# Vapor-Liquid Equilibria for the Binary Systems Isobutyl Alcohol + Toluene, + Isooctane, and + Methylcyclohexane at 101.3 kPa

## V. Martínez-Soria, M. Pilar Peña, and J. B. Montón\*

Departamento de Ingenieria Quimica, Facultad de Quimica, Universitat de Valencia, 46100 Burjassot, Valencia, Spain

The vapor—liquid equilibrium at 101.3 kPa and at temperatures from 364 K to 384 K has been determined for binary systems of isobutyl alcohol with toluene, isooctane, and methylcyclohexane, using a recirculating still. All the systems show a minimum boiling temperature azeotrope. The results are thermodynamically consistent according to the point-to-point consistency test. The experimental data were correlated with the help of the Margules, Van Laar, Wilson, NRTL, and UNIQUAC models.

## Introduction

The present work represents a continuation of a series of experimental studies on vapor—liquid equilibria for binary systems containing oxygenated additives of reformulated gasoline: methyl isobutyl ether (MTBE), *tert*-amyl methyl ether (TAME), and alcohols (methanol, ethanol, *tert*-butyl alcohol (TBA), and isobutyl alcohol (IBA)). These oxygenated compounds have elevated octane numbers and little pollutant effect (Chang, 1994). The purpose of this work is to obtain VLE data of the binary mixtures of isobutyl alcohol with three hydrocarbons (toluene, methylcyclohexane, and isooctane (2,2,4-trimethylpentane)) which are representative compounds of the aromatic, naftenic, and paraffinic hydrocarbon main fractions of the gasoline pool.

For the binary systems IBA + isooctane and IBA + methylcyclohexane, no experimental vapor-liquid equilibrium data have been published up to now. However, we have found in the literature some experimental vaporliquid equilibrium data for the binary system IBA + toluene. Most of them are isothermal data (Susarev et al., 1973; Lnenickova and Wichterle, 1977; Oracz, 1989), but we have found isobaric vapor-liquid equilibrium data too (Kireev, 1952). Recently, data for the binary system IBA + octane have been published (Hiaki et al., 1998). In this work, the results have been treated considering the nonideality of both liquid and vapor phases and the thermodynamic consistency of experimental data has been verified.

### **Experimental Section**

**Chemicals.** The chemicals isobutyl alcohol (2-methyl-1-propanol) (>99.5%), methylcyclohexane (>99%), toluene (>99.8%), and isooctane (2,2,4-trimethylpentane) (>99.7%) were supplied by Aldrich. The purity of all chemicals was checked by gas chromatography (GC), and the results of these analyses showed that the impurities did not exceed 0.2 mass %. The pure components were degassed ultrasonically. The liquids were used without further purification. The densities of the pure liquids were measured at 298.15 K using an Anton Paar DMA 55 densimeter. The refractive indexes of the pure liquids were measured at 298.15 K in an Abbe refractometer, Atago 3T. The temperature was controlled to  $\pm 0.01$  K with a thermostated

 $\ast$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: Juan.B. Monton@UV.es.

Table 1. Physical Properties of Chemicals: Densities ρ
and Refractive Indexes <i>n</i> at 298.15 K and Normal Boiling
Points T <sub>b</sub> of the Pure Compounds

	$ ho/kg\bullet m^{-3}$		п		$T_{\rm b}/{ m K}$	
compound	exptl	lit.	exptl	lit.	exptl	lit.
IBA	797.83	798.30 <sup>b</sup>	1.3937	$1.3937^{b}$	381.1	380.9 <sup>b</sup>
toluene	861.87	862.13 <sup>a</sup>	1.4940	1.4942 <sup>a</sup>	383.8	383.8 <sup>a</sup>
isooctane	687.67	687.80 <sup>a</sup>	1.3890	1.3890 <sup>a</sup>	372.5	$372.4^{a}$
methylcyclohexane	764.87	764.59 <sup>a</sup>	1.4204	1.4206 <sup>a</sup>	374.0	$374.1^{a}$

<sup>a</sup> TRC (1996a). <sup>b</sup> TRC (1996b).

