particularly in the liquid phase.

The present transient hot-wire wires are as small as practical to minimize corrections for finite wire radius during such dilute-gas measurements. However, measurement times must be carefully selected to minimize the correction for penetration to the outer boundary due to the relatively small diameter of the outer boundary. For the measurements reported here, application of the full correction<sup>6</sup> for the finite wire dimensions was considered essential. For a few of the measurements at the lowest pressures, the outer boundary was encountered during the 1 s duration of the experiment and the experiment time was reduced to minimize the magnitude of the correction for the outer boundary. The largest thermal diffusivity for any of the present measurements on a gas was  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  m<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>. This conclusion is consistent with previous work

on light gases such as argon and nitrogen at 1 bar to 2 bar,<sup>8–10</sup> where the outer boundary was encountered at times below 1 s when the thermal diffusivity was greater than  $9 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ .

At very low pressures, the steady-state hot-wire technique has the advantage of not requiring significant corrections. The working equation for the steady-state mode is based on a different solution of Fourier's law, but the geometry is still that of concentric cylinders. The solution can be found in standard texts for the case of constant thermal conductivity (see, for example, ref 11, p 114). This equation can be solved for the thermal conductivity of the fluid,  $\lambda$ ,

$$\lambda = \frac{q \ln\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)}{2\pi(T_1 - T_2)} \tag{2}$$

where *q* is the applied power per unit length,  $r_2$  is the internal radius of the outer cylinder,  $r_1$  is the external radius of the inner cylinder (hot wire), and  $\Delta T = (T_1 - T_2)$  is the measured temperature difference between the hot wire and its surrounding cavity.

For the concentric-cylinder geometry described above, the total radial heat flow per unit length, q, remains constant and is not a function of the radial position. Assuming that the thermal conductivity is a linear function of temperature, such that  $\lambda = \lambda_0(1 + b_{\lambda}T)$ , it can be shown that the measured thermal conductivity is given by  $\lambda = \lambda_0(1 + b_{\lambda}(T_1 + T_2)/2)$ . Thus, the measured thermal conductivity corresponds to the value at the mean temperature of the inner and outer cylinders

$$\bar{T} = (T_1 + T_2)/2$$
 (3)

This assumption of linear temperature dependence for the thermal conductivity is valid only for experiments with small temperature differences. The density assigned to the measured thermal conductivity is calculated from an equation of state with the temperature from eq 3 and the experimentally measured pressure. An assessment of corrections during steady-state hot-wire measurements is available.<sup>12</sup>

#### **Experimental Results**

The results of measurements of the thermal conductivity of the vapor phase of isobutane are tabulated in the Supporting Information. The range of state points covered by the present measurements is shown in Figure 1 relative to the vapor pressure curve of isobutane and the previous measurements that are available in the literature. There are a total of 331 steady-state measurements and 2294 transient measurements tabulated at temperatures from the triple point to 600 K with pressures to 70 MPa. The measurements are reported on the ITS-90 temperature scale and are estimated to have an uncertainty of  $\pm 1\%$  for transient measurements of liquid and gas removed from the critical point, increasing to  $\pm 3\%$  in the critical region and the low-pressure gas region (<1 MPa) at a 95% confidence level. The steady-state vapor measurements are estimated to have an uncertainty of  $\pm 2\%$ . A summary of these measurements is given in Table 1, while the complete data set is available in the Supporting Information. Many of these vapor measurements were made at temperatures below the normal boiling point of isobutane. It should be emphasized that there are many difficulties associated with

| technique                         | temp range/K | pressure range/MPa | no. of points | estimated<br>uncertainty |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|
| steady-state hot wire (low temp)  | 220 to 300   | 0.01 to 0.26       | 158           | $\pm 2\%$                |
| steady-state hot wire (high temp) | 300 to 610   | 0.1 to 0.9         | 173           | $\pm 2\%$                |
| transient hot wire (low temp)     | 114 to 300   | 0.02 to 70.0       | 607           | $\pm 1-2\%$              |
| transient hot wire (high temp)    | 300 to 610   | 0.1 to 70.0        | 1687          | $\pm 1-3\%$              |



**Figure 1.** Distribution of thermal conductivity data for isobutane reported here and available in the literature: +, present work;  $\triangle$ , Nieuwoudt *et al.*;<sup>2</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Mann and Dickins;<sup>15</sup>  $\blacktriangledown$ , Lambert *et al.*;<sup>16</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Parkinson and Gray;<sup>17</sup>  $\bigcirc$ , Kazaryan and Ryabtsev;<sup>18</sup>  $\diamondsuit$ , Heinemann *et al.*<sup>19</sup>

such measurements due to the small quantity of sample in the cell and to possible contamination with air impurities and material adsorbed on the surface of the cell and wires.<sup>13</sup> The uncertainty of measurements for dilute vapors is larger than that for the measurements in the liquid or vapor phase at higher reduced temperatures and pressures because of sample handling as well as relatively large corrections for transient measurements. It is necessary to have an equation of state<sup>1</sup> during the data analysis to make the corrections to the measured temperature rise as described above.

