Speed of Sound in Aqueous and Methanolic Lithium Nitrate Solutions

Nashiour Rohman and Sekh Mahiuddin*

Material Science Division, Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat-785006, Assam, India

Narendra N. Dass

Department of Chemistry, Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh-786004, Assam, India

Speeds of sound in aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions were measured as functions of concentration ($0.0181 \le m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1}) \le 21.818$) and temperature ($273.15 \le T/K \le 323.15$) at 2 MHz. The isentropic compressibility isotherms for aqueous lithium nitrate solutions converge at 3.75 mol $\cdot kg^{-1}$, and at this concentration the primary hydration shell of the solute has become just saturated. It has been estimated that there are 15 molecules of water in the primary hydration shell of lithium nitrate, and the calculated primary hydration number of the nitrate ion was found to be 9. For methanolic lithium nitrate solutions, the isentropic compressibility isotherms vary smoothly within the concentration and temperature ranges of study.

Introduction

Aqueous and nonaqueous electrolyte solutions have been of immense importance to the technologist and theoretician since most chemical processes occur in these systems. The existence of free ions, solvated ions, and ion pair depends on the concentration range. The structure of the solvent around ions has been studied by different techniques (Hinton and Amis, 1971; Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993).

The primary solvation number of Li⁺ ion in aqueous medium has been reported and lies in the range of 3-9(Hinton and Amis, 1971; Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993; Rudolph et al., 1995). Several techniques, notably X-ray diffraction (Okada et al., 1983; Yamanaka et al., 1993; Yamaguchi et al., 1995), neutron diffraction (Kameda and Uemura, 1993; Yamagami et al., 1994; Yamaguchi et al., 1995), Raman spectroscopy (Kameda et al., 1994), and simulation (Tamura et al., 1988; Pye et al., 1996) have been used to study the hydration structure of Li⁺ ion in lithium halide solutions in the concentration ranges that are far away from the concentration at which the primary solvation shell is just saturated. On the other hand, the most frequently appearing hydration number of Li⁺ ion has been reported as 4 in an aqueous system with a water to lithium halide ratio of 4, whereas, for the water to salt ratio > 4, the hydration number is 6 (Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993). It appears that the concentration dependence of the hydration number of Li⁺ ion is not well understood.

Among the many approaches, speed of sound (Endo and Nomoto, 1981) seems to be an alternative technique for determining the hydration number, since the isentropic compressibility isotherms for aqueous systems converge (Onori, 1988; Rohman and Mahiuddin, 1997) at a particular concentration. At that concentration all the solvent molecules are involved in the solvation and have formed a rigid structure with a critical value of isentropic compressibility which is independent of temperature. The solvation phenomenon of salt in methanol seems to be quite different from that of water since solvation of the solute in methanol is slow (Roy and Bagchi, 1994). Therefore, in this paper we report the speed of sound and isentropic compressibility of aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions as functions of concentration and temperature.

Experimental Section

Materials. Lithium nitrate (>98%, E. Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) were recrystallized twice from triple distilled water and dried over P_2O_5 until use. Methanol (GR grade, E. Merck, Mumbai, India) was distilled after refluxing with quicklime for 4 h. Anhydrous methanol was prepared by distilling from a mixture of magnesium turning and iodine. Only the middle fraction was used in our study. Aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions were prepared by mass. Triple distilled water was used to prepare aqueous solutions.

Measurements. Speeds of sound in aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions were measured at 2 MHz by using a Multifrequency Ultrasonic Interferometer, M83 (Mittal Enterprises, New Delhi, India) as described elsewhere (Rohman et al., 1999). The interferometer was calibrated with triple distilled water using the reported values of the speed of sound (Kell, 1970; Del Grosso and Mader, 1972; De Lisi et al., 1990) at 298.15 K. Speeds of sound were measured both in ascending and descending order, and an average value was determined. The uncertainty in the speed of sound has been found to be within $\pm 0.01\%$. The densities of both systems were measured by using a pycnometer with an accuracy of $\pm 0.01\%$.

All the measurements were made as functions of concentration (0.0181 $\leq m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1}) \leq 21.818$ and 0.0184 $\leq m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1}) \leq 8.542$ for aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions, respectively) and temperature (273.15 $\leq T/K \leq 323.15$). A Schott-Geräte thermostat CT-1450 or a Julabo F30VC circulator was used to maintain the temperature of the study to ± 0.02 K in IPTS-68 scale.

Table 1.	Density Values	for Aqueous and	Methanolic Lit	nium Nitrate	Solutions a	s Functions o	f Concentration	and
Tempera	ature	-						

