# Viscosity Studies on Lithium Bromide in Water + Dimethyl Sulfoxide Mixtures at 278.15 K and 293.15 K

## Maria M. Palaiologou,\* Ioanna E. Molinou, and Nikos G. Tsierkezos

Physical Chemistry Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Athens, P.O. Box 64004, 15710 Zografou, Athens, Greece

Viscosities of dilute solutions of lithium bromide in binary mixtures of water + dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) have been measured in the composition range 0-85 mass % DMSO at 278.15 K and 0-100 mass % DMSO at 293.15 K. The viscosity data have been analyzed by the Jones-Dole equation. The viscosity *B* coefficients of LiBr are positive at both temperatures in the whole composition range of the mixed solvent. The ion-solvent interactions have been discussed.

#### 1. Introduction

Viscosimetric measurements of electrolyte solutions have been widely used in order to obtain information regarding solute-solvent interactions. While ion-solvent interactions have been studied in water and some organic solvents, less consideration has been given to such effects in solvent mixtures. For such studies, the solvent mixture of water + DMSO has special importance because of the wide use of DMSO and its aqueous solutions as solvents and reaction media.<sup>1</sup> Also, this solvent mixture is of extreme interest from the point of view of their structural properties. Extensive studies of the physical properties of the binary system water (1) + DMSO(2) have been made to investigate the changes which occur in the molecular structure and motion of the liquid as a function of the composition. At ambient pressure, DMSO exists in the liquid state over a wide temperature range ( $T_{\rm f} = 18$  °C and  $T_{\rm b} = 189$  °C) and is completely miscible with water. The high boiling temperature has been attributed to the large dipole moment of the DMSO molecule ( $\mu = 3.90$  D at 25 °C). In the strongly polar S=O bond, oxygen is the most electronegative site of DMSO. Dipole or ionic interactions are responsible for self-association DMSO molecules. Acting as a proton acceptor, it can alter the structure of water. From thermodynamic considerations, Lindberg<sup>2</sup> has argued that the water-DMSO hydrogen bond is stronger than the water-water hydrogen bond. Results of neutron inelastic scattering (NIS) and X-ray<sup>3</sup> diffraction techniques show that small quantities of DMSO ( $x_2 \leq 0.1$ ) added to water produce a cooperative ordering of water molecules, increasing the long-range structure of water. At higher concentrations of DMSO, the NIS, infrared spectroscopy, and X-ray results show that the water structure is broken down by the increased formation of hydrogen-bonded DMSO-water complexes.

On the other hand, infrared spectroscopy<sup>4</sup> as well as density<sup>5</sup> measurements, shows that small quantities of DMSO have little effect on the water structure. Other methods<sup>6,7</sup> even lead to the conclusion that small amounts of DMSO act as a "stucture breaker" in water. The dielectric spectra<sup>8</sup> of water (1) + DMSO (2) mixtures showed two maxima (one each on the water rich region and DMSO rich region) in the distribution of relaxation times against concentration and a minimum around  $x_2 = 0.33$ , indicating more homogeneity around this mole fraction.

Table 1. Densities $(\rho)$ and	Viscosities ( $\eta$ ) of Water (1) +
DMSO (2) Mixtures at 278.	.15 K and 293.15 K

	T = 27	8.15 K	T = 293.15  K		
$100 w_2$	$ ho/g\cdot cm^{-3}$	η/mPa•s	$ ho/{ m g}{ m \cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	η/mPa∙s	
0.00	0.999 96	1.524	0.998 20	0.999	
2.50	1.003 32	1.589	1.001 32	1.053	
5.00	1.006 89	1.727	1.004 52	1.112	
10.00	1.014 31	1.948	1.011 20	1.264	
14.97	$1.022\ 00$	2.178	1.018 20	1.402	
18.15	1.027 27	2.354	1.022 83	1.515	
20.00	1.030 38	2.463	1.025 53	1.559	
30.00	1.047 50	3.203	1.040 96	2.009	
40.00	1.065 05	4.230	1.056 62	2.589	
42.75	1.070 15	4.625	1.061 69	2.743	
50.00	1.082 53	5.570	1.072 40	3.319	
65.00	1.104 44	7.330	1.092 33	4.296	
70.00	1.109 25	7.326	1.096 70	4.385	
78.00	1.115 47	6.666	1.102 16	4.091	
85.00	$1.117\ 61$	5.450	1.103 81	3.498	
89.00	1.117 88	4.904	1.103 71	3.211	
93.00	1.117 48	4.035	1.102 91	2.743	
96.00	1.117 02	3.630	1.102 12	2.514	
100.00			1.100 41	2.194	
			1.100 40 <sup>a</sup>	$2.216^{a}$	

<sup>a</sup> Reference 31.



