# Ionic Strength Dependence of Protonation Constants of *N*-Alkyl Substituted Open Chain Diamines in NaCl<sub>aq</sub>

# Francesco Crea, Concetta De Stefano, Ottavia Giuffrè, and Silvio Sammartano\*

Dipartimento di Chimica Inorganica, Chimica Analitica e Chimica Fisica, Università di Messina, Salita Sperone 31, I-98166 Messina (Vill. S.Agata), Italy

The protonation of nine *N*-alkyl substituted diamines was studied in  $NaCl_{aq}$  in the ionic strength range  $0.1 < I/mol \cdot L^{-1} < 5$ , at t = 25 °C. The dependence on ionic strength of protonation constants was taken into account by using two different models, namely a Debye–Hückel type equation and a simplified version of Pitzer equations. The dependence on *N*-alkyl substitution of both protonation constants and their parameters for the medium effects is discussed, and empirical relationships are reported.

#### Introduction

Amines are part of the basic building block of life, amino acids, and are widely found in nature. They are present in all biological fluids as trace components and in natural waters; in marine environment they can also be sequestered by living organisms such as bacteria. Moreover, amines have different relevant applications in medicine, biochemistry, industry, and food conservation.<sup>1-4</sup> In medicine, biogenic or naturally occurring amines have been the subject of a great deal of research, particularly in neuroscience,<sup>1</sup> and in general an excess of these compounds in biological tissues and fluids may indicate disease.<sup>2</sup> In biological systems, amines are involved in a variety of fundamental cellular processes such as mRNA translation and tissue differentiation and they also aid the stabilization of the structures of tRNA and DNA.3 In foodstuffs the concentrations of bioamines give information on freshness and good conservation.<sup>4</sup>

The study of basicity of amines in aqueous solution is important for speciation studies, and it has to be investigated before a complexation study. In the literature are present many data on protonation of polyamines, but in several cases the authors have studied the amines at a single ionic strength.<sup>5–37</sup> Instead the dependence of protonation constants of amines on ionic strengths has been largely studied by Finnish authors, using mainly NaClO<sub>4</sub> as background salt.<sup>12,14–16,38–41</sup> We carried out a systematic study on the protonation of amines in NaCl, because this salt is present in almost all the biological and natural fluids. We published some works on thermodynamic parameters of linear unsubstituted and substituted polyamines at different ionic strengths.<sup>42–48</sup>

In this work we report new data obtained by a potentiometric investigation (H<sup>+</sup>-glass electrode) of the protonation of nine substituted diamines in aqueous NaCl solutions at different ionic strengths,  $0.1 \le I \le 5 \text{ mol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ and t = 25 °C; the considered diamines are different for extent of substitution, structure (symmetrical or asymmetrical), and type of substitution (methyl or ethyl groups) in order to understand the influence of *N*-alkyl substitution

\* To whom correspondence should be addressed. Fax: 0039 (0) 90 39287. E-mail: sammartano@chem.unime.it.

on the protonation. We have considered some different models for the dependence on medium (Debye–Hückel and Pitzer type equations) both of new protonation data on substituted diamines and of previous data relative to another substituted one.<sup>44</sup> The trends in protonation constants and parameters for dependence on ionic strengths are examined for predictive applications. In the Supporting Information (Table s3) we have reported numerous comparisons between our data and literature protonation data on substituted diamines<sup>5–37</sup> (which refer to a maximum value of ionic strength equal to 1 or 2 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>). Table 1 shows formulas and abbreviations of the diamines considered in this work.

## **Experimental Section**

*Chemicals.* The diamines [*N*-ethylethylenediamine (1); *N*,*N*-dimethylethylenediamine (2); *N*,*N*-dimethylethylenediamine (3); 1,2-diamino-2-methylpropane (4); N-methylethylenediamine (5); N,N,N-trimethylethylenediamine (6); N,N-dimethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (7); N,N-diethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (8); N,N-dimethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (9)] were Aldrich or Sigma products and were purified by transformation into the corresponding hydrochlorides: their purity, checked alkalimetrically, was always >99.5%. HCl and NaOH solutions were prepared by diluting concentrated Fluka ampules and were standardized against sodium carbonate or potassium biphthalate, respectively. Sodium chloride (Fluka, puriss., p.a.) was prepared by mass from the solid product previously dried in stove at 140 °C. All the solutions were prepared using analytical grade water ( $R = 18 \text{ M}\Omega \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and grade A glassware.

**Apparatus and Procedure.** Potentiometric titrations were carried out at  $(25.0 \pm 0.1 \text{ °C})$  using apparatus consisting of a model 713 Metrohm potentiometer, equipped with a combined glass electrode (Ross type 8102, from Orion) and a model 765 Metrohm motorized burette. The estimated accuracy was  $\pm 0.2 \text{ mV}$  and  $\pm 0.003 \text{ mL}$  for emf and titrant volume readings, respectively. The apparatus was connected to a PC, and automatic titrations were performed using a suitable computer program to control titrant delivery and data acquisition and to check for emf stability. All titrations were carried out under magnetic

