# Viscosities of Some Symmetrical Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in 2-Methoxyethanol + Water at 298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K

# Bijan Das, Prakash K. Muhuri, and Dilip K. Hazra\*

Department of Chemistry, North Bengal University, Darjeeling 734 430, India

The viscosities of solutions of tetraethylammonium perchlorate ( $Et_4NClO_4$ ), tetrapropylammonium perchlorate ( $Pr_4NClO_4$ ), and tetrabutylammonium perchlorate ( $Bu_4NClO_4$ ) in 2-methoxyethanol (1) + water (2) mixtures have been measured at 298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K. The data have been analyzed by the Jones-Dole equation for the associated as well as unassociated electrolytes to evaluate viscosity *B* coefficients of the electrolytes. The resulting viscosity *B* coefficient and its dependence on temperature provide useful information with regard to changes in the solvent structure.

#### Introduction

Recently, we have reported (Das and Hazra, 1996) the results of conductance measurements on three symmetrical tetraalkylammonium perchlorates, viz. Et<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub>, Pr<sub>4</sub>-NClO<sub>4</sub>, and Bu<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> in 2-methoxyethanol (1) + water (2) mixtures ranging in the composition from 20 to 80 mass % of 2-methoxyethanol at 298.15 K. We have now extended this work to study the viscometric behavior of these electrolytes at three different temperatures in the same solvent mixtures at  $x_1 = 0.056$ , 0.136, 0.262, and 0.486, and the results are reported in this communication.

# **Experimental Section**

2-Methoxyethanol (ME; G.R. Merck, >99% pure) was distilled twice in an all-glass distillation set, and the middle fraction distilled between 397.15 to 398.15 K was collected. The fractionally distilled solvent had a specific conductance less than  $(1-3) \times 10^{-7} \, \text{S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ . Triply distilled water with a specific conductance less than  $10^{-6} \, \text{S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$  was used for the preparation of the mixtures. The physical properties of ME + water mixtures at three different temperatures are given in Table 1.

Tetraalkylammonium perchlorates were Fluka purum or puriss grade (purity >99%). These were recrystallized twice from conductivity water and dried in vacuo at 343.15 K for 24 h.

A stock solution for each salt was prepared by mass, and the working solutions were obtained by mass dilution. The conversion of the molality into molarity was done using density values.

The densities,  $\rho$ , were measured with an Ostwald– Sprengel type pycnometer having a bulb volume of 25 cm<sup>3</sup> and an internal diameter of capillary of about 0.1 cm. The pycnometer was calibrated at (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15) K with doubly distilled water and benzene. The temperature of the bath was controlled to  $\pm 0.01$  K. Details have been described earlier (Das and Hazra, 1993, 1995; Saha et al., 1995). The reproducibility of density measurements was  $\pm (3 \times 10^{-5})$  g·cm<sup>-3</sup>.

The kinematic viscosities,  $\nu$ , were measured by means of a suspended Ubbelohde viscometer. The time of efflux was measured with a stopwatch to  $\pm 0.1$  s. The viscometer was kept in vertical position in a water thermostat controlled to  $\pm 0.01$  K. The absolute temperature was

Table 1. Densities ( $\rho$ ) and Viscosities ( $\eta_r$ ) of 2-Methoxyethanol (1) + Water (2) Mixtures at (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15) K

<i>X</i> 1	$ ho/{ m g}{ m \cdot cm^{-3}}$	$\eta_0/mPa \cdot s$
	298.15 K	
0.056	1.00240	1.5165
0.136	1.00690	2.3654
0.262	1.00233	2.8849
0.486	0.98672	2.5751
1.000	0.96002	1.5414
	308.15 K	
0.056	0.99680	1.1533
0.136	1.00030	1.7280
0.262	0.99472	2.1510
0.486	0.97810	2.0213
1.000	0.95356	1.2579
	318.15 K	
0.056	0.99267	0.9051
0.136	0.99327	1.2745
0.262	0.98657	1.5878
0.486	0.96960	1.6236
1.000	0.94715	1.0400

