Liquid-Liquid Equilibria of Acetic, Propionic, Butyric, and Valeric Acids with Trioctylamine as Extractant

Zhenyu Li, Wei Qin,* and Youyuan Dai

Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, People's Republic of China

Liquid-liquid equilibria of aqueous solutions of acetic, propionic, butyric, and valeric acids with trioctylamine in various diluents, 1-octanol, chloroform, methyl isobutyl ketone, and tetrachloromethane, were determined at 298 K. An expression of the extraction equilibrium is derived, and the apparent extraction equilibrium constants (K_{11}) are evaluated. The predicted loading of trioctylamine agrees well with the experimental values. The relationship between the K_{11} and the hydrophobicities of the acids is discussed.

Introduction

Many separation processes in chemical industries utilize a reactive extraction system. A reactive extraction process, which exploits reversible chemical complexation in the extractant phase, provides an effective separation, especially for relatively dilute solutions, such as the aqueous solution of carboxylic acids in a fermentation broth.¹ The solutions of long-chain tertiary amines in diluents such as ketones, alcohols, chloroform, and so forth are very effective extractants for carboxylic acids.² The specific chemical interactions among the amines and the acid molecules to form acid–amine complexes in the extractant phase allow more acid to be extracted from the aqueous phase.³

In recent years, solvent extraction have received attention for the recovery of carboxylic acids from dilute aqueous solutions, notably with hydrophobic tertiary amines dissolved in various organic diluents.^{4–10} The specific affinity of long-chain tertiary amines for carboxylic acids gives high selectivity for this type of solute with respect to water and eventually nonacidic species in the mixture.¹¹ The formation of acid-amine complexes depends on the nature of diluents, which affect the basicity of the amine and the stability of the ion-pair association in the extractant phase, and these diluents may hydrogen bond with the oxygen accessible on the carboxylic acid. Since the presence of the hydroxyl and carboxylic groups increases the solubility of acids in the water phase, the strong interactions of solvent with solutes are necessary to extract carboxylic acids from dilute aqueous solutions. In the present case, at low concentration of acid in the aqueous solution (0.5 mass %), the dominant complex corresponds to a stoichiometric association between the acid and the tertiary amine.¹² Polar diluents that enhance the extraction power of amines are more favorable than nonpolar diluents, as shown in the extraction of citric, lactic, and succinic acids.¹

It is believed that the strength of the acid, expressed by pK_a , and the diluent type are the major factors controlling the degree of extraction. King et al.¹³ proposed that the equilibrium constant of a (1, 1) complex decreases about 1 log unit with each unit increase in pK_a for the extraction equilibrium of carboxylic acids with amines, but the results from the experimental data did not fit the above rule well.

 * To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: qinw@ chemeng.tsinghua.edu.cn.

Table 1	Physical	Properties	of Carbox	vlic Acid

chemical	pK _a	hydrophobicity (log <i>P</i>)
acetic acid	4.75	-0.313
propionic acid	4.87	0.290
butyric acid	4.81	0.802
valeric acid	4.82	1.352

Hano et al.¹⁴ investigated the extraction equilibria of organic acids (acetic, glycolic, propionic, lactic, pyruvic, butyric, succinic, fumaric, maleic, itaconic, tartaric, citric, and isocitric) with trioctylphosphine oxide in hexane and concluded that the number of carboxyl groups and the extraction equilibrium were controlled by the hydrophobicity of the acid, not by the pK_a value. However, the degree of extraction of trioctylamine is quite different from that of trioctylphospine oxide.

In the work of Sabolova et al.,¹⁵ butyric acid was selected to be extracted with trioctylamine in *n*-alkanes. The experimental results were interpreted by a chemical reaction mechanism and a related model with the existence of (1, 1) to (7, 1) acid—amine complexes, and a monomer and a dimer of butyric acid in the solvent were proposed.

This work presents the study of the extraction equilibrium of C_2-C_5 monocarboxylic acids from aqueous solutions with trioctylamine. Equilibrium data are presented for the system of carboxylic acids with trioctylamine in 1-octanol, chloroform, methyl isobutyl ketone, and tetrachloromethane. An equilibrium model is presented and used to determine model parameters and apparent extraction equilibrium constants.

