# Viscosity Measurements for the Binary Mixtures of 1,2-Dichloroethane or 1,2-Dibromoethane with Isomeric Butanols

## Lelia Mussari and Miguel Postigo<sup>†</sup>

Cátedra de Fisicoquímica, Depto. de Química, Facultad de Ingeniería U. N. del Comahue, Buenos Aires 1400, Neuquén (8300), Árgentina

## Carlos Lafuente, Félix M. Royo, and José S. Urieta\*

Departamento de Química Orgánica y Química Física, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Zaragoza, Ciudad Universitaria, Zaragoza 50009, Spain

Viscosities and viscosity deviations are reported for the binary mixtures of 1,2-dichloroethane or 1,2dibromoethane with 1-butanol, 2-butanol, 2-methyl-1-propanol, and 2-methyl-2-propanol at 298.15 and 313.15 K. Viscosity deviations are negative for all the mixtures and decrease in absolute value when the temperature increases. The kinematic viscosities were correlated by the equations of McAllister and Heric. The Wu model was used to predict the viscosities of the systems.

## Introduction

The viscosity behavior of binary mixtures containing isomeric butanols seems to be strongly dependent on the structure of butanol and weakly affected by the nature of the second component; this behavior is confirmed by the results present here. We report in this paper viscosity measurements for the binary mixtures of 1,2-dichloroethane or 1,2-dibromoethane with isomeric butanols (1butanol, 2-butanol, 2-methyl-1-propanol, and 2-methyl-2propanol) at 298.15 and 313.15 K.

This work follows our systematic study on transport properties of liquid mixtures containing isomeric butanols: 1,3-dichloropropane (Lafuente et al., 1994), 1-chloro- and 1,4-dichlorobutane (Lafuente et al., 1996), 2-chloro-2-methylpropane (Cea et al., 1994), 2-chlorobutane (Cea et al., 1995), cyclohexane (Artigas et al., 1995), and butylamine (Domínguez et al., 1995). The only literature data available for these systems were the viscosities of 1,2dichloroethane with 1-butanol at 35 °C (Rajkumar et al., 1984).

The group contribution method proposed by Wu has been used to predict the viscosities of these mixtures.

### **Experimental Section**

**Chemicals.** The liquids used were 1-butanol (>99.8%), 2-methyl-1-propanol and 2-methyl-2-propanol (>99.5%), and 2-butanol and 1,2-dibromoethane (>99%) obtained from Aldrich and 1,2-dichloroethane (>99.8%) provided by Lab-Scan. Their purities were checked by a chromatographic method, confirming the absence of other significant organic components. All liquids were used without further purification, and the isomeric butanols were stored over type 0.3 nm activated molecular sieves from Merck.

*Methods.* Kinematic viscosities  $\nu$  were determined using an Ubbelhode viscosimeter (inner diameter = 0.63 mm) and a Schott-Geräte automatic measuring unit model AVS-440.

#### Table 1. Densities $\rho$ and Viscosities $\eta$ of the Pure Compounds at 298.15 and Comparison with Literature Data

	ρ/kg	g•m <sup>−3</sup>	η/mPa•s		
compound	exptl	lit.	exptl	lit.	
1,2-dichloroethane	1245.63	1245.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.7644	0.779 <sup>c</sup>	
1,2-dibromoethane	2168.50	2168.70 <sup>b</sup>	1.6291	$1.595^{c}$	
1-butanol	805.85	805.75	2.5562	2.5710	
2-butanol	802.40	802.41	3.0596	2.998	
2-methyl-1-propanol	797.98	797.8	3.3603	3.330	
2-methyl-2-propanol	781.00	781.2	4.4126	4.438	

<sup>a</sup> TRC (1980). <sup>b</sup> TRC (1964). <sup>c</sup> Lide (1992).

The temperature was kept constant within  $\pm 0.01$  K by means of a Schott-Geräte thermostat. The viscosimeter was calibrated with doubly-distilled water. At least four time flow measurements were performed for each composition and temperature, and the results were averaged. Kinetic energy corrections were applied to the experimental data. The uncertainty of the time flow measurements was  $\pm 0.01$ s, and the corresponding uncertainty in the kinematic viscosity was  $\pm 1 \times 10^{-4}$  mm<sup>2</sup>·s<sup>-1</sup>. Densities  $\rho$ , required for converting kinematic viscosities to absolute viscosities,  $\eta = \nu \rho$ , were measured with an Anton-Paar DMA-58 vibrating tube densimeter automatically thermostated at  $\pm 0.01$  K. The uncertainty of the density measurements was  $\pm 1 \times 10^{-2}$  kg·m<sup>-3</sup>. The calibration was carried out with deionized doubly-distilled water and dry air.