Table 1. Polyamines Considered in This Work

symbol	amine	name
1	$H_2N-(CH_2)_2-NH-C_2H_5$	N-ethylethylenediamine
2	$CH_3 - NH - (CH_2)_2 - NH - CH_3$	N,N-dimethylethylenediamine
3	$H_2N-(CH_2)_2-N(CH_3)_2$	N,N-dimethylethylenediamine
4	$H_2N-CH_2-C(CH_3)_2-NH_2$	1,2-diamino-2-methylpropane
5	$H_2N-(CH_2)_2-NH-CH_3$	N-methylethylenediamine
6	$CH_3-NH-(CH_2)_2-N(CH_3)_2$	N,N,N-trimethylethylenediamine
7	CH <sub>3</sub> -NH-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NH-CH <sub>3</sub>	N,N-dimethyl-1,3-diaminopropane
8	$H_5C_2$ -NH-(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> -NH-C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>5</sub>	N,N-diethyl-1,3-diaminopropane
9	$H_2N-(CH_2)_3-N(CH_3)_2$	N,N-dimethyl-1,3-diaminopropane
<b>10</b> <sup>a</sup>	$(CH_3)_2N - (CH_2)_2 - N(CH_3)_2$	N, N, N, N-tetramethylethylenediamine

<sup>a</sup> Reference 44.

stirring, and presaturated N<sub>2</sub> was bubbled through the purified solution in order to exclude O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> inside. A volume of 25 mL of the solution containing the amine hydrochloride under study was titrated with standard NaOH up to 80–90% neutralization. The concentrations used in the experiments were  $C_{\text{amine-HCl}} = 4-6 \text{ mmol·L}^{-1}$  and  $C_{\text{NaCl}} = 0.1-5 \text{ mol·L}^{-1}$ . Separate titrations of HCl at the same ionic strength as that of the sample under study were carried out to determine the standard electrode potential  $E^{\circ}$  and to obtain pH =  $-\log [\text{H}^+]$  readings. The reliability of the calibration in the alkaline range was checked by calculating  $pK_{\text{w}}$  values.

Calculations. All the parameters relative to the electrode system (formal potential E° and coefficient of junction potential  $j_a$ ,  $E_i = j_a[H^+]$ ) and to alkalimetric purity determination were refined using the nonlinear least squares computer program ESAB2M.<sup>49</sup> This program also refines the conditional protonation constants of diamines at the specific ionic strength value of the titration. The nonlinear fitting program LIANA<sup>50</sup> calculates the protonation constant values of the diamines at I = 0 mol·L<sup>-1</sup>, from the conditional values at different ionic strengths, using different equations and the relative parameters for the ionic strength dependence. Other details of calculation methods and programs have already been reported.<sup>50</sup> The conversion of concentrations and protonation constants from the molar to the molal scale was obtained using densities of NaCl aqueous solutions as previously reported.51

#### **Results and Discussion**

Amine protonation constants are expressed according to the following equilibria:

1. stepwise protonation reaction

$$H_{i-1}A^{(i-1)+} + H^+ = H_iA^{i+}$$
  $K_i^{\dagger}$ 

2. overall protonation reaction

$$\mathbf{A}^{0} + i\mathbf{H}^{+} = \mathbf{H}_{i}\mathbf{A}^{i+} \qquad \beta_{i}^{\mathbf{H}} = \Pi K_{i}^{\mathbf{H}}$$

The apparent molar protonation constants were calculated at each ionic strength from each experimental measure, and these values are reported in Table 2. In this table we report all the experimental protonation values. The effective ionic strength was calculated, for each solution, at each protonation step: differences in log  $K_i^{\text{H}}$ , at the same Ivalue, and standard deviations are compatible with the precision of the experimental apparatus. For all the amines and for both protonation steps  $K_i^{\text{H}}$  increases sharply with ionic strength. As an example we report in Figure 1 log  $\beta_2^{\text{H}}$ versus  $I^{1/2}$  for **1**: as can be seen, we have a regular increasing function. The thermodynamic molar and molal values of protonation constants  ${}^{T}K_{i\,c}^{H}$  and  ${}^{T}K_{i\,m}^{H}$ , respectively, are calculated by extrapolation to zero ionic strength (Table 3 and Table s1 in the Supporting Information). The dependence on ionic strength was taken into account using the Debye–Hückel type equation:<sup>52</sup>

$$\log K_{i}^{\rm H} = \log {}^{\rm T} K_{i}^{\rm H} - z_{i}^{*} 0.51 \sqrt{I} (1 + 1.5 \sqrt{I}) + C_{i} I + E_{i} I^{2}$$
(1)

where  $z_i^* = 2(i - 1)$ ,  ${}^{T}K_i^{H}$  is the protonation constant at infinite dilution, and  $C_i$  and  $E_i$  are empirical parameters. This equation has been widely used in our laboratory (sometimes with an additional term,  $D_i I^{3/2}$ ), and in several cases it was not necessary to consider the quadratic term  $EI^2$ , in particular for  $I < 2 \text{ mol}\cdot L^{-1}$ . Recently we revised our extensive database on the protonation of carboxylates and amines and found that in eq 1 we may use only the first-order linear term CI, but with C depending on ionic strength:

$$C = C_{\infty} + (C_0 - C_{\infty})F(I)$$
(1a)

$$F(I) = (I+1)^{-1}$$
(1b)

where  $C_{\infty}$  and  $C_0$  are the values of *C* at  $I \rightarrow \infty$  and I = 0, respectively. This approach is quite similar to that already proposed by Pitzer, who suggested for the function *F*(*I*) the form<sup>53</sup>

$$F(I) = [1 - (1 + 2\sqrt{I} - 2I) \exp(-2\sqrt{I})]$$

In Table 3 we report (a) the protonation constants at  $I = 0 \mod L^{-1}$ , that is, <sup>T</sup>log  $K^{\text{H}}$ ; (b) the parameter  $C_i$  considered as constant in the whole ionic strength range; and (c) the parameters  $C_{\infty}$  and  $C_0$  of eq 1a. For each protonation step of each amine we report also the standard deviation on the fit, and we can see that there is a significant improvement by using eq 1a instead of a C value independent of I. Analogous calculations were performed for molal protonation constant, and the relative table is given as Supporting Information (Table s1). Using eq 1 is equivalent to the SIT (specific ion interaction theory) approach for the dependence of molal equilibrium constants on medium,<sup>54</sup> where  $C = \Delta \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon$  = specific interaction parameter).