**Figure 1.** Viscosity of water (1) + DMSO (2) mixtures: ■, 278.15 K; ●, 293.15 K.

The existence of stoichiometrically well-defined hydrogenbonded DMSO-water aggregates is suggested thereby.

Table 2.	Experimental	<b>Densities</b> ( $\rho$ ) and	Viscosities $(\eta)$	of LiBr in Water	(1) + DMSO	(2) Mixtures at 1	278.15 K and
293.15 K	-		-				

$c/mol \cdot dm^{-3}$	$ ho/{ m g}{ m \cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta/mPa \cdot s$	$c/mol \cdot dm^{-3}$	$\rho/{\bf g}{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}{\bf cm}^{-3}$	$\eta/mPa \cdot s$	c/mol∙dm <sup>-3</sup>	$ ho/{ m g}{ m \cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta/mPa \cdot s$	$d/mol \cdot dm^{-3}$	$ ho/{ m g}{ m \cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta/mPa \cdot s$
		278.	15 K					293.	15 K		
		100 W <sub>2</sub>	= 0.00					100 <i>w</i> <sub>2</sub>	= 0.00		
0.0098	1.000 60	1.500	0.0453	1.002 86	1.506	0.0146	0.999 14	1.001	0.0453	1.001 07	1.005
0.0140	1.000 91	1.501	0.0545	1.005 45	1.507	0.0198	0.999 40	1.002	0.0342	1.001 03	1.000
0.0280	1.001 20	1.503	0.0996	1.006 36	1.514	0.349	1.000 43	1.004	0.1000	1.004 51	1.012
0.0350	1.002 20	1.504	0.1222	1.007 81	1.516			$100 w_2$	= 2.50		
		$100 W_2$	= 2.50			0.0149	1.002 28	1.055	0.0557	1.004 82	1.060
0.0149	1.004 28	1.592	0.0558	1.006 90	1.599	0.0235	1.002.81	1.056	0.0812	1.006 38	1.063
0.0235	1.004 83	1.594	0.0808	1.008 48	1.603	0.0283	1.003 22	1.057	0.1010	1.007 73	1.000
0.0250	1.005 65	1.596	0.1176	1.010 84	1.609	0.0455	1.004 20	1.059	0.1170	1.000 72	1.000
0.0456	1.006 24	1.598						$100 w_2$	= 5.00		
		$100 W_2$	= 5.00			0.0135	1.005 38	1.115	0.0415	1.007 10	1.119
0.0186	1.008 07	1.718	0.0749	1.011 63	1.730	0.0178	1.005 63	1.116	0.0470	1.007 46	1.120
0.0257	1.008 53	1.720	0.0955	1.012.95	1.733	0.0200	1.005 77	1.110	0.0384	1.008.09	1.122
0.0484	1.009 97	1.724	0.1207	1.014 40	1.700	0.0386	1.006 92	1.118	0.1210	1.012 20	1.100
		$100 w_2$	= 10.00					$100 W_2$	= 10.00		
0.0050	1.014 64	1.949	0.0320	1.016 32	1.954	0.0104	1.011 85	1.267	0.0363	1.013 46	1.273
0.0104	1.014 96	1.950	0.0364	1.016 59	1.955	0.0137	1.012.06	1.268	0.0443	1.013 94	1.275
0.0137	1.015 19	1.951	0.0445	1.017 12	1.957	0.0171	1.012.27	1.209	0.0500	1.014 33	1.270
0.0265	1.015 99	1.953	0.1062	1.020 90	1.969	0.0320	1.013 19	1.272	0.1000	1.017 / 1	1.200
		100 w <sub>2</sub>	= 15.00					$100 W_2$	= 15.00		
0.0154	1.022 96	2.181	0.0809	1.026 41	2.198	0.0153	1.019 02	1.405	0.0806	1.022 48	1.418
0.0207	1.023 17	2.184	0.1025	1.027 57	2.204	0.0206	1.019 60	1.407	0.1021	1.023 67	1.421
0.0263	1.023 49	2.185	0.1526	1.030 24	2.214	0.0262	1.019.60	1.408	0.1520	1.020 41	1.429
0.0010	1.024.07	100 w2 :	= 18.15			0.0010	1.020 00	$100 W_2$	= 18.15		
0.0164	1.028 11	2.361	0.0846	1.032 10	2.381	0.0207	1.024 11	1.522	0.1020	1.028 77	1.541
0.0214	1.028 52	2.363	0.1024	1.033 13	2.388	0.0273	1.024 58	1.524	0.1214	1.029 77	1.544
0.0274	1.028 86	2.365	0.1219	1.034 17	2.393	0.0521	1.025 87	1.530	0.2003	1.034 36	1.562
0.0523	1.030 31	2.373 100 wa s	= 20.00			0.0063	1 025 95	1 562	0.0423	1 028 17	1 572