· •											
<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$	<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$	<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$	<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$	<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$	<i>T</i> /K	$ ho/(kg\cdot m^{-3})$
$\begin{array}{c} 0.0181\\ 323.60\\ 320.85\\ 317.55\\ 313.75\\ 310.30\\ 306.35 \end{array}$	l mol.kg ⁻¹ 990.76 992.14 993.40 995.31 996.72 998.18	$\begin{array}{r} 0.0254\\ 321.85\\ 318.55\\ 314.95\\ 311.50\\ 307.55\\ 303.55\end{array}$	4 mol.kg ⁻¹ 992.66 994.04 995.30 997.22 998.63 1000.1	$\begin{array}{r} 0.0383\\ 323.85\\ 320.75\\ 317.15\\ 313.60\\ 310.15\\ 306.30 \end{array}$	Aqueous Lit mol.kg ⁻¹ 992.09 994.00 995.41 996.86 998.20 999.59	hium Nitr 0.2402 323.40 319.65 315.15 311.35 307.65 303.15	ate 2 mol.kg ⁻¹ 999.34 1000.7 1002.1 1003.4 1005.3 1006.7	$\begin{array}{r} 0.648\\ 322.55\\ 318.55\\ 314.95\\ 311.55\\ 308.05\\ 304.25\\ 300.75\\ \end{array}$	0 mol.kg ⁻¹ 1014.0 1015.5 1016.7 1018.7 1020.1 1021.6 1023.0	$\begin{array}{r} 1.129\\ 321.55\\ 318.35\\ 315.10\\ 311.95\\ 308.55\\ 305.15\end{array}$	$pmol.kg^{-1}$ 1032.9 1034.1 1035.3 1036.8 1038.2 1039.6
$\begin{array}{c} 1.537\\ 322.05\\ 318.95\\ 315.45\\ 312.25\\ 309.15\\ 305.85 \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1045.4 1046.6 1048.1 1049.5 1050.9 1052.3	$\begin{array}{r} 3.011\\ 322.15\\ 319.55\\ 317.05\\ 314.15\\ 311.35\\ 308.25\\ 305.05 \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1094.3 1095.6 1097.1 1098.6 1100.1 1101.5 1103.7	$\begin{array}{r} 4.502\\321.25\\318.65\\315.95\\313.45\\310.60\\307.85\\304.95\end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1137.6 1139.1 1140.7 1142.1 1143.4 1144.7 1146.3	5.017 322.25 319.65 316.65 313.95 310.95 308.15 305.45 302.45	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1150.6 1152.2 1153.7 1155.9 1157.5 1159.2 1160.8 1162.4	5.500 321.75 318.85 316.15 313.45 310.65 307.75 304.85 302.05	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1161.9 1163.4 1165.1 1166.5 1168.8 1170.4 1172.1 1173.7	$\begin{array}{r} 6.230\\ 322.85\\ 320.15\\ 317.35\\ 314.75\\ 311.85\\ 309.35\\ 306.40\\ 303.45\end{array}$	0 mol·kg ⁻¹ 1182.1 1183.7 1185.3 1187.0 1188.5 1190.8 1192.5 1194.2
$\begin{array}{c} 8.353\\ 322.35\\ 319.75\\ 317.25\\ 314.50\\ 311.55\\ 308.05\\ 306.10\\ \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1231.1 1232.8 1234.5 1236.1 1238.5 1240.2 1242.0	$\begin{array}{r} 10.308\\ 323.15\\ 320.65\\ 318.05\\ 315.25\\ 312.85\\ 310.15\\ 307.15\\ 304.75 \end{array}$	3 mol·kg ⁻¹ 1270.9 1272.6 1274.4 1276.0 1278.5 1280.3 1282.1 1283.9	$\begin{array}{c} 13.402\\ 322.15\\ 319.80\\ 316.95\\ 314.25\\ 311.75\\ 309.25\\ 306.65\end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1329.3 1331.1 1332.8 1335.4 1337.3 1339.2 1341.0	$\begin{array}{r} 16.611\\ 322.95\\ 320.25\\ 317.85\\ 315.45\\ 312.75\\ 310.25\\ 307.55\\ 304.95 \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1379.0 1380.5 1382.1 1384.1 1386.0 1387.9 1389.6 1392.3	$\begin{array}{r} 19.43\\ 322.45\\ 320.05\\ 317.35\\ 314.95\\ 312.40\\ 309.80\\ 307.30\\ 304.75\\ \end{array}$	5 mol·kg ⁻¹ 1417.8 1419.8 1421.7 1423.7 1425.5 1428.3 1430.3 1432.4	$\begin{array}{c} 21.813\\ 322.15\\ 319.45\\ 317.15\\ 314.65\\ 312.05\\ 309.55\\ 307.05\\ 304.45 \end{array}$	8 mol·kg ⁻¹ 1447.6 1449.6 1451.6 1453.4 1456.2 1458.3 1460.4 1462.4
				Ν	/lethanolic L	ithium Nit	rate				
0.0184 313.50 312.70 311.85 311.05	4 mol·kg ⁻¹ 779.0 779.8 780.7 781.5	0.0661 310.90 310.10 309.20 308.45	l mol·kg ⁻¹ 790.8 791.6 792.6 793.4	0.2540 312.80 311.95 311.00 310.15	mol·kg ⁻¹ 795.3 796.1 797.1 797.9	0.5966 318.45 317.55 316.65 315.80	6 mol·kg ⁻¹ 807.8 808.6 809.6 810.5	0.8740 319.35 318.45 317.40 316.45	0 mol·kg ⁻¹ 814.7 815.5 816.5 817.3	$\begin{array}{r} 1.197\\ 317.65\\ 316.70\\ 315.70\\ 314.80\end{array}$	' mol·kg ⁻¹ 830.7 831.5 832.5 833.4
310.15 309.25 308.35 307.55 306.70 305.80 304.90 304.00 303.10 302.20	782.4 783.2 784.0 784.8 785.6 786.6 787.4 788.3 789.2 790.0	307.65 306.75 305.80 305.00 304.15 303.15 302.20 301.25 300.30 299 35	794.3 795.1 795.9 796.7 797.5 798.5 799.4 800.3 801.2 802.0	309.20 308.30 307.45 306.70 305.65 304.75 303.90 302.85 301.80	798.8 799.6 800.4 801.3 802.0 803.1 803.9 804.8 805.7	$\begin{array}{r} 314.90\\ 314.00\\ 313.15\\ 312.25\\ 311.30\\ 310.25\\ 309.30\\ 308.35\\ 307.35\\ 306.35\end{array}$	811.4 812.2 813.0 813.9 814.7 815.7 816.6 817.5 818.4 819.2	315.45 314.60 313.65 312.75 311.80 310.75 309.80 308.80 307.85 306.85	818.2 819.0 819.9 820.8 821.5 822.6 823.5 824.4 825.3 826.1	313.80 312.85 311.95 310.95 310.00 308.95 307.95 306.95 305.85 304.85	$\begin{array}{c} 834.3\\ 835.1\\ 836.0\\ 836.9\\ 837.7\\ 838.8\\ 839.6\\ 840.6\\ 841.6\\ 842.4\end{array}$
301.25 300.35 299.45 1.624	790.9 791.7 792.6 mol·kg ⁻¹	298.35 297.40 296.45 2.167	802.9 803.7 804.7 mol·kg ⁻¹	2.437	mol∙kg ^{−1}	305.35 304.35 303.35 2.875	820.2 821.0 822.0 mol·kg ⁻¹	305.90 304.90 303.95 4.105	827.1 828.0 828.9 mol·kg ⁻¹	303.75 302.75 301.70 5.425	843.4 844.2 845.2 6 mol·kg ⁻¹
319.50 318.50 317.50 316.50 315.50 314.50 312.55 310.55 309.55 309.55 309.55 307.45 306.50 305.35 304.35 303.25	837.0 837.8 838.9 839.7 840.7 841.5 842.4 843.3 844.1 845.1 846.0 844.0 844.0 848.0 848.8 849.8 850.7 851.6	$\begin{array}{c} 319.55\\ 318.50\\ 317.35\\ 316.25\\ 315.15\\ 314.20\\ 313.10\\ 312.05\\ 309.85\\ 309.85\\ 309.85\\ 309.85\\ 309.85\\ 307.60\\ 306.45\\ 305.30\\ 304.10\\ 303.00\\ 301.85\\ 7.002\end{array}$	870.6 871.5 872.6 873.5 874.5 875.3 876.2 876.2 877.2 878.0 879.1 880.1 881.1 882.1 882.1 882.9 883.9 884.9 884.9 885.9	317.55 316.45 315.35 314.25 313.15 312.05 309.85 309.85 307.65 306.50 305.35 304.20 393.05 301.90	879.0 879.9 881.0 881.9 882.9 883.7 884.7 885.6 886.5 887.6 886.5 887.6 888.5 889.6 890.6 891.4 892.4	$\begin{array}{c} 319.15\\ 318.15\\ 317.15\\ 316.10\\ 315.05\\ 313.95\\ 312.85\\ 311.75\\ 310.65\\ 309.45\\ 308.30\\ 307.15\\ 306.00\\ 304.80\\ 303.55\\ 302.35\\ 301.15\\ 85.42\end{array}$	894.0 894.9 896.0 896.9 898.0 898.8 900.7 901.6 902.8 903.7 901.6 902.8 905.8 906.6 907.7 908.6 909.7	319.50 318.35 317.15 315.95 314.75 313.55 312.35 312.35 309.90 308.65 307.40 306.15 304.85 303.55 302.20 300.85	932.2 933.1 934.3 935.2 936.3 937.2 938.2 939.2 940.1 941.3 942.3 942.3 943.4 944.4 945.3 946.4 947.4	$\begin{array}{c} 323.30\\ 322.05\\ 320.80\\ 319.55\\ 318.25\\ 317.05\\ 315.65\\ 314.40\\ 313.15\\ 311.80\\ 310.50\\ 309.20\\ 307.95\\ 306.55\\ 305.10\\ 303.85\\ 302.55\\ \end{array}$	970.8 971.8 973.0 974.0 975.1 976.1 977.1 978.1 979.1 980.3 981.3 982.5 983.6 984.5 985.7 986.7 987.9
$\begin{array}{r} 6.265\\ 321.70\\ 320.60\\ 319.20\\ 317.95\\ 316.40\\ 315.30\\ 314.00\\ 312.80\\ 311.40\\ 310.15\\ 308.70\\ 307.30\\ 305.90\\ 304.55\\ 303.30\\ 301.85 \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 996.6 997.8 998.8 1000.0 1001.0 1002.0 1003.1 1004.0 1005.3 1006.4 1007.6 1008.7 1009.6 1010.8 1011.9 1013.1	7.002 322.60 321.35 320.10 318.80 317.45 316.00 314.60 313.35 311.75 310.35 309.05 307.70 306.30 304.85	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1015.2 1016.4 1017.4 1019.5 1020.5 1021.8 1022.9 1024.1 1025.2 1026.2 1027.4 1028.5 1029.7	$\begin{array}{c} 7.807\\ 322.15\\ 320.95\\ 319.45\\ 318.10\\ 316.70\\ 315.35\\ 313.95\\ 312.65\\ 310.95\\ 309.75\\ 308.30\\ 306.75\\ 305.45 \end{array}$	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1031.9 1033.1 1034.1 1035.2 1036.3 1037.3 1038.6 1039.7 1040.9 1042.0 1043.1 1044.3 1045.4	8.542 322.00 320.75 319.45 318.00 316.55 313.65 312.10 310.80 309.40 307.95 305.05	mol·kg ⁻¹ 1052.4 1053.4 1054.5 1055.6 1056.6 1058.0 1059.1 1060.3 1061.5 1062.5 1063.4 1064.9 1066.1				



