# Relative Permittivities, Refractive Indices, and Densities for the Binary Mixtures *N*,*N*-Dimethylacetamide with Methanol, Ethanol, 1-Butanol, and 2-Propanol at 298.15 K

## George Ritzoulis\* and Antigoni Fidantsi

Laboratory of Physical Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54006 Thessaloniki, Greece

Densities, refractive indices, and relative permittivities have been measured over the entire range of composition for binary mixtures of N,N- dimethylacetamide with methanol, ethanol, 1-butanol, and 2-propanol at 298.15 K. From these experimental data, excess volume and deviations in refractive index  $\Delta n_D$ , relative permittivity  $\Delta \epsilon$ , and molar polarization  $\Delta P_m$ , have been calculated. The results have been fitted to the Redlich–Kister equation, and the resulting coefficients have been calculated.

#### Introduction

This paper is part of our general work concerning the study of some physical and physicochemical properties of binary liquid mixtures in order to gain information about molecular interactions between the molecules in these systems (Ritzoulis, 1989; Moumouzias et al., 1991; Konti et al., 1997).

In the present study the density *d*, relative permittivity  $\epsilon$ , and refractive index  $n_D$  of the binary mixtures *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide (DMA) with methanol (MeOH), ethanol (EtOH), 1-butanol (BuOH), and 2-propanol (2-PrOH) over the entire range of composition at 298.15 K are measured. From the above experimental values the excess molar volume  $V^E$  and the deviations in refractive indices  $\Delta n_D$ , relative permittivity  $\Delta \epsilon$ , and molar polarization,  $\Delta P_m$  are also calculated.

#### **Experimental Section**

All chemicals were purchased from Merck Co. Their purities were 99.5% or better, and no further purification has been done. The chemicals were stored over molecular sieves.

Liquid solutions of various compositions were prepared by mass using a Shimadzu AEG-220 analytical balance of four decimal places and were degassed by ultrasonic treatment.

Density measurements of pure liquids and binary liquid solutions were carried out using an Anton-Paar DMA (measuring cell 602 and processing unit 60) vibrating tube densimeter. The densimeter was calibrated with degassed water and dehumidized air at atmospheric pressure. The temperature was regulated with a Haake F3-K digital thermostat with a stability of  $\pm 0.02$  °C and measured with a DT 100-30 Anton–Paar digital thermometer. The accuracy in the density measurement was better than  $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>.

Relative permittivities were measured with a Wissenschaftlich Technische Werkstatten GmbH, model WTW DM-01, dipolemeter. Cell MFL 2 has been used with a permittivity range of 7 to 21, and cell MFL 3, with a

 $^{\ast}$  To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: +31-997709. E-mail: ritzouli@chem.auth.gr.

Table 1.	Experimental	and	Literature	Values	of Pure
Liquids	at 298.15 K				

liquid	n <sub>D</sub> exptl	<i>n</i> <sub>D</sub> lit.	$\operatorname{exptl}^\epsilon$	$\epsilon$ lit.	d/g cm <sup>3</sup> (exptl)	d∕g cm ³ (lit.)
N,N -dimethyl- acetamide	1.4356	1.4363 <sup>i</sup> 1.4356 <sup>a</sup>	37.756		0.9366	0.9363 <sup>a</sup>
methanol	1.3266	1.3265 <sup>g</sup> 1.3264 <sup>c</sup>	32.64	32.70 <sup>j</sup>	0.7866	$0.7868^{h}$ $0.7866^{d}$ $0.7865^{c}$
ethanol	1.3597	1.3594 <sup>1</sup> 1.35931 <sup>c</sup>	24.55	24.55 <sup>a</sup>	0.7852	0.7852 <sup>c</sup> 0.7854 <sup>e</sup> 0.78493 <sup>a</sup> 0.7853 <sup>f</sup>
1-butanol	1.3973	1.39741 <sup>a</sup> 1.3978 <sup>i</sup> 1.3973 <sup>g</sup>	17.10	$17.43^k$ $17.50^j$	0.8060	0.7853 $0.8056^{b}$ $0.8058^{a}$
2-propanol	1.3752	1.3751 <sup>c</sup> 1.3752g	18.20		0.7810	0.78102 <sup>a</sup> 0.78096 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Riddick et al., 1986. <sup>b</sup> Aminabhavi et al., 1998. <sup>c</sup> Francesconi and Comeli, 1998. <sup>d</sup> Martin and Mato, 1995. <sup>e</sup> Hiaki et al., 1995. <sup>f</sup> El-Banna and Radaman, 1995. <sup>g</sup> Wingetors, 1981. <sup>h</sup> Nikam et al., 1995. <sup>j</sup> Aminabhavi et al., 1995. <sup>j</sup> Sastry and Valand, 1998. <sup>k</sup> D'Aprano et al., 1979. <sup>l</sup> Oehme, 1962.