0.0181	1.031 48	2.470	0.0425	1.033 03	2.480	0.0180	1.026 66	1.565	0.0479	1.028 55	1.573
0.0199	1.031 56	2.471	0.0481	1.033 38	2.482	0.0198	1.026 80	1.566	0.0605	1.029 31	1.577
0.0301	1.032 17	2.474	0.1015	1.036 63	2.502	0.0300	1.027 40	1.568	0.1011	1.031 82	1.588
0.0396	1.032 78	2.478	0.1532	1.039 93	2.521	0.0394	1.028 02	1.571	- 20.00		
0.0150	1 0/8 33	100W2 3 215	= 30.00	1 053 19	3 263	0.0150	1 041 89	2 015	- 30.00	1 046 62	2 049
0.0207	1.048 58	3.217	0.1212	1.054 19	3.275	0.0206	1.042 16	2.018	0.1204	1.047 73	2.058
0.0504	1.050 32	3.236	0.1524	1.055 96	3.296	0.0501	1.043 84	2.030	0.1515	1.049 53	2.070
0.0818	1.052 02	3.255				0.0813	1.045 56	2.042	10.00		
0.0100	1 005 77	$100 W_2$	=40.00	1 007 04	4 00 4	0 0202	1 057 01	100W2 =	= 40.00	1 060 19	9 6 9 9
0.0120	1.065.98	4.249	0.0463	1.067 84	4.284	0.0202	1.057 91	2.007	0.0370	1.000 18	2.032
0.0203	1.066 28	4.256	0.0845	1.070 17	4.327	0.0364	1.058 90	2.617	0.1037	1.063 00	2.664
0.0292	1.066 84	4.266	0.1045	1.071 35	4.345	0.0459	1.059 49	2.625	0.1299	1.064~68	2.681
0.0367	1.067 43	4.276	0.1309	1.072 94	4.376	0.0004	1 0 0 0 0 0	$100 W_2$	= 42.75	1 000 50	0.000
0.0009	1 070 40	$100 W_2 =$	= 42.75	1 077 95	4 905	0.0061	1.062.00	2.753	0.0970	1.066 52	2.830
0.0062	1.070 40	4.043	0.1139	1.077 23	4.800	0.0102	1.002 44	2.701	0.1159	1.000 07	2.830
0.0490	1.073 07	4.709	0.1930	1.082 45	4.884	0.0488	1.064 70	2.789	0.1892	1.073 96	2.914
0.0897	1.073 80	4.755						$100 W_2$	= 49.95		
0.0440	4 000 40	$100 W_2$	= 49.95	1 000 01		0.0106	1.073 00	3.332	0.0487	1.075 39	3.375
0.0142	1.083 43	5.608	0.0594	1.086 24	5.702	0.0141	1.073 28	3.337	0.0589	1.075 06	3.388
0.0194	1.083 80	5.619	0.0855	1.087.77	5 791	0.0153	1.073 98	3.350	0.1061	1.078 92	3.438
0.0200	1.084 45	5.644	0.1286	1.090 32	5.828	0.0313	1.074 32	3.356	0.1283	1.080 22	3.460
0.0371	1.084 75	5.654	0.1616	1.092 33	5.896	0.0367	1.074~64	3.362			
0.0492	1.085 51	5.674	05 00			0.0151	1 000 10	$100 W_2$	= 65.00	1 007 00	4 400
0.0159	1 105 10	100 W <sub>2</sub> =	= 65.00	1 100 94	7 700	0.0151	1.093 13	4.327	0.1030	1.097 83	4.488
0.0152	1.105 19	7.303	0.1042	1.109.84	7.709	0.0138	1.093 69	4.347	0.1555	1.100 66	4.584
0.0249	1.105 66	7.416	0.1572	1.112 61	7.875	0.0504	1.095 06	4.390	0.2073	1.103 43	4.677
0.0509	1.107 07	7.511	0.2095	1.115 39	8.077	0.0805	1.096 70	4.447	0.2546	1.105 65	4.758
0.0814	1.108 74	7.628	0.2574	1.117 59	8.240	0.0154	1 104 70	$100 W_2 = 2520$	= 85.00	1 1 1 0 1 0	0 770
0.0156	1 110 59	100W2 5 516	= 84.98 0.0927	1 199 54	5 822	0.0154	1.104 /8	3.539 3.558	0.1029	1.110 18	3.//3 3.8/9
0.0209	1.118 89	5.545	0.1042	1.123 91	5.937	0.0256	1.105 42	3.564	0.1562	1.113 42	3.934
0.0259	1.119 16	5.577	0.1581	1.127 17	6.212	0.0515	1.107 00	3.642	0.2048	1.116 40	4.075
0.0520	1.120 79	5.687	0.2074	1.130 11	6.467	0.0817	1.108 84	3.723	100.0-		
						0.0119	1 101 00	$100 W_2 =$	= 100.00	1 109 07	9 907
						0.0112	1.101 23	2.210 2.226	0.0487	1.103 97	2.297 2.320
						0.0221	1.102 02	2.239	0.0690	1.105 45	2.342
						0.0303	1.102 62	2.257	0.1083	1.108 31	2.430
						0.0379	1.103 18	2.273			