Table 2. Experimental Vapor Pressure  $P_i^{\circ}$ , Antoine Coefficients *A*, *B*, and C, Standard Deviations ( $\sigma$ ) of IBA, and Antoine Coefficients of Toluene, Isooctane, and Methylcyclohexane

<i>T</i> /K	P⁰/kPa	<i>T</i> /K	P⁰/kPa	<i>T</i> /K	P⁰/kPa
341.9	19.39	360.7	45.43	375.2	81.29
345.2	22.67	361.9	47.79	376.2	84.45
346.8	24.48	363.0	49.95	377.4	88.23
347.4	25.18	364.4	53.03	378.5	91.89
350.8	29.43	366.0	56.60	379.5	95.37
352.5	31.80	367.1	59.14	380.2	97.76
354.6	34.88	368.6	62.83	381.1	101.33
355.7	36.69	370.2	66.85	382.2	105.20
356.0	37.22	371.2	69.50	383.9	111.95
358.3	40.98	372.6	73.53	385.7	119.37
359.4	43.09	373.9	77.34	387.3	126.28
		Α	В	С	$\sigma^{a}$
IBA		15.07065	3001.427	-93.9496	0.075
isooctane		13.80220	2999.098	-45.9600	
methylcy	methylcyclohexane 13.64381 2891.014		2891.014	-53.8124	
toluene		13.84529	2998.040	-58.7957	

<sup>*a*</sup>  $\sigma = [\Sigma(P^{\circ} - P_{calc}^{\circ})^2/(N - p)]^{1/2}$  where *N* is the number of points and *p* is the number of parameters.

bath. The accuracies in density and refractive index measurements were  $\pm 0.01$  kg m<sup>-3</sup> and  $\pm 0.0002$ , respectively. The experimental values of these properties and the boiling points of pure compounds are given in Table 1 along with the corresponding values found in the literature.

*Apparatus and Procedure.* The equilibrium vessel used in this work was an all-glass, dynamic recirculating still equipped with a Cottrell pump. This apparatus is a modified version of the Gillespie still described by Walas (1985). The still (Labodest model) manufactured by Fischer



**Figure 1.** Vapor pressures  $P^{\circ}$  of isobutyl alcohol as a function of temperature: ( $\bigcirc$ ) our data; ( $\square$ ) Brown et al., 1969; ( $\triangle$ ) Suska et al., 1970; (\*) Biddiscombe et al., 1963; ( $\bigtriangledown$ ) Ambrose and Sprake, 1970; (-) Antoine equation adjustment of our data.

Table 3. Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium Data, Liquid-Phase Mole Fraction  $x_1$ , Vapor-Phase Mole Fraction  $y_1$ , Temperature *T*, and Activity Coefficients  $\gamma_i$  for the System IBA (1) + Toluene (2) at 101.3 KPa

<i>T</i> /K	<i>X</i> 1	$y_1$	γ1	Y2
383.8	0	0		
383.0	0.0106	0.0363	3.222	0.995
380.3	0.0497	0.1414	2.942	0.995
378.6	0.0849	0.2090	2.700	0.998
376.9	0.1408	0.2822	2.335	1.012
375.8	0.2012	0.3328	2.004	1.044
374.9	0.2700	0.3766	1.746	1.096
374.6	0.3306	0.4128	1.581	1.136
374.3	0.3729	0.4356	1.495	1.176
374.2	0.4249	0.4585	1.385	1.234
374.1	0.4719	0.4796	1.309	1.295
374.2	0.5607	0.5225	1.196	1.424
374.3	0.6107	0.5492	1.150	1.513
374.5	0.6592	0.5780	1.113	1.609
375.0	0.7230	0.6203	1.069	1.756
375.5	0.7646	0.6481	1.037	1.888
376.1	0.8134	0.7010	1.032	1.989
377.0	0.8594	0.7548	1.018	2.111
378.1	0.9078	0.8213	1.008	2.276
379.0	0.9409	0.8719	1.000	2.481
380.0	0.9714	0.9363	1.004	2.477
381.1	1.000	1.000		

Labor und Verfahrenstechnik (Germany) is capable of handling pressures from 0.25 to 400 kPa and temperatures up to 523.15 K. The Cottrell pump ensures that both liquid and vapor phases are in intimate contact and also in contact with the sensor element. The equilibrium temperature was measured with a digital Fisher thermometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1$  K, and the pressure with a digital manometer with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.01$  kPa. The temperature probe was calibrated against the ice and steam points of distilled water. The manometer was calibrated using the vapor pressure data of high-purity hexane (Daubert and Danner, 1995).