The mixtures were prepared by using a Mettler H20T balance. The possible error in the mole fractions is estimated to be  $\leq \pm 0.0001$ .

Table 1 shows the comparison of the experimental values of density and viscosity of the chemicals with the literature values at 298.15 K (Riddick et al., 1986).

### **Results and Discussion**

The experimental values of viscosities (kinematic and absolute) and densities for the binary mixtures are given in Table 2.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: urieta@posta.unizar.es.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> CONICET researcher.

Table 2.	Experimental	Viscosities and	Viscosity Devia	tions for 1,2-Dic	hloroethane or	1,2-Dibromoethane	with Isomeric
<b>Butanols</b>			Ū				

Sutanois									
<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\nu/\mathrm{mm}^2\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$ ho/{ m kg}{ m \cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$	η/mPa•s	$\Delta \eta$ /mPa·s	<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\nu/\mathrm{mm}^{2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$ ho/{ m kg}{ m \cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$	η/mPa•s	$\Delta \eta$ /mPa
0.0000	0.4704	005.04	1,2-Dichlo	roethane $(1) +$	1-Butanol (	2) at 298.15 K	1050.00	0.0040	0 500
0.0000	3.1721	805.84	2.5562	0.0000	0.6047	0.8880	1052.03	0.9342	-0.538
0.0967	2.5130	842.42	2.1170	-0.2659	0.7050	0.7747	1097.97	0.8506	-0.442
0.1970	1.9770	881.18	1.7421	-0.4611	0.8027	0.6938	1144.57	0.7941	-0.323
0.3011	1.5600	922.63	1.4393	-0.5774	0.9027	0.6400	1194.38	0.7644	-0.174
0.4015	1,2610	963.92	1.2155	-0.6213	1.0000	0.6137	1245.56	0.7644	0.000
0.5343	0.9916	1020.77	1.0122	-0.5867	110000	010101	12 10100	011011	01000
			1.2-Dichlo	roothano (1) +	2-Butanol (	2) at 208 15 K			
0.0000	3.8131	802.39	3.0596	0.0000	0.6034	0.7908	1045.27	0.8266	-0.848
0 1002	2 4730	838.98	2 0748	-0.7548	0 7052	0 7072	1092 48	0 7726	-0.668
0.1002	1 7504	876.83	1 53/8	-1.0651	0.7002	0.6505	11/0 81	0.7720	-0.472
0.2003	1.7304	070.03	1.1774	1 1 4 9 9	0.0000	0.0303	1140.01	0.7421	0.472
0.3217	1.2733	924.00	1.1774	-1.1436	0.0900	0.0104	1109.30	0.7555	-0.203
0.4000	1.0912	930.84	1.0441	-1.0974	1.0000	0.0137	1245.50	0.7644	0.000
0.3031	0.9075	1001.34	0.9087	-0.9910					
0.0000	4 01 10	1,	2-Dichloroeth	ane $(1) + 2 - Me$	ethyl-1-propa	anol (2) at 298.1	15 K	0.0050	0.045
0.0000	4.2110	797.98	3.3603	0.0000	0.6087	0.8913	1049.25	0.9352	-0.845
0.1033	2.9450	837.11	2.4653	-0.6268	0.7088	0.7600	1096.05	0.8330	-0.687
0.2035	2.1560	876.39	1.8895	-0.9426	0.8037	0.6837	1142.46	0.7811	-0.492
0.3040	1.6430	917.10	1.5068	-1.0644	0.8988	0.6300	1190.95	0.7503	-0.276
0.4062	1.2940	959.89	1.2421	-1.0638	1.0000	0.6137	1245.56	0.7644	0.000
0.5061	1.0500	1003.14	1.0533	-0.9932					
		1.1	2-Dichloroeth	ane $(1) + 2 - Me$	ethyl-2-propa	anol (2) at 298.1	15 K		
0.0000	5.6499	781.00	4.4126	0.0000	0.6020	0.8264	1032.07	0.8529	-1.363
0.0999	3,1806	817.49	2,6001	-1.4481	0.7041	0.7323	1082.21	0.7925	-1.051
0.2056	1,9961	858.42	1.7135	-1.9490	0.8009	0.6732	1132.35	0.7623	-0.728
0.3032	1 4370	808.26	1 2008	-2 0157	0 9017	0.6310	1187 60	0 7505	-0 379
0.0002	1 1/21	042 44	1.2000	-1 8527	1 0000	0.6137	1245 56	0.7644	0.072