Table 3. Viscosity A and B Coefficients of the Jones–Dole Equation (Eq 1) for LiBr in Water (1) + DMSO (2) Mixtures at 278.15 and 293.15 K

	A/(dm <sup>3</sup> ·1	$mol^{-1})^{1/2}$	<i>B</i> /dm <sup>3</sup>	3∙mol <sup>−1</sup>
$100 w_2$	T = 278.15  K	<i>T</i> = 293.15 K	T = 278.15  K	T = 293.15  K
0.00	$0.0012 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0053 \pm 0.0005$	$0.093\pm0.002$	$0.104\pm0.002$
2.50	$0.0040 \pm 0.0004$	$0.0075 \pm 0.0008$	$0.092\pm0.002$	$0.102\pm0.002$
5.00	$0.0112 \pm 0.0011$	$0.0107 \pm 0.0011$	$0.089 \pm 0.002$	$0.096\pm0.002$
10.00	$0.0006 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0209 \pm 0.0021$	$0.098 \pm 0.002$	$0.098\pm0.002$
15.00	$0.0030 \pm 0.0003$	$0.0094 \pm 0.0009$	$0.102\pm0.002$	$0.105\pm0.002$
18.15	$0.0110 \pm 0.0011$	$0.0166 \pm 0.0017$	$0.104\pm0.002$	$0.114\pm0.002$
20.00	$-0.0003 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0050 \pm 0.0005$	$0.158 \pm 0.003$	$0.163 \pm 0.003$
30.00	$0.0070 \pm 0.0007$	$0.0020 \pm 0.0002$	$0.170\pm0.003$	$0.196 \pm 0.004$
40.00	$0.0150 \pm 0.0015$	$0.0142 \pm 0.0014$	$0.219 \pm 0.004$	$0.234 \pm 0.004$
42.75	$0.0310 \pm 0.0031$	$0.0193 \pm 0.0019$	$0.228 \pm 0.004$	$0.276\pm0.005$
49.95	$0.0189 \pm 0.0019$	$0.0076 \pm 0.0008$	$0.311\pm0.006$	$0.312\pm0.006$
65.00	$0.0007 \pm 0.0001$	$0.0091 \pm 0.0009$	$0.483 \pm 0.010$	$0.406 \pm 0.008$
85.00	$-0.0131 \pm 0.0013$	$-0.0053 \pm 0.0005$	$0.917\pm0.018$	$0.807\pm0.016$
100.00		$-0.0151 \pm 0.0015$		$1.036\pm0.021$
				$0.907 \pm 0.003^{a}$