determined by a calibrated platinum resistance thermometer and Muller bridge. The efflux time for water at 298.15 K was about 540 s. The kinematic viscosity ( $\nu$ ) and the absolute viscosity ( $\eta$ ) are given by the following equations:

$$\nu = Ct - K/t \tag{1}$$

$$\eta = \nu \rho \tag{2}$$

where *t* is the efflux time,  $\rho$  is the density, and *C* and *K* are the characteristic constants of the viscometer. The values of the constants *C* and *K* determined by using the density and viscosity values of water and benzene (Saha et al., 1995) were found to be  $1.648 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-2</sup> and -0.023 316 47 cm<sup>2</sup>, respectively. The estimated error of the viscosity measurements was  $\pm 0.2\%$ .

In all cases the experiments were performed at least in five replicates for each composition and at each temperature, and the results were averaged.

# Results

The relative viscosity ( $\eta_r$ ) and density ( $\rho$ ) data for the electrolyte solutions as functions of molar concentration (*c*) in different solvent mixtures at (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15)

Table 2.	Concentration (c), Density (p), and Relative	Viscosity ( $\eta_r$ ) of Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in
2-Methox	yethanol (1) + Water (2) Mixtures at (298.15,	, 308.15, and 318.15) K

∕mol∙dm <sup>-3</sup>	$ ho/{ m g}{\cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta_{ m r}$	∕/mol∙dm <sup>-3</sup>	$ ho/{ m g}{\cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta_{ m r}$
0.070		Et <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	at 298.15 K		TEL CO
$x_1 = 0.056$	$\Lambda_0^a = 78.2$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{\rm D}=3.49$	$x_1 = 0.136$	$\Lambda_0^a = 62.92$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{\rm D} = 4.80$
0.011 01	1.003 32	1.0010	0.010 10	1.007 41	1.0019
0.020 12	1.004 08	1.0014	0.020 01	1.007 92	1.0033
0.025 11	1.004 49	1.0016	0.025 61	1.008.20	1.0042
0.020 00	1 004 00	1 0019	0.022 50	1 008 55	1 0051
0.030 00	1.004 90	1.0018	0.032 30	1.008 33	1.0031
0.041 01	1.005 82	1.0022	0.041 06	1.008 99	1.0064
0.052 51	1.006 78	1.0026	0.050 85	1.009 49	1.0077
$x_1 = 0.262$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 57.95$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{b} = 9.00$	$x_1 = 0.486$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 32.46$	$K_{\rm A}{}^b = 31.92$
0.010 92	1.002.62	1.0044	0.009 78	0.987 07	1.0060
0.020.08	1 002 86	1 0078	0.019.67	0.087.42	1 0112
0.020 00	1.002.00	1.0078	0.015 07	0.007 42	1.0112
0.024 96	1.002 99	1.0097	0.025 01	0.987 61	1.0139
0.030 41	1.003 13	1.0117	0.030 65	0.987 81	1.0168
0.041 02	1.003 41	1.0156	0.040 08	0.988 15	1.0215
0.051 20	1.003 68	1.0193	0.050 33	0.988 52	1.0266
		Pr <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	at 298.15 K		
$x_1 = 0.056$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 67.87$	$K_{\Delta}{}^{b} = 3.93$	$x_1 = 0.136$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 52.58$	$K_{\Delta}{}^{b} = 5.11$
0 010 12	1 003 62	1 0011	0 010 01	1 007 40	1 0022
0.020.00	1 004 00	1.0011	0.010.01	1 007 01	1 0000
0.020 00	1.004 80	1.0016	0.020 02	1.007 91	1.0039
0.025 00	1.005 40	1.0019	0.025 45	1.008 18	1.0048