Table 4. Vapor–Liquid Equilibrium Data, Liquid-Phase Mole Fraction  $x_1$ , Vapor-Phase Mole Fraction  $y_1$ , Temperature *T*, and Activity Coefficients  $\gamma_i$  for the System IBA (1) + Isooctane (2) at 101.3 kPa

.,			
<i>X</i> 1	$y_1$	γ1	$\gamma_2$
0	0		
0.0143	0.0562	5.714	1.000
0.0546	0.1576	4.702	1.009
0.1055	0.2254	3.730	1.031
0.1768	0.2732	2.793	1.078
0.1912	0.2806	2.674	1.092
0.2630	0.3038	2.129	1.170
0.3293	0.3238	1.819	1.252
0.3660	0.3346	1.685	1.300
0.4376	0.3534	1.482	1.420
0.5179	0.3780	1.318	1.576
0.5899	0.4025	1.213	1.760
0.6195	0.4167	1.177	1.832
0.6743	0.4416	1.119	2.015
0.7273	0.4696	1.069	2.236
0.7697	0.5022	1.044	2.425
0.8057	0.5339	1.020	2.619
0.8521	0.5880	0.999	2.914
0.8938	0.6596	0.992	3.180
0.9313	0.7505	0.998	3.402
0.9730	0.8750	0.986	3.974
1.000	1.000		
	$\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0.0143 \\ 0.0546 \\ 0.1055 \\ 0.1768 \\ 0.1912 \\ 0.2630 \\ 0.3293 \\ 0.3660 \\ 0.4376 \\ 0.5179 \\ 0.5899 \\ 0.6195 \\ 0.6743 \\ 0.7273 \\ 0.7697 \\ 0.8057 \\ 0.8057 \\ 0.8521 \\ 0.8938 \\ 0.9313 \\ 0.9730 \\ 1.000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Table 5. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data, Liquid-Phase Mole Fraction  $x_1$ , Vapor-Phase Mole Fraction  $y_1$ , Temperature *T*, and Activity Coefficients  $\gamma_i$  for the System IBA (1) + Methylcyclohexane (2) at 101.3 kPa

<i>X</i> 1	$y_1$	γ1	γ2
0	0		
0.0368	0.1350	5.428	0.994
0.0866	0.2172	4.081	1.017
0.1356	0.2583	3.233	1.050
0.1885	0.2872	2.636	1.090
0.2354	0.3024	2.249	1.142
0.2874	0.3190	1.950	1.200
0.3410	0.3356	1.736	1.270
0.3932	0.3508	1.567	1.344
0.4474	0.3677	1.432	1.429
0.5070	0.3869	1.319	1.544
0.5538	0.3993	1.232	1.658
0.6144	0.4294	1.171	1.797
0.6620	0.4540	1.123	1.929
0.7093	0.4840	1.083	2.073
0.7603	0.5234	1.047	2.252
0.8041	0.5668	1.029	2.430
0.8473	0.6140	0.999	2.667
0.8960	0.6920	0.985	2.952
0.8823	0.6715	0.989	2.820
0.9241	0.7629	1.001	3.001
0.9634	0.8615	0.991	3.403
0.9834	0.9338	0.994	3.441
1.000	1.000		
	$\begin{array}{r} x_1\\ 0\\ 0.0368\\ 0.0866\\ 0.1356\\ 0.1885\\ 0.2354\\ 0.2874\\ 0.3410\\ 0.3932\\ 0.4474\\ 0.5070\\ 0.5538\\ 0.6144\\ 0.6620\\ 0.7093\\ 0.7603\\ 0.8041\\ 0.8473\\ 0.8960\\ 0.8823\\ 0.9241\\ 0.9634\\ 0.9634\\ 1.000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} x_1 & y_1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ 0.0368 & 0.1350 \\ 0.0866 & 0.2172 \\ 0.1356 & 0.2583 \\ 0.1885 & 0.2872 \\ 0.2354 & 0.3024 \\ 0.2874 & 0.3190 \\ 0.3410 & 0.3356 \\ 0.3932 & 0.3508 \\ 0.4474 & 0.3677 \\ 0.5070 & 0.3869 \\ 0.5538 & 0.3993 \\ 0.6144 & 0.4294 \\ 0.6620 & 0.4540 \\ 0.7093 & 0.4840 \\ 0.7603 & 0.5234 \\ 0.8041 & 0.5668 \\ 0.8473 & 0.6140 \\ 0.8960 & 0.6920 \\ 0.8823 & 0.6715 \\ 0.9241 & 0.7629 \\ 0.9634 & 0.8615 \\ 0.9834 & 0.9338 \\ 1.000 & 1.000 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

In each experiment, the pressure was fixed and the heating and shaking system of the liquid mixture was turned on. The still was operating until equilibrium was reached. Equilibrium conditions were assumed when temperature and pressure remained constant for 30 min or longer. After this time, samples (1  $\mu$ L) of liquid and condensed vapor were repeatedly taken with special chromatographic syringes, until constant composition was observed, without disruption of the operation.