<sup>a</sup> Value at 298.15 K.<sup>40</sup>

The studies indicate a strong interaction between DMSO and water, and the general conclusion is that in the mole fraction range  $x_2 = 0.3$  to 0.4 the interactions due to hydrogen bonds are at a maximum. When plotted against concentration, properties of the mixtures such as density,<sup>9</sup> viscosity,9 spin lattice relaxation rates,10 enthalpy of mixing,11 and surface tension12 pass through minima or maxima at about  $x_2 = 0.35$ . There is some disagreement as to whether actual hydrogen-bonded complexes are formed,<sup>3,13,14</sup> what the water to DMSO ratio is in the complex,<sup>15</sup> and how long such complexes exist.<sup>10,16</sup> For example, measurements of the neutron spin-lattice relaxation time in the water + DMSO system have suggested that the reorientation of the DMSO·3H<sub>2</sub>O complex is the dominant motion causing relaxation.<sup>15</sup> Also, from phase diagram studies, it is concluded that a complex of one DMSO and three water molecules is formed.<sup>17</sup>

Other dielectric studies have also shown that a DMSO molecule reorients together with two water molecules.<sup>16</sup> This is in agreement with enthalpy of mixing,<sup>11</sup> density,<sup>9</sup> and viscosity<sup>9</sup> data, where the results also indicate a DMSO- $2H_2O$  complex.

From a phase diagram of the supercooled aqueous solutions<sup>18</sup> of DMSO it is suggested that a stable complex of DMSO· $2H_2O$  exists the near glass transition temperature ( $T_g$ ) in DMSO.

The existence of strongly hydrogen bonded DMSO·2H<sub>2</sub>O aggregates has been proved through molecular dynamical simulations of these solvent mixtures.<sup>19</sup> The behavior of the principal relaxation time of the mixture shows a maximum at a value corresponding to a mixture with 30 mass % water.<sup>20</sup>

A survey of the literature shows that ionic viscosity *B* coefficients have been investigated for electrolyte solutions in water,<sup>21–23</sup> in DMSO,<sup>24–26</sup> and in water + DMSO mixtures.<sup>27–30</sup> This work involves the study of the *B* coefficient of lithium bromide in water + DMSO mixtures in the whole mole fraction region at 278.15 K and 293.15 K.

#### 2. Experimental Section

Dimethyl sulfoxide (Riedel-de Häen, 99.9%) with density 1.100 41 g·cm<sup>-3</sup> and refractive index 1.4795 at 293.15 K was used without further purification. These physical constants are in agreement with the literature values  $\rho =$ 1.100 401 g·cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $n_{\rm D} = 1.4793.^{31}$  Water was deionized by an ion-exchange resin and doubly distilled. The specific conductance was found to be better than 0.50  $\mu S \cdot cm^{-1}$  at 293.15 K. Anhydrous lithium bromide (Fluka 99.0%) was dried before use at 413.15 K for 24 h. All solutions were prepared by mass from a stock solution. The weighings were uncertain to less than  $\pm 0.0001$  g (salt) and  $\pm 0.01$  g (solvent mixtures). Molar concentrations were obtained from molality and density values with an uncertainty  $\pm 0.0001$  mol·dm $^{-3}$ .

Densities ( $\rho$ ) were measured with an Anton Paar (DMA 58) microcomputer-controlled precision densimeter, with a build-in solid state thermostat. The precision of the sample thermostat was  $\pm 0.01$  K. The DMA cell was calibrated with air and doubly distilled water at atmospheric pressure. The uncertainty in the density was  $\pm 0.000 \ 01 \ \text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ .

The viscosities have been measured in the concentration range  $0.0010-0.1500 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{dm}^{-3}$  with a viscosity measuring unit (Schott Geräte AVS 310) equipped with an Ubbelohde capillary viscometer. The viscometer was calibrated with doubly distilled water. The time measurement tolerance was  $\pm 0.005\%$ , and the display accuracy was  $\pm 0.01$  s. The temperature was maintained constant within  $\pm 0.03$  K. The viscosities ( $\eta$ ) were averaged from four readings. The uncertainty in the viscosity measurements was  $\pm 0.001$  mPa·s.