Theory

On the basis of mass action law, an expression for the extraction equilibrium of carboxylic acid with trioctylamine as extractant can be obtained. When it is assumed that the carboxylic acid is dissociated in water, extracted into the extractant phase through the physical solubility of the solute in the extractant phase, and forms (1:1), (2:1), and (3:1) acid-amine complexes because of the dimer of acid in the extractant phase, the complexes are formed by the

Table 2. Equilibrium Data for the System Acetic Acid + Trioctylamine in Diluent $% \left(\mathbf{A}^{T}\right) =\left(\mathbf{A}^{T}\right) \left(\mathbf{A}^{T}\right)$

Table 3.	Equilibrium	Data for t	the System	Propionic	Acid
+ Trioct	ylamine in Di	iluent			

	equil nH	total equil conc of ac	cetic acid/mol· L^{-1}
$S_0/\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$	in aq phase	aq conc	org conc
	1.0at	anal as the Diluont	0
0.500	5 16		0.0120
0.500	J.10 1 70	0.0019	0.0120
0.500	4.75	0.0000	0.0071
0.500	4.93	0.0230	0.2090
0.500	3.97	0.0480	0.3472
0.500	3.71	0.0870	0.4320
0.500	3.45	0.1250	0.4860
0.500	3.4	0.1860	0.5530
0.500	3.29	0.2550	0.6318
0.500	3.18	0.3400	0.7020
1.000	3.09	0.4190	0.7690
1.000	5.31	0.0020	0.0101
1.000	5.11	0.0060	0.0571
1.000	4.81	0.0140	0.1840
1.000	4.33	0.0430	0.3520
1.000	4.02	0.0850	0.5260
1.000	3.69	0.1100	0.0210
1.000	3.55	0.2010	1 0050
1 000	3 34	0.6330	1.0050
1.000	3.12	1.0890	1.5720
0 500	Chlore	oform as the Diluent	0.0500
0.500	4.75	0.0077	0.0560
0.500	4.51	0.0180	0.1050
0.500	4.30	0.0290	0.1090
0.500	4.10	0.0430	0.220
0.500	4.02	0.0720	0.3230
0.500	3.75	0.1570	0.4540
0.500	3.53	0.1990	0.5400
0.500	3.41	0.2610	0.6260
0.500	3.26	0.3530	0.6890
1.000	4.89	0.0058	0.0580
1.000	4.70	0.0120	0.1110
1.000	4.58	0.0180	0.1800
1.000	4.32	0.0420	0.3530
1.000	4.06	0.0700	0.5410
1.000	3.86	0.1110	0.7750
1.000	3.69	0.1680	0.9210
1.000	3.00	0.2070	1.0210
	Methyl Isob	utyl Ketone as the Dilu	ient
0.500	4.12	0.0320	0.0320
0.500	3.86	0.0570	0.0660
0.500	3.71	0.0850	0.1130
0.500	3.53	0.1110	0.1610
0.500	3.41	0.1550	0.2410
0.500	3.19	0.2040	0.3150
0.500	3.14 2.02	0.2410	0.3090
0.500	5.05 9.05	0.2330	0.4400
0.500	2.86	0.4530	0.5890
0.500	2.93	0.5340	0.6540
1.000	4.93	0.0190	0.0450
1.000	4.61	0.0400	0.0830
1.000	4.38	0.0630	0.1350
1.000	4.09	0.1170	0.2780
1.000	3.95	0.1700	0.4400
1.000	3.85	0.1910	0.5480
1.000	3.67	0.2780	0.9090
1.000	3.60	0.2990	1.0270
1.000	3.52	0.3680	1.3180
1.000	3.34	0.5420	1.7440
	Tetrachlor	omethane as the Dilue	nt
0.500	3.55	0.0501	0.0140
0.500	3.39	0.0940	0.0302
0.500	3.32	0.1480	0.0501
0.500	3.19	0.1990	0.0730
0.500	3.20	0.2830	0.1120
0.500	3.06	0.3600	0.1590
0.500	3.UU 9 QA	0.4120	0.1990
0.500	2.9U 9 86	0.0090	0.3270
0.500	2.79	0.7380	0.4490