In the last three decades there has been an always increasing interest in the use of Pitzer equations<sup>53</sup> to take into account the dependence on medium of equilibrium thermodynamic parameters. Using data from this work, we have calculated specific interaction parameters. Protonation data can be expressed as a function of activity coefficient ( $\gamma$ ) (*I*,  $K_{1m}^{\text{H}}$ , and  $K_{2m}^{\text{H}}$  are expressed in the molal concentration scale)

Table 2. Protonation Constants (Molar Concentration Scale) of Substituted Diamines at Different Ionic Strengths, at t = 25 °C

amine <sup>a</sup>	$I/mol \cdot L^{-1}$	$\log {\rm K_1^{\rm H}}\pm \sigma^b$	$I/mol \cdot L^{-1}$	$\log {\it K_2^{\rm H}} \pm \sigma^b$	amine <sup>a</sup>	$I\!/\mathrm{mol}{\cdot}\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$\log {\rm K_1^{\rm H}}\pm \sigma^b$	$I\!/mol\cdot L^{-1}$	$\log  K_2^{\rm H} \pm  \sigma^b$
1	0.108	$10.131 \pm 0.003$	0.112	$7.114 \pm 0.003$	1	0.958	$10.424\pm0.002$	0.985	$7.517 \pm 0.002$
	0.108	$10.130 \pm 0.002$	0.112	$7.107 \pm 0.002$		0.958	$10.445 \pm 0.003$ 11.076 $\pm$ 0.004	0.985	$7.527 \pm 0.003$
	0.112	$10.165 \pm 0.003$ $10.166 \pm 0.001$	0.117	$7.118 \pm 0.003$ 7 121 + 0 001		2.883	$11.076 \pm 0.004$ $11.098 \pm 0.006$	2.944	$8.049 \pm 0.003$ $8.058 \pm 0.007$
	0.491	$10.288 \pm 0.002$	0.501	$7.346 \pm 0.002$		2.834	$11.042 \pm 0.003$	2.917	$8.050 \pm 0.003$
	0.491	$10.310 \pm 0.002$	0.501	$7.338 \pm 0.002$		2.835	$11.036 \pm 0.005$	2.917	$8.052\pm0.006$
	0.488	$10.293 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$7.343 \pm 0.002$		4.819	$11.553 \pm 0.006$	4.930	$8.548 \pm 0.007$
	0.488	$10.300 \pm 0.002$ 10.422 $\pm$ 0.002	0.502	$7.357 \pm 0.002$ 7.512 $\pm 0.002$		4.818	$11.546 \pm 0.007$ $11.521 \pm 0.007$	4.930	$8.56 \pm 0.01$ 8.550 $\pm$ 0.008
	0.970	$10.422 \pm 0.002$ $10.443 \pm 0.001$	0.989	$7.513 \pm 0.002$ 7 523 ± 0.002		4.730	$11.531 \pm 0.007$ $11.53 \pm 0.01$	4.074 4 874	$8.539 \pm 0.008$ $8.546 \pm 0.008$
2	0.102	$9.978 \pm 0.002$	0.108	$7.076 \pm 0.002$	2	0.888	$10.241 \pm 0.005$	0.942	$7.513 \pm 0.005$
	0.102	$9.999\pm0.002$	0.108	$7.081\pm0.002$		0.880	$10.297\pm0.004$	0.935	$7.528 \pm 0.004$
	0.103	$9.975\pm0.002$	0.111	$7.072\pm0.002$		2.695	$10.933 \pm 0.007$	2.827	$8.136 \pm 0.008$
	0.103	$9.939 \pm 0.007$ 10.117 $\pm$ 0.002	0.111	$7.061 \pm 0.007$ 7.220 $\pm 0.002$		2.695	$10.924 \pm 0.007$ 10.810 ± 0.008	2.827	$8.133 \pm 0.008$
	0.464	$10.117 \pm 0.003$ $10.140 \pm 0.003$	0.484	$7.330 \pm 0.003$ 7 346 + 0 004		2.497	$10.819 \pm 0.008$ $10.729 \pm 0.007$	2.055	$8.08 \pm 0.01$ $8.043 \pm 0.008$
	0.449	$10.082 \pm 0.004$	0.477	$7.319 \pm 0.004$		4.444	$11.684 \pm 0.009$	4.844	$8.76 \pm 0.01$
	0.449	$10.114 \pm 0.004$	0.478	$7.335\pm0.005$		4.444	$11.69 \pm 0.01$	4.736	$\textbf{8.77} \pm \textbf{0.01}$