Figure 1. Plots of density ($\rho/(\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3})$) isotherms versus concentration ($m/(\text{mol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1})$) at 298.15 K for aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions: (\bigcirc , \diamond) this work; (\triangle), Washburn (1928); (\Box), Campbell et al. (1955); (+) Wimby and Berntsson (1994).

Table 2. Least-Squares Fitted Values of the Density Equation $\rho = a - b(T - 273.15)$ for Aqueous and Methanolic Lithium Nitrate Solutions

<i>m</i> /(mol·kg ⁻¹)	<i>a</i> /(kg∙m ⁻³)	<i>b</i> ∕(kg•m ^{−3} •K ^{−1})	std dev in ρ
	Aqueous Lith	nium Nitrate	
0.0181	$1012.\bar{7}\pm0.4$	0.4329 ± 0.0102	0.1
0.0254	1012.8 ± 0.5	0.4129 ± 0.0128	0.2
0.0383	1013.6 ± 0.8	0.4182 ± 0.0183	0.2
0.2402	1017.7 ± 0.9	0.3664 ± 0.0200	0.2
0.6480	1034.7 ± 0.4	0.4220 ± 0.0107	0.2
1.129	1052.8 ± 0.3	0.4132 ± 0.0067	0.1
1.537	1066.3 ± 0.2	0.4295 ± 0.0046	0.1
3.011	1120.7 ± 0.4	0.5404 ± 0.0106	0.1
4.502	1163.1 ± 0.4	0.5272 ± 0.0105	0.1
5.017	1180.2 ± 0.4	0.6035 ± 0.0097	0.2
5.500	1191.5 ± 0.4	0.6116 ± 0.0108	0.2
6.230	1213.4 ± 0.5	0.6336 ± 0.0132	0.2
8.353	1263.6 ± 0.6	0.6601 ± 0.0149	0.2
10.308	1306.5 ± 0.6	0.7132 ± 0.0153	0.2
13.402	1366.8 ± 0.7	0.7664 ± 0.0159	0.2
16.611	1415.2 ± 0.7	0.7346 ± 0.0182	0.3
19.435	1458.5 ± 0.6	0.8283 ± 0.0149	0.2
21.818	1489.2 ± 0.5	0.8543 ± 0.0135	0.2
	Methanolic Li	thium Nitrate	
0.0184	818.04 ± 0.11	0.9654 ± 0.0033	0.1
0.0661	826.98 ± 0.20	0.9524 ± 0.0066	0.1
0.2540	833.05 ± 0.25	0.9509 ± 0.0072	0.1
0.5966	850.34 ± 0.22	0.9354 ± 0.0057	0.1
0.8740	857.29 ± 0.10	0.9230 ± 0.0027	0.1
1.197	871.23 ± 0.15	0.9094 ± 0.0040	0.1
1.624	878.92 ± 0.12	0.9044 ± 0.0031	0.1
2.167	910.73 ± 0.13	0.8635 ± 0.0035	0.1
2.437	917.14 ± 0.15	0.8583 ± 0.0040	0.1
2.875	934.02 ± 0.22	0.8646 ± 0.0059	0.1
4.105	970.26 ± 0.17	0.8188 ± 0.0046	0.1
5.425	1011.9 ± 0.1	0.8176 ± 0.0033	0.1
6.265	1036.7 ± 0.2	0.8222 ± 0.0043	0.1
7.002	1055.3 ± 0.1	0.8097 ± 0.0036	0.1
7.807	1071.3 ± 0.2	0.8037 ± 0.0044	0.1
8.542	1091.9 ± 0.2	0.8089 ± 0.0037	0.1