#### **Correlation Development**

The thermal conductivity is represented as a sum of three contributions,

$$\lambda(\rho, T) = \lambda_0(T) + \Delta\lambda_r(\rho, T) + \Delta\lambda_c(\rho, T)$$
(4)

where  $\lambda_0$  is the dilute-gas thermal conductivity, which depends only on the temperature,  $\Delta\lambda_r$  is the residual thermal conductivity, and  $\Delta\lambda_c$  is the thermal-conductivity enhancement in the critical region.  $\Delta\lambda_r$  and  $\Delta\lambda_c$  depend on both density and temperature. This representation is useful, since it allows the theoretically based analysis of each contribution to be considered separately. This is particularly useful when the dilute-gas thermal conductivity enhancement in the critical region are examined.

To analyze the thermal conductivity in terms of density and temperature, the density of the fluid must be determined from the temperatures and pressures reported by each author. The modified Benedict–Webb–Rubin (MBWR) equation of state of Younglove and Ely<sup>1</sup> was used. This equation of state is valid at pressures up to 35 MPa and at temperatures between the triple point and 600 K. Some of the data reported here are at pressures above the 35 MPa limit of the equation of state. For these cases, the

Table 2. Experimental Data for the ThermalConductivity of Isobutane

| ref | temp range/K<br>pressure range/MPa | technique <sup>a</sup> | no. of points | estimated<br>uncertainty/% |
|-----|------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|
| 2   | 298-630                            | CC                     | 238           | ±2                         |
|     | 0.1-100                            |                        |               |                            |
| 15  | 273                                | SSHW                   | 1             | $\pm 2$                    |
|     | 0.1                                |                        |               |                            |
| 16  | 339                                | SSHW                   | 1             | $\pm 2$                    |
|     | 0.1                                |                        |               |                            |
| 17  | 323-373                            | SSHW                   | 2             | $\pm 2$                    |
|     | 0.1                                |                        |               |                            |
| 18  | 193-413                            | CC                     | 132           | $\pm 2$                    |
|     | 0.1 - 50                           |                        |               |                            |
| 19  | 303-343                            | THW                    | 5             | $\pm 2$                    |
|     | 0.1                                |                        |               |                            |

<sup>a</sup> SSHW, steady-state hot wire; THW, transient hot wire; CC, concentric cylinders.

equation of state was used beyond its recommended limits, so the results should be viewed with caution. Most of the experimental data and the equation of state were reported prior to 1990, when the ITS-90 temperature scale was adopted. Therefore, the reported temperatures were converted to this scale according to the recommendations of IUPAC<sup>14</sup> and all calculations are based on the ITS-90 temperature scale. All densities are in mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, temperatures are in K, and stated uncertainties are at the 95% (2 $\sigma$ ) confidence level.

A summary of the thermal conductivity data for isobutane,<sup>2,15–19</sup> together with the ranges in temperature and pressure, the experimental technique, and the assigned uncertainty, is provided in Table 2. The need for improved correlations for the thermal conductivity of isobutane is evident when the literature data and the present results are compared with the previous correlations. The relative deviations between the literature data and the correlation of Younglove and Ely<sup>1</sup> are shown in Figure 2. In the critical region and for the low-temperature liquid (high densities), deviations range from 20% to 30%. The dilute-vapor values of Heinemann et al.<sup>19</sup> are systematically higher (8% to 22%) than the other data<sup>2,15-18</sup> and the correlation of Younglove and Ely.<sup>1</sup> The values of Kazaryan and Ryabtsev<sup>18</sup> also show systematic deviations from the data of Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> (10% to over 50% in the critical region) and the correlation of Younglove and Ely.<sup>1</sup> Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> noted differences of about 10% at temperatures above 300 K, where both sets of data overlap. The relative deviations between the present measurements and the correlation of Younglove and Ely<sup>1</sup> are shown in Figure 3. At temperatures significantly above the critical temperature (407.82 K) and near the critical density (3.860 mol· $L^{-1}$ ), the measurements of Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> and the present measurements are 8% lower than the correlation.1 The present dilute-gas thermal conductivity data are systematically higher than the correlation<sup>1</sup> by up to 10% at low temperatures. Problems are also apparent in the low-temperature liquid, where the present measurements are up to 40% higher than the correlation<sup>1</sup> near the triple-point temperature (113.56 K). Improved correlations are needed for the thermal conductivity of isobutane based on both the literature data and the present measurements.



