# Static Dielectric Constant and Relaxation Time Measurements on Binary Mixtures of Dimethyl Sulfoxide with Ethanol, 2-Ethoxyethanol, and Propan-1-ol at 293, 303, 313, and 323 K

## P. W. Khirade,<sup>†</sup> Ajay Chaudhari,<sup>†</sup> J. B. Shinde,<sup>‡</sup> S. N. Helambe,<sup>‡</sup> and S. C. Mehrotra<sup>\*,§</sup>

P.G. and Research Center, Deogiri College, Aurangabad, India, and Departments of Physics and of Electronics and Computer Science, Dr. B.A.M. University, Aurangabad 431 004, India

Time domain spectroscopy in reflection mode has been used to determine complex reflection coefficient for 2-ethoxyethanol-dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), ethanol-DMSO, and propan-1-ol-DMSO mixtures at 293, 303, 313, and 323 K, in the frequency range of 10 MHz to 10 GHz. Further Fourier transform and least-squares fit methods have been used to obtain the complex permittivity, static dielectric constant, and relaxation time.

#### Introduction

The present paper reports the values of dielectric constants and relaxation times for binary mixtures of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) with ethanol, 2-ethoxyethanol, and propan-1-ol at 293, 303, 313, and 323 K. The time domain reflectometry (Kumbharkhane et al., 1992, 1993; Puranik et al., 1991a,b, 1992; Hosamani et al., 1995) in reflection mode has been used to obtain the dielectric parameters.

## **Experimental Section**

DMSO (AR grade), ethanol (Spectroscopy grade), propan-1-ol (AR grade), and 2-ethoxyethanol (Merck grade) were used without further purification. The solutions were prepared at 11 different volume percentages of 2-ethoxyethanol, ethanol, and propan-1-ol in DMSO from 0% to 100% in steps of 10% within 0.02% error limit. Using these volume percents, the mole fraction is calculated as

$$x = (m_1 v_1 / \rho_1) / [(m_1 v_1 / \rho_1) + (m_2 v_2 / \rho_2)]$$

where *m*, *v*, and  $\rho$  represent the molecular weight, volume percentage, and density of the liquids, respectively. Subscripts 1 and 2 represent liquids 1 and 2, respectively.

The complex permittivity spectra were studied using the time domain reflectometry (TDR) (Cole et al., 1989; Puranik et al., 1991a,b) method. The Tektronix 7854 sampling oscilloscope with a 7S12 TDR unit has been used. A fastrising step voltage pulse of 25 ps rise time generated by a tunnel diode was propagated through a coaxial line system. The sample was placed at the end of coaxial line in standard military application (SMA) coaxial cell of 3.5 mm outer diameter and 1.35 mm effective pin length. All measurements were done under open load condition. The change in the pulse after reflection from the sample placed in the cell was monitored by the sampling oscilloscope. In this experiment, a time window of 5 ns was used. The reflected pulses without sample  $R_1(t)$  and with sample



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Department of Physics, Dr. B.A.M. University.

<sup>‡</sup> Deogiri College. § Department of Electronic

 $\ensuremath{\$}^{\$}$  Department of Electronics and Computer Science, Dr. B.A.M. University.



**Figure 1.** (a)  $\rho^*(\omega)$  spectra of 2-ethoxyethanol at 293. K. (b)  $\epsilon^*(\omega)$  spectra of 2-ethoxyethanol at 293. K.

 Table 1. Comparison of Data for the Liquids Used with

 Literature Values at 293 K

	$\epsilon_0$		ho (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
liquids	this work	lit.	this work	lit.
ethanol	25.71	25.43 <sup>b</sup>	0.7893	0.7893 <sup>a</sup>
propan-1-ol	20.05	$20.45^{b}$	0.8035	0.8035 <sup>a</sup>
2-ethoxyethanol	16.98		0.9297	0.9297 <sup>a</sup>
DMSO	48.17	46.48 <sup>c</sup>	1.1014	1.1014 <sup>a</sup>
		(at 298.15 K)		

 $^a$  Weast, 1970–1.  $^b$  National Academy of Sciences, 1976.  $^c$  Barthel et al., 1990.

 $R_x(t)$  were digitized in 1024 points and transferred to computer through GPIB (general purpose interface bus).

The temperature controller system with water bath and a thermostat has been used to maintain constant temperature within an accuracy of  $\pm 1$  K. The sample cell is surrounded by a heat insulating container through which the water of constant temperature from the temperature controller system is circulated.