	0.922	$10.262\pm0.004$	0.963	$7.523 \pm 0.005$		4.293	$11.55\pm0.01$	4.657	$8.73 \pm 0.01$
9	0.923	$10.248 \pm 0.004$	0.962	$7.515 \pm 0.005$	9	4.302	$11.55 \pm 0.01$	4.662	$8.73 \pm 0.02$
3	0.102	$9.513 \pm 0.002$ 9.528 + 0.003	0.108	$6.443 \pm 0.002$ $6.456 \pm 0.003$	3	0.895	$9.829 \pm 0.003$ 9.820 + 0.002	0.950	$6.931 \pm 0.003$ $6.930 \pm 0.002$
	0.102	$9.564 \pm 0.003$	0.112	$6.471 \pm 0.002$		2.745	$10.390 \pm 0.002$	2.860	$7.538 \pm 0.002$
	0.103	$9.537 \pm 0.002$	0.112	$6.457 \pm 0.002$		2.729	$10.472\pm0.004$	2.844	$7.554 \pm 0.004$
	0.467	$9.696\pm0.003$	0.487	$6.733\pm0.003$		2.617	$10.469\pm0.005$	2.773	$7.554 \pm 0.005$
	0.467	$9.720 \pm 0.002$	0.487	$6.751 \pm 0.002$		2.602	$10.439 \pm 0.003$	2.754	$7.548 \pm 0.004$
	0.453	$9.695 \pm 0.002$	0.481	$6.738 \pm 0.002$ $6.741 \pm 0.002$		4.165	$11.005 \pm 0.008$ 11.025 $\pm$ 0.000	4.505	$8.095 \pm 0.009$ $8.10 \pm 0.01$
	0.929	$9.836 \pm 0.002$ $9.836 \pm 0.002$	0.968	$6.937 \pm 0.002$		4.450	$11.023 \pm 0.009$ $11.115 \pm 0.009$	4.684	$8.10 \pm 0.01$ $8.14 \pm 0.01$
	0.929	$9.839 \pm 0.002$	0.968	$6.933 \pm 0.002$		4.447	$11.096 \pm 0.008$	4.682	$8.13 \pm 0.01$
4	0.108	$9.814\pm0.003$	0.112	$6.502\pm0.003$	4	0.961	$10.095 \pm 0.002$	0.988	$6.891\pm0.002$
	0.108	$9.830\pm0.003$	0.112	$6.509 \pm 0.003$		0.961	$10.067 \pm 0.002$	0.988	$6.886 \pm 0.002$
	0.112	$9.808 \pm 0.002$ 0.787 $\pm$ 0.002	0.117	$6.504 \pm 0.003$ $6.407 \pm 0.002$		2.895	$10.575 \pm 0.002$ 10.525 $\pm$ 0.002	2.948	$7.372 \pm 0.002$ 7.368 $\pm$ 0.002
	0.112	$9.787 \pm 0.002$ $9.930 \pm 0.002$	0.505	$6.437 \pm 0.002$ $6.727 \pm 0.003$		2.830	$10.535 \pm 0.002$ $10.508 \pm 0.003$	2.948	$7.308 \pm 0.002$ $7.357 \pm 0.004$
	0.491	$9.947 \pm 0.001$	0.501	$6.732 \pm 0.001$		2.846	$10.510 \pm 0.006$	2.919	$7.367 \pm 0.006$
	0.487	$9.935\pm0.002$	0.502	$6.728 \pm 0.003$		4.794	$11.120 \pm 0.005$	4.902	$7.876\pm0.006$
	0.487	$9.917 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$6.715\pm0.002$		4.795	$11.166\pm0.008$	4.902	$7.896 \pm 0.009$
	0.974	$10.079 \pm 0.002$ 10.102 $\pm$ 0.002	0.992	$6.880 \pm 0.002$		4.686	$11.211 \pm 0.007$ 11.147 $\pm 0.006$	4.827	$7.897 \pm 0.008$ 7.891 $\pm$ 0.006
5	0.108	$10.103 \pm 0.002$ $10.006 \pm 0.005$	0.115	$0.009 \pm 0.002$ $7.037 \pm 0.002$	5	4.000	$11.147 \pm 0.000$ $10.292 \pm 0.003$	4.820	$7.881 \pm 0.000$ $7.443 \pm 0.003$
•	0.108	$10.026 \pm 0.002$	0.115	$7.037 \pm 0.002$	•	0.888	$10.295 \pm 0.003$	0.942	$7.442 \pm 0.003$
	0.106	$10.024\pm0.002$	0.110	$7.039\pm0.002$		2.645	$10.821\pm0.004$	2.763	$7.936\pm0.005$
	0.106	$10.008 \pm 0.002$	0.110	$7.032 \pm 0.003$		2.720	$10.869 \pm 0.003$	2.937	$7.952 \pm 0.004$
	0.472	$10.153 \pm 0.002$ 10.120 $\pm$ 0.004	0.491	$7.259 \pm 0.002$ $7.260 \pm 0.004$		2.607	$10.886 \pm 0.004$ 10.844 ± 0.006	2.115	$7.963 \pm 0.005$ 7.960 $\pm 0.007$