**Figure 2.** Relative deviations between literature values for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the correlation of Younglove and Ely:<sup>1</sup>  $\triangle$ , Nieuwoudt *et al.*,<sup>2</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Mann and Dickins;<sup>15</sup>  $\blacktriangledown$ , Lambert *et al.*;<sup>16</sup>  $\blacklozenge$ , Parkinson and Gray;<sup>17</sup>  $\bigcirc$ , Kazaryan and Ryabtsev;<sup>18</sup>  $\diamondsuit$ , Heinemann *et al.*<sup>19</sup>



**Figure 3.** Relative deviations between the present measurements for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the correlation of Younglove and Ely.<sup>1</sup>

Zero-Density Limit. The zero-density or dilute-gas limit is determined from the extrapolation of a particular transport property, held at constant temperature, to zero density.<sup>3</sup> Consequently, transport properties of a dilute gas cannot be directly measured. Reliable extrapolation of lowdensity vapor data requires that the data span a reasonable range of density. This is not possible at the lowest temperatures, where the vapor pressure of isobutane is of the order of 0.1 MPa and there is an insufficient density range covered to allow extrapolation to zero density. It was assumed that at low densities, with pressures less than 1 MPa, the density dependence is linear with a constant slope. Thus, the available thermal conductivity data for the vapor phase over the full range of temperature, at pressures less than 1 MPa or the vapor pressure at any given temperature, are fit with a polynomial in reduced temperature with linear density dependence to allow extrapolation to the true zero-density dilute-gas limit.

Given the need for thermal conductivity data for isobutane vapor at low temperatures and pressures, it was decided that the present steady-state measurements were the most reliable and should form the basis for the dilutegas thermal conductivity of isobutane. The present thermal-



**Figure 4.** Relative deviations between the present steady-state (+) and transient (×) measurements for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the dilute-gas thermal conductivity calculated with eq 5. Deviations between the dilute-vapor data of ( $\triangle$ ) Nieuwoudt *et al.*;<sup>2</sup> ( $\blacklozenge$ ) Mann and Dickins;<sup>15</sup> ( $\triangledown$ ) Lambert *et al.*;<sup>16</sup> ( $\bigcirc$ ) Parkinson and Gray;<sup>17</sup> ( $\bigcirc$ ) Kazaryan and Ryabtsev;<sup>18</sup> and ( $\diamondsuit$ ) Heinemann *et al.*<sup>19</sup> are also shown.

Table 3. Coefficients for the Representation of the Thermal Conductivity of Isobutane in the Limit of Zero Density, Eq 5

| $A_1/(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$   | $-2.379~01	imes10^{-3}\pm2.4991	imes10^{-4}$   |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| $A_2/(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$   | $1.066~01	imes10^{-2}\pm5.4428	imes10^{-4}$    |
| $A_{3}/(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$ | $2.158~11	imes 10^{-2}\pm 2.7485	imes 10^{-4}$ |

conductivity data from steady-state measurements were correlated with a quadratic polynomial in temperature:

$$\lambda_0(T) = A_1 + A_2(T/T_c) + A_3(T/T_c)^2$$
(5)

where  $\lambda_0$  is the dilute-gas thermal conductivity, *T* is the absolute temperature, and  $T_{\rm c}$  is the critical temperature. Table 3 contains the coefficients  $A_i$  together with their uncertainties at a confidence level of one standard deviation. The maximum deviation between the present steadystate and transient measurements of the dilute-gas thermal conductivity is about 5% at 270 K and the lowest pressures. The maximum deviation of the literature data is about 15% at temperatures from 340 K and 380 K. The deviations of the present measurements and the literature data from eq 5 are presented in Figure 4. Good agreement is found between the present steady-state measurements and the steady-state measurements of Nieuwoudt et al.,<sup>2</sup> Mann and Dickins,<sup>15</sup> Lambert et al.,<sup>16</sup> and Kazaryan and Ryabtsev.<sup>18</sup> The dilute-vapor data of Parkinson and Gray<sup>17</sup> and Heinemann *et al.*<sup>19</sup> exhibit strong deviations at increasing temperatures. Good agreement is also found between the present transient measurements (uncertainty of 3% for dilute vapor) and the present steady-state measurements (uncertainty of 2%). The transient measurements are up to 5% higher than the steady-state measurements at 600 K. This difference is systematic and has been observed for other hydrocarbons and alternative refrigerants. No theoretical basis for this difference is available, but steady-state measurements at low density are considered more reliable, since significant corrections are required for the transient measurements at these conditions. The uncertainty of the dilute-gas thermal conductivity calculated with eq 5 is estimated to be 5% at a confidence level of 95%.

**Residual Thermal Conductivity.** The thermal conductivities of pure fluids exhibit an enhancement over a

| Table 4. | <b>Coefficients for the</b> | <b>Representation of th</b> | ie Residual Theri | nal Conductivity | of Isobutane, Eq | 7 with Empirical |
|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Critical | <b>Enhancement of Eq</b>    | 8                           |                   |                  |                  |                  |