All the samples were analyzed using a Hewlett-Packard 5890 S-II gas chromatograph after calibration with gravimetrically prepared standard solutions. A flame ionization detector was used in conjunction with a 60 m, 0.2 mm i.d. fused silica capillary column, Supelcowax 10. The GC response peaks were integrated using a Hewlett-Packard 3396 integrator. The experimental error in these measurements was less than  $\pm 0.001$  mole fraction.

Table 6. Composition  $(y_{az})$  and Boiling Temperature  $(T_{az})$  of the Azeotropic Mixtures



**Figure 2.**  $T-x_1-y_1$  diagram at constant pressure, P = 101.3 kPa, of the system IBA (1) + toluene (2): ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\bigcirc$ ) our experimental data; ( $\square$ ,  $\blacksquare$ ) Kireev et al. data, 1952; (-,  $\cdots$ ) splined curves.



**Figure 3.**  $T-x_1-y_1$  diagram at constant pressure, P = 101.3 kPa, of the system IBA (1) + isooctane (2): ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\bigcirc$ ) experimental data; (-) splined curve.

### **Results and Discussion**

The vapor pressures  $P^{\circ}$  of pure isobutyl alcohol are presented in Table 2 and Figure 1. This figure shows that these values are in close agreement with those reported in the literature (Ambrose and Sprake, 1969; Biddiscombe et

**Figure 4.**  $T-x_1-y_1$  diagram at constant pressure, P = 101.3 kPa, of the system IBA (1) + methylcyclohexane (2): ( $\bigcirc$ ,  $\bigcirc$ ) experimental data; (-) splined curve.

 Table 7. Thermodynamic Consistency Test of Van

 Ness-Byer Gibbs

system	MAD(y)	MAD(P)/kPa
IBA + toluene	0.0047	0.18
IBA + isooctane	0.0028	0.16
IBA + methylcyclohexane	0.0062	0.16

al., 1963; Brown et al., 1970; Suska et al., 1970). Antoine constants for this component were obtained from the experimental values of vapor pressure obtained, and they are presented in Table 2. The constants were calculated by a nonlinear optimization method to minimize the mean relative deviation, MRD(P). Antoine constants for methylcyclohexane, toluene, and isooctane were obtained from our own vapor pressure measurements in a previous work (Martínez-Soria et al., 1999); the values are shown in Table 2.

VLE data (*T*, *x*<sub>1</sub>, and *y*<sub>1</sub>) along with calculated activity coefficients at 101.3 kPa are presented in Tables 3–5, and  $T-x_1-y_1$  diagrams are shown in Figures 2–4. In Figure 2 we plotted our experimental data along with Kireev et al. (1952) data. All the systems show a minimum boiling temperature azeotrope. The compositions and boiling temperatures of the azeotropes are summarized in Table 6 together with the corresponding literature values. In all cases, the azeotropic composition was obtained from the best polynomial fit of the function  $(x_1 - y_1) = f(x_1)$ . Azeotropic temperatures were obtained from the best polynomial fit for  $T = f(x_1)$ , using the  $x_1$  values previously determined.

The activity coefficients  $\gamma_i$  were calculated, taking into account the nonideality of the vapor phase, from

Table 8. Correlation Parameters for Activity Coefficients, Activity Coefficients at Infinite Dilution  $\gamma_i^{\beta}$ , and Mean Absolute Deviations MAD(y) and MAD(T)