	0.496	$10.120 \pm 0.004$ $10.134 \pm 0.002$	0.494	$7.262 \pm 0.004$ $7.262 \pm 0.002$		4.445	$11.538 \pm 0.006$	4.707	$8.488 \pm 0.006$
	0.496	$10.136\pm0.002$	0.493	$7.260\pm0.003$		4.454	$11.478\pm0.003$	4.707	$8.469 \pm 0.004$
	0.923	$10.290\pm0.002$	0.962	$7.433 \pm 0.002$		4.349	$11.48\pm0.01$	4.666	$8.49 \pm 0.01$
0	0.923	$10.307 \pm 0.002$	0.962	$7.440 \pm 0.002$	0	4.353	$11.442 \pm 0.009$	4.670	$8.47 \pm 0.01$
6	0.102	$9.607 \pm 0.003$ $9.603 \pm 0.003$	0.108	$6.323 \pm 0.004$ $6.310 \pm 0.003$	0	0.965	$10.028 \pm 0.002$ $10.025 \pm 0.002$	0.991	$6.862 \pm 0.002$ $6.871 \pm 0.002$
	0.102	$9.603 \pm 0.003$ $9.613 \pm 0.003$	0.111	$6.326 \pm 0.003$		2.896	$10.023 \pm 0.002$ $10.650 \pm 0.002$	2.949	$7.564 \pm 0.002$
	0.103	$9.622\pm0.002$	0.112	$6.329 \pm 0.002$		2.895	$10.690\pm0.003$	2.948	$7.580 \pm 0.003$
	0.464	$9.785\pm0.003$	0.484	$6.634 \pm 0.003$		2.844	$10.652\pm0.004$	2.919	$7.563\pm0.004$
	0.464	$9.796 \pm 0.002$	0.484	$6.641 \pm 0.002$		2.844	$10.629 \pm 0.003$	2.919	$7.558 \pm 0.003$
	0.481	$9.828 \pm 0.002$ $9.823 \pm 0.002$	0.497	$6.639 \pm 0.002$ $6.633 \pm 0.002$		4.804	$11.344 \pm 0.005$ $11.315 \pm 0.003$	4.904	$8.250 \pm 0.006$ $8.241 \pm 0.004$
	0.978	$10.006 \pm 0.002$	0.995	$6.860 \pm 0.002$		4.698	$11.257 \pm 0.005$	4.838	$8.214 \pm 0.004$
	0.978	$10.013\pm0.002$	0.996	$6.866 \pm 0.002$		4.700	$11.215\pm0.005$	4.839	$8.199 \pm 0.006$
7	0.109	$10.588\pm0.004$	0.112	$8.800\pm0.004$	7	0.957	$10.911 \pm 0.002$	0.986	$9.260\pm0.003$
	0.109	$10.633 \pm 0.003$	0.112	$8.818 \pm 0.003$		0.957	$10.914 \pm 0.003$	0.986	$9.260 \pm 0.004$
	0.112	$10.619 \pm 0.003$ $10.606 \pm 0.003$	0.117	$8.810 \pm 0.003$ $8.807 \pm 0.004$		2.805	$11.48 \pm 0.01$ $11.39 \pm 0.01$	2.948 2.948	$9.851 \pm 0.009$ $9.84 \pm 0.01$
	0.492	$10.000 \pm 0.003$ $10.763 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$9.069 \pm 0.003$		2.819	$11.316 \pm 0.006$	2.911	$9.828 \pm 0.007$
	0.492	$10.781 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$9.074 \pm 0.002$		2.818	$11.324\pm0.007$	2.911	$9.821\pm0.008$
	0.488	$10.771 \pm 0.003$	0.503	$9.064 \pm 0.003$		4.617	$12.19\pm0.02$	4.803	$10.37\pm0.01$
	0.488	$10.767 \pm 0.002$	0.503	$9.064 \pm 0.003$		4.629	$12.16 \pm 0.02$	4.802	$10.36 \pm 0.01$
	0.969	$10.941 \pm 0.002$ $10.985 \pm 0.001$	0.992	$9.271 \pm 0.003$ $9.283 \pm 0.002$		4.630	$12.207 \pm 0.009$ $12.280 \pm 0.009$	4.866	$10.477 \pm 0.004$ $10.480 \pm 0.004$
8	0.108	$10.677 \pm 0.001$	0.113	$8.961 \pm 0.002$	8	0.952	$10.916 \pm 0.006$	0.981	$9.413 \pm 0.007$
	0.108	$10.670\pm0.004$	0.113	$8.954 \pm 0.005$		0.951	$11.045 \pm 0.003$	0.981	$9.433 \pm 0.003$
	0.104	$10.677 \pm 0.004$	0.108	$8.965\pm0.005$		2.840	$11.67\pm0.01$	2.943	$10.04 \pm 0.01$
	0.104	$10.676 \pm 0.004$	0.108	$8.963 \pm 0.005$		2.845	$11.72 \pm 0.01$	2.943	$10.057 \pm 0.008$
	0.491	$10.3 1 \pm 0.002$	0.002	$_{3.243} \pm 0.003$		2.002	$11.37 \pm 0.01$	2.913	$10.03 \pm 0.01$