### 3. Results and Discussion

Densities and viscosities of pure water, DMSO, and water + DMSO mixtures are reported in Table 1. Inspection of density values shows that the density passes through a maximum between 80 and 90 mass % DMSO for both temperatures. The viscosity–concentration curves, plotted in Figure 1, exhibit very pronounced maxima, which occur at a concentration corresponding to the formula DMSO/2H<sub>2</sub>O (100  $w_2$  = 68). These maxima in the viscosity water + DMSO system can be attributed to the strong interaction of DMSO with water. These results agree well with the literature values.<sup>9, 32–34</sup>

Densities and viscosities of LiBr in water + DMSO mixtures are reported in Table 2.

The viscosities of electrolytic solutions were analyzed using the Jones–Dole<sup>35</sup> equation:

$$\eta_{\rm r} = 1 + Ac^{1/2} + Bc \tag{1}$$

where  $\eta_r$  is the relative viscosity of the solution, the *A* coefficient is a measure of ion–ion interactions, and the *B* 



**Figure 2.** Viscosity *B* coefficients for LiBr in water (1) + DMSO (2) mixtures:  $\blacksquare$ , 278.15 K;  $\blacklozenge$ , 293.15 K.

coefficient depends on the specific electrolyte and temperature and consequently is a measure of ion-solvent interactions.

The viscosity *A* and *B* coefficients have been calculated as the intercept and the slope, respectively, from plots of  $(\eta/\eta_0 - 1)/c^{1/2}$  against  $c^{1/2}$ . The *A* and *B* values derived from eq 1 are given with their standard deviations in Table 3.

It is seen that the viscosity A coefficient is negative in some cases, but this has no physical significance.<sup>36</sup>

The values of the *B* coefficient for both temperatures are presented graphically in Figure 2. The *B* coefficient is positive throughout all solvent mixtures, indicating a structure making behavior of LiBr. In pure water the Li<sup>+</sup> ion is considered to be a structure making ion whereas the Br<sup>-</sup> ion is considered to be a structure breaking ion.<sup>23</sup>

As is shown in Figure 2, the change of the *B* coefficient at both temperatures is very small up to  $100 w_2 \sim 18.15$ , within the experimental uncertainty, with a small decrease from water to  $100 w_2 = 10$ . This change of the *B* values in the water rich region may be due to the weakening of the ion-solvent interactions, because of the domination of the solvent-solvent interactions between unlike solvent molecules. Above  $100 w_2 = 18.15$  there is a marked increase in the B values. This increase may be attributed to the stronger solvation of Li<sup>+</sup> by DMSO molecules because of their greater basicity (donor number =  $29.8 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ).<sup>37</sup> On the other hand, the Br- ion exhibits weaker solvation because the positive end of the DMSO dipole is sterically hindered. The preference solvation of Li<sup>+</sup> and the small solvation of Br- by DMSO are also confirmed from the free energies of transfer<sup>38</sup> of ions from water to water + DMSO mixtures and from the limiting ionic conductivities<sup>39</sup> in DMSO. Therefore, the structure making behavior of the salt can be attributed mainly to Li<sup>+</sup>.

In conclusion, the *B* coefficient is positive throughout the solvent mixtures for both temperatures, showing a structure maker behavior of LiBr. The thermal coefficient (d*B*/ d*T*) is positive up to  $100 w_2 = 50$ , and above this composition turns to negative values. This change may be due to the strongest solvent-solvent interactions in the lower temperature. Though at higher concentration of DMSO the solvent-solvent interactions are less strong, the effect of long-range ordering of the solvent around the ions decreases with the increasing thermal energy.<sup>23</sup>

#### **Literature Cited**

 Fuchs, R.; McCrary, G. E.; Bloomfield, J. J. Mechanisms of Nucleophilic Displacement in Aqueous Dimethyl Sulfoxide Solutions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4281–4284.