0.4004	0.9496	986 94	0.9372	-1 6305	1.0000	0.0157	1245.50	0.7044	0.000
0.0007	0.0400	500.54	1.0 D: 11	1.0505	1.0.1.4	a) + 010 15 IZ			
0 0000	9 9000	704 57	1,2-Dichlo	roethane $(1) +$	- I-Butanol (2	2) at 313.15 K	1094 19	0 7901	0.940
0.0000	2.2090	/94.37	1.7332	0.0000	0.0047	0.7050	1034.18	0.7291	-0.340
0.0967	1.7740	829.99	1.4/24	-0.1741	0.7050	0.6241	1079.15	0.6735	-0.289
0.1970	1.4310	867.58	1.2415	-0.2922	0.8027	0.5659	1124.58	0.6364	-0.216
0.3011	1.1570	907.87	1.0504	-0.3663	0.9027	0.5274	1173.30	0.6188	-0.121
0.4015	0.9573	948.19	0.9077	-0.3961	1.0000	0.5155	1224.05	0.6310	0.000
0.5343	0.7762	1003.74	0.7791	-0.3754					
			1,2-Dichlo	roethane (1) +	2-Butanol (2	2) at 313.15 K			
0.0000	2.2610	789.65	1.7854	0.0000	0.6034	0.6290	1027.66	0.6464	-0.442
0.1002	1.6486	825.55	1.3610	-0.3087	0.7052	0.5743	1074.00	0.6168	-0.354
0.2003	1.2473	862.58	1.0759	-0.4783	0.8039	0.5366	1121.13	0.6016	-0.255
0.3217	0.9456	909.37	0.8599	-0.5541	0.8980	0.5148	1168.61	0.6016	-0.147
0.4000	0.8201	940.86	0.7716	-0.5520	1.0000	0.5155	1224.05	0.6310	0.000
0.5051	0.7056	984.84	0.6949	-0.5074					
		1	2-Dichloroeth	ane $(1) + 2 - Me$	-thyl-1-propa	anol (2) at 313 1	15 K		
0.0000	2.6630	785.77	2.0925	0.0000	0.6087	0.6997	1030.87	0.7213	-0.481
0 1033	1 9633	823.92	1 6176	-0 3239	0 7088	0.6217	1076 89	0.6695	-0.387
0.2035	1 5070	861 02	1 2000	-0.4060	0.2027	0 5699	1199 90	0.0000	_0.007
0.2010	1 10/0	001.00	1.2330	-0.4300	0.0037	0.0022	1170.04	0.0000	0.200
0.3040	1.1940	042.09	0.0007	-0.3717	0.0000	0.5201	1994 05	0.01/9	0.101
0.4002	0.9033	943.32 085 rg	0.9087	-0.5901	1.0000	0.5155	1224.03	0.0310	0.000
0.3001	0.0090	303.00	0.1902	-0.0040	.1.1.0				
0.0000	0 7010	1,	2-Dichloroeth	ane $(1) + 2 - Me$	ethyl-2-propa	anol (2) at 313.1	1011 00	0.0057	0 - 4 -
0.0000	2.7310	/04./4	2.0885	0.0000	0.6020	0.6579	1011.86	0.6657	-0.545
0.0999	1.8072	800.46	1.4466	-0.4963	0.7041	0.5942	1061.60	0.6308	-0.431
0.2056	1.2852	840.80	1.0806	-0.7082	0.8009	0.5534	1111.31	0.6150	-0.306
0.3032	1.0240	880.08	0.9012	-0.7454	0.9017	0.5287	1166.45	0.6167	-0.157
0.4064	0.8528	923.55	0.7876	-0.7086	1.0000	0.5155	1224.05	0.6310	0.000
0.5057	0.7347	967.47	0.7108	-0.6406					
			1,2-Dibror	noethane (1) +	1-Butanol (	2) at 298.15 K			
0.0000	3.1721	805.84	2.5562	0.0000	0.6088	0.9299	1610.71	1.4978	-0.494
0.1011	2.4532	935.35	2.2946	-0.1679	0.7057	0.8344	1745.09	1.4561	-0.445
0.2045	1.8909	1069.49	2.0223	-0.3443	0.7989	0.7714	1876.72	1.4477	-0.367
0.3026	1.5211	1198.28	1.8227	-0.4530	0.8972	0.7334	2018.00	1.4800	-0.244
0.4200	1.2240	1354 41	1.6578	-0.5090	1.0000	0.7513	2168 37	1.6291	0.000
0.4876	1.0951	1445.35	1.5828	-0.5213	1.0000	0.7010	~100.07	1.0631	0.000
		_ 1 10.00	1 9 Dihror	nothana (1)	9 Butonal (	9) at 900 15 17			
0 0000	3 8131	802 30	1,2-Didror 3 0506	10etnane(1) + 0.0000	Δ-Dutanol ()	2) at 298.13 K	1502 00	1 3466	-0 859
0.0000	J.0131 9 2710	002.39 022.01	3.0390 9.9140	0.0000	0.0013	0.0440	1727 64	1.3400	-0.832
0.1033	2.3/10 1 7050	303.01 1050 50	2.2140 1.0000	-0.0907	0.7048	0.7749	1/0/.04	1.3403	-0.704
0.2013	1.7050	1009.09	1.8000	-0.9650	0.7997	0.7333	10/2.12	1.3/32	-0.542
0.3038	1.3187	1193.37	1.5/37	-1.0513	0.9006	0.7193	2018.77	1.4521	-0.319
0.3979	1.1023	1317.97	1.4528	-1.0376	1.0000	0.7513	z168.37	1.6291	0.000
0.5007	0.9478	1456.21	1.3802	-0.9631					