Results and Discussion

The measured densities (ρ) of aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions are presented in Table 1 and are found to be a linear function of temperature (Table 2). For aqueous lithium nitrate solutions, densities are comparable within $\pm 0.4\%$ (Figure 1) with the reported values (Washburn, 1928; Campbell et al., 1955; Wimby and Berntsson, 1994). We could not compare the density data for methanolic lithium nitrate due to a lack of reported values.



Figure 2. Variation of speed of sound ($u'(m \cdot s^{-1})$) with concentration ($m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$) at 298.15 K (\bigcirc), 313.15 K (\square), and 323.15 K (\triangle) for aqueous lithium nitrate solutions. Symbols and solid curves are observed and calculated (from eq 2) values, respectively.



Figure 3. Variation of speed of sound ($u'(m \cdot s^{-1})$) with concentration ($m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$) at 273.15 K (\bigcirc), 298.15 K (\square), and 323.15 K (\triangle) for methanolic lithium nitrate solutions. Symbols and solid curves are observed and calculated (from eq 2) values, respectively.

Values of the speed of sound (*u*) in aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solutions as functions of temperature and concentration are presented in Table 3, and the *u* versus *m* isotherms are illustrated in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. The isentropic compressibilities (κ_s) of the systems were calculated from

$$\kappa_{\rm s} = 1/(u^2 \rho) \tag{1}$$

where *u* is the speed of sound, ρ is the density of the solutions, and the κ_s vs *m* isotherms for aqueous and methanolic lithium nitrate solution are illustrated in Figures 4 and 5, respectively.

In our earlier paper (Rohman et al., 1999) the following equation

$$Y = A + Bm + Cm^n \tag{2}$$

was used to fit the concentration dependence of the speed of sound and isentropic compressibility of electrolyte solutions at a particular temperature. In eq 2, *Y* refers either to the speed of sound or the isentropic compressibility, *A*, *B*, and *C* are the temperature-dependent parameters, *n* is the adjustable parameter, and *m* is the concentration. Equation 2 is similar to the equation used by Millero et al.

Table 3.	Speeds of Sound as Fun	ctions of Concentration and	Temperature in Aqueou	s and Methanolic L	ithium Nitrate.
Solution	s				