0.030 00	1.006 00	1.0021	0.030 11	1.008 41	1.0056
0.040 99	1.007 32	1.0026	0.041 26	1.008 97	1.0074
0.055 01	1.009 01	1.0031	0.050 44	1.009 43	1.0089
$v_{\rm c} = 0.262$	$A_{a}a = 51.62$	K, b = 0.74	$v_{1} = 0.496$	$\Lambda_{a}a - 99.94$	K, b = 20.10
1 - 0.202	1 009 69	$n_{\rm A} = 3.74$	$A_1 = 0.400$	0.007.004	$n_{\rm A} = 30.10$ 1 0079
0.011.00	1.002.62	1.0049	0.009 84	0.987 38	1.00/3
0.021 20	1.002 89	1.0091	0.020 08	0.988 07	1.0132
0.025 14	1.002 99	1.0109	0.025 04	0.988 40	1.0159
0.030 62	1.003 14	1.0132	0.030 13	0.988 74	1.0185
0.040.10	1 003 39	1 0172	0.041.12	0 989 48	1 0240
0.040 10	1.003.00	1.0172	0.050.90	0.000 40	1.0240
0.031 04	1.003.08	1.0217	0.000 20	0.330 03	1.0204
		Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	at 298.15 K		
$x_1 = 0.056$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 66.67$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle D} = 5.22$	$x_1 = 0.136$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 51.82$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{\scriptscriptstyle D} = 9.58$
0.009 63	1.003 77	1.0017	0.010 45	1.007 79	1.0025
0.020 19	1.005.27	1.0025	0.020 11	1.008.61	1.0044
0.020 10	1 005 88	1.0020	0.027.01	1 000 20	1 0056
0.024 40	1.003.88	1.0028	0.027 01	1.009 20	1.0030
0.031 26	1.006 84	1.0033	0.032 70	1.009 68	1.0067
0.041 01	1.008 23	1.0039	0.041 18	1.010 40	1.0082
0.052 14	1.009 81	1.0045	0.050 60	1.011 20	1.0099
$x_1 = 0.262$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 50.61$	$K_{\rm A}{}^b = 23.66$	$x_1 = 0.486$	$\Lambda_0{}^a = 28.14$	$K_{\rm A}{}^{b} = 83.71$
0.010 20	1.002 67	1.0052	0.009 89	0.987 19	1.0080
0 020 03	1 003 01	1 0099	0.020.16	0 987 67	1 0146
0.020 03	1.003.01	1.0099	0.025 04	0.007.00	1.0140
0.025 33	1.003 19	1.0123	0.025 04	0.987 90	1.0176
0.030 21	1.003 35	1.0145	0.030 01	0.988 14	1.0206
0.040 54	1.003 70	1.0193	0.041 00	0.988 66	1.0269
0.050 96	1.004 05	1.0240	0.050 06	0.989 09	1.0320
		Et <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	at 308.15 K		
	$x_1 = 0.056$	114110104		$x_1 = 0.136$	
0.010 95	0.997 62	1.0011	0.010 03	1.000 69	1.0023
0.020.00	0.000.00	1 0017	0.010.97	1 001 00	1 0049
0.020 00		1.0017	0.013 07	1.001.00	1.0046
0.024 96	0.998 66	1.0019	0.025 43	1.001 28	1.0053
0.029 82	0.999 08	1.0022	0.032 27	1.001 54	1.0066
0.040 77	0.999 84	1.0027	0.040 77	1.001 87	1.0082
0.052 19	1.000 71	1.0033	0.050 48	1.002 24	1.0100
	$x_1 = 0.262$			$x_1 = 0.486$	
0 010 84	0 995 51	1 0056	0 009 70	0 978 85	1 0069
0.010.0*	0.000 17	1 0100	0.00370	0.070.00	1 0100
0.019 93	0.330 17	1.0100	0.019 51	0.979 61	1.0120
0.024 80	0.996 52	1.0124	0.024 82	0.980 02	1.0156
0.030 22	0.996 72	1.0150	0.030 42	0.980 45	1.0186
0.040 79	0.997 69	1.0201	0.039 80	0.981 17	1.0235
0.050 93	0.998 42	1.0249	0.050 00	0.981 96	1.0286
		D. NCIO	of 208 15 K	5.001 00	1.0200
		Pr <sub>4</sub> NCIO <sub>4</sub>	at 308.13 K		
	$x_1 = 0.056$			$x_1 = 0.136$	
0.010 12	1.003 62	1.0011	0.009 94	1.000 62	1.0027
0.020 00	1.004 80	1.0016	0.019 88	1.000 95	1.0051
0.025 00	1 005 40	1 0019	0 025 27	1 001 12	1 0063
0 030 00	1 006 00	1 0091	0.020 27	1 001 97	1 0072
0.030 00	1.000 00	1.0021	0.029 90	1.001 27	1.00/3
0.040 99	1.007 32	1.0026	0.040 96	1.001 64	1.0098
0 055 01	1 009 01	1 0031	0.050.07	1 001 93	1 0117