| $\Delta\lambda_{\mathbf{r}}$ | $B_{i,1}/(\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{m}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1})$ | $B_{i,2}/(W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1})$          |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| <i>i</i> = 1                 | $-3.690~57\times10^{-2}\pm1.6305\times10^{-3}$                 | $4.722~25	imes10^{-2}\pm1.5653	imes10^{-3}$      |
| i=2                          | $1.625~53	imes 10^{-1}\pm 4.7669	imes 10^{-3}$                 | $-1.490~71	imes 10^{-1}\pm 3.8115	imes 10^{-3}$  |
| i = 3                        | $-1.396~73	imes 10^{-1}\pm 4.6783	imes 10^{-3}$                | $1.312~92	imes 10^{-1}\pm 3.3594	imes 10^{-3}$   |
| i = 4                        | $4.883~24	imes 10^{-2}\pm 1.7076	imes 10^{-3}$                 | $-4.754~46	imes 10^{-2}\pm 1.1751	imes 10^{-3}$  |
| i = 5                        | $-5.847~27	imes 10^{-3}\pm 2.0916	imes 10^{-4}$                | $6.318~79\times 10^{-3}\pm 1.4347\times 10^{-4}$ |
| $\Delta\lambda_{c}$          | $C/W \cdot m^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$                                |  |
| <i>i</i> = 1                 | $6.217~67	imes 10^{-4}\pm 4.3709	imes 10^{-5}$                 |  |
| i = 2                        | $7.827~94	imes 10^{-3}\pm 2.6602	imes 10^{-3}$                 |  |
| i = 3                        | $2.734~30	imes10^{\circ}\pm5.8528	imes10^{-2}$                 |  |

large range of densities and temperatures around the critical point and become infinite at the critical point. This behavior can be described by models that produce a smooth crossover from the singular behavior of the thermal conductivity asymptotically close to the critical point to the nonsingular background values far away from the critical point.<sup>20–23</sup> The density-dependent terms for thermal conductivity can be grouped according to eq 3:

$$\lambda(\rho, T) = \overline{\lambda}(\rho, T) + \Delta\lambda_{c}(\rho, T)$$
(6)

where  $\bar{\lambda}(\rho, T) = \lambda_0(T) + \Delta \lambda_r(\rho, T)$  is the background contribution and  $\rho$  is the fluid density.

To assess the critical enhancement either theoretically or empirically, we need to evaluate the background contribution, which is the sum of the dilute gas and residual thermal conductivity contributions. The procedure adopted during this analysis used ODRPACK V. 2.01<sup>24</sup> to fit all the data simultaneously to the residual thermal conductivity and the critical enhancement, while maintaining the parameters already obtained from the fit of the dilute-gas thermal-conductivity data.

The residual thermal conductivity was represented with a polynomial in temperature and density

$$\Delta\lambda_{\rm r}(\rho,T) = \sum_{i=1}^{5} (B_{i,1} + B_{i,2}(T|T_{\rm c}))(\rho/\rho_{\rm c})^{i}$$
(7)

where  $\rho$  is the density and  $\rho_c$  is the critical density.

*Empirical Critical Enhancement.* For applications at state points that are relatively distant from the critical region, the critical enhancement is adequately represented by the following empirical expression,

$$\Delta\lambda_{\rm c}(\rho,T) = \frac{C_1}{C_2 + |\Delta T_{\rm c}|} \exp[-(C_3 \Delta \rho_{\rm c})^2]$$
(8)

where  $\Delta T_{\rm c} = T/T_{\rm c} - 1$  and  $\Delta \rho_{\rm c} = \rho/\rho_{\rm c} - 1$ . This equation does not require accurate information on the compressibility and specific heat of isobutane in the critical region, as does the theory of Olchowy and Sengers.<sup>20-23</sup> The critical point is taken as that of Younglove and Ely,<sup>1</sup> with  $T_c =$ 407.82 K (407.85 K on IPTS-68) and  $\rho_c = 3.860 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ (224.4 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>). The coefficients of eq 5 were fixed while the coefficients of eqs 7 and 8 were fit with ODRPACK V. 2.01<sup>24</sup> to the present transient and steady-state data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane. Table 4 lists the optimum coefficients for eqs 7 and 8 together with their uncertainties at a confidence level of 1 standard deviation. Figure 5 shows a plot of deviations of the experimental data from the surface correlation of eqs 5, 7, and 8 incorporating this empirical equation for the representation of the critical enhancement. Around the critical region, and despite the



**Figure 5.** Relative deviations between the present measurements (+) and the measurements of ( $\triangle$ ) Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the correlation with the empirical expression for the critical enhancement of eqs 5, 7, and 8.

lack of a crossover equation of state, the present data and the steady-state data of Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> are generally reproduced within 6%, with the two near-critical isotherms of Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> exhibiting the largest deviations (up to 15%). The present transient and steady-state measurements are represented to within 4% at a confidence level of 95%.