<i>T</i> /K	<i>u</i> /(m·s ⁻¹)	<i>T</i> /K	$u/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	<i>T</i> /K	$u/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	<i>T</i> /K	$u/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	<i>T</i> /K	$u/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	<i>T</i> /K	<i>u</i> /(m⋅s ⁻¹)
					Aqueous Lit	hium Nitra	ate				
0.018	1 mol·kg ⁻¹	0.0254	mol·kg ⁻¹	0.0383	mol·kg ⁻¹	0.2402	mol·kg ⁻¹	0.6480	mol·kg ⁻¹	1.129	mol·kg ⁻¹
298.15	1503.4	298.15	1501.6	298.15	1504.0	298.15	1511.4	298.15	1523.5	298.15	1542.1
303.15	1513.4	303.15	1513.2	303.15	1514.7	303.15	1521.6	303.15	1534.5	303.15	1550.7
308.05	1521.5	307.95	1522.5	308.15	1524.1	307.95	1529.9	307.85	1542.5	307.95	1558.1
313.15	1530.4	313.15	1532.0	313.15	1532.3	313.15	1538.6	313.15	1550.3	313.15	1564.3
317.85	1537.1	317.85	1538.1	317.85	1538.9	317.85	1544.9	317.85	1558.7	317.85	1569.5
323.15	1543.7	323.15	1544.6	323.15	1545.0	323.15	1551.2	323.15	1561.9	323.15	1574.0
1.537	' mol·kg $^{-1}$	3.011	mol∙kg ^{−1}	4.502	mol∙kg ^{−1}	5.017	mol∙kg ^{−1}	5.500	mol∙kg ^{−1}	6.230	mol∙kg ^{−1}
298.15	1554.2	298.15	1606.9	298.15	1650.5	298.15	1663.4	298.15	1676.8	298.15	1694.9
303.15	1563.2	303.15	1611.5	303.15	1652.2	303.15	1665.0	303.15	1676.7	303.15	1694.5
307.85	1570.3	307.95	1615.3	307.95	1653.4	307.85	1666.0	307.85	1676.6	307.95	1694.0
313.15	1576.2	313.15	1618.2	313.15	1654.4	313.15	1666.4	313.15	1675.2	313.15	1692.7
317.85	1579.7	317.85	1620.6	317.85	1654.6	317.85	1666.4	317.85	1675.2	317.85	1691.4
323.15	1582.4	323.15	1621.8	323.15	1654.7	323.15	1665.6	323.15	1673.6	323.15	1689.6
8 353	mol·kg ⁻¹	10 308	mol·ko ⁻¹	13 402	mol∙kg ^{−1}	16 611	mol∙ko ^{−1}	19 435	mol∙ka−1	21 818	mol∙ko ^{−1}
298 15	1745.6	298 15	1779.0	298 15	1824 5	298 15	1854 3	298 15	1880 1	298 15	1895.2
200.10	1740.0	200.10	1774 5	203.15	1819 5	200.10	1850 /	203.15	1873.8	203.15	1888.6
307 95	1737 0	307.85	1770 5	307.95	1813.0	307.85	18/6 3	307.85	1867 4	307.85	1882.0
212 15	1794.0	212 15	1766.0	212 15	1910.0	212 15	1840.3	212 15	1961 9	212 15	1975 6
017 05	1734.0	017 05	1762.0	217.05	1003.0	217.05	1040.3	217.05	1001.2	017 05	1073.0
017.00 000 15	1730.0	317.03	1750 1	317.03	1004.3	317.00	1000.0	017.00 000 15	1033.2	317.03	10/0.0
323.13	1720.4	323.13	1738.1	323.15	1798.7	323.13	1827.3	323.13	1848.7	323.13	1803.3
				Ν	lethanolic Li	thium Niti	rate				
0.0184	4 mol∙kg ^{−1}	0.0661	mol·kg ⁻¹	0.2540	mol∙kg ^{−1}	0.5966	mol∙kg ^{−1}	0.8740	mol∙kg ^{−1}	1.197	mol·kg ⁻¹
273.15	1174.9	273.15	1175.2	273.15	1183.4	273.15	1210.5	273.15	1224.3	273.15	1236.4
278.15	1164.7	278.15	1163.8	278.15	1177.4	278.15	1197.2	278.15	1209.4	278.15	1225.8
283.15	1149.3	283.15	1151.5	283.15	1161.5	283.15	1193.7	283.15	1194.7	283.15	1214.6
288.15	1138.1	288.15	1137.1	288.15	1151.9	288.15	1171.2	288.15	1182.6	288.15	1199.9
293.15	1120.6	293.15	1122.6	293.15	1135.1	293.15	1157.4	293.15	1165.8	293.15	1188.2
298.15	1108.5	298.15	1108.2	298.15	1122.6	298.15	1145.5	298.15	1152.3	298.15	1169.7
303.15	1091.8	303.15	1093.9	303.15	1106.0	303.15	1125.3	303.15	1136.8	303.15	1153.7
308.15	1076.0	308.15	1077.5	308.15	1090.5	308.15	1109.0	308.15	1121.8	308.15	1140.2
313.15	1058.2	313.15	1060.7	313.15	1072.6	313.15	1090.0	313.15	1106.8	313.15	1123.1
318.15	1042.8	318.15	1044.4	318.15	1057.8	318.15	1074.8	318.15	1091.5	318.15	1108.2
323.15	1026.4	323.15	1027.1	323.15	1043.3	323.15	1060.1	323.15	1076.1	323.15	1093.6
1 694	moleka-1	2 167	moleka-1	9 127	moleka-1	9 975	moleka-1	4 105	moleka-1	5 195	moleka-1
272 15	1262 D	2.107	1281 A	2.437	1200 0	273 15	1305 1	4.100 273 15	1347 0	0.420 973 15	1285 5
270.1J	1202.0	273.13	1201.4	270.15	1230.0	270.15	1205.6	270.15	1347.0	270.15	1000.0
200.1J	1220.0	270.1J	1204.7	270.1J 202.15	1270.4	200.1J	1201.0	200.1J	100.0	270.1J	1969 9
200.15	1200.0	200.15	1200.2	200.15	1203.2	203.13	1201.2	200.15	1324.1	200.15	1302.3
200.15	1224.2	200.15	1243.3	200.10	1232.3	200.10	1209.2	200.10	1311.9	200.15	1049.0
293.13	1200.3	293.13	1229.4	293.13	1240.0	293.13	1233.0	293.13	1290.0	293.13	1007.0
298.13	1198.2	298.15	1210.3	298.15	1227.3	298.15	1244.3	298.13	1203.1	298.15	1322.7
303.15	11//.0	303.15	1198.8	303.15	1209.8	303.15	1226.3	303.15	12/1.0	303.15	1310.3
308.15	1164.1	308.15	1185.3	308.15	1195.9	308.15	1213.4	308.15	1257.3	308.15	1297.1
313.15	1146.9	313.15	1169.3	313.15	11/9.2	313.15	1197.4	313.15	1242.7	313.15	1283.2
318.15	1132.5	318.15	1154.7	318.15	1165.2	318.15	1183.9	318.15	1228.6	318.15	1269.9
323.15	1117.7	323.15	1140.1	323.15	1150.8	323.15	1169.9	323.15	1214.6	323.15	1256.6
6.265	mol·kg ⁻¹	7.002	mol∙kg ^{−1}	7.807	mol∙kg ^{−1}	8.542	$mol \cdot kg^{-1}$				
273.15	1414.4	273.15	1427.2	273.15	1445.5	273.15	1460.4				
278.15	1401.1	278.15	1416.5	278.15	1432.7	278.15	1451.0				
283.15	1390.2	283.15	1401.9	283.15	1420.7	283.15	1436.8				
288.15	1376.2	288.15	1392.1	288.15	1407.4	288.15	1423.9				
293.15	1367.4	293.15	1381.6	293.15	1396.7	293.15	1411.5				
298 15	1352.9	298 15	1367.8	298 15	1382.1	298 15	1400 1				
303 15	1337 9	303 15	1353.0	303 15	1369.8	303 15	1386 3				
308.15	1337.2	302.15	13/0 /	303.15	1356 8	303.15	1372.9				
212 15	1000.4	212 15	1340.4	212 15	12/2 6	212 15	1210.6				
010.10 010.17	100/.0	010.10 010.1#	1020.1	010.10 010.15	1040.0	010.10 010.1 ^e	1000.7				
318.13	1295.1	318.13	1312.4	318.13	1330.3	318.13	1340.0				
323.15	1282.4	323.15	1298.6	323.15	131/.Z	323.15	1333.5				

(1982, 1987) but with less adjustable parameters. The computed values of *A*, *B*, *C*, and *n* parameters for speed of sound and isentropic compressibility are presented in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. From Figures 2–5 and Tables 4 and 5 it is apparent that eq 2 fits the concentration dependence of the speed of sound and isentropic compressibility isotherms within $\pm 0.4\%$ and $\pm 1.2\%$ accuracy, respectively.