**Table 2 (Continued)** 

<i>X</i> 1	$\nu/\mathrm{mm}^2\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$ ho/{ m kg}{ m \cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$	η/mPa∙s	$\Delta \eta$ /mPa·s	<i>X</i> <sub>1</sub>	$\nu/\mathrm{mm}^{2}\cdot\mathrm{s}^{-1}$	$ ho/{ m kg}{ m \cdot}{ m m}^{-3}$	η/mPa∙s	$\Delta \eta / mPa \cdot s$
		1,2	2-Dibromoeth	ane (1) + 2-Me	thyl-1-prop	anol (2) at 298.1	5 K		
0.0000	4.2110	797.98	3.3603	0.0000	0.5980	0.9543	1590.07	1.5174	-0.8076
0.1046	2.8460	931.90	2.6522	-0.5270	0.6783	0.8661	1702.00	1.4741	-0.7119
0.2018	2.0928	1057.87	2.2139	-0.7970	0.7952	0.7776	1867.93	1.4525	-0.5311
0.3054	1.5906	1193.95	1.8991	-0.9325	0.9005	0.7366	2020.64	1.4884	-0.3130
0.3845	1.3440	1299.26	1.7462	-0.9485	1.0000	0.7513	2168.37	1.6291	0.0000
0.5011	1.0876	1456.79	1.5844	-0.9084					
		1,2	2-Dibromoeth	ane (1) + 2-Me	thyl-2-prop	anol (2) at 298.1	5 K		
0.0000	5.6499	781.00	4.4126	0.0000	0.6110	0.8854	1588.43	1.4064	-1.3055
0.1079	3.0190	914.97	2.7623	-1.3500	0.7079	0.8032	1727.47	1.3875	-1.0547
0.2078	1.9175	1042.50	1.9990	-1.8352	0.8062	0.7504	1872.20	1.4049	-0.7636
0.3106	1.4464	1177.06	1.7025	-1.8455	0.9029	0.7278	2018.41	1.4690	-0.4304
0.4103	1.1726	1310.51	1.5367	-1.7338	1.0000	0.7513	2168.37	1.6291	0.0000
0.5130	0.9935	1451.13	1.4417	-1.5430					
			1,2-Dibron	noethane (1) +	1-Butanol (	(2) at 313.15 K			
0.0000	2.2090	794.57	1.7552	0.0000	0.6088	0.7260	1587.19	1.1523	-0.3302
0.1011	1.7280	922.45	1.5940	-0.1159	0.7004	0.6646	1712.46	1.1381	-0.3033
0.2045	1.3690	1054.42	1.4435	-0.2201	0.8022	0.6181	1853.91	1.1459	-0.2499
0.3026	1.1170	1181.02	1.3192	-0.3004	0.8972	0.5952	1988.58	1.1836	-0.1697
0.4191	0.9179	1333.37	1.2239	-0.3435	1.0000	0.6114	2138.04	1.3072	0.0000
0.4876	0.8360	1424.28	1.1907	-0.3461					
			1,2-Dibron	noethane (1) +	2-Butanol (	(2) at 313.15 K			
0.0000	2.2610	789.65	1.7854	0.0000	0.6015	0.6497	1568.88	1.0193	-0.4785
0.1035	1.5215	918.96	1.3982	-0.3377	0.7048	0.6085	1711.09	1.0412	-0.4072
0.2013	1.1581	1042.66	1.2075	-0.4816	0.7997	0.5869	1844.27	1.0824	-0.3206
0.3038	0.9355	1174.24	1.0985	-0.5416	0.9006	0.5840	1989.55	1.1619	-0.1928
0.3979	0.8062	1296.82	1.0455	-0.5496	1.0000	0.6114	2138.04	1.3072	0.0000
0.5007	0.7121	1433.09	1.0205	-0.5255					
		1.2	2-Dibromoeth	ane (1) + 2-Me	thyl-1-prop	anol (2) at 313.1	5 K		
0.0000	2.6630	785.77	2.0925	0.0000	0.5980	0.7231	1566.31	1.1326	-0.4903
0.1046	1.8646	917.78	1.7113	-0.2991	0.6783	0.6689	1677.08	1.1218	-0.4380
0.2018	1.4216	1041.92	1.4812	-0.4528	0.7952	0.6171	1841.03	1.1361	-0.3319
0.3054	1.1185	1176.04	1.3154	-0.5373	0.9005	0.5957	1992.28	1.1868	-0.1985
0.3845	0.9664	1279.70	1.2367	-0.5539	1.0000	0.6114	2138.04	1.3072	0.0000
0.5011	0.8113	1434.98	1.1642	-0.5348					
		1.2	2-Dibromoeth	ane (1) + 2-Me	thyl-2-prop	anol (2) at 313.1	5 K		
0.0000	2.7310	764.74	2.0885	0.0000	0.6110	0.6803	1562.25	1.0628	-0.5483
0.1079	1.6849	897.86	1.5128	-0.4914	0.7079	0.6317	1699.86	1.0738	-0.4616
0.2078	1.2488	1023.54	1.2782	-0.6479	0.8062	0.6046	1842.71	1.1141	-0.3445
0.3106	0.9998	1155.83	1.1556	-0.6902	0.9029	0.5978	1987.29	1.1880	-0.1951
0.4103	0.8560	1287.85	1.1024	-0.6653	1.0000	0.6114	2138.04	1.3072	0.0000
0.5130	0.7503	1426.36	1.0702	-0.6175					