	equil pH	total equil conc of a	cetic acid/mol·L ^{-1}					
$S_0/\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$	in aq phase	aq conc	org conc					
0 5	1-Octanol as the Diluent							
0.500	5.53	0.0011	0.0310					
0.500	5.25	0.0024	0.0810					
0.500	4.81	0.0049	0.1400					
0.500	4.55	0.0140	0.2700					
0.500	4.23	0.0290	0.3810					
0.500	4.02	0.0500	0.4780					
0.500	3.77	0.0790	0.5940					
0.500	3.62	0.1100	0.7200					
0.500	3.48	0.1600	0.8360					
0.500	3.35	0.2100	0.9790					
1.000	5.24	0.2090	0.0530					
1.000	5.19	0.0027	0.1140					
1.000	4.91	0.0050	0.1660					
1.000	4.69	0.0070	0.2240					
1.000	4.44	0.0130	0.3180					
1.000	4.18	0.0220	0.4400					
1.000	4.06	0.0290	0.5030					
1.000	3.94	0.0330	0.5990					
1.000	3.74	0.0650	0.8520					
1.000	3.05	0.0990	1.1040					
1.000	Chloro	form as the Diluent	1.0110					
0.500	5.09		0.0520					
0.500	4.90	0.0025	0.0920					
0.500	4.75	0.0050	0.1430					
0.500	4.64	0.0064	0.1940					
0.500	4.47	0.0110	0.2850					
0.500	4.25	0.0210	0.4180					
0.500	4.09	0.0350	0.5390					
0.500	3.90	0.0560	0.6790					
0.500	3.73	0.0800	0.8210					
0.500	3.38	0.1940	1.0530					
0.500	3.25	0.2710	1.1490					
	Methyl Isobi	ityl Ketone as the Di	luent					
0.500	4.70	0.0110	0.0430					
0.500	4.51	0.0180	0.0760					
0.500	4.36	0.0250	0.1220					
0.500	4.26	0.0330	0.1680					
0.500	4.12	0.0440	0.2520					
0.500	3.98	0.0600	0.3790					
0.500	১. ১ ৬ ৫.৫৬	0.0720	0.4930 0.6110					
0.500	3.78	0.1120	0.7450					
0.500	3.62	0.1360	0.8950					
0.500	3.53	0.1680	1.0550					
0.500	3.43	0.2120	1.2130					
	Tetrachloro	omethane as the Dilu	ient					
0.500	4.07	0.0180	0.0350					
0.500	3.93	0.0320	0.0630					
0.500	3.80	0.0490	0.0990					
0.500	3.71	0.0630	0.1370					
0.500	3.33 3.40	0.0900	0.2000					
0.500	3.40	0.1230	0.3130					
0.500	3.21	0.1960	0.6110					
0.500	3.16	0.2340	0.7450					
0.500	3.11	0.2790	0.8950					
0.500	3.08	0.3350	1.0550					
0.500	3.01	0.4080	1.2130					

stepwise reaction as follows

$$R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{p-1(\text{org})} + HA_{aq} \leftrightarrow R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{p(\text{org})}$$

$$p = 1, 2, 3 \quad (1)$$

$$K_{p1} = \frac{[R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{p}]_{\text{org}}}{[R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{p-1}]_{\text{org}}[HA]_{aq}} \qquad (2)$$