Table	2	(Continued)

$ ho/g\cdot cm^{-3}$	$\eta_{ m r}$	c/mol⋅dm <sup>-3</sup>	$ ho/{ m g}{\cdot}{ m cm}^{-3}$	$\eta_{ m r}$
	Pr <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub> a	it 308.15 K	-	
$x_1 = 0.262$			$x_1 = 0.486$	
0 995 16	1 0064	0 009 75	0 978 85	1 0088
0.995.57	1 0121	0.019.91	0.979.64	1.0000
0.005 72	1.0121	0.010.01	0.020.02	1.0102
0.995 75	1.0145	0.024 03	0.980 02	1.0190
0.995 95	1.0176	0.029 88	0.980 41	1.0230
0.996 33	1.0226	0.040 78	0.981 25	1.0300
0.996 77	1.0286	0.049 79	0.981 95	1.0355
	Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub> a	at 308.15 K		
$x_1 = 0.056$			$x_1 = 0.136$	
1.003 77	1.0017	0.010 38	1.001 27	1.0029
1.005 27	1.0025	0.019 98	1.002 17	1.0054
1.005 88	1.0028	0.026 84	1.002 82	1.0071
1.006 84	1.0033	0.032 49	1.003 35	1.0086
1.008 23	1.0039	0.040 92	1.004 14	1.0095
1.009 81	1.0045	0.050 29	1.005 01	1.0131
$x_1 = 0.262$			$x_1 = 0.486$	
0 995 32	1 0070	0.009.81	0 978 98	1 0102
0.005.01	1.0070	0.000 01	0.070 90	1.0102
0.995 91	1.0134	0.020 00	0.979 89	1.0190
0.996 22	1.0169	0.024 85	0.980 32	1.0230
0.996 51	1.0200	0.029 79	0.980 76	1.0271
0.997 12	1.0268	0.040 71	0.981 74	1.0357
0.997 74	1.0334	0.049 73	0.982 54	1.0425
	Et <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub> a	t 318.15 K		
$x_1 = 0.056$			$x_1 = 0.136$	
0.993 38	1.0013	0.009 96	0.993 60	1.0027
0.993 97	1.0019	0.019 73	0.993 92	1.0051
0 994 29	1 0022	0 025 25	0 994 10	1 0064
0.994.61	1 0026	0.032.04	0.994.32	1.0080
0.005.22	1.0020	0.032 04	0.004.60	1.0000
0.995 52	1.0035	0.040 47	0.994 00	1.0099
0.996 06	1.0039	0.050 12	0.994 91	1.0122
$x_1 = 0.262$			$x_1 = 0.486$	
0.987 90	1.0067	0.009 62	0.970 68	1.0078
0.989 02	1.0121	0.019 36	0.971 78	1.0142
0.989 61	1.0149	0.024 62	0.972 37	1.0174
0.990 28	1.0181	0.030 19	0.973 00	1.0207
0.991 58	1.0243	0.039 51	0.974 04	1.0260
0.992 82	1.0303	0.049 65	0.975 18	1.0315
	Pr <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub> a	t 318 15 K		
$x_1 = 0.056$	114110104	1010.10 IX	$x_1 = 0.136$	
0.993 72	1.0014	0.009 87	0.993 46	1.0034
0 994 74	1 0022	0.019.74	0 993 65	1 0062
0.005.26	1.0026	0.025.00	0.003 76	1.0002
0.995 20	1.0020	0.023 09	0.993 70	1.0077
0.995 78	1.0029	0.029 67	0.993 84	1.0090
0.996 92	1.0037	0.040 65	0.994 06	1.0121
0.998 37	1.0047	0.049 68	0.994 23	1.0146
$x_1 = 0.262$			$x_1 = 0.486$	
0.987 22	1.0079	0.009 67	0.970 42	1.0103
0.987 82	1.0149	0.019 74	0.971 27	1.0194
0.988 06	1.0176	0.024 62	0.971 69	1.0235
0.988 38	1.0213	0.029 62	0.972 11	1.0278
0 988 94	1 0277	0 040 44	0 973 03	1 0367
0.989 59	1.0352	0.049 37	0.973 79	1.0438