model	$A_{12}$	$A_{21}$	$\alpha_{12}$	$\gamma_1^{\infty}$	$\gamma_2^{\infty}$	MAD(y)	MAD(7)/K	
IBA + Toluene								
Margules	1.216 <sup>a</sup>	$0.986^{a}$		3.375	2.681	0.0031	0.28	
Van Laar	$1.220^{a}$	1.002 <sup>a</sup>		3.388	2.724	0.0028	0.28	
Wilson	$3145^{b}$	1059 <sup>b</sup>		3.516	2.899	0.0027	0.34	
NRTL	1519 <sup>b</sup>	$2710^{b}$	0.508 <sup>a</sup>	3.501	2.883	0.0027	0.34	
UNIQUAC	$85.16^{b}$	$942.7^{b}$		3.233	2.844	0.0033	0.27	
	IBA + Isooctane							
Margules	1.792 <sup>a</sup>	$1.272^{a}$		6.004	3.569	0.0088	0.29	
Van Laar	1.806 <sup>a</sup>	$1.271^{a}$		6.090	3.565	0.0079	0.15	
Wilson	$5875^{b}$	$401.4^{b}$		6.172	4.231	0.0077	0.19	
NRTL	1829 <sup>b</sup>	4383 <sup>b</sup>	0.508 <sup>a</sup>	6.418	3.817	0.0075	0.16	
UNIQUAC	$-1135^{b}$	$3269^{b}$		5.740	3.602	0.0091	0.19	
IBA + Methylcvclohexane								
Margules	1.857 <sup>a</sup>	$1.242^{a}$	5 5	6.405	3.373	0.0115	0.19	
Van Laar	1.946 <sup>a</sup>	$1.253^{a}$		6.994	3.514	0.0110	0.20	
Wilson	6902 <sup>b</sup>	$490.7^{b}$		9.776	3.796	0.0058	0.18	
NRTL	2326 <sup>b</sup>	5966 <sup>b</sup>	0.508 <sup>a</sup>	10.997	3.939	0.0092	0.17	
UNIQUAC	$-987.4^{b}$	$3112^{b}$		7.562	3.541	0.0087	0.12	

<sup>a</sup> Dimensionless. <sup>b</sup> J mol<sup>-1</sup>.

$$\gamma_{i} = \frac{y_{i}P}{x_{i}P_{i}^{\circ}} \exp\left[\frac{(B_{ii} - V_{i}^{\circ})(P - P_{i}^{\circ}) + (1 - y_{i})^{2}P\delta_{ij}}{RT}\right]$$
(1)

where  $\delta_{ij} = 2B_{ij} - B_{ii} - B_{jj}$ ,  $x_i$  is the mole fraction of component *i* in the liquid phase,  $y_i$  is the mole fraction of component *i* in the vapor phase, *P* is the system pressure,  $P_i^{\circ}$  is the vapor pressure of the pure component *i* calculated with the Antoine equation,  $B_{ij}$  is the second virial coefficient of the pure gas,  $B_{ij}$  is the cross second virial coefficient, and  $V_i^{\circ}$  is the molar volume of the saturated liquid. The molar virial coefficients  $B_{ii}$  and  $B_{ij}$  were estimated by means of the Pitzer and Curl equations (1957) with the correction proposed by Tsonopoulos (1974). The critical properties of both components and the molar volumes of the saturated liquids were taken from DIPPR (Daubert and Danner, 1995).

The thermodynamic consistency of the results was tested by the point-to-point method of Van Ness et al. (1973), modified by Fredenslund et al. (1977). A four-parameter Legendre polynomial was used for the excess Gibbs energy. According to Fredenslund et al. (1977), the *P*, *T*, *x*, and *y* data are consistent if the mean absolute deviation between calculated and measured mole fractions of component 1 in the vapor phase  $\delta(y)$  is less than 0.01. According to this method, the results obtained were consistent, as can be seen in Table 7.

In Figure 2, it can be seen that there are appreciable deviations between our experimental data and the Kireev et al. data for mole fractions of TBA greater than 0.45. These deviations may be due to experimental errors in the Kireev et al. data, since these data are not thermodynamically consistent according to the test of Van Ness. The value of  $\delta(y)$  obtained for these data is greater than 0.01, and the errors calculated for most points corresponding to values of  $x_1 > 0.45$  are especially high.

The activity coefficients were correlated with the Margules, Van Laar, Wilson, NRTL, and UNIQUAC equations (Gmehling and Onke (1977)). For the purpose of fitting the binary parameters, a nonlinear optimization method was used to minimize the following objective function:

$$F = \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \left[ (y_{\exp} - y_{calc})^2 + \left( \frac{T_{\exp} - T_{calc}}{T_{\exp}} \right)^2 \right]_i$$
(2)

where *N* is the number of experimental data. The adjustable parameters  $A_{12}$ ,  $A_{21}$ , and  $\alpha_{12}$  obtained for the different correlation equations, the mean absolute deviation, and the activity coefficients at infinite dilution  $\gamma_i^{\infty}$  are given in Table 8.

## Acknowledgment

This work was financed by CICYT, Spain (Project No. PB96-0788).