And the material balance for the solute and trioctylamine was involved

$$C_{\rm org} - [\rm HA]_{\rm org} = [\rm R_3N \cdot (\rm HA)]_{\rm org} + 2[\rm R_3N \cdot (\rm HA)_2]_{\rm org} + 3[\rm R_3N \cdot (\rm HA)_3]_{\rm org} = K_{11}[\rm R_3N]_{\rm org}[\rm HA]_{\rm aq} + 2K_{11}K_{21}[\rm R_3N]_{\rm org}[\rm HA]_{\rm aq}^2 + 3K_{11}K_{21}K_{31}[\rm R_3N]_{\rm org}[\rm HA]_{\rm aq}^3$$
(3)

$$S_{0} - [R_{3}N]_{org} = [R_{3}N \cdot HA]_{org} + [R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{2}]_{org} + [R_{3}N \cdot (HA)_{3}]_{org} = K_{11}[R_{3}N]_{org}[HA]_{aq} + K_{11}K_{21}[R_{3}N]_{org}[HA]_{aq}^{2} + K_{11}K_{21}K_{31}[R_{3}N]_{org}[HA]_{aq}^{3}$$
(4)

where pK_a is the dissociation constant of acid in the aqueous phase, *m* is the physical extraction constant of the acid for the pure diluent, ϕ is the volume fraction of diluent in the extractant phase, S_0 is the initial concentration of trioctylamine in the extractant phase, $C_{\rm org}$ is the total carboxylic acid concentration in the extractant phase, K_{p1} is the apparent equilibrium constant of the *p*-rank extraction reaction, the square brackets represent the concentrations, and all of the concentrations are expressed in molar terms.

The loading of trioctylamine, Z, defined in eq 5 (similar to ref 4), can be expressed with a function of pK_{a} , ϕ , m, S_{0} , C_{aq} , pH, K_{11} , K_{21} , and K_{31} , where C_{aq} is the total carboxylic acid concentration in the aqueous phase. Using eqs 3–6, the values of Z of any systems can be predicted if the constants K_{11} , K_{21} , and K_{31} , are known. K_{11} , K_{21} , and K_{31} as the iterated parameters can be determined by fitting the data Z using nonlinear regression.

$$Z = \frac{C_{\rm org} - [\rm HA]_{\rm org}}{S_0} = \frac{C_{\rm org} - m[\rm HA]_{\rm aq}}{S_0}$$
(5)

and

$$[\text{HA}]_{aq} = \frac{C_{aq}}{1 + 10^{pH - pK_a}}$$
(6)

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. All of the carboxylic acids were analytical reagents. Trioctylamine with purity > 99 mass % (Fluka) was used as extractant. Four diluents, 1-octanol, chloroform, methyl isobutyl ketone, and tetrachloromethane (analytical reagent), were used in this work. Characteristics of components are presented in Table 1.

Extraction Experiments. All extraction experiments were conducted with 50 mL flasks at 25 ± 0.5 °C. Ten milliliters of the extractant and 10 mL of the acid solution were added to each flask. The flask containing the mixture was shaken for about 6 h in a shaker bath with a vibrating rate of 200 rpm and then was left to equilibrate for 1 to 2 h, followed by separating the two phases. The upper layer (extractant phase) was removed, and an aqueous-phase sample was taken from the bottom layer (aqueous phase) for pH and solute concentration analyses.

Sample Analysis. The carboxylic acid in the aqueous phase (C_{aq}) was titrated by NaOH with a microburet using phenolphthalein as indicator. The carboxylic acid concentrations in the extractant phase (C_{org}) were calculated by material balance. The preliminary experiment of stripping of the extractant phase showed that the deviation of the calculation was within 2%, which probably resulted from the volume changing for each phase and the error of the analysis method.

Trioctylam	ine in Dilue	nt	Butyric Acia +
	equil pH	total equil conc of ac	etic acid/mol· L^{-1}
$S_0/\text{mol}\cdot L^{-1}$	in aq phase	aq conc	org conc
	1-Octa	anol as the Diluent	
0.500	5.84	0.0001	0.0620