permittivity range of 20 to 50. The cells were previously calibrated with standard pure liquids (water, nitrobenzene, ethylene chloride, and benzyl alcohol in accordance with National Bureau of Standards Circular 514 (Weast 1987–1988). The temperature of the dipolemeter's cell was held constant using a YSI (model 72) thermostat with a stability of  $\pm 0.005$  K.

The refractive indices were measured with an Abbe refractometer (aus Jenna, model G). The accuracy of the refractive index measurement was better than  $\pm 0.0002$ , and all values were obtained for Na (D) light. The temperature was held constant by using the same thermostat mentioned for the relative permittivity.

#### **Results and Discussion**

The results of the experimental measurements for the pure components are reported in Table 1 and are compared to the values taken from the existing literature.

Measured values of density, relative permittivity, and refractive index together with those of the molar polariza-

Table 2. Density *d*, Relative Permittivity  $\epsilon$ , Refractive Index  $n_{\rm D}$ , and Molar Polarization  $P_{\rm m}$  for *N*,*N*-Dimethylacetamide (1) + Alcohols at *T* = 298.15 K

<i>X</i> 1	$d$ /g cm $^{-3}$	$\epsilon$	n <sub>D</sub>	$P_{\rm m}/{\rm cm^3~mol^{-1}}$		
N, N-Dimethylacetamide (1) + Methanol (2)						
0.0000	0.7866	32.64	1.3266	285.1		
0.1000	0.8221	33.80	1.3506	333.2		
0.1998	0.8504	35.10	1.3699	382.5		
0.2999	0.8724	35.68	1.3857	427.5		
0.4000	0.8899	36.26	1.3989	474.2		
0.4997	0.9030	36.60	1.4094	519.5		
0.5997	0.9122	36.86	1.4177	565.7		
0.7000	0.9202	37.10	1.4248	612.2		
0 7998	0.9262	37 35	1 4289	660.2		
0.9000	0.9315	37 59	1 4325	708.6		
1.0000	0.9366	37.75	1.4356	755.4		
	NN-Dimeth	vlacetamid	e(1) + Ftha	nol (2)		
0 0000	0 7852	24 55	1 3507	305 7		
0.0000	0.7052	26.22	1 3763	348 7		
0.1000	0.0111	27 02	1 2002	202.0		
0.1999	0.0320	27.93	1.3003	392.0		
0.3000	0.0310	20.07	1.3972	432.0		
0.4000	0.0000	30.87	1.4005	470.4		
0.4990	0.0000	32.42	1.4140	566.9		
0.3999	0.8972	33.34	1.4211	000.0 015 1		
0.7002	0.9089	34.82	1.4205	615.1		
0.8001	0.9198	36.01	1.4302	663.9		
0.9000	0.9287	36.94	1.4335	708.8		
1.0000	0.9366	37.75	1.4356	/55.4		
	<i>N</i> , <i>N</i> -Dimethy	lacetamide	(1) + 1-Buta	anol (2)		
0.0000	0.8060	17.10	1.3973	325.5		
0.1000	0.8203	18.48	1.4013	352.9		
0.2000	0.8343	20.23	1.4052	388.8		
0.2998	0.8468	22.16	1.4091	429.1		
0.3999	0.8592	24.23	1.4130	472.6		
0.5001	0.8720	26.33	1.4168	516.3		
0.6000	0.8843	28.31	1.4207	558.1		
0.7000	0.8970	30.57	1.4245	605.6		
0.8002	0.9097	33.12	1.4283	659.0		
0.9000	0.9230	35.76	1.4219	714.1		
1.0000	0.9366	37.75	1.4356	755.4		
N,N- Dimethylacetamide (1) + 2-Propanol (2)						
0.0000	0.7810	18.20	1.4356	293.5		
0.1000	0.7997	20.00	1.3839	332.0		
0.1994	0.8174	21.53	1.3910	364.6		
0.2993	0.8344	23.19	1.3976	402.0		
0.4000	0.8508	25.03	1.4036	443.9		
0.4998	0.8664	27.02	1.4091	490.0		
0.6000	0.8814	29.14	1.4140	450.1		
0.7000	0.8960	31.37	1.4183	593.8		
0.8001	0.9106	33.69	1.4220	650.9		
0.9000	0.9236	36.07	1.4276	710.8		
1.0000	0.9366	37.75	1.4356	755.4		