The kinematic viscosities were correlated by using the following equations:

McAllister (1960)

$$\ln v = x_1^{3} \ln v_1 + 3x_1^{2} x_2 \ln v_{12} + 3x_1 x_2^{2} \ln v_{21} + x_2^{3} \ln v_2 - \ln[x_1 + x_2 M_2 / M_1] + 3x_1^{2} x_2 \ln[(2 + M_2 / M_1)/3] + 3x_1 x_2^{2} \ln[(1 + 2M_2 / M_1)/3] + x_2^{3} \ln(M_2 / M_1)$$
(1)

where  $\nu$  refers to the kinematic viscosity of the mixture,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the mole fractions of components 1 and 2,  $\nu_1$  and  $\nu_2$  are the corresponding kinematic viscosities,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are molecular masses of the components, and  $\nu_{12}$  and  $\nu_{21}$  are adjustable parameters characteristic of the system.

Heric (1966)

$$\ln v = x_1 \ln(v_1 M_1) + x_2 \ln(v_2 M_2) - \ln(x_1 M_1 + x_2 M_2) + x_1 x_2 [\alpha_{12} + \alpha'_{12} (x_1 - x_2)] (2) (2)$$

in which  $\alpha_{12}$  and  $\alpha'_{12}$  are also adjustable parameters. The estimated parameters of the viscosity equations and the corresponding mean relative standard deviation (MRSD) are shown in Table 3. The MSRD, expressed in percent, is defined as

$$\text{MSRD} = 100 \times \left[\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{i=N} \left(\frac{\text{viscosity}_i^{\text{exp}} - \text{viscosity}_i^{\text{cal}}}{\text{viscosity}_i^{\text{exp}}}\right)^2\right]^{1/2}$$
(3)

where N is the number of experimental data.

The equations of McAllister and Heric correlated very well the viscosity data, especially those corresponding to mixtures containing 1-butanol and 2-methyl-1-propanol, and they give about the same results.

Viscosity deviations were calculated from our measurements according to the following equation:

$$\Delta \nu = \nu - (x_1 \eta_1 + x_2 \eta_2)$$
 (4)

where  $\eta$  is the absolute viscosity of the mixture and  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  are the absolute viscosities of components 1 and 2.

This function is gathered in Table 2 and graphically represented in Figures 1-4.