	Pu NCIO	4 910 15 V		110 100
$x_1 = 0.056$	Bu4INCIO4 8	a 510.15 K	$x_1 = 0.136$	
0.993 96	1.0020	0.010 31	0.994 35	1.0037
0 995 37	1 0033	0.019.85	0 995 35	1 0069
0.905.97	1 0027	0.010.00	0.000.00	1 0000
0.000 05	1.0037	0.020.00	0.000 00	1.0000
0.990 85	1.0044	0.032 23	0.990 00	1.0112
0.998 15	1.0054	0.040 66	0.997 53	1.0140
0.000.00		0.049 96	0.998 51	1.0172
0.999 63	1.0064			
$\begin{array}{c} 0.999\ 63\\ x_1 = 0.262\\ 0.007\ 62\end{array}$	1.0064	0.000 50	$x_1 = 0.486$	1.0100
$0.999 \ 63$ $x_1 = 0.262$ $0.987 \ 83$	1.0088	0.009 73	$x_1 = 0.486$ 0.971 19	1.0123
$0.999 63 \\ x_1 = 0.262 \\ 0.987 83 \\ 0.989 04$	1.0064 1.0088 1.0170	0.009 73 0.019 86	$x_1 = 0.486 \\ 0.971 \ 19 \\ 0.972 \ 84$	1.0123 1.0234
$\begin{array}{c} 0.999\ 63\\ x_1=0.262\\ 0.987\ 83\\ 0.989\ 04\\ 0.989\ 70 \end{array}$	1.0084 1.0088 1.0170 1.0214	0.009 73 0.019 86 0.024 68	$x_1 = 0.486$ 0.971 19 0.972 84 0.973 63	1.0123 1.0234 1.0282
$\begin{array}{c} 0.999\ 63\\ x_1=0.262\\ 0.987\ 83\\ 0.989\ 04\\ 0.989\ 70\\ 0.990\ 30 \end{array}$	1.0064 1.0088 1.0170 1.0214 1.0256	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009 \ 73 \\ 0.019 \ 86 \\ 0.024 \ 68 \\ 0.029 \ 59 \end{array}$	$x_1 = 0.486$ 0.971 19 0.972 84 0.973 63 0.974 43	1.0123 1.0234 1.0282 1.0331
$\begin{array}{c} 0.999\ 63\\ x_1=0.262\\ 0.987\ 83\\ 0.989\ 04\\ 0.989\ 70\\ 0.990\ 30\\ 0.991\ 69\end{array}$	1.0064 $1.0088$ $1.0170$ $1.0214$ $1.0256$ $1.0342$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.009 \ 73 \\ 0.019 \ 86 \\ 0.024 \ 68 \\ 0.029 \ 59 \\ 0.040 \ 48 \end{array}$	$x_1 = 0.486$ 0.971 19 0.972 84 0.973 63 0.974 43 0.976 21	$\begin{array}{c} 1.0123 \\ 1.0234 \\ 1.0282 \\ 1.0331 \\ 1.0440 \end{array}$
	$\rho_1 great red           x_1 = 0.262           0.995 16           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.995 73           0.996 33           0.996 77           x_1 = 0.056           1.003 77           1.005 27           1.005 27           1.005 27           1.005 27           1.005 23           0.995 31           0.995 32           0.995 91           0.996 51           0.997 12           0.997 74           x_1 = 0.056           0.993 38           0.993 97           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.994 29           0.995 32           0.997 12           0.997 20           0.989 02           0.987 90           0.989 158     $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

<sup>*a*</sup> Units:  $\Lambda_0/S \cdot cm^2 \cdot mol^{-1}$ . <sup>*b*</sup> Units:  $K_A/dm^{-3} \cdot mol^{-1}$ .