tion  $P_{\rm m}$  for the binary mixtures at 298.15 K are listed in Table 2.

The molar volume of the binary mixture is defined by

$$V = (x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2)/d \tag{1}$$

where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are the molecular weights of the components and *d* is the density of the solution.

The excess volume was calculated from the equation

$$V^{E} = V - (x_{1}V_{1} + x_{2}V_{2})$$
(2)

and the deviations of refractive index and relative permittivity were calculated from the following expressions:

$$\Delta n_{\rm D} = n_{\rm D} - (n_{\rm D,1} x_1 + n_{\rm D,2} x_2) \tag{3}$$

$$\Delta \epsilon = \epsilon - (\epsilon_1 x_1 + \epsilon_2 x_2) \tag{4}$$

The  $V^{E}$ ,  $\Delta n_{D}$ , and  $\Delta \epsilon$  values have been fitted using least



**Figure 1.** Plot of  $V^E$  against mole fraction of N,N-dimethylacetamide  $x_1$ : (•) methanol; (•) ethanol; (•) 2-propanol; (•) 1-butanol.



**Figure 2.** Plot of  $\Delta \epsilon$  against mole fraction of *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide  $x_1$ : (•) methanol; (•) ethanol; (•) 2-propanol; (•) 1-butanol.

squares to a Redlich-Kister type equation (Redlich and Kister, 1948):

$$X = x_1 x_2 \sum b_j (x_1 - x_2)^j$$
 (5)

where *X* represents the excess volume or deviations,  $b_j$  is the polynomial coefficient, and *j* is the polynomial degree. In each case, the number of coefficients  $b_j$  is determined by examining the alteration of the relation

$$\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = \left[\sum (x_{\rm obs} - x_{\rm cale})^2 / (n-p)\right]^{1/2}$$
(6)

where n and p are the number of data points and the number of parameters, respectively.

The resulting parameters  $b_j$  are given along with the standard deviation  $\sigma(x)$  in Table 3.

The experimentally obtained values of the relative permittivity of mixtures are used to calculate the molar polarization of the mixture  $P_m$  from the Kirkwood–Frohlich equation:

$$P_{\rm m} = (\epsilon - n_D^2)(2\epsilon + n_D^2) V/9\epsilon \tag{7}$$

and the deviation of the molar polarization,  $\Delta P_{\rm m}$  was calculated using the following equation:

$$\Delta P_{\rm m} = P_{\rm m} - (x_1 P_{\rm m1} + x_2 P_{\rm m2}) \tag{8}$$

where  $P_{m,i}$  refers to the pure component.