Table 2 (Continued)

amine <sup>a</sup>	$I\!/\mathrm{mol}\!\cdot\!\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$\log {\rm K}_1^{\rm H}\pm \sigma^b$	$I\!/\mathrm{mol}\!\cdot\!\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$\log {\rm K_2^{\rm H}}\pm \sigma^b$	amine <sup>a</sup>	$I\!/\mathrm{mol}{\cdot}\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$\log {\rm K}_1^{\rm H}\pm \sigma^b$	$I\!/\mathrm{mol}{\cdot}\mathrm{L}^{-1}$	$\log  {\it K}_2^{\rm H} \pm  \sigma^b$
8	0.491	$10.853\pm0.005$	0.502	$9.234 \pm 0.005$	8	2.802	$11.59\pm0.01$	2.916	$10.035 \pm 0.009$
	0.487	$10.869\pm0.003$	0.503	$9.234 \pm 0.004$		4.690	$12.56\pm0.02$	4.871	$10.659\pm0.003$
	0.487	$10.863 \pm 0.004$	0.503	$9.239 \pm 0.005$		4.700	$12.53\pm0.02$	4.870	$10.669 \pm 0.002$
	0.964	$10.948\pm0.008$	0.986	$9.437 \pm 0.009$		4.650	$12.37\pm0.02$	4.840	$10.663\pm0.004$
	0.968	$10.88\pm0.01$	0.989	$9.42\pm0.01$		4.650	$12.38\pm0.02$	4.840	$10.652\pm0.005$
9	0.108	$10.191 \pm 0.003$	0.112	$8.223 \pm 0.003$	9	0.957	$10.490\pm0.001$	0.984	$8.699 \pm 0.001$
	0.108	$10.229\pm0.004$	0.112	$8.243 \pm 0.004$		0.957	$10.500 \pm 0.002$	0.984	$8.708 \pm 0.002$
	0.112	$10.193\pm0.002$	0.117	$8.218 \pm 0.003$		2.875	$11.037 \pm 0.002$	2.936	$9.320\pm0.002$
	0.112	$10.185 \pm 0.002$	0.117	$8.226 \pm 0.002$		2.879	$11.035 \pm 0.002$	2.939	$9.324 \pm 0.003$
	0.491	$10.331 \pm 0.002$	0.501	$8.498 \pm 0.002$		2.832	$11.026 \pm 0.004$	2.912	$9.320\pm0.005$
	0.491	$10.350 \pm 0.002$	0.501	$8.503 \pm 0.002$		2.832	$10.994\pm0.002$	2.912	$9.322 \pm 0.003$
	0.487	$10.338 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$8.493 \pm 0.002$		4.784	$11.63\pm0.01$	4.902	$9.91\pm0.01$
	0.487	$10.350 \pm 0.002$	0.502	$8.496 \pm 0.002$		4.784	$11.633 \pm 0.008$	4.901	$9.922\pm0.008$
	0.971	$10.476\pm0.002$	0.989	$8.702 \pm 0.003$		4.720	$11.655 \pm 0.009$	4.867	$9.928 \pm 0.009$
	0.970	$10.491 \pm 0.002$	0.989	$8.709 \pm 0.002$		4.724	$11.614 \pm 0.007$	4.867	$9.915 \pm 0.008$

<sup>*a*</sup> Abbreviations are reported in Table 1. <sup>*b*</sup>  $\sigma$  = standard deviation.



**Figure 1.** Dependence on ionic strength of the overall protonation constant of **1**, at t = 25 °C, in NaCl<sub>aq</sub>.

$$\begin{split} H^{+} + A^{0} &= HA^{+} \\ \ln K_{1m}^{H} &= \ln {}^{T}K_{1m}^{H} + \ln \gamma_{H^{+}} + \ln \gamma_{A^{0}} - \ln \gamma_{HA^{+}} \\ H^{+} + HA^{+} &= H_{2}A^{2+} \\ \ln K_{2m}^{H} &= \ln {}^{T}K_{2m}^{H} + \ln \gamma_{H^{+}} + \ln \gamma_{HA^{+}} - \ln \gamma_{H_{2}A^{2}} \end{split}$$

and, using Pitzer equations, we have

$$\ln K_{1m}^{\rm H} = \ln^{\rm T} K_{1m}^{\rm H} + P_1 I + P_2 f + P_3 I^2$$
(2)

$$\lim \mathbf{x}_{2m} - \lim \mathbf{x}_{2m} + P_4 I + P_5 I + P_6 I - 2I - 0.2004 I_1$$
(2a)

where

$$P_{1} = 2(\beta^{(0)}_{H,Cl} - \beta^{(0)}_{HA,Cl} + \theta_{H,Na} - \theta_{HA,Na} + \lambda_{A})$$

$$P_{2} = (\beta^{(1)}_{H,Cl} - \beta^{(1)}_{HA,Cl})$$

$$P_{3} = (C^{\phi}_{H,Cl}/|z_{H}z_{Cl}|^{1/2} - C^{\phi}_{HA,Cl}/|z_{HA}z_{Cl}|^{1/2} + \psi_{H,Na,Cl} - \psi_{HA,Na,Cl})$$

 $f = 1 - (1 + 2I^{1/2})exp(-2I^{1/2})$ 

$$f' = -0.3912 + 0.0006636\Delta T + 0.000003562\Delta T^2 [I^{1/2}/(1 + 1.2I^{1/2}) + 1.667 \ln (1 + 1.2I^{1/2})]$$
$$f_{*} = [-1 + (1 + 2I^{1/2} + 2I) \exp(-2I^{1/2})]$$

 $\beta^{(0)}, \beta^{(1)}, \text{ and } C^{(\phi)}$  represent interaction parameters between two ions of opposite signs,  $\theta$  is an interaction parameter between two ions of the same sign,  $\psi$  is a triple interaction parameter (+ - +, - + -), and  $\lambda$  is the interaction parameter for neutral species. The parameters  $P_1 - P_6$  are reported in Table 4. Note that, in fitting molal protonation constants to eqs 2 and 2a, the parameters  $P_2$  and  $P_5$  (and the relative terms) are not necessary (statistical parameters of the fit are not improved using the relative terms) and were not considered. Moreover, also other Pitzer models were analyzed which neglect the quadratic term but consider the term containing f,  $P_5$  (an example is reported as Supporting Information in Table s2); the best one is that represented by the parameters reported in Table 4. From the numerical values of empirical *P* parameters it is possible, using reported values of Pitzer interaction parameters, such as  $\hat{\beta}^{(0)}_{\rm H,Cl}$ ,  $\theta_{\rm H,Na}$ ,  $\beta^{(1)}_{\rm H,Cl}$ , and so forth, to calculate specific interaction parameters relative to the amines studied in this work.