- Lindberg, J. J. Dimethyl sulfoxide, structure and physicochemical properties. *Suom. Kemistiseuran Tied.* **1961**, *70*, 33–39.
   Safford, G. J.; Schaffer, P. C.; Leung, P. S.; Doebbler, G. F.; Brady,
- Safford, G. J.; Schaffer, P. C.; Leung, P. S.; Doebbler, G. F.; Brady, G. W.; Lyden, E. F. X. Neutron Inelastic Scattering and X-ray Studies of Aqueous Solutions of Dimethylsulphoxide and Dimethylsulphone. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1969**, *50*, 2140-2159.
   Brink, G.; Falk, M. The effect of dimethyl sulphoxide on the
- (4) Brink, G.; Falk, M. The effect of dimethyl sulphoxide on the structure of water. *J. Mol. Struct.* **1970**, *5*, 27–30.
  (5) McDonald, D. D.; Smith, M. D.; Hyne, J. B. The Influence of
- (5) McDonald, D. D.; Smith, M. D.; Hyne, J. B. The Influence of Sulfoxides and Sulfones on the Temperature of Maximum Density of Water. *Can. J. Chem.* **1971**, *49*, 2817–2821.
- (6) De Visser, C.; Heuvelsland, M. J. M.; Dunn, L. A.; Somsen, G. Some Properties of Binary Aqueous Liquid Mixtures. Apparent Molar Volumes and Heat Capacities at 298.15 K Over the Whole Mole Fraction Range. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans 1 1978, 74, 1159-1169.
- (7) Petrella, G.; Petrella, M.; Gastagnolo, M.; Dell'Atti, A.; De Giglio, A. Solute–Solvent Interactions in Water-Rich Mixtures. Ionic Conductances in Water-Dimethyl sulfoxide Mixtures at 25 °C. J. Solution Chem. **1981**, *10*, 129–138.
- (8) Kaatze, U.; Pottel, R.; Schafer, M. Dielectric Spectrum of Dimethyl sulfoxide/Water Mixtures as a Function of Composition. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1989**, *93*, 5623–5627.
- (9) Cowie, J. M. G.; Toporowski, P. M. Association in the Binary Liquid System Dimethyl Sulphoxide – Water. *Can. J. Chem.* 1961, *39*, 2240–2243.
- (10) Packer, K. J.; Tomlinson, J. D. Nuclear Spin Relaxation and Self-Diffusion in the Binary System, Dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO)+ Water. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1971, 67, 1302–1314.
- (11) Fox, M. F.; Whittingham, K. P. Component Interactions in Aqueous Dimethyl Sulphoxide. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1975, 71, 1407–1412.
- (12) Luzar, A. The Contribution of Hydrogen Bonds to Bulk and Surface Thermodynamic Properties of Dimethyl sulfoxide-Water Mixtures. J. Chem. Phys. 1989, 91, 3603–3613.
- (13) Lindberg, J. J.; Majani, C. Notes on Solvate Formation in Dimethyl Sulphoxide-Water Mixtures. Acta Chem. Scand. 1963, 17 (5), 1477–1478.
- (14) Madigosky, W. M.; Warfield, R. W. Ultrasonic measurements and liquid structure of DMSO-water mixture. J. Chem. Phys. 1983, 78, 1912–1916.
- (15) Glasel, J. A. Deuteron Magnetic Relaxation Studies on the Solution Properties of Some Denaturing Agents and Surfactants. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 372–375.
- (16) Higashigaki, Y.; Christensen, D. H.; Wang, C. H. Studies of the Reorientational Motion and Intermolecular Interaction of Dimethy Sulfoxide in Water by Depolarized Rayleigh Scattering. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1981**, *85*, 2531–2535.
- (17) Rasmussen, D. H.; Mackenzie, A. P. Phase Diagram for the System Water-Dimethylsulphoxide. *Nature* **1968**, 220, 1315– 1317.
- (18) Murthy, S. S. N. Phase Behavior of the Supercooled Aqueous Solutions of Dimethyl Sulfoxide, Ethylene Glycol, and Methanol as Seen by Dielectric Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 1997, 101, 6043–6049.
- (19) Vaisman, I. I.; Berkowitz, M. L. Local Structural Order and Molecular Associations in Water-DMSO Mixtures. Molecular Dynamics Study. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7889–7896.
- (20) Puranik, S. M.; Kumbharhhane, A. C.; Mehrotra, S. C. Dielectric Study of Dimethyl Sulfoxide-Water Mixtures Using the Timedomain Technique. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1992, 88, 433– 435.
- (21) Desnoyers, J. E.; Perron, G. The Viscosity of Aqueous Solutions of Alkali and Tetraalkylammonium Halides at 25 °C. J. Solution Chem. 1972, 1, 199–212.
- (22) Sacco, A.; Giglio, A.; Dell'Atti, A.; Petrella, M. Ionic B Coefficients in Water at 30, 40, and 50 °C. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 1 1981, 77, 2693–2693.
- (23) Kaminsky, M. Ion–Solvent Interaction and the Viscosity of Strong-Electrolyte Solutions. *Discuss. Faraday Soc.* 1957, 24, 171–179.
- (24) Bicknell, R. T. M.; Lawrence, K. G.; Seeley, M.-A.; Feakins, D.; Werblan, L. Relative Viscosities of Solutions of Sodium and Potassium Bromides and Iodides in Dimethyl Sulphoxide at 25, 35 and 45 °C. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1976, 72, 307–313.
- (25) Bicknell, R. T. M.; Lawrence, K. G.; Feakins, D. Ionic Viscosity B Coefficients in Dimethyl Sulphoxide at 25, 35, and 45 °C. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1980, 76, 637–647.
- (26) Lawrence, K. G.; Sacco, A. Separation of Viscosity B Coefficients into Ionic Contributions. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1983, 79, 615–624.
- (27) Sacco, A.; De Giglio, A.; Castagnolo, M.; Dell'Atti, A. Ion–Solvent Interactions in water-Rich Binary Mixtures. Part 3. Viscometric Behaviour of NaCl, NaBr, NaI and KCl in Water + Dimethylsulphoxide Mixtures at 25 °C and 35 °C. Z. Phys. Chem. Neue Folge **1986**, 150, 105–112.
- (28) Osborne, D. W.; O'Brien, J. F. Viscosities of Solutions of Lithium Chloride in Water-Dimethyl Sulfoxide Mixtures at 25, 35, and 45 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1986**, *31*, 317–320.