The calculated values of  $P_m$  are given in Table 2. The obtained  $\Delta P_m$  values were fitted to the equation

$$\Delta P_{\rm m} = x_1 x_2 \sum b_i (x_1 - x_2)^i \tag{9}$$

The values of the coefficients  $b_i$  and the standard deviations are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Values of the Parameters  $b_i$  from Eqs 5 and 10 and the Standard Deviations  $\sigma(V^{\text{E}})$  and  $\sigma(x)$ 

function	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	σ	
		298.15 K, N,N- Di	methylacetamide +	Methanol			
$V^{E}/(\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol} ^{-1})$	-0.87944	0.22693	1.26067	-0.23001	-0.38196	0.015	
$\Delta \epsilon$	1.4113656	-0.93456	-1.17735	0.973672	-0.25149	0.093	
$\Delta n_{\rm D}$	0.028509	-0.00784	-0.02838	0.007962		0.0003	
$\Delta P_{ m m}/( m cm^3~mol^{-1})$	-1.26386	-4.94268	6.232648	4.937069	-4.94669	0.48	
	298.15 K. $N.N$ -Dimethylacetamide + Ethanol						
$V^{E}/(\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	-0.48649	0.032146	0.2704669	-0.02646	0.216365	0.015	
$\Delta \epsilon$	1.198002	0.116456	-1.11315	-0.09546	-0.0896	0.064	
$\Delta n_{\rm D}$	0.017177	0.000806	-0.02468	-0.00034	0.007378	0.0010	
$\Delta P_{ m m}/( m cm^3~mol^{-1})$	-8.60798	5.940333	9.94621	-5.57607	-1.39487	1.14	
298.15 K. $NN$ -Dimethylacetamide + 1-Butanol							
$V^{E}/(\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	-0.01909	0.361313	-0.09596	-0.35985	0.117257	0.02	
$\Delta \epsilon$	-1.20824	0.529348	1.416198	-0.43921	-0.1841	0.171	
$\Delta n_{\rm D}$	0.000498	0.00007	-0.00028	0.000095	-0.00012	0.00003	
$\Delta P_{\rm m}/({\rm cm^3~mol^{-1}})$	-25.5662	12.64229	26.52639	-10.783		3.33	
298.15 K, $N,N$ -Dimethylacetamide + 2-Propanol							
$V^{E}/(\text{cm}^3 \text{ mol}^{-1})$	-0.02787	0.032862	0.000405	-0.03335	0.027251	0.001	
$\Delta \epsilon$	-1.00751	0.567416	2.473503	-0.54971	-1.44228	0.0073	
$\Delta n_{\rm D}$	0.003713	-0.00636	-0.00856	0.00614	0.004871	0.00042	
$\Delta P_{\rm m}/({\rm cm^3~mol^{-1}})$	-36.5865	10.05068	65.47576	-9.57959	-28.4296	1.51	



**Figure 3.** Plot of  $\Delta n_D$  against mole fraction of *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide  $x_1$ : (•) methanol; (•) ethanol; (•) 2-propanol; (•) 1-butanol.



**Figure 4.** Plot of  $\Delta P_{\rm m}$  against mole fraction of *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide  $x_1$ : (•) methanol; (•) ethanol; (•) 2-propanol; (•) 1-butanol.

The variation of the excess volume with the mole fraction of N,N-dimethylacetamide is shown in Figure 1. As can be seen in this figure, the values of  $V^E$  are negative for the binary mixture of N,N-dimethylacetamide with methanol and ethanol. In the case of 1-butanol the values of  $V^E$ are close to zero. Finally, the excess volume of 2-propanol is almost zero through the entire range of mole fraction.

Figures 2 and 3 show the variation of  $\Delta \epsilon$  versus  $x_1$  and  $\Delta n_D$  vsersus  $x_1$ , respectively.

The variation of deviation in polar polarization of the four binary mixtures is shown in Figure 4.