The *u* vs *m* isotherms for aqueous lithium nitrate (Figure 2) converge at a particular concentration (5.3 mol·kg⁻¹) and

then diverge in a reverse way. It may be presumed that at 5.3 mol·kg⁻¹ there is some kind of structural transition as found in other aqueous systems (Rohman and Mahiuddin, 1997; Rohman et al., 1999). Millero et al., (1985) reported a transition in $\Delta u/m$ vs $m^{1/2}$ isotherms; $\Delta u = u - u_0$, and u_0 is the speed of sound of water and related to the primary hydration structure around the ions. In our system we fail to observe any such transition or inflection in $\Delta u/m$ vs $m^{1/2}$ isotherms. From Figure 2 it is apparent that the concentration at which u vs m isotherms converge is independent

 Table 4. Least-Squares Fitted Values of the Parameters of Equation 2 for the Speed of Sound in Aqueous and

 Methanolic Lithium Nitrate Solutions

<i>T</i> /K	$A/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1})$	<i>B</i> /(m·s ⁻¹ ·kg·mol ⁻¹)	$C/(\mathbf{m}\cdot\mathbf{s}^{-1}\cdot\mathbf{k}\mathbf{g}^{n}\cdot\mathbf{m}\mathbf{o}\mathbf{l}^{-n})$	п	std dev in <i>u</i>
		Aqueous I	ithium Nitrate		
298.15	1501.0 ± 1.2	41.36 ± 0.46	-3.168 ± 0.065	1.65 ± 0.12	2.7
313.15	1530.2 ± 0.4	33.92 ± 0.16	-2.374 ± 0.022	1.66 ± 0.09	1.0
323.15	1543.3 ± 0.5	29.62 ± 0.19	-1.736 ± 0.023	1.70 ± 0.06	1.1
		Methanolic	Lithium Nitrate		
273.15	1171.6 ± 1.5	85.15 ± 2.56	-28.15 ± 1.41	1.28 ± 0.16	2.6
298.15	1105.6 ± 1.7	78.38 ± 2.38	-20.77 ± 1.12	1.35 ± 0.17	2.9
323.15	1024.4 ± 0.9	72.12 ± 1.07	-12.88 ± 0.39	1.48 ± 0.10	1.7

 Table 5. Least-Squares Fitted Values of the Parameters of Equation 2 for Isentropic Compressibility of Aqueous and

 Methanolic Lithium Nitrate Solutions

<i>T</i> /K	$A imes 10^{10}$ /Pa $^{-1}$	$B imes 10^{10}$ /(Pa ⁻¹ ·kg·mol ⁻¹)	$C \times 10^{10}$ /(Pa ⁻¹ ·kg ⁿ ·mol ⁻ⁿ)	п	std dev in $\kappa_{\rm s} \times 10^{10}$
		Aqueou	s Lithium Nitrate		
298.15	4.452 ± 0.012	-1.119 ± 0.020	0.7149 ± 0.0144	1.11 ± 0.06	0.02
313.15	4.307 ± 0.008	-0.8408 ± 0.0111	0.4916 ± 0.0075	1.13 ± 0.06	0.02
323.15	4.250 ± 0.006	-0.6941 ± 0.0086	0.3725 ± 0.0054	1.15 ± 0.04	0.01
		Methano	lic Lithium Nitrate		
273.15	8.911 ± 0.019	-5.619 ± 0.117	4.372 ± 0.100	1.07 ± 0.05	0.03
298.15	10.30 ± 0.03	-5.617 ± 0.121	4.098 ± 0.099	1.09 ± 0.10	0.04
323.15	12.38 ± 0.02	-6.834 ± 0.090	4.854 ± 0.073	1.09 ± 0.05	0.03



Figure 4. Variation of isentropic compressibility (κ_s /Pa⁻¹) with concentration ($m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$) at 298.15 K (\bigcirc), 313.15 K (\square), and 323.15 K (\triangle) for aqueous lithium nitrate solutions. Symbols and solid curves are observed and calculated (from eq 2) values, respectively.

of temperature which, in turn, suggests that the solvation shell around the ions formed a rigid structure. To correlate the concentration with the solvation phenomena, it is worthwhile to consider the isentropic compressibility of the systems. For methanolic lithium nitrate systems u vs misotherms do not converge and vary smoothly (Figure 3). It may be presumed that the solvation phenomena of lithium nitrate in water and methanol may be of different origin.

The κ_s vs *m* isotherms (Figure 4) for aqueous lithium nitrate systems converge at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹. As the concentration of the system increases, the number of water molecules around the ions decreases and a situation is reached where all the water molecules are involved in the solvation forming a rigid structure. Such a situation arises only when the primary solvation shell of the solute is completed with a critical isentropic compressibility value and independent of temperature. The isentropic compressibility, κ_s , at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹ becomes K_{s,h}, (K_{s,h} is the isentropic compressibility of the primary hydration shell of the solute at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹) with a value of 3.341×10^{-10} Pa⁻¹ (Figure 4), which is ~1.2 times higher than the aqueous sodium chloride (Onori, 1988) and sodium nitrate



Figure 5. Variation of isentropic compressibility (κ_s/Pa^{-1}) with concentration ($m/(mol\cdot kg^{-1})$) at 273.15 K (\bigcirc), 298.15 K (\square), and 323.15 K (Δ) for methanolic lithium nitrate solutions. Symbols and solid curves are observed and calculated (from eq 2) values, respectively.

and sodium thiosulfate (Rohman and Mahiuddin, 1997), comparable with sodium thiocyanate ($K_{s,h} = 3.35 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa⁻¹) (Rohman et al., 1999) solutions, and smaller in comparison to free water ($\kappa_s = 4.477 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa⁻¹). Even though the K_{s,h} value suggests the existence of strong interactions in aqueous lithium nitrate solution but smaller in magnitude in comparison to aqueous sodium nitrate and sodium thiosulfate solutions (Rohman and Mahiuddin, 1997).