#### **Literature Cited**

- Ambrose, D.; Sprake, C. H. S. Thermodynamic Properties of Organic Oxygen Compounds. XXV. Vapor Pressures and Normal Boiling Temperatures of Aliphatic Alcohols. J. Chem. Thermodyn. 1970, 2 (5), 631–645.
- Biddiscombe, D. P.; Collerson, R. R.; Handley, R.; Herington, E. F. G.; Martin, J. F.; Sprake, C. H. S. Thermodynamic Properties of Organic Oxygen Compounds. VIII. Purification and Vapor Pressures of the Propyl and Butyl Alcohols. *J. Chem. Soc.* **1963**, 1954–1957.
  Brown, I.; Fock, W.; Smith, F. Thermodynamic Properties of Solutions
- Brown, I.; Fock, W.; Smith, F. Thermodynamic Properties of Solutions of Normal and Branched Alcohols in Benzene and *n*-Hexane. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **1969**, *1* (3), 273–291.
- Chang, E. J. Which Oxygenate Is Right for You? Prepr. Pap.-Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Fuel Chem. 1994, 39, 330-336.
- Daubert, T. E., Danner, R. P., Eds. Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of Pure Compounds: Data Compilation; Taylor & Francis: Washington, DC, 1995.
- Fredenslund, A.; Gmehling, J.; Rasmussen, P. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria Using UNIFAC; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1977; pp 68–73.
- Gmehling, J., Onke, U. A., Eds. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium Data Collection; Chemistry Data Series; DECHEMA: Frankfurt/Maine, 1977.
- Gmehling, J., Menke, J., Krafczyk, J., Fischer, K., Eds. Azeotropic Data Part I; VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1994.
- Hiaki, T.; Tatsuhana, K.; Tsuji, T.; Hongo, M. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of 2-Methyl-1-propanol with Octane. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1998, 43, 187–190.
- Kireev, V. A.; Sheinker, Yu. N.; Peresleni, E. M. Liquid–Vapor Phase Equilibrium in Some Binary Systems. *Zh. Fiz. Khim.* **1952**, *26*, 352– 357.
- Lnenickova, J.; Wichterle, I. Liquid–Vapor Equilibrium. LXXIV. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium in Toluene-Aliphatic C<sub>4</sub> Alcohol Systems. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* **1977**, *42*, 1907–1913.
- Martínez-Soria, V.; Peña, M. P.; Montón, J. B. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria for the Binary Systems *tert*-Butyl Alcohol + Toluene, + Isooctane, and + Methylcyclohexane at 101.3 kPa *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1999**, *44*, 148–151.
- Oracz, P. VLE and Excess Gibbs Energy. Int. DATA Ser., Sel. Data Mixtures, Ser. A 1989, 17, 232–240.
- Pitzer, K. S.; Curl, R. F. The Volumetric and Thermodynamic Properties of Fluids. III. Empirical Equation for the Second Virial Coefficient. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 2369–2370.
- *TRC Thermodynamic Tables Hydrocarbons*; Thermodynamic Research Center, The Texas A&M University System: College Station, TX, 1996a.

TRC Thermodynamic Tables Non-Hydrocarbons; Thermodynamic Research Center, The Texas A&M University System: College Station, TX, 1996b.

- Station, TX, 1996b.
  Susarev, M. P.; Toikka, A. M. Liquid Vapor Equilibrium in the Toluene-Dioxane-Isobutyl Alcohol System at 80 and 100°. *Zh. Prikl. Khim. (Leningrad)* 1973, 46, 2461–2464.
  Suska, J.; Holub, R.; Vonka, P.; Pick, J. Liquid Vapor Equilibriums. XLII. Systems: Ethyl Alcohol-Water-*Tert*-butyl Alcohol and Ethyl Alcohol-Water-Isobutyl Alcohol. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 1970, 35, 385–395.
- 1970, 35, 385–395.
   Tsonopoulos, C. An empirical Correlation of the Second Virial Coefficients. *AICHE J.* 1974, 20, 263–272.
- Van Ness, H. C.; Byer, S. M.; Gibbs, R. E. Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium: Part i. An Appraisal of Data Reduction Methods. AICHE J. 1973, 19, 238–244.
- Walas, S. M. Phase Equilibria in Chemical Engineering, Butterworth: London, 1985; pp 534-538.

Received for review October 5, 1998. Accepted March 1, 1999. This work was financed by CICYT, Spain (Project No. PB96-0788).

JE980237Z