### Literature Cited

- Aminabhabi, T. M.; Gopalakrishna, B. Densities, Viscosities and Refractive Indices of Bis(2-methoxyethyl) Ether + Cyclohexane or 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydronaphthalene and of 2-Ethoxyethanol + Propan-1-ol, + Propan-2-ol, or + Butan-1-ol. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 462-467.
- Aminabhabi, T. M.; Benerjee, K. Density Viscosity, Refractive Index and Speed of Sound in Binary Mixtures of 2-Chloroethanol with Alcanols (C1–C6) at 298.15, 303.15 and 308.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1998, 43, 509–513.
- D'Aprano, A.; Donali, D. I.; Caporetti, E. The Static Relative Permittivity of Solutions of Water in Alcohols at 15, 25, 35 and 45 °C. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1998**, *8*, 135–146.
- El-Banna, M. M.; Radaman, M. S. Density and Viscosity of Octyl, Decyl, and Dodecyl Sodium Sulfates in Ethanol. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 367–370.
- Francesconi, R.; Comeli, F. Excess Enthalpies and Excess Volumes of the Liquid Binary Mixtures of Propylene Carbonate + six Alcanols at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1996, 41, 1397–1400.
- Handbook of Chemistry; McGraw-Hill Book Company: Lange, 1967.
   Hiaki, T.; Takahashi, K.; Tsuij, T.; Hongo, M.; Koijma, K. Vapor-Liquid Equilibria of Ethanol + Octane at 343.15 K and 1-Propanol + Octane at 358.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995 A0, 271-273.
- + Octane at 358.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 271-273.
  Konti, A.; Moumouzias, G.; Ritzoulis, G. Densities, Relative Permittivities, and Refractive Indices for the Binary Lquid System Propylene Carbonate + p-Xylene at (15, 20, 25, 30 and 35) °C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1997, 42, 614-618.
  Martin, M. C.; Mato, R. B. Isobaric Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium for
- Martin, M. C.; Mato, R. B. Isobaric Vapor-Liquid Equilibrium for Methyl Acetate + Methanol + Water at 101.3 kPa. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 326-329.
- Moumouzias, G.; Panopoulos, D.; Ritzoulis, G. Excess Properties of the Binary Liquid System Propylene Carbonate + Acetonitrile. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1991**, *36*, 20–23.
- Nikam. P. S.; Jadhav, M. C.; Hasan, M. Density and Viscosity of Mixtures of Nitrobenzene with Methanol, Ethanol, Propan-1-ol, Propan-2-ol, Butan-1-ol, 2-methylpropan-1-ol and 2-methylpropan-2-ol at 298.15 K and 303.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 931– 934.
- Oehme, F. *Dielectrishe Messmethoden*; Verlag Chemie GmbH: Weinhem, 1962.
- Redlich, O.; Kister, A. Algebraic Representation of Thermodynamic Properties and Classification of Solutions. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1948**, 40, 345–348.
- Riddick. J.; Bunger, W. B. *Techniques at Chemistry, Organic Solvents,* 4th ed.; John Wiley and Sons: New York, 1986; Vol. II. Ritzoulis, G. Excess Properties of the Binary Liquid System Dimethyl
- Ritzoulis, G. Excess Properties of the Binary Liquid System Dimethyl sulfoxide + 2-Propanol and Propylene Carbonate + 2-Propanol. *Can. J. Chem.* **1989**, *67*, 1105–1108.
- Sastry, N. V.; Valand, M. K. Densities, Viscosities and Relative Parmittivities for Pentane + 1-Alcohols (C1-C12) at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1998, 43, 152-157.
  Wingedors, S. Development of Correlation between the Non-Polar
- Wingedors, S. Development of Correlation between the Non–Polar Solubility Parameter, Refractive Index and Molecular Structure. 11 Aliphatic Ethers And Alcohols J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 1981, 31, 530–534.

Received for review April 27, 1999. Accepted November 8, 1999. JE990116E