## **Results and Discussion**

The time dependent data were processed to obtain complex reflection coefficient spectra  $\rho^*(\omega)$  over the frequency range from 10 MHz to 10 GHz using Fourier transformation (Shannon, 1949; Samulon, 1951) as

$$\rho^*(\omega) = (c/j\omega d)[p(\omega)/q(\omega)]$$
(1)

where  $p(\omega)$  and  $q(\omega)$  are Fourier transforms of  $(R_1(t) - t)$ 

Table 2. Dielectric Constant and Relaxation Time for 2-Ethoxyethanol (1)–DMSO (2), Ethanol (1)–DMSO (2), and Propan-1-ol (1)–DMSO (2) Mixtures<sup>a</sup>

<i>X</i> <sub>2</sub>	$\epsilon_0$	τ (ps)	$\epsilon_0$	$\tau$ (ps)			
(a) 2-Ethoxyethanol (1)–DMSO (2)							
293. K 303. K							
0.0	16.98(11)	48.13(83)	16.45(13)	40.43(82)			
0.0752	20.16(17) 20.55(20)	54.50(111) 57.80(124)	19.54(13) 20.24(16)	42.79(75)			
0.1347	27 84(22)	52 55(98)	26.09(20)	43.90(88)			
0.3279	30.89(24)	47.26(90)	29.97(28)	39.97(94)			
0.4226	35.03(22)	41.37(65)	34.09(22)	35.24(62)			
0.5233	37.05(16)	39.23(43)	35.56(22)	33.47(56)			
0.6307	42.60(19)	30.62(38)	40.96(12)	29.36(25)			
0.7454	44.13(13)	27.30(23) 27.73(97)	46.03(30)	20.32(33)			
1.00	48.17(22)	23.02(34)	46.95(25)	20.09(37)			
0.0	15 89(12)	36 66(71)	ىدى 13 98(12)	. K 27 22(78)			
0.0752	19.32(13)	37.10(72)	18.78(14)	34.43(77)			
0.1547	20.31(14)	36.28(71)	19.57(13)	34.65(69)			
0.2387	26.83(17)	35.52(62)	25.25(16)	35.40(62)			
0.3279	32.64(10)	33.68(28)	30.24(17)	32.38(52)			
0.4220	33.44(12) 37.04(13)	31.40(31) 28 53(30)	33.71(18)	29.30(40)			
0.6307	40.77(18)	25.05(36)	39.15(19)	24.12(39)			
0.7454	45.21(14)	22.93(24)	41.85(18)	22.53(33)			
0.8682	45.43(54)	22.02(79)	42.14(13)	20.58(21)			
1.00	44.95(24)	15.44(35)	43.30(34)	14.91(50)			
(b) Ethanol (1)–DMSO (2)							
0.0	25.71	130.69	23.42	90.10			
0.1448	31.05(4)	91.66(31)	28.49(13)	75.38(13)			
0.2758	34.40(10)	70.27(55)	30.83(2)	61.96(12)			
0.3950	36.48(7)	58.62(32)	34.85(3)	50.43(16)			
0.5038	39.05(10)	51.92(40)	37.47(3)	42.42(13)			
0.6956	43.86(19)	40.21(59)	41.66(19)	34,34(61)			
0.7804	46.39(23)	34.83(64)	45.26(7)	29.76(20)			
0.8590	49.38(9)	31.42(22)	47.75(4)	26.10(11)			
0.9320	49.50(15)	26.94(37)	48.19(7)	23.32(19)			
1.00	48.17	23.02	46.95	20.09			
0.00	313	3. K	323	. K			
0.00	22.23 27.52(1)	71.78 56 12(24)	20.43	50.58(18)			
0.2758	30.02(8)	49.48(41)	28.84(2)	42.02(11)			
0.3950	32.81(5)	41.69(22)	31.52(5)	35.59(23)			
0.5038	35.89(10)	36.24(37)	34.09(5)	31.45(20)			
0.6037	38.74(10)	32.25(33)	37.76(4)	27.73(16)			
0.0950	41.34(13)	29.16(40)	40.43(4)	23.70(13)			
0.8590	45.58(15)	23.24(40)	44.56(4)	23.03(12)			
0.9320	46.73(11)	19.17(29)	45.23(11)	18.47(28)			
1.00	44.95	15.44	43.30	14.91			
(c) Propan-1-ol (1) $-$ DMSO (2)							
0.00	20.05	267.45	18.96	133.85			
0.0953	22.94(3)	170.35(58)	21.93(4)	107.19(48)			
0.1916	25.95(6)	106.02(63)	24.39(5)	77.67(48)			
0.2980	29.21(7)	83.66(51)	27.53(5)	63.09(32)			
0.2873	33.15(6)	65.61(35)	31.51(5)	48.67(28)			
0.4870	30.77(8)	52.07(36) 44.29(20)	34.87(7) 38.70(8)	41.40(29) 34 12(27)			
0.6887	47.04(47)	37.39(34)	42.07(9)	29.62(29)			
0.7914	46.72(13)	31.84(37)	44.45(6)	26.50(18)			
0.8951	54.10(72)	25.53(59)	47.11(10)	23.63(26)			
1.00	48.17	23.02	46.95	20.09			
	31	3. K	323	. K			
0.00	17.37	86.66	15.92	79.78			
0.0903	23 63(4)	00.04(20) 62.92(32)	19.90(3)	70.42(33) 51 95(99)			
0.2890	26.73(3)	50.44(21)	26.69(3)	40.67(17)			
0.3873	30.50(3)	42.08(17)	30.55(5)	35.08(22)			
0.4876	34.27(4)	35.22(16)	34.18(4)	30.79(16)			
0.5872	36.93(3)	30.19(10)	37.67(5)	27.74(16)			
0.6887	41.29(8)	26.19(24)	41.20(9)	23.58(26)			
0.8951	46.83(6)	20.42(14)	46.08(9)	19.02(24)			
1.00	44.95	15.44	43.30	14.91			

 $^a$  Values in parentheses represent errors, e.g., 16.98(11) means 16.98  $\pm$  0.11.