**Simplified Crossover Model.** The theoretically based crossover model proposed by Olchowy and Sengers<sup>20-22</sup> is complex and requires solution of a quartic system of equations in terms of complex variables. A simplified crossover model has also been proposed by Olchowy and Sengers.<sup>23</sup> The critical enhancement of the thermal conductivity is given by

$$\Delta\lambda_{\rm c} = \frac{\rho C_{\rm p} R k_{\rm b} T}{6\pi\bar{\eta}\xi} (\bar{\Omega} - \bar{\Omega}_0) \tag{9}$$

with

$$\bar{\Omega} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left[ \left( \frac{C_{\rm p} - C_{\rm v}}{C_{\rm p}} \right) \arctan(\bar{q}_{\rm D}\xi) + \frac{C_{\rm v}}{C_{\rm p}} \bar{q}_{\rm D}\xi \right]$$
(10)

and

$$\bar{\Omega}_{0} = \frac{2}{\pi} \left\{ 1 - \exp\left[ -\frac{1}{(\bar{q}_{\rm D}\xi)^{-1} + (\bar{q}_{\rm D}\xi\rho_{\rm c}/\rho)^{2}/3} \right] \right\} \quad (11)$$

In eqs 9–11,  $k_{\rm B}$  is Boltzmann's constant,  $\bar{\eta}$  is the background viscosity, and  $\bar{q}_{\rm D}$  is the effective wavenumber cutoff determined by fitting thermal conductivity data in the

Table 5. Coefficients for the Representation of the Residual Thermal Conductivity of Isobutane, Eq 7 with Simplified **Crossover Critical Enhancement of Eqs 9–12** 

| $\Delta\lambda_{ m r}$                    | $B_{i,1}/(\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{m}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1})$   | $B_{i,2}/(\mathrm{W}\cdot\mathrm{m}^{-1}\cdot\mathrm{K}^{-1})$   |
|---|--|--|
| i = 1<br>i = 2<br>i = 3<br>i = 4<br>i = 5 | $\begin{array}{c} -4.117\ 89\times 10^{-2}\pm 1.6618\times 10^{-3}\\ 1.468\ 05\times 10^{-1}\pm 5.1016\times 10^{-3}\\ -1.191\ 90\times 10^{-1}\pm 5.1983\times 10^{-3}\\ 4.102\ 26\times 10^{-2}\pm 1.9121\times 10^{-3}\\ -4.887\ 04\times 10^{-3}\pm 2.3413\times 10^{-4}\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c} 4.763\ 46\times 10^{-2}\pm 1.5790\times 10^{-3}\\ -1.284\ 45\times 10^{-1}\pm 4.0238\times 10^{-3}\\ 1.075\ 65\times 10^{-1}\pm 3.7017\times 10^{-3}\\ -3.859\ 68\times 10^{-2}\pm 1.2896\times 10^{-3}\\ 5.209\ 01\times 10^{-3}\pm 1.5259\times 10^{-4} \end{array}$ |
| $\Delta\lambda_{c}$                       |  |  |
| $\bar{q}_{\mathrm{D}}^{-1}/\mathrm{m}$    | $(6.576~61	imes10^{-10}\pm2.7765	imes$   | × 10 <sup>-11</sup> )  |

$$(6.576~61 \times 10^{-10} \pm 2.7765 \times 10^{-11})$$

critical region. The correlation length  $\xi$  is given by

$$\xi = \xi_0 \left[ \frac{P_c \rho}{\Gamma \rho_c^2} \right]^{\nu/\gamma} \left[ \frac{\partial \rho(T, \rho)}{\partial P} \Big|_T - \left( \frac{T_r}{T} \right) \frac{\partial \rho(T_r, \rho)}{\partial P} \Big|_T \right]^{\nu/\gamma} \quad (12)$$

The coefficients of eq 5 were fixed while the coefficients of eqs 7 and 9–12 were fit with ODRPACK V. 2.01<sup>24</sup> to the present transient and steady-state data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane. This crossover model requires the universal constants R = 1.03,  $\nu = 0.063$ , and  $\gamma = 1.239$ ; system-dependent amplitudes  $\Gamma = 0.0496$  and  $\xi_0 = 1.94 \times$  $10^{-10}$  m; critical-point properties including the critical pressure  $P_c = 3.640$  MPa; and a reference temperature where the critical enhancement is negligible  $T_{\rm r} = \frac{3}{2}T_{\rm c} =$ 611.73 K. Table 5 lists the optimum coefficients for eqs 7 and 9-12 together with their uncertainties at a confidence level of one standard deviation. Figure 6 shows a plot of deviations of the present data and the data of Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> from the surface correlation with this simplified theory for the representation of the critical enhancement. Despite the limitations of the equation of state in the critical region, the data are generally reproduced within  $\pm 5\%$  at a level of uncertainty of 95%. Systematic deviations of up to 10% are observed in the critical region for the two near-critical isotherms of Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> These deviations for the near-critical isotherms are likely due to the limitations of the present equation of state.<sup>1</sup> The reference temperature  $T_{\rm R}$  for the critical enhancement theory is 611.73 K and is slightly above the upper recommended temperature of 600 K for the equation of state.<sup>1</sup>