The κ_s vs *m* isotherms for methanolic lithium nitrate system (Figure 5) decrease smoothly with concentration without converging. From Figures 4 and 5 it is apparent that the methanolic lithium nitrate system is more compressible in comparison to the aqueous systems at a particular concentration and temperature. The κ_s of methanolic lithium nitrate solution at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹ (the concentration at which κ_s for the aqueous lithium nitrate system converges, Figure 4) are found to be (5.8–7.6) × 10⁻¹⁰ Pa⁻¹ (Figure 5); even at the highest experimental concentration it is (4.3–5.4) × 10⁻¹⁰ Pa⁻¹ and temperature dependent. Methanol forms a chain or ring structure in the medium through –OH group but not a three-dimensional structure like that of water, and the planar NO₃⁻ ions would fit less well into the methanol structure. On the other hand, the globular methyl group hinders methanol from efficiently solvating Li⁺ and NO₃⁻ ions (Zhao and Freeman, 1995). Both these effects govern the smooth variation of κ_s vs *m* isotherms (Figure 5) with higher compressibility in methanolic lithium nitrate systems.

The isentropic compressibility of aqueous electrolyte solution is due to about 64% configurational and 36% vibrational compressibility (Davis and Litovitz, 1965; Slie et al., 1966). In dilute solution the isentropic compressibility is predominantly governed by the configurational part, whereas in the concentrated solution it is due to the vibrational parts. At 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹, for aqueous lithium nitrate solution (Figure 4) the water structure has totally collapsed since all the water molecules take part in the solvation. As a result the isentropic compressibility isotherms converge (Figure 4) at this concentration. Beyond 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹, vibrational compressibility dominates over configurational, which increases with an increase in temperature at a particular concentration due to thermal motion. Owing to this fact reversibility of the isentropic compressibility isotherms beyond 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹ for aqueous lithium nitrate solution occurs (Figure 4). In methanolic lithium nitrate solution the isentropic compressibility increases with the increase in temperature at a particular concentration; therefore, it is the vibrational compressibility which contributes to the isentropic compressibility within the concentration and temperature range of the study.

Onori (1988) derived the following relation between conventional apparent molal isentropic compressibility, $K_{s,\phi}$ (Blandamer, 1998; Buwalda et al., 1998), and the product of the isentropic compressibility, $K_{s,1}$ and molar volume, V_1 , of the solvent to estimate the hydration number, n_h ,

$$K_{s,\phi} = K_{s,h}V_h - n_h K_{s,1}V_1$$
(3)

In eq 3, K_{s,h} and V_h are the isentropic compressibility of the hydrated sphere and the volume of 1 mol of hydrated solute, respectively. The calculated primary hydration number, $n_{\rm h}$, of lithium nitrate in aqueous medium using eq 3 was found to be 17.9 at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹, which is ~21% higher than the available water, 14.8 at the same concentration. The isentropic compressibility isotherms of aqueous lithium nitrate solutions converge at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹ with K_{s,h} = 3.341 × 10⁻¹⁰ Pa⁻¹, and it is suggested that all the available water molecules are in the primary hydration shell.

The apparent molal volume, V_{ϕ} , of the solution as proposed by Onori (1988) is given by

$$V_{\phi} = -n_{\rm h}V_1 + V_{\rm h} \tag{4}$$

Inserting the value of $V_{\rm h}$ (eq 4) into eq 3 and rearranging we obtain an expression for the hydration/solvation number

$$n_{\rm h} = [K_{\rm s,\phi} - K_{\rm s,h} V_{\phi}] / [V_1 (K_{\rm s,h} - K_{\rm s,1})]$$
(5)

Equation 5 has a concentration limitation in its application to estimate the hydration number (Onori, 1988). The primary hydration number at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹ (Figure 6) using eq 5 was found to be 15, and the estimated primary hydration number was found to be in good agreement with the available water molecules per mole of solute at that concentration.

The hydration structure of Li⁺ ion in aqueous medium has been investigated using X-ray and neutron diffraction, simulation, and Raman spectroscopy techniques (Okada et



Figure 6. Variation of hydration/solvation number, n_h , with concentration $(m/(mol \cdot kg^{-1}))$ for aqueous (\bigcirc) and methanolic (\Box, Δ) lithium nitrate solutions at 298.15 K. Circles and squares are obserced, solid curves are calculated (from polynomial equation up to second degree), and triangles are extrapolated values, respectively.

al., 1983; Tamura et al., 1988; Yamanaka et al., 1993; Kameda and Uemura, 1993; Yamagami et al., 1994; Kameda et al., 1994; Yamaguchi et al., 1995; Pye et al., 1996) and the hydration number of Li⁺ ion distributed over the range of 3-9. On the other hand, Pye et al. (1996) theoretically calculated that there are four molecules of water around the Li⁺ ion in the first hydration shell. The frequently appearing coordination number of Li⁺ ion has been reported (Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993) as 4-5 in the system with the water to salt ratio of 4, but for the system with water/salt > 4 it is 6. In the present system where the κ_s isotherm converges (at 3.75 mol·kg⁻¹, Figure 4), water to salt ratio is 14.8. Considering the primary hydration number of solute can be split into the constituent ions (Endo and Nomoto, 1981) and the primary hydration number of Li⁺ ion is 6 as a reference (Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993), the primary hydration number of NO_3^- ion is calculated to be 9. The estimated primary hydration number of NO3⁻ ion is in good agreement with the reported value (Ohtaki and Radnai, 1993).

In methanolic lithium nitrate solution the isentropic compressibility isotherms (Figure 5) vary smoothly within the concentration and temperature ranges of the study. On extrapolation the isentropic compressibility isotherms converge beyond the experimental concentration range at 13.75 mol·kg⁻¹ with $K_{s,h} = 4.327 \times 10^{-10}$ Pa⁻¹ (Figure 5). The calculated solvation numbers, n_h , at 298.15 K using eq 5 are presented in Figure 6. Accordingly there are 2.3 molecules of methanol in the primary solvation shell of lithium nitrate, which is much smaller than in aqueous medium. A reliable primary solvation number of either Li⁺ ion or NO₃- ion in methanol is lacking in the literature, and we could not make a comparison.

From the above discussions it is apparent that at a particular concentration the primary solvation number becomes equal to the number of available solvent molecules per mole of solute. This situation is reached in electrolyte solution only when the isentropic compressibility isotherms converge at a particular concentration because of the fact that the primary solvation shell of the solute is completed and the solvent molecules are rigidly bound in the primary solvation shell due to the strong ion—solvent interaction. As a result the primary solvation shell attains some critical isentropic compressibility value and is independent of temperature.