Now we can compare the results obtained by using eqs 1 or 2. The standard deviations in the fit for the two models are (molal scale)  $\sigma = 0.034$  and 0.012 (log  $K_1^{\text{H}}$ , log  $K_2^{\text{H}}$ ) and  $\sigma = 0.033$  and 0.012, respectively. By this criterion the two models are equivalent.

**Influence of Substitution.** In the gas phase and in some solvents which cannot form hydrogen bonds, the order of basicity for ammonia and mono-, di-, and trisubstituted amines is  $(CH_3)_3N > (CH_3)_2NH > (CH_3)NH_2 > NH_3$ . Instead, in aqueous solution is present the solvation effect, and for example, at t = 25 °C, the order is  $(CH_3)_2NH \approx$  $(CH_3)NH_2 > (CH_3)_3N > NH_3$ . In this case the basicincreasing effect of methyl substitution is opposed by the basic-weakening effect caused by a reduction in hydrogen bonding, since the methyl group exercises a "solvent exclusion" effect.55 Also in the case of diamines, such as those studied in this work, the basicity depends both on inductive effects and on hydrogen bonding. Both methyl and ethyl substitution affect the basicity of the diamines, and the effect of *N*-ethyl substitution is significantly higher. These effects have been studied by Näsänen et al., and a linear combination of effects has been proposed.<sup>16</sup> The

Table 3. Molar Values of Protonation Constants of Substituted Amines at Infinite Dilution, Together with the Parameters for the Dependence on Ionic Strength, at t = 25 °C

amine	$\log^{\mathrm{T}} \textit{K}_{\mathrm{1c}}^{\mathrm{H}} \pm \sigma^{a}$	$\sigma_1 \ ^b$	$\log^{\mathrm{T}} \mathit{K}_{\rm 2c}^{\rm H} \pm \sigma^{a}$	$\sigma_2 \ ^b$	$C_1 \pm \sigma$ , $C_{1\infty} \pm \sigma$ , $C_{10} \pm \sigma^a$	$C_2 \pm \sigma$ , $C_{2\infty} \pm \sigma$ , $C_{20} \pm \sigma^a$	
1	$10.141 \pm 0.002$	0.0351	$6.871 \pm 0.001$	0.0103	$0.305 \pm 0.002, 0.273 \pm 0.004, 0.49 \pm 0.02$	$0.2346 \pm 0.0007, 0.227 \pm 0.002, 0.28 \pm 0.01$	
_	$10.087 \pm 0.007$	0.0276	$6.857 \pm 0.003$	0.0089			
2	$9.919 \pm 0.005$	0.0525	$6.826\pm0.002$	0.0190	$0.384 \pm 0.004$ , $0.418 \pm 0.005$ , $0.19 \pm 0.02$	$0.292 \pm 0.002$ , $0.291 \pm 0.002$ , $0.30 \pm 0.01$	
	$9.97 \pm 0.02$	0.0460	$6.823\pm0.005$	0.0190			
3	$9.511 \pm 0.003$	0.0306	$6.234 \pm 0.004$	0.0205	$0.357 \pm 0.001$ $0.359 \pm 0.003$ $0.34 \pm 0.02$	$0.295 \pm 0.001$ $0.278 \pm 0.002$ $0.40 \pm 0.01$	
	$9.515\pm0.007$	0.0305	$6.199 \pm 0.004$	0.0163	$0.007 \pm 0.001$ , $0.000 \pm 0.000$ , $0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.200 \pm 0.001, 0.270 \pm 0.002, 0.40 \pm 0.01$	
4	$9.788 \pm 0.004$	0.0433	$6.252\pm0.002$	0.0213	$0.283 \pm 0.002$ $0.293 \pm 0.005$ $0.22 \pm 0.02$	$0.225 \pm 0.001$ $0.229 \pm 0.003$ $0.19 \pm 0.02$	
	$9.805\pm0.003$	0.0424	$6.260\pm0.007$	0.0202	$0.203 \pm 0.002, 0.233 \pm 0.003, 0.22 \pm 0.02$	$0.223 \pm 0.001, 0.223 \pm 0.003, 0.13 \pm 0.02$	
5	$9.977\pm0.002$	0.0238	$6.787\pm0.001$	0.0168	$0.340 \pm 0.001$ $0.347 \pm 0.004$ $0.30 \pm 0.02$	$0.2466 \pm 0.0006$ $0.246 \pm 0.002$ $0.25 \pm 0.01$	
	$9.987 \pm 0.004$	0.0230	$6.786 \pm 0.002$	0.0168	$0.340 \pm 0.001, 0.347 \pm 0.004, 0.30 \pm 0.02$	$0.2400 \pm 0.0000, 0.240 \pm 0.002, 0.23 \pm 0.01$	
6	$9.633 \pm 0.005$	0.0395	$6.112\pm0.005$	0.0244			
	$9.556 \pm 0.003$	0.0220	$6.055\pm0.001$	0.0136	$0.331 \pm 0.002, 0.307 \pm 0.002, 0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.327 \pm 0.001, 0.301 \pm 0.002, 0.30 \pm 0.01$	
7	$10.586 \pm 0.005$	0.0906	$8.573 \pm 0.006$	0.0250			
	$10.61\pm0.02$	0.0872	$8.547 \pm 0.007$	0.0238	$0.320 \pm 0.000, 0.34 \pm 0.01, 0.20 \pm 0.00$	$0.271 \pm 0.003, 0.232 \pm 0.007, 0.37 \pm 0.03$	
8	$10.632\pm0.003$	0.0749	$8.723 \pm 0.004$	0.0184			
	$10.67\pm0.02$	0.0678	$8.699 \pm 0.007$	0.0163	$0.373 \pm 0.003, 0.41 \pm 0.01, 0.18 \pm 0.05$	$0.290 \pm 0.001, 0.277 \pm 0.005, 0.37 \pm 0.02$	
9	$10.181 \pm 0.001$	0.0219	$7.993 \pm 0.003$	0.0174			
	$10.178\pm0.006$	0.0219	$7.962\pm0.005$	0.0120	$0.304 \pm 0.001, 0.302 \pm 0.003, 0.32 \pm 0.02$	$0.286 \pm 0.001, 0.269 \pm 0.002, 0.40 \pm 0.02$	
10	$9.22\pm0.01$	0.0249	$5.672 \pm 0.007$	0.0178	0.040 + 0.007 0.074 + 0.004 0.00 + 0.01	0.000 + 0.005 0.000 + 0.004 0.51 + 0.01	
	$9.151\pm0.004$	0.0031	$5.624 \pm 0.004$	0.0058	$0.343 \pm 0.005, 0.274 \pm 0.004, 0.60 \pm 0.01$	$0.333 \pm 0.005, 0.288 \pm 0.004, 0.51 \pm 0.01$	
		0.0446		0.0100			
		0.044		0.019			
		$0.037^{c}$		$0.015^{c}$			