	1-Octa	nol as the Diluent	
0.500	5.84	0.0001	0.0620
0.500	5.62	0.0007	0.1150
0.500	5.32	0.0021	0.1820
0.500	5.10	0.0033	0.2540
0.500	4.82	0.0070	0.3550
0.500	4.00	0.0120	0.4320
0.500	4 26	0.0100	0.5240
0.500	4.12	0.0370	0.7380
0.500	3.98	0.0500	0.8530
1.000	5.65	0.0007	0.1640
1.000	5.20	0.0042	0.3610
1.000	4.98	0.0076	0.5060
1.000	4.70	0.0150	0.8010
1.000	4.58	0.0200	0.9580
1.000	4.46	0.0250	1.1390
1.000	4.36	0.0320	1.3280
1.000	4.28	0.0390	1.4820
1.000	4.10	0.0310	2 4070
1.000	5.60	0.0300	2.4970
0 500	Chlorot	form as the Diluent	t 0.0000
0.500	5.63	0.0004	0.0690
0.500	5.47	0.0007	0.1130
0.500	5.15	0.0020	0.1820
0.500	4 97	0.0051	0.2540
0.500	4.79	0.0083	0.4630
0.500	4.65	0.0110	0.5640
0.500	4.49	0.0170	0.6730
0.500	4.33	0.0250	0.7980
0.500	4.17	0.0370	0.9250
1.000	5.21	0.0025	0.2590
1.000	4.94	0.0052	0.5090
1.000	4.84	0.0074	0.6630
1.000	4.75	0.0099	0.8080
1.000	4.66	0.0130	0.9680
1.000	4.30	0.0180	1.1510
1.000	4.39	0.0230	1.3410
1.000	4.33	0.0300	1 7450
1.000	4.21	0.0420	1.7990
	Methyl Isobu	tyl Katona as tha F	Viluont
0.500	5.07	0.0041	0.0576
0.500	4.86	0.0070	0.1075
0.500	4.70	0.0098	0.1723
0.500	4.58	0.0128	0.2416
0.500	4.48	0.0163	0.3385
0.500	4.35	0.0215	0.4409
0.500	4.31	0.0238	0.5405
0.500	4.20	0.0289	0.6417
0.500	4.14	0.0338	0.7655
0.500	4.07	0.0403	0.8939
0 500	Tetrachloro	methane as the Di	luent
0.500	3.66	0.0099	0.0580
0.500	3.01	0.0130	0.1010
0.500	3.57	0.0210	0.1030
0.500	3.46	0.0340	0.3280
0.500	3.45	0.0410	0.4300
0.500	3.43	0.0470	0.5280
0.500	3.42	0.0550	0.6350
0.500	3.41	0.0640	0.7590
0.500	3.40	0.0740	0.8880

The pH value of the aqueous phase was determined with a pH meter, Hanna pH 201 models.

Results and Discussion

The equilibrium data in various trioctylamine-diluent systems are given in Tables 2–5. According to eqs 3–5,

Table 5. Equilibrium Data for the System Valeric Acid + Trioctylamine in Diluent $% \left({{{\rm{T}}_{{\rm{T}}}}_{{\rm{T}}}} \right)$

	equil pH	total equil conc of a	cetic acid/mol· L^{-1}
$S_0/\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$	in aq phase	aq conc	org conc
	1-Octa	anol as the Diluent	
0.500	5.91	0.0002	0.1150
0.500	5.59	0.0007	0.1900
0.500	5.27	0.0014	0.2880
0.500	5.15	0.0024	0.3680
0.500	4.94	0.0034	0.4380
0.500	4.76	0.0049	0.5250
0.500	4.62	0.0068	0.5950
0.500	4.49	0.0087	0.6800
0.500	4.37	0.0110	0 7600
0.500	4 28	0.0130	0.8250
1 000	5.91	0.0003	0.2030
1.000	5 54	0.0012	0.3530
1.000	5 22	0.0012	0.5880
1.000	5.07	0.0025	0.7450
1.000	1 08	0.0045	0.7450
1.000	4.50	0.0033	0.0000
1.000	4.00	0.0078	1 1060
1.000	4.00	0.0110	1.1900
1.000	4.33	0.0140	1.3100
1.000	4.43	0.0200	1.4930
1.000	4.30	0.0240	1.0010
	Chloro	form as the Diluent	
0.500	5.93	0.0003	0.1100
0.500	5.55	0.0009	0.2002
0.500	5.39	0.0013	0.2805
0.500	5.34	0.0014	0.3080
0.500	5.32	0.0018	0.3650
0.500	5.17	0.0027	0.4540
0.500	5.01	0.0037	0.5830
0.500	4.87	0.0052	0.6750
0.500	4.73	0.0067	0.7380
0.500	4.49	0.0110	0.8200
	Methyl Isobu	ityl Ketone as the Di	luent
0.500	5.26	0.0024	0.1130
0.500	5.09	0.0034	0.1880
0.500	4.97	0.0045	0.2750
0.500	4.88	0.0058	0.3580
0.500	4.83	0.0065	0.4400
0.500	4.76	0.0076	0.5380
0.500	4.68	0.0084	0.6130
0.500	4.65	0.0094	0.6880
0.500	4.58	0.0110	0.7880
0.500	4.54	0.0120	0.8680
	Tetrachloro	omethane as the Dilu	lent
0.500	3.96	0.0039	0.1550
0.500	3.94	0.0056	0.2250
0.500	3.95	0.0075	0.3050
0.500	3.96	0.0092	0.3990
0.500	3.97	0.0100	0.4350
0.500	4.04	0.0110	0.5200
0.500	4.05	0.0130	0.5950
0.500	4.05	0.0140	0.6750
0.500	4.05	0.0150	0.7580
0.500	4.03	0.0130	0.7500
0.000	4.05	0.0100	0.0410