Full Crossover Model. The full crossover equations proposed by Olchowy and Sengers<sup>20-22</sup> were also fit to the isobutane data. The critical enhancement of the thermal conductivity is given by

$$\Delta\lambda_{\rm c} = \frac{\rho C_{\rm p} R k_{\rm b} T}{6\pi\eta\xi} (\Omega - \Omega_0) \tag{13}$$

with

$$\Omega = \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{1 + y_{\gamma}} \right) \times \left[ y_{\mathrm{D}} - \sum_{i=1}^{4} \left( \frac{(a_3 z_i^3 + a_2 z_i^2 + a_1 z_i + a_0)}{\prod_{j=1, j \neq i}^{4} (z_i - z_j)} \right) F(z_j, y_{\mathrm{D}}) \right]$$
(14)  
and

$$\Omega_0 = \frac{1 - \exp\{-[(q_{\rm D}\xi)^{-1} + (q_{\rm D}\xi\rho_{\rm c}/\rho)^2/3]^{-1}\}}{\pi/2[1 + y_{\alpha}(y_{\rm D} + y_{\delta}) + y_{\beta}(1 + y_{\gamma})^{-1}]} \quad (15)$$



Figure 6. Relative deviations between the present measurements (+) and the measurements of ( $\triangle$ ) Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the correlation with the simplified theoretical expression<sup>23</sup> for the critical enhancement of eqs 5, 7, and 9-12.

The correlation length  $\xi$  is again given by eq 12 as for the simplified crossover model, but  $q_{\rm D}$  is the effective wavenumber cutoff ( $\bar{q}_D \neq q_D$ , since each effective wavenumber cutoff is model dependent) and is determined by a fit of thermal conductivity data in the critical region. The coefficients  $a_i$  in eq 14 are given by

$$a_{0} = y_{\gamma}^{2} - y_{\alpha}y_{\gamma}y_{\delta}$$

$$a_{1} = y_{\alpha}y_{\gamma}y_{D}$$

$$a_{2} = y_{\gamma} - y_{\beta} - y_{\alpha}y_{\delta}$$

$$a_{3} = y_{\alpha}y_{D}$$
(16)

In eq 14, the  $z_i$  are the two real and two complex-conjugate roots of the equation

$$z^{4} + y_{\alpha}y_{D}z^{3} + (y_{\gamma} + y_{\beta} + y_{\alpha}y_{\delta})z^{2} + y_{\alpha}y_{\gamma}y_{D}z^{2} + y_{\alpha}y_{\gamma}y_{\delta}z = 0 \quad (17)$$

The function *F* in eq 14 is given by

$$F(x,y_{\rm D}) = \frac{1}{\left(1-x^2\right)^{1/2}} \ln \left[\frac{1+x+\left(1-x^2\right)^{1/2}\tan(y_{\rm D}/2)}{1+x-\left(1-x^2\right)^{1/2}\tan(y_{\rm D}/2)}\right]$$
(18)

 Table 6. Coefficients for the Representation of the Residual Thermal Conductivity of Isobutane, Eq 7 with Full

 Crossover Critical Enhancement of Eqs 13–19

| $\Delta \lambda_{r}$ | $B_{i,1}/(\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{m}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1})$                                      | $B_{i,2}/(\mathbf{W}\cdot\mathbf{m}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{K}^{-1})$  |
|----------------------|---|---|
| i=1<br>i=2           | $-3.949\ 53	imes 10^{-2}\pm 1.7433	imes 10^{-3}$<br>1.616.07 $	imes 10^{-1}\pm 5.5262	imes 10^{-3}$ | $4.519\ 67	imes 10^{-2}\pm 1.6608	imes 10^{-3}\ -1\ 343\ 95	imes 10^{-1}\pm 4\ 1525	imes 10^{-3}$         |
| i = 3                | $-1.380 49 \times 10^{-1} \pm 5.8191 \times 10^{-3}$  | $1.154355 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.9289 \times 10^{-3}$<br>$1.15446 \times 10^{-1} \pm 3.9289 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| i = 4<br>i = 5       | $egin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$  | $-4.117\ 18	imes 10^{-2}\pm 1.3704	imes 10^{-3}\ 5.431\ 11	imes 10^{-3}\pm 1.6101	imes 10^{-4}$           |
|                      |   |   |



**Figure 7.** Relative deviations between the present measurements (+) and the measurements of ( $\triangle$ ) Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> for the thermal conductivity of isobutane and the correlation with the full theoretical expression<sup>20–22</sup> for the critical enhancement of eqs 5, 7, and 13–19.

The auxiliary functions are given by

$$y_{\rm D} = \arctan(q_{\rm D}\xi)$$
$$y_{\delta} = \frac{\arctan[q_{\rm D}\xi/(1+q_{\rm D}^{2}\xi^{2})^{1/2}] - y_{\rm D}}{(1+q_{\rm D}^{2}\xi^{2})^{1/2}}$$
$$y_{\alpha} = \rho k_{\rm B} T/8\pi \bar{\eta}^{2}\xi$$
$$y_{\beta} = \bar{\lambda}/\bar{\eta}(C_{\rm p} - C_{\rm v})$$
$$y_{\gamma} = C_{\rm v}/(C_{\rm p} - C_{\rm v})$$
$$y_{\eta} = (y_{\delta} + y_{\beta}/y_{\alpha})/y_{\rm D}$$