The values of viscosity deviations at each temperature

Table 3. Correlation and Predict	tion of Viscosities for 1,2-Dichloroe	thane or 1,2-Dibromoethane wit	h Isomeric Butanols

	T = 298.15  K				<i>T</i> = 313.15 К		
model	а	b	MRSD/%	а	Ь	MRSD/%	
		1,2-Dich	loroethane + 1-But	anol			
McAllister <sup>a</sup> Heric <sup>b</sup> Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	$0.6722 \\ -1.0792$	$1.3845 \\ -0.2633$	0.33 0.33 9.90 1.20	$0.5461 \\ -1.0306$	$1.0298 \\ -0.2250$	0.42 0.42 8.19 1.18	
		1,2-Dich	loroethane + 2-But	anol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	$0.6669 \\ -2.0887$	0.8558 0.5383	1.59 1.59 14.95 22.65	$0.5210 \\ -1.6583$	0.7269 0.2388	0.68 0.68 8.01 12.55	
		1 2-Dichloroet	hane $+$ 2-Methyl-1	-propanol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	0.6704 - 1.6248	1.2809 -0.0090	0.90 0.90 3.24 11.03	0.5515 -1.3987	$0.9617 \\ -0.0139$	0.56 0.56 3.00 6.43	
		1,2-Dichloroet	hane + 2-Methyl-2	-propanol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	0.7618 - 2.7155	0.7309 1.1712	2.08 2.08 36.86 40.18	0.5987 -1.9122	0.6451 0.7209	1.60 1.60 14.71 19.21	
		1,2-Dibro	omoethane + 1-But	anol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	$0.6989 \\ -1.0436$	$1.4095 \\ -0.3597$	0.86 0.86 7.93 20.33	$0.5670 \\ -0.9825$	$1.0256 \\ -0.2745$	0.81 0.81 7.12 23.60	
		1,2-Dibro	omoethane + 2-But	anol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	$0.7281 \\ -1.9964$	0.8617 0.5317	2.23 2.23 13.90 7.64	0.5706 - 1.6612	0.6635 0.3997	1.74 1.74 8.90 13.31	
		1,2-Dibromoet	hane + 2-Methyl-1	-propanol			
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	0.7456 - 1.5717	1.2335 0.0788	1.22 1.22 3.22 12.19	$0.5890 \\ -1.4326$	0.8817 0.1028	1.06 1.06 2.81 16.80	
McAllister Heric Wu-UNIFAC Wu-ASOG	0.8192 -2.5684	1,2-Dibromoet 0.7750 1.0643	hane + 2-Methyl-2 3.40 3.40 27.78 13.60	-propanol 0.6316 -1.8100	0.6556 0.6647	2.57 2.57 12.16 11.64	

<sup>*a*</sup>  $a = v_{12}$ ,  $b = v_{21}$ . <sup>*b*</sup>  $a = \alpha_{12}$ ,  $b = \alpha'_{12}$ .

\_

Table 4. Coefficients A<sub>0</sub> and Standard Deviations for Eq 5

system	<i>T</i> /K	$A_0$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$	σ
1,2-dichloroethane + 1-butanol	298.15	-2.4153	0.8118	-0.1625	-0.2464	0.0011
	313.15	-1.5391	0.4667	-0.2031	-0.1577	0.0020
2-butanol	298.15	-3.9648	2.5333	-2.4771	1.4259	0.0066
	313.15	-2.0451	1.1216	-0.7302	0.0295	0.0008
2-methyl-1-propanol	298.15	-3.9682	2.1066	-1.4620	0.3897	0.0052
	313.15	-2.2194	1.0280	-0.6265	0.0769	0.0035
2-methyl-2-propanol	298.15	-6.5193	5.0256	-5.3333	3.6804	0.0190
	313.15	-2.5614	1.6678	-1.6606	1.0360	0.0033
1,2-dibromoethane $+ 1$ -butanol	298.15	-2.0811	0.1757	-0.3143	-1.0186	0.0046
	313.15	-1.3882	0.0830	-0.2430	-0.7084	0.0025
2-butanol	298.15	-3.8250	1.8469	-2.5452	1.0054	0.0097
	313.15	-2.0896	0.7121	-1.1977	0.3570	0.0044
2-methyl-1-propanol	298.15	-3.6051	1.4923	-1.4563	-0.2179	0.0051
	313.15	-2.1382	0.6855	-0.8559	-0.1004	0.0024
2-methyl-2-propanol	298.15	-6.2138	4.2930	-5.2269	2.6358	0.0180
	313.15	-2.4795	1.1156	-1.8262	1.1414	0.0061

were correlated with a Redlich-Kister polynomial equation:

$$\Delta \eta = x_1 (1 - x_1) \sum_{i=0}^{i=N} A_i (2x_1 - 1)^i$$
 (5)

where  $A_i$  are adjustable parameters and  $x_1$  is the mole

fraction of 1,2-dihaloethane. Table 4 lists the values of the parameters together with the standard deviations.