Table 3. Theoretical A/dm  $^{3/2}$  mol  $^{-1/2}$  Coefficients of Electrolytes in 2-Methoxyethanol (1) + Water (2) at 298.15 K

salt	$x_1 = 0.056$	$x_1 = 0.136$	$x_1 = 0.262$	$x_1 = 0.486$	$x_1 = 1.000$
Et <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	0.0064	0.0054	0.0055	0.0143	0.0198
Pr <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	0.0082	0.0068	0.0063	0.0170	0.0224
Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	0.0085	0.0070	0.0064	0.0177	0.0238

K are given in Table 2. The  $\Lambda_0$  and  $K_A$  values (Das and Hazra, 1996) for the electrolytes at 298.15 K have also been included in this table. At 0.056, 0.136, and 0.262 mole fractions of ME in ME + water mixtures, Et<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> and Pr<sub>4</sub>NClO<sub>4</sub> are almost in an unassociated state whereas Bu<sub>4</sub>-NClO<sub>4</sub> remains unassociated at 0.056 and 0.136 mole fractions of ME in the mixture. At the higher percentages, however, all these salts are found to be moderately associated. The viscosity data in cases where the electrolytes were found to be in an unassociated state were analyzed by the Jones–Dole equation as described earlier by us (Muhuri and Hazra, 1993)

$$\eta_{\rm r} = 1 + Ac^{1/2} + Bc \tag{3}$$

whereas for the electrolytes in an associated state the data were analyzed (Crudden et al., 1986; Das and Hazra, 1992) with the help of eq 4.

$$[\eta_{\rm r} - 1 - A(\alpha c)^{1/2}]/\alpha c = B + B'[(1 - \alpha)/\alpha]$$
(4)

Here, *A*, *B*, and *B* are the characteristic constants and  $\alpha$  is the degree of dissociation of the ion pair.

For the evaluation of *B* coefficients from eq 3, the plots of  $(\eta_{
m r}-1)/c^{1/2}$  versus  $c^{1/2}$  were constructed and were found to be linear in all cases over the whole concentration range of the electrolytes studied. The B coefficients for the electrolytes were evaluated from these plots by the leastsquares treatment. For the evaluation of *B* coefficients from eq 4, the method suggested earlier by us (Das and Hazra, 1992) was followed. The values of  $\alpha$  were calculated from the conductance data (Das and Hazra, 1996) using the equation as described in the literature (Crudden et al., 1986). The A values were calculated theoretically from the physical parameters of the solvent and the limiting ionic equivalent conductances (Das and Hazra, 1996) using Falkenhagen and Vernon equation and are given in Table 3. These *A* values have been used for the analysis of the data. In view of the weak temperature dependence of the A coefficients, the A values at 298.15 K were utilized at the other two temperatures, viz., at 308.15 and 318.15 K.

### Discussion

The viscosity *B* coefficients for the tetraalkylammonium perchlorates in mixed solvents (Table 4) are all found to be positive. The *B* values increase as the alkyl chain length increases. For all electrolytes, the *B* values increase up to 0.486 mole fraction of 2-methoxyethanol in the mixture followed by a decrease in pure 2-methoxyethanol. The results must be due to increase in hydrophobic interactions and consequent structural changes that occur in solution. At 0.056 mole fraction of 2-methoxyethanol, the *B* coefficients are very small. In such cases, it might be that the viscosity of the solvent is very little modified by the presence of these solutes in solution. According to Gurney (Gurney, 1962), this can happen when the cosphere of the cation causes a local increase in viscosity while that of the