 K_{11} , K_{21} , and K_{31} were obtained by fitting the data Z using a least-squares regression method, and the results were listed in Table 6. As shown in Figure 1, the predicted curves superimpose the data very well. Thus, the model is valid for representing the equilibrium behavior of carboxylic acid-trioctylamine systems.

Diluent. In general, the degree of extraction depends on the type of diluent used. A polar diluent increases the extracting ability of relatively low polarity amines by providing additional solvating power that allows higher levels of polar-amine complexes to stay in the extractant phase. On the other hand, a nonpolar diluent does not affect the extraction process with low polarity amines.¹⁷ Similarly, the results obtained in this work (Figure 1) show that polar diluent provides larger loading of trioctylamine



Figure 1. Loading curve of carboxylic acid in 0.500 mol·L⁻¹ trioctylamine-diluent systems: \triangle , 1-octanol; \bigtriangledown , chloroform; \bigcirc , methyl isobutyl ketone; \Box , tetrachloromethane; –, predicted data.



Figure 2. Loading curve of carboxylic acid in 0.500 mol·L⁻¹ trioctylamine-diluent systems: \triangle , acetic acid; \triangledown , propionic acid; \bigcirc , butyric acid; \square , valeric acid; -, predicted data.

than inert diluent, and the consequence is that 1-octanol \approx chloroform > methyl isobutyl ketone > tetrachloromethane at Z < 1.

Most complexes exists as the (1, 1) form when the carboxylic acid concentration is low (Z < 1).¹⁸ Thus, the apparent equilibrium constant, K_{11} , representing the degree of extraction, has the same sequence as Z for the various diluents.

Solutes. As indicated by the loading of trioctylamine (Figure 2), the degree of extraction of carboxylic acids with trioctylamine is in the order valeric acid > butyric acid >