Viscosity deviations are negative over the whole composition range for all the mixtures. For a given 1,2-dihaloethane, viscosity deviations as absolute values at 298.15 K follow the sequence 1-butanol < 2-methyl-1-propanol <2-butanol < 2-methyl-2-propanol. At 313.15 K this se-



**Figure 1.** Viscosity deviations of 1,2-dichloroethane (1) + isomeric butanol (2) at T = 298.15 K as a function of mole fraction  $x_1$ : 1-butanol ( $\triangle$ ); 2-butanol ( $\square$ ); 2-methyl-1-propanol ( $\blacktriangle$ ); 2-methyl-2-propanol ( $\blacksquare$ ); Redlich-Kister equation (-).



**Figure 2.** Viscosity deviations of 1,2-dichloroethane (1) + isomeric butanol (2) at T = 313.15 K as a function of mole fraction  $x_1$ : 1-butanol ( $\triangle$ ); 2-butanol ( $\square$ ); 2-methyl-1-propanol ( $\blacktriangle$ ); 2-methyl-2-propanol ( $\blacksquare$ ); Redlich-Kister equation (-).

quence changes a little because in the case of 1,2-dichloroethane the  $\Delta \eta$  values for the mixture with 2-methyl-1propanol are more negative than those for the mixture with 2-butanol while in the case of 1,2-dibromoethane the  $\Delta \eta$ values for the mixtures containing 2-methyl-1-propanol or 2-butanol are very similar. Minimum  $\Delta \eta$  values for branched butanols are clearly shifted toward lower concentrations of 1,2-dihaloethane. Viscosity deviations decrease in absolute value when the temperature increases in such a way that mixtures with 1-butanol show the lowest decrease and mixtures with 2-methyl-2-propanol show the largest one. Considering each isomeric butanol separately, the viscosity



**Figure 3.** Viscosity deviations of 1,2-dibromoethane (1) + isomeric butanol (2) at T = 298.15 K as a function of mole fraction  $x_1$ : 1-butanol ( $\triangle$ ); 2-butanol ( $\square$ ); 2-methyl-1-propanol ( $\blacktriangle$ ); 2-methyl-2-propanol ( $\blacksquare$ ); Redlich-Kister equation (-).



**Figure 4.** Viscosity deviations of 1,2-dibromoethane (1) + isomeric butanol (2) at T = 313.15 K as a function of mole fraction  $x_1$ : 1-butanol ( $\triangle$ ); 2-butanol ( $\square$ ); 2-methyl-1-propanol ( $\blacktriangle$ ); 2-methyl-2-propanol ( $\blacksquare$ ); Redlich-Kister equation (-).

deviations slightly decrease in absolute value from 1,2dichloroethane to 1,2-dibromoethane.

The predominant effect that governs the viscosity behavior is the breaking of the liquid-associated structure of isomeric butanols by the mixing process that leads to negative viscosity deviations (Fort and Moore, 1966). This disassociation and breaking of the liquid structure of butanols increases with branching, and therefore viscosity deviations as absolute values for the mixtures containing 2-methyl-2-propanol are greater than those for the mixtures containing the other butanols. The existence of the X–OH interaction, X = Cl or Br, which leads to a positive

viscosity deviation, has a minor importance, as is shown by the similar viscosity behavior for systems containing isomeric butanols and  $\alpha, \omega$ -dichloroalkanes or haloalkanes (Cea et al., 1994; Cea et al., 1995; Lafuente et al., 1994; Lafuente et al., 1996) or even hexane (Franjo et al., 1995).

Viscosity Predictions. The Wu model was used to predict the viscosities of the systems. This model is based on the following modified Eyring viscosity equation for liquid mixtures (Eyring et al., 1941):

$$\eta = \frac{hN}{V} \exp\left[\frac{(x_i G_i^*) - \frac{G^{\rm E}}{A}}{RT}\right]$$
(6)

where h is Planck's constant, N is Avogadro's number, Vis the molar volume of the liquid mixture,  $x_i$  and  $G_i^*$  are the mole fraction and the Gibbs energy of activation for viscous flow of component *i*, respectively,  $G^{E}$  is the Gibbs excess energy of the liquid mixture at the corresponding mole fraction, A is an empirical factor, T is the absolute temperature, and R is the gas constant.  $G_i^*$  can be obtained from the corresponding viscosity and molar volume of the pure compound by using eq 6 with  $x_i = 1$  and  $G^{E} =$ 0.  $G^{E}$  can be estimated by using several group contribution methods; here we have employed the UNIFAC method (Gmehling et al., 1993) and the ASOG method (Tochigi et al., 1990), and the empirical factor A = 1 is used.