Table 4. Viscosity B Coefficients (dm<sup>3</sup>·mol<sup>-1</sup>) of Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in 2-Methoxyethanol (1) + Water (2) at T = (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15) K

salt	<i>T</i> /K	$_{X_1} = 0.056$	$x_1 = 0.136$	$x_1 = 0.262$	$_{X_1} = 0.486$	$x_1 = 1.000$
Et <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	298.15	0.015	0.124	0.356	0.480	0.191
		$(\pm 0.001)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.001)$	$(\pm 0.003)$
	308.15	0.030	0.173	0.466	0.615	0.360
		$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.001)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	(±0.005)
	318.15	0.040	0.219	0.576	0.739	0.523
		$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.001)$	(±0.005)
Pr <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	298.15	0.018	0.142	0.416	0.643	0.286
		$(\pm 0.002)$	(±0.001)	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.003)$
	308.15	0.036	0.203	0.548	0.812	0.541
		$(\pm 0.001)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.004)$
	318.15	0.046	0.254	0.675	0.966	0.785
		$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.004)$
Bu <sub>4</sub> NClO <sub>4</sub>	298.15	0.020	0.158	0.455	0.735	0.383
		$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.004)$
	308.15	0.039	0.243	0.628	0.980	0.731
		$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.004)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.005)$	$(\pm 0.005)$
	318.15	0.059	0.331	0.807	1.217	1.070
		$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.002)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.003)$	$(\pm 0.004)$

anion causes a nearly equal decrease in the viscosity or vice versa. Furthermore, the dB/dT values are positive, indicating the structure-breaking properties of these solutes in ME + water mixtures.

The reason for the observed change in the viscosity and other properties may be attributed to structural changes and changed ion-solvent interactions in ME + water mixtures. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding gives water a distinct geometrical structure in the form of threedimensional arrays. The addition of ME to water first strengthens the three-dimensional structure of water. Further addition of ME causes depolymerization of water structure, but intermolecular interactions between ME and water lead to the formation of ME-water complexes with a bigger hydrodynamic entity. Viscosity thus increases up to 0.262 mole fraction of ME after which the ME-water structure breaks down, leading to a decrease in viscosity in this region.

Tetraalkylammonium ions are large and tend to enhance the "cagelike" structure of water owing to hydrophobic interactions. The disruption of the ME-water structure by tetraalkylammonium ions may lead to the decrease in structure, but ultimate formation of a strong MEtetraalkylammonium ion complex with a large solvodynamic entity increases the viscosity.

### **Literature Cited**

- Crudden, J.; Delaney, G. M.; Feakins, D.; O'Reilley, P. J.; Waghorne, W. E.; Lawrence, K. G. The Viscosity and Structure of Solutions. Part 2. Measurements of B Coefficient of Viscosity of Alkali Metal Chlorides in Propan-1-ol-Water Mixtures at 25 and 35 °C. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1986, 82, 2195–2206.
- Das, B.; Hazra, D. K. Studies on the Viscosities, Conductances and Adiabatic Compressibilities of Some Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in 2-Methoxyethanol. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1992**, *65*, 3470– 3476.
- Das, B.; Hazra, D. K. Viscosities and Excess Molar Volumes for 2-Methoxyethanol + Water at Different Temperatures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1993, 38, 361–363.
- Das, B.; Hazra, D. K. Apparent and Partial Molar Volumes of Some Symmetrical Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in 2-Methoxyethanol at 25, 35, and 45 °C. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1995, 68, 734–737.
- Das, B.; Hazra, D. K. Ionic Association and Conductances of Some Symmetrical Tetraalkylammonium Perchlorates in 2-Methoxyethanol + Water at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 1470–1472.
- Gurney, R. W. Ionic Processes in Solution; Dover Publications: New York, 1962.

- Muhuri, P. K.; Hazra, D. K. Viscosity B Coefficients of Some Tetraalkylammonium Bromides, Lithium Tetrafluoroborate and Tetrabutylammonium Tetraphenylborate in Propylene Carbonate. Z. Naturforsch. **1993**, 48a, 523–528.
- Saha, N.; Das, B.; Hazra, D. K. Viscosities and Excess Molar Volumes for Acetonitrile + Methanol at 298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1995**, 40, 1264–1266.

Received for review June 19, 1998. Accepted September 7, 1998. The authors are grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, for the award of Research Associateship to P.K.M.

JE980139D