Table 6.	Model	Parameters f	for	Trioctylamine -	Carbox	ylic	Acid S	Syste	ms

solute	diluent	$S_0/\text{mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$	$\log(K_{11}/L \cdot mol^{-1})$	$\log(K_{21}/L \cdot mol^{-1})$	$\log(K_{31}/L \cdot mol^{-1})$	т
acetic acid	1-octanol	0.500	1.5510	-0.3979	-0.0458	0.4861
		1.000	1.1653	-0.6990	-0.3010	0.4861
	chloroform	0.500	1.3802	0	0.1761	0.0976
		1.000	1.3010	0	0	0.0976
	methyl isobutyl ketone	0.500	0.2982	0.1761		0.5141
		1.000	0.4482	0.4472	0.0414	0.5141
	tetrachloromethane	0.500	-0.1549	0	-0.1549	0
propionic acid	1-octanol	0.500	2.1998	-0.0458	0.5441	1.9518
		1.000	1.6808	0.3010	0.3424	1.9518
	chloroform	0.500	2.2147	0.9912	0.1761	0.8484
	methyl isobutyl ketone	0.500	1.0143	0.8129	0.7782	1.9099
	tetrachloromethane	0.500	0.3979	0.6990	0.7404	0.2858
butyric acid	1-octanol	0.500	2.9205	0.6990	0.9031	6.3365
		1.000	2.4933	1.1614	0.9777	6.3365
	chloroform	0.500	3.0095	1.4065	1.1761	4.4079
		1.000	2.5855	1.4914	1.0969	4.4079
	methyl isobutyl ketone	0.500	1.7876	1.2788	1.2788	6.6106
	tetrachloromethane	0.500	0.9133	1.3617	1.0969	2.1192
valeric acid	1-octanol	0.500	3.5829	1.4472	1.3222	22.5082
		1.000	3.2656	1.4472	1.1461	22.5082
	chloroform	0.500	3.6844	2.1703	1.3424	18.3971
	methyl isobutyl ketone	0.500	2.5545	2.0212	1.7404	21.2786
	tetrachloromethane	0.500	1.7944	1.8325	1.7076	10.0733



Figure 3. Relationship between hydrophobicity (log *P*) and the apparent reactive extraction equilibrium constant log K_{11} . $S_0 = 0.500 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$: \triangle , 1-octanol; \bigtriangledown , chloroform; \bigcirc , methyl isobutyl ketone; \Box , tetrachloromethane. $S_0 = 1.000 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$: \blacklozenge , 1-octanol.

propionic acid > acetic acid. It is apparent that the longer chain carboxylic acid has more hydrophobicity and can be extracted better by trioctylamine. Also K_{11} shows the same sequence as Z for the various carboxylic acids.

It is known that the equilibrium pH is different from the initial pH because of the removal of acid and extractant, amine, dissolved in the aqueous phase. The solution pH tended to increase in this work, and the difference of pH is <2 because of the pH only from the acid; therefore, the pH dependence is not obvious, especially for the higher initial acid concentration cases.

Generally, the degree of extraction depends on three major aspects: (1) the association ability between solute and trioctylamine, $pK_b - pK_a$, (2) the hydrophobicity of the solute, log *P*, which value is the distribution coefficient with 1-octanol as the extractant log form, and (3) the steric effect between solute and extractant. The hydrophobicity of the solute should be the key aspect because of the similar molecular structures and close pK_a values for the present carboxylic acids. A plot of log K_{11} versus log *P* (based on this work) is shown in Figure 3. log K_{11} is linear with log *P* of the carboxylic acid, and the slope is about 1.3 for all four diluents. Similarly, the slopes are 1.1 and 1.0 for log K_{21} versus log P and log K_{31} versus log P, respectively.

It should be noted that a polar diluent increases the extracting ability of trioctylamine by providing additional

solvating power, but when the trioctylamine concentration in the extractant phase increases, the degree of extraction for carboxylic acid will reduce because of the decrease of the diluent concentration. As indicated by the values of equilibrium data, the degree of extraction for carboxylic acid with trioctylamine, and K_{11} , 0.500 mol·L⁻¹ trioctylamine + diluent > 1.000 mol·L⁻¹ trioctylamine + diluent except for the system of methyl isobutyl ketone as diluent. Therefore, an optimal trioctylamine concentration should be considered in use.

Conclusions

In this work, extraction equilibrium studies for the systems monocarboxylic acid (acetic, propionic, butyric, and valeric acids) + trioctylamine in various diluents (1-octanol, chloroform, methyl isobutyl ketone, and tetrachloromethane) were conducted at various trioctylamine concentrations. The degree of extraction for carboxylic acid with trioctyl-amine shows that 1-octanol \approx chloroform > methyl isobutyl ketone > tetrachloromethane, and valeric acid > butyric acid > propionic acid > acetic acid. By using mass action law, and suitable assumptions, an expression of extraction equilibrium is derived, and the apparent extraction equilibrium constants are evaluated. The predicted loading of trioctylamine agrees well with the experimental values. log K_{11} is linear with the hydrophobicity of acids.