For all the systems the viscosities obtained experimentally were compared with the predictions, and the mean relative standard deviations are given in Table 4. These values indicate that both the Wu-UNIFAC method and the Wu-ASOG method provide a rather good prediction of viscosity data except for mixtures containing 2-methyl-2propanol. The overall average MSRD is 11.4% for Wu-UNIFAC and 14.6% for Wu-ASOG; the major average deviation appears in the mixture of 1,2-dichloroethane with 2-methyl-2-propanol at 298.15 K.

### **Literature Cited**

Artigas, H.; Sanz, M. L.; Mainar, A. M.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Excess Volumes and Excess Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of Cyclohexane + an Isomer of Butanol at Several Temperatures. Phys. Chem. Liquids 1995, 30, 17-28.

- Cea, P.; Lafuente, C.; Artigas, H.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Excess Volumes and Excess Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of 2-Chloro-2methylpropane with Isomeric Butanols at 298.15 K. Can. J. Chem. **1994**, *72*, 1921–1925.
- Cea, P.; Lafuente, C.; Morand, J. P.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Excess Volumes and Excess Viscosities of Binary Mixtures of 2-Chlo-robuthane with Isomeric Butanols at 298.15 K. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* 1995. 29. 69-77.
- Domínguez, M.; Rodríguez, S.; López, M. C.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Densities and Viscosities of the Ternary Mixtures 1-Butanol + 1-Chlorobutane + 1-Butylamine and 2-Methyl-1-propanol + 1-Chlorobutane + 1-Butylamine at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40. 37-42.
- Fort, R. J.; Moore, W. R. Viscosities of Binary Liquid Mixtures. Trans. Faraday Soc. 1966, 62, 1112–1119.
- Franjo, C.; Jiménez, E.; Iglesias, T. P.; Legido, J. L.; Andrade, M. I. Viscosities and Densities of Hexane + Butan-1-ol, + Hexan-1-ol, and + Octan-1-ol at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 68-70.
- Gmehling, J.; Li, J.; Schiller, M. Modified UNIFAC Model. 2. Present Parameter Matrix and Results for Different Thermodynamic Properties Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1993, 32, 178-193.
- Heric, E. L. Viscosity of Ternary Mixtures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1966, 11, 66-68.
- Lafuente, C.; Artigas, H.; López, M. C.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Viscosimetric Study of Binary Mixtures of 1,3-Dichloropropane with Isomeric Butanols. *J. Mol. Liq.* **1994**, *62*, 199–208. Lafuente, C.; Artigas, H.; Pardo, J.; Royo, F. M.; Urieta, J. S. Viscosities
- of 1-Chlorobutane and 1,4-Dichlorobutane with Isomeric Butanols at 25 and 40 °C. J. Solution Chem. **1996**, 25, 303–313. Lide, D. R. CRC Handbook of Physics and Chemistry, 73rd ed.; CRC
- Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1992; p 6-167.
- McAllister, R. A. The Viscosity of Liquid Mixtures. AIChE J. 1960, 6, 427 - 431.
- Powell, R. E.; Roseveare, W. E.; Eyring, H. Diffusion, Thermal Conductivy and Viscosity of Liquids. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1941, 33, 430-435.
- Rajkumar, X. R.; Arulraj, S. J.; Raman, K. V. Adiabatic Compressibilties and Viscosities of Binary Systems of Alcohol with Alkane or
- 1,2-Dichloroethane. Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys. 1984, 22, 241-243.
   Riddick, J. A.; Bunger, W. B.; Sakano, T. K. Organic Solvents. Physical Properties and Methods of Purification, 4th ed.; Techniques of Chemistry, Vol. II; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1986; pp 198, 200, 202, and 204.
- Tochigi, K. D.; Tiegs, D.; Gmehling, J.; Kojima, K. Determination of New ASOG Parameters. J. Chem. Eng. Jpn, 1990, 23, 453–463.
- TRC- Thermodynamic Tables Non-Hydrocarbons, Thermodynamic Research Center, Texas A&M University: College Station, TX, 1964; p a-7580; 1980; p d-7242. Wu, D. T. Prediction of Viscosities of Liquid Mixtures by a Group
- Contribution Method Fluid Phase Equilib. 1986, 30, 149-156.

Received for review July 26, 1999. Accepted October 7, 1999. The authors are grateful to U. N. Comahue and to Universidad de Zaragoza (Proyecto UZ:96-232-47) and Diputación General de Aragón (Proyecto PCB-0894).

JE990211N