- (29) Jauhar, S. P.; Guraya, P. S.; Parmar, J. S.; Narula, S. P. Physico-Chemical Studies in Mixed Solvents. Part II. Viscosity Measurements of Some 1: 1 Electrolyte Solutions in Dimethylsulphoxide-Water Mixtures at 25 °C. Z. Phys. Chem. Neue Folge 1985, 146, 9 - 13
- (30) Campbell, A. N. Electrolytes in mixed solvents: indium trichloride in water-dimethyl sulfoxide mixtures. Can. J. Chem. 1978, 56, 355-358.
- (31) Bicknell, R. T. M.; Davies, D. B.; Lawrence, K. G. Density, Refractive Index, Viscosity and <sup>1</sup>H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Measurements of Dimethyl Sulphoxide at 2 °C Intervals in the Range 20–60 °C. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 **1982**, 78, 1595–1601.
- (32) Schichman, S. A.; Amey, R. L. Viscosity and Local Liquid Structure in Dimethyl Sulfoxide-Water Mixtures. J. Phys. Chem. **1971**, 75, 98–102.
- (33) Fort, R. J.; Moore, W. R. Viscosities of Binary Liquid Mixtures. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 **1966**, 62, 1112–1119. (34) Campbell, A. N.; Kartzwark, E. M. Conductivities of Lanthanum
- Chloride in Water Dimethyl Sulfoxide Mixtures at 25 °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1984, 29, 168-171.

- (35) Jones, G.; Dole, M. The Viscosity of Aqueous Solutions of Strong Electrolytes With Special Reference to Barium Chloride. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1929**, 51, 2950–2964.
- (36) Harned, H. S.; Owen, B. Physical Chemistry of Electrolytic Solutions; Reinhold Publishing Corporation: New York, 1943.
  (37) Gutmann, V. The Donor-Acceptor Approach to Molecular Interactions; Plenum Press: New York, 1978.
  (38) Cox, B. G.; Natarajan, R. Thermodynamic Properties for Transfer of Electrolytics from Water to Directly labeled with the State State
- (38) Cox, B. G.; Natarajan, R. Interinodynamic rioperties on Transier of Electrolytes from Water to Dimethylsulphoxide and to Dimethylsulphoxide + Water Mixtures. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1979, 75, 1780-1787.
  (39) Kale, K. M.; Zana, R. Partial Molal Volumes of Ions in Organic Column Ultraconic Vibration Potential and Density Mag.
- Solvents from Ultrasonic Vibration Potential and Density Mea-surements. III. DimethylSulfoxide. J. Solution Chem. **1977**, 6, 733-746.

Received for review April 5, 2002. Accepted July 10, 2002. This work was financially supported by the Special Research Account of the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens.

JE020063S