Note Added after ASAP Posting

This article was released ASAP on 6/22/2002 with errors in eq 6 and the paragraph preceding it. The correct version was posted on 7/1/2002.

Literature Cited

- King, C. J. Advances in Separation Techniques: Recovery of Polar Organics from Aqueous Solution. *11th International Congress of Chemical Engineers*, Chisa: Prague, 1993; 2.1 1062–1067.
- (2) Kertes, A. S.; King, C. J. Extraction Chemistry of Fermentation Product Carboxylic Acids. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 1986, 28, 269–282.
- (3) Spala, E. E.; Richer, N. L. Thermodynamic Model for Solvating Solutions with Physical Interaction. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev.* 1982, *21*, 409–416.
- (4) Tamada, J. A.; Kertes, A. S.; King, C. J. Extraction of Carboxylic Acids with Amine Extractants. 1. Equilibria and Law of Mass Action Modeling. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1990**, *29*, 1319–1326.
- (5) Yang, S. T.; White, S. A.; Hsu, S. T. Extraction of Carboxylic Acids with Tertiary and Quaternary Amines. Effect of pH. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *30*, 1335–1342.

- (6) Eyal, A. M.; Canari, R. pH Dependence of Carboxylic and Mineral Acid Extraction by Amine-based Extractants: Effect of pKa. Amine Basicity and Diluent Properties. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. **1995**, 34, 1067-1075.
- Yoshizawa, H. Equilibrium of Aqueous Propionic Acid with (7) Trioctylamine in Dodecane. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1994, 39, 777-780.
- (8) Fahim, A. Extraction Equilibria of Acetic and Propionic acids from Dilute Aqueous Solution by Several Solvents. Sep. Sci. Technol. (9) King, C. J. Amine-based Systems for Carboxylic Acid Recovery:
- Tertiary Amines and the Proper Choice of Diluent Allow Extrac-tion and Recovery from Water. *CHEMTECH* **1992**, *5*, 285–291.
- (10) Hartl, I.; Marr, R. Extraction Processes for Bioproduct Separation. Sep. Sci. Technol. 1993, 28, 805–809.
- (11) Poole, L. J.; King, C. J. Regeneration of Carboxylic Acid-amine Extracts by Back-extraction with an Aqueous Solution of a Volatile Amine. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *30*, 923–929.
 (12) Juang, R. S.; Huang, R. H. Comparison of Extraction Equilibria
- of Succinic and Tartaric Acids from Aqueous Solutions with Tri-
- n-octylamine. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1996, 35, 1944–1950.
 (13) Tamada, J. A.; King, C. J. Extraction of Carboxylic Acids with Amine Extractants. 2. Chemical Interactions and Interpretation of Data. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1990, 29, 1327-1333.

- (14) Hano, T.; Matsumoto, M.; Ohtake, T.; Sasaki, K.; Kawano, Y.
- (14) Hano, I.; Matsumoto, M.; Uhtake, I.; Sasaki, K.; Kawano, Y. Extraction Equilibria of Organic Acids with Tri-*n*-octylphosphi-neoxide. J. Chem. Eng. Jpn. **1990**, 23, 734–738.
 (15) Sabolova, E.; Schlosser, S.; Martak, J. Liquid–Liquid Equilibria of Butyric Acid in Water + Solvent Systems with Trioctylamine as Extractant. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2001**, 46, 735–745.
 (16) Dean, J. A. Lang's handbook of chemistry; McGraw-Hill: New York 1985
- York, 1985.
- Wen, M.; Yang, Y.; Dai, Y.; Wang, J. Mechanism of extraction of carboxylic acid with tri-o-octylamine. (I) Acetic acid -TOA system. J. Chem. Ind. Eng. (China, Chin. Ed.) **1998**, 49, 303– (17)309
- (18) Juang, R. S.; Huang, R H. Equilibrium studies on reactive extraction of lactic acid with an amine extractant. *Chem. Eng. J.* **1997**, *65*, 47–53.

Received for review October 15, 2001. Accepted April 7, 2002. Financial support of this work was provided by the National Nature Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 29836130.

JE015526T