Literature Cited

- Blandamer, M. J. Apparent Molar Isentropic Compression. A Critical Commentary. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1998, 94, 1057-1062.
- Buwalda, R.; Engberts, J. B. F. N.; Høiland, H.; Blandamer, M. J. Volumetric Properties and Compressibilities of Alkyltrimethylammonium Bromides and Sodium Alkylsulphates in Aqueous Solution.
- J. Phys. Org. Chem. 1998, 11, 59–62.
 Campbell, A. N.; Debus, G. H.; Kartzmark, E. M. Conductances of Aqueous Lithium Nitrate Solutions at 25.0 and 110.0 °C. Can. J.
- *Chem.* **1955**, *33*, 1508–1514. Davis, C. M., Jr.; Litovitz, T. A. Two-State Theory of the Structure of Water *J. Chem. Phys.* **1965**, *42*, 2563–2576. Del Grosso, V. A.; Mader, C. W. Speed of Sound in Pure Water. *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **1972**, *52*, 1442–1446.
- De Lisi, R.; Milioto, S.; Verrall, R. E. Volumes and Compressibilities of Pentanol in Aqueous Alkyltrimethylammonium Bromide Solu-tions at Different Temperatures. J. Solution Chem. **1990**, *19*, 639– 664.
- Endo, H.; Nomoto, O. Structural Absorption of Ultrasonic Waves in Aqueous Solutions of Alkali Halides. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* 2 1981, 77, 217–226.
- Hinton, J. F.; Amis, E. S. Solvation Numbers of Ions. Chem. Rev. 1971, 71, 627–674. Kameda, Y.; Uemura, O. Neutron Diffraction Study on the Structure
- of Highly Concentrated Aqueous LiBr Solutions. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1993, 66, 384-389.
- Kameda, Y.; Ebata, H.; Uemura, O. Raman Spectroscopic Study of Concentrated Aqueous LiBr Solutions. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1994, 67, 929-935
- Kell, G. S. Isothermal Compressibility of Liquid Water at 1 atm. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1970, 15, 119–122.
- Millero, F. J.; Ricco, J.; Schreiber, D. R. PVT Properties of Concentrated Aqueous Electrolytes. II. Compressibilities and Apparent Molar Compressibilities of Aqueous NaCl, Na₂SO₄, MgCl₂, and MgSO₂ from Dilute Solution to Saturation and from 0 to 50 °C. J. Solution Chem. 1982, 11, 671-686.
- Millero, F. J.; Fernandez, M.; Vinokurova, F. Transitions in the Speed of Sound in Concentrated Aqueous Electrolyte Solutions. J. Phys. Chem. 1985, 89, 1062-1064.
- Millero, F. J.; Vinokurova, F.; Fernandez, M.; Hershey, J. P. PVT Properties of Concentrated Electrolytes. VI. The Speed of Sound and Apparent Molal Compressibilities of NaCl, Na₂SO₄, MgCl₂, and MgSO₂ Solutions from 0 to 100 °C. J. Solution Chem. 1987, 16, 269-
- Ohtaki, H.; Radnai, T. Structure and Dynamics of Hydrated Ions. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1157-1204.
- Okada, I.; Kitsuno, Y.; Lee, H.-G.; Ohtaki, H. Ions and Molecules in Solutions; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1983; p 81 and references cited therein.

- Onori, G. Ionic Hydration in Sodium Chloride Solutions. J. Chem. Phys. **1988**. 89. 510-516.
- Pye, C. C.; Rudolph, W.; Poirier, R. A. An Ab Initio Investigation of Lithium Ion Hydration. J. Phys. Chem. 1996, 100, 601-605.
- Rohman, N.: Mahiuddin, S. Concentration and Temperature Dependence of Ultrasonic Velocity and Isentropic Compressibility in Aqueous Sodium Nitrate and Sodium Thiosulfate Solutions. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1997, 93, 2053-2056.
- Rohman, N.; Dass, N. N.; Mahiuddin, S. Isentropic Compressibility of Aqueous and Methanolic Sodium Thiocyanate Solutions. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1999**, in press.
- Roy, S.; Bagchi, B. Microscopic Theory of Ion Solvation Dynamics in Liquid Methanol. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 101, 4150-4155.
- Rudolph, W.; Brooker, M. H.; Pye, C. C. Hydration of Lithium Ion in Aqueous Solution. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 3793-3797.
- Slie, W. M.; Donfor, A. R.; Litovitz, T. A. Ultrasonic Shear and Longitudinal Measurements in Aqueous Glycerol. J. Chem. Phys. **1966**, 44, 3712-3718.
- Tamura, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Spohr, E.; Heinzinger, K. Structural and Dynamical Properties of a Lithium Chloride Trihydrate Solution. Z. Naturforsch. A 1988, 43a, 1103-1110.
- Washburn, E. W., Ed. International Critical Tables of Numerical Data, Physics, Chemistry, and Technology, McGraw-Hill: New York, 1928-1930; Vol. III, p 78.
- Wimby, J. M.; Berntsson, T. S. Viscosity and Density of Aqueous Solutions of LiBr, LiCl, ZnBr₂, CaCl₂, and LiNO₃. 1. Single Salt Solutions. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1994**, 39, 68–72.
- Yamagami, M.; Yamaguchi, T.; Wakita, H.; Misawa, M. Pulsed Neutron Diffraction Study on Lithium(I) Hydration in Supercooled Aqueous Chloride Solutions. J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 3122-3126.
- Yamaguchi, T.; Yamagami, M.; Wakita, H.; Soper, A. K. Structure and Dynamics of Supercooled and Glassy Aqueous Ionic Solutions. J. Mol. Liq. 1995, 65/66, 91–98.
- Yamanaka, K.; Yamagami, M.; Takamuku, T.; Yamaguchi, T.; Wakita H. X-ray Diffraction Study on Aqueous Lithium Chloride Solution in the Temperature Range 138–373 K. J. Phys. Chem. **1993**, *97*, 10835 - 10839
- Zhao, Y.; Freeman, G. R. Unusual Behaviour of the Conductivity of LiNO3 in Tert-Butanol: Ion Clustering or Ion-Pair Aggregation. Can J. Chem. 1995, 73, 2131-2136.

Received for review October 19, 1998. Accepted February 24, 1999. Financial assistance from the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, is gratefully acknowledged. N.R. is grateful to the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, for the award of the senior research fellowship.

JE980254O