 $R_x(t)$  and  $(R_1(t) + R_x(t))$ , respectively, *c* is the velocity of light,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, *d* is the effective pin length, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ .

The complex permittivity spectra  $\epsilon^*(\omega)$  were obtained from reflection coefficient spectra  $\rho^*(\omega)$  by applying a bilinear calibration method (Cole et al., 1989). For the calibration process, DMSO and the respective liquid mixed with DMSO were used as calibrating liquids. The examples of  $\rho^*(\omega)$  and  $\epsilon^*(\omega)$  spectra are shown in Figure 1a,b, respectively. This corresponds to the 2-ethoxyethanol at 293 K.

The experimental values of  $\epsilon^*$  are fitted with the Debye expression

$$\epsilon^*(\omega) = \epsilon_{\infty} + \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_{\infty}}{[1 + j\omega\tau]} \tag{2}$$

with  $\epsilon_0$  and  $\tau$  as fitting parameters. A least-squares fit method (Bevington, 1969) was used to determine the values of dielectric parameters.  $\epsilon_{\infty}$  is taken to be fixed as 2.0. The parameter  $\epsilon_{\infty}$  has not been used as a fitting parameter, as the data are not found to be sensitive with respect to this parameter. This is due to the fact that the upper frequency limit for  $\epsilon^*(\omega)$  is 10 GHz.

Table 1 gives the values of static dielectric constant and density of the pure liquids used along with literature values. The static dielectric constant and relaxation time obtained by fitting experimental data are listed in Table 2.

### Conclusion

Dielectric relaxation parameters have been determined for 2-ethoxyethanol–DMSO, ethanol–DMSO, and propan-1-ol–DMSO mixture systems at 293, 303, 313, and 323 K. The measurements have been done at 11 values of concentrations, as shown in Table 2. These data provide information regarding solute–solvent interactions in liquids.

### **Literature Cited**

- Barthel, J.; Bahhuber, K.; Buchner, R.; Gill, J. B.; Kleebauer, M. Dielectric spectra of some common solvents in the microwave region. Dipolar aprotic solvents and amides. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1990**, *167*, 62–66.
- Bevington, P. R. Data Reduction and Error Analysis for the Physical Sciences; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1969.
- Cole, R. H.; Berbarian, J. G.; Mashimo, S.; Chryssikos, G.; Burns, A.; Tombari, E. Time Domain Reflection Methods for Dielectric Measurements to 10 GHz. J. Appl. Phys. 1989, 66, 793–802.
- Hosamani, M. T.; Fattepur, R. H.; Deshpande, D. K.; Mehrotra, S. C. Temperature and Frequency-Dependent Dielectric Studies of p-Fluorophenylacetonitrile-Methanol mixtures using the Time Domain Reflectometry. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1995, 91, 623–626.
- Kumbharkhane, A. C.; Puranik, S. M.; Mehrotra, S. C. Temperature-Dependent Dielectric Relaxation Study of Ethylene Glycol-Water mixtures. J. Solution Chem. 1992, 21, 201–212.
- Kumbharkhane, A. C.; Helambe, S. N.; Doraiswami, S.; Mehrotra, S. C. Dielectric Relaxation of Hexamethylphosphoramide-Water Mixtures using Time Domain Technique. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 99, 2405–2410.
- National Academy of Sciences. Digest of Literature on Dielectrics, Vol. 40; National Academy of Sciences: Washington, DC, 1976.
- Puranik, S. M.; Kumbharkhane, A. C.; Mehrotra, S. C. Dielectric Relaxation of *tert*-Butyl Alcohol-Water Mixtures using a Time Domain Technique. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1991a**, *87*, 1569– 1573.
- Puranik, S. M.; Kumbharkhane, A. C.; Mehrotra, S. C. Dielectric Properties of Honey-Water mixtures between 10 MHz to 10 GHz using Time Domain Technique. J. Microwave Power Electromagn. Theory 1991, 26, 196–201.
- Puranik, S. M.; Kumbharkhane, A. C.; Mehrotra, S. C. Dielectric Study of Dimethylsulphoxide-Water Mixture using the Time Domain Technique. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1992, 88, 433–435.

Samulon, H. A. Spectrum Analysis of Transient Response Curves. *Proc. IRE* **1951**, *39*, 175–186.

Shannon, C. E. Communication in the Presence of Noise. *Proc. IRE* **1949**, *37*, 10.

Weast, R. C., Ed. *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, 51st ed.; CRC: Cleveland, OH, 1970–1.

Received for review May 18, 1998. Accepted May 6, 1999. The financial support from the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, India, is gratefully acknowledged.

JE980118J