and

$$y_{\nu} = y_{\nu} y_{\delta} / y_{\rm D} \tag{19}$$

The coefficients of eq 5 were fixed while the coefficients of eqs 7 and 13–19 were fit with ODRPACK V. 2.01<sup>24</sup> to the present transient and steady-state data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane. This crossover model requires the universal constants R = 1.03,  $\nu = 0.063$ , and  $\gamma = 1.239$ ; system-dependent amplitudes  $\Gamma = 0.0496$  and  $\xi_0 = 1.94 \times 10^{-10}$  m; and a reference temperature where the critical enhancement is negligible  $T_{\rm R} = 3/_2 T_{\rm c} = 611.73$  K. Table 6 lists the optimum coefficients for eqs 7 and 13–19 together with their uncertainties at a confidence level of one standard deviation. Figure 7 plots deviations of the experimental data from the surface correlation with this theory for the representation of the critical enhancement. The

## $(5.378~09 imes 10^{-10} \pm 2.1411 imes 10^{-11})$

deviations are comparable to those for the fit with the simplified theory for the criticial enhancement shown above, but they are up to 13% for the two near critical isotherms of Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> Again, it is expected that a better equation of state would significantly reduce the deviations between the data and the full crossover theory in the critical region, similar to the simplified model.

#### Discussion

Three alternative correlations are developed for the thermal conductivity of isobutane that are valid over the temperature range from the triple point at 113.56 K to 600 K with densities up to 13 mol· $L^{-1}$  (756 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>). These three correlations differ only in the expression used to account for the enhancement of the thermal conductivity in the critical region. The simple empirical expression is easy to implement and fits the present data quite well, but it is shown to have difficulty in dealing with the near-critical isotherms of Nieuwoudt et al.<sup>2</sup> A simplified crossover theory<sup>23</sup> for the enhancement of the thermal conductivity is also relatively easy to implement, fits the present data well, and also predicts better the enhanced thermal conductivity of the near-critical isotherms of Nieuwoudt et al.2 The full crossover theory<sup>20-22</sup> for the enhancement of the thermal conductivity appears to offer reduced performance relative to the simplified theory and is significantly more difficult to implement. The current barrier to theoretically accounting for the critical enhancement of isobutane is the lack of a crossover equation of state that is valid in the critical region. A classical equation of state that is valid at pressures to 70 MPa is required to improve the correlation of thermal conductivity data for the high-pressure liquid. It is likely that the full theory for the critical enhancement would offer improved performance when used with such improved equations of state.

All three of the alternative correlations described above are shown to provide a significantly improved representation of the thermal conductivity of isobutane relative to earlier recommendations.<sup>1,2</sup> On the basis of simplicity and performance, the correlation based on the simplified crossover theory for the enhancement of thermal conductivity in the critical region is recommended relative to the other two formulations. The simplified crossover model fits all of the present steady-state and transient data, as well as the Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> data, within  $\pm 5\%$  at a level of uncertainty of 95%. This is particularly impressive since the Nieuwoudt *et al.*<sup>2</sup> data set includes measurements much closer to the critical point, which were not used during the fitting process.

#### Conclusions

A total of 331 steady-state and 2294 transient hot-wire measurements of the thermal conductivity of isobutane are reported at temperatures from 114 K to 610 K with pressures to 70 MPa. These data are estimated to have an

uncertainty of  $\pm 1\%$  for measurements removed from the critical point and at pressures above 1 MPa, increasing to  $\pm 3\%$  in the critical region and  $\pm 4\%$  at low pressures (<1 MPa) at a 95% confidence level. This is a significant addition to the literature data that are available for the thermal conductivity of isobutane in terms of both the range of temperature and pressure studied and reduction of uncertainty. Three alternative correlations are developed on the basis of these new data, valid over the temperature range from 114 K to 600 K with densities up to 13 mol·L<sup>-1</sup> (756 kg·m<sup>-3</sup>). The simplified crossover model is recommended, since it fits all of the present steady-state and transient data for the thermal conductivity of isobutane, as well as the literature data of Nieuwoudt et al.,<sup>2</sup> within  $\pm 5\%$  at a confidence level of 95% at temperatures from 114 K to 600 K with pressures to 70 MPa, while remaining relatively simple to implement.

## Acknowledgment

The work described in this paper was performed under the auspices of the Subcommittee on Transport Properties of Commission I.2—Thermodynamics, of the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry. The authors are very grateful to the members of this subcommittee for many enlightening discussions and much valuable advice.

#### **Supporting Information Available:**

Tabulated experimental data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### **Literature Cited**

- Younglove, B. A.; Ely, J. F. Thermophysical Properties of Fluids. II. Methane, Ethane, Propane, Isobutane and Normal Butane. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1987**, *16*, 577–798.
   Nieuwoudt, J. C.; LeNeindre, B.; Tufeu, R.; Sengers, J. V.
- (2) Nieuwoudt, J. C.; LeNeindre, B.; Tufeu, R.; Sengers, J. V. Transport Properties of Isobutane. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1987**, *32*, 1–8.
- (3) Millat, J.; Vesovic, V.; Wakeham, W. A. Transport Properties of Dilute Gases and Gaseous Mixtures. In *Transport Properties of Fluids. Their Correlation, Prediction and Estimation*; Millat, J., Dymond, J. H., Nieto de Castro, C. A., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: London, 1996; Chapter 4.
- (4) Roder, H. M. A Transient Hot Wire Thermal Conductivity Apparatus for Fluids. J. Res. Natl. Bur. Stand. Technol. 1981, 86, 457–493.
- (5) Perkins, R. A.; Roder, H. M.; Nieto de Castro, C. A. A High-Temperature Transient Hot-Wire Thermal Conductivity Apparatus for Fluids. *J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol.* **1991**, *96*, 247–269.
- (6) Healy, J.; de Groot, J. J.; Kestin, J. The Theory of the Transient Hot-Wire Method for Measuring the Thermal Conductivity. *Physica* 1976, *C82*, 392–408.
- (7) Assael, M. J.; Karagiannidis, L.; Richardson, S. M.; Wakeham, W. A. Compression Work using the Transient Hot-Wire Method. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **1992**, *13*, 223–235.

- (8) Taxis, B.; Stephan, K. Application of the Transient Hot-Wire Method to Gases at Low Pressures. Int. J. Thermophys. 1994, 15, 141-153.
- (9) Li, S. F. Y.; Papadaki, M.; Wakeham, W. A. The Measurement of the Thermal Conductivity of Gases at Low Density by the Transient Hot-Wire Technique. *High-Temp.-High Pressures* 1993, 25, 451-458.
- (10) Li, S. F. Y.; Papadaki, M.; Wakeham, W. A. Thermal Conductivity of Low-Density Polyatomic Gases. In *Thermal Conductivity 22*, Tong, T. W., Ed.; Technomic Publishing Company Inc.: Lancaster, PA, 1994; pp 531–542.
- (11) Le Neindre, B.; Tufeu, R. In Measurement of the Transport Properties of Fluids, Experimental Thermodynamics Volume III; Wakeham, W. A., Nagashima, A., Sengers, J. V., Eds.; Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford, 1991; eq 6.1, page 114.
- (12) Roder, H. M.; Perkins, R. A.; Laesecke, A.; Nieto de Castro, C. A. Absolute Steady-State Thermal Conductivity Measurements using a Transient Hot-Wire System. J. Res. Natl. Inst. Stand. Technol. 2000, 105, 221–253.
- (13) Hemminger, W. The Thermal Conductivity of Gases: Incorrect Results Due to Desorbed Air. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **1987**, *8*, 317– 333.
- (14) Goldberg, R. N. Conversion of Temperatures and Thermodynamic Properties to the Basis of the International Temperature Scale of 1990. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1992**, *64*, 1545–1562.
- (15) Mann, W. B.; Dickins, B. G. The Thermal Conductivities of the Saturated Hydrocarbons in the Gaseous State. *Proc. R. Soc.* (London) **1932**, 134A, 77–96.
- (16) Lambert, J. D.; Cotton, K. J.; Pailthorpe, M. W.; Robinson, A. M.; Scrivins, J.; Vale, W. R. F.; Young, R. M. Transport Properties of Gaseous Hydrocarbons. *Proc. R. Soc. (London)* **1955**, *131A*, 280– 290.
- (17) Parkinson, C.; Gray, P. Thermal Conductivities of Gaseous Mixtures Containing Hydrocarbons. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1972 68, 1065–1075.
- (18) Kazaryan, V. A.; Ryabtsev, N. I. Results of a Study of the Thermal Conductivity of Isobutane. *Gazov. Delo* **1969**, *10*, 27–29.
- (19) Heinemann, T.; Klaen, W.; Yourd, R.; Dohrn, R. Experimental Determination of the Vapor Phase Thermal Conductivity of Blowing Agents for Polyurethane Rigid Foam. J. Cell. Plast. 2000, 36, 45–56.
- (20) Olchowy, G. A.; Sengers, J. V. Crossover from Regular to Singular Behavior of the Transport Properties of Fluids in the Critical Region. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1988**, *61*, 15–18.
- (21) Mostert, R.; van den Berg, H. R.; van der Gulik, P. S.; Sengers, J. V. The Thermal Conductivity of Ethane in the Critical Region. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1990**, *92*, 5454–5462.
- (22) Perkins, R. A.; Roder, H. M.; Friend, D. G.; Nieto de Castro, C. A. The Thermal Conductivity and Heat Capacity of Fluid Nitrogen. *Physica A* **1991**, *173*, 332–362.
- (23) Olchowy, G. A.; Sengers, J. V. A Simplified Representation for the Thermal Conductivity of Fluids in the Critical Region. *Int. J. Thermophys.* **1989**, *10*, 417–426.
- (24) Boggs, P. T.; Byrd, R. H.; Rogers, J. A.; Schnabel, R. B. User's Reference Guide for ODRPACK Version 2.01–Software for Weighted Orthogonal Distance Regression; NISTIR 4834; National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 1992.

Received for review April 2, 2001. Accepted April 20, 2002. JE010121U