 ${}^{a}\sigma$  = standard deviation.  ${}^{b}\sigma_{1}$  and  $\sigma_{2}$  are the standard deviations on the fit of log  $K_{1}^{H}$  and log  $K_{2}^{H}$ , respectively.  ${}^{c}$  Mean values of the standard deviation on the fit; first row values are obtained by considering *C* (eq 1) independent of *I*, and second row values are obtained using eq 1a.

 Table 4. Pitzer Parameters (Molal Concentration Scale) Calculated with Eqs 2 and 2a

amine	$\log^{\mathrm{T}} \textit{K}_{1\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{H}} \pm \sigma^{a}$	$\sigma_1 {}^b$	$\log^{\mathrm{T}} \textit{K}_{\rm 2m}^{\rm H} \pm \sigma^{a}$	$\sigma_2 {}^b$	$P_1 \pm \sigma^a$	$P_3 \pm \sigma^a$	$P_4 \pm \sigma^a$	$P_6 \pm \sigma^a$
1	$10.111\pm0.004$	0.0217	$6.815\pm0.003$	0.0123	$0.81\pm0.01$	$-0.037 \pm 0.002$	$0.132\pm0.007$	$0.023\pm0.001$
2	$9.957 \pm 0.003$	0.0381	$6.783 \pm 0.002$	0.0136	$0.68\pm0.01$	$0.022\pm0.002$	$0.211\pm0.007$	$0.031\pm0.001$
3	$9.520\pm0.004$	0.0279	$6.172\pm0.002$	0.0128	$0.77\pm0.01$	$-0.009 \pm 0.002$	$0.277\pm0.009$	$0.021\pm0.002$
4	$9.809 \pm 0.002$	0.0360	$6.214 \pm 0.002$	0.0118	$0.53\pm0.01$	$0.007\pm0.002$	$0.030\pm0.007$	$0.039 \pm 0.001$
5	$9.986 \pm 0.003$	0.0214	$6.742\pm0.003$	0.0143	$0.71\pm0.01$	$-0.005 \pm 0.003$	$0.107\pm0.009$	$0.033\pm0.002$
6	$9.600\pm0.004$	0.0319	$6.037\pm0.001$	0.0089	$0.915\pm0.008$	$-0.039 \pm 0.001$	$0.399 \pm 0.004$	$0.0102 \pm 0.0008$
7	$10.65\pm0.01$	0.0659	$8.518 \pm 0.003$	0.0175	$0.44\pm0.03$	$0.044\pm0.006$	$0.20\pm0.01$	$0.027 \pm 0.03$
8	$10.67\pm0.01$	0.0581	$8.669 \pm 0.01$	0.0110	$0.62\pm0.03$	$0.029 \pm 0.007$	$0.250\pm0.007$	$0.023\pm0.001$
9	$10.188\pm0.002$	0.0207	$7.930\pm0.001$	0.0086	$0.644 \pm 0.008$	$-0.007 \pm 0.002$	$0.276 \pm 0.004$	$0.0168 \pm 0.0007$
10	$9.174 \pm 0.006$	0.0081	$5.608 \pm 0.002$	0.0058	$1.00\pm0.02$	$-0.073 \pm 0.008$	$0.38\pm0.01$	$0.030\pm0.005$
		0.0330 <sup>c</sup>		0.0117 <sup>c</sup>				

 $^{a}\sigma$  = standard deviation.  $^{b}$  Standard deviations on the fit.  $^{c}$  Mean values.

parameters that define the dependence on ionic strength using both models are quite similar for all the diamines here studied (Tables 3 and 4), and this is in accordance with our previous findings. Nevertheless, some small differences can be evidenced as a function of alkyl substitution.

By considering the  $C_{\infty}$  and  $C_0$  parameters of eq 1a versus the substitution of each aminic group present on the 10 diamines of this work, we have obtained some general equations for the dependence of these parameters on substitution:

$$C_{1\infty} = 0.33 \ (\pm 0.01)$$

$$C_{10} = 0.15 \ (\pm 0.03) + 0.023 \ (\pm 0.003)(x_1^2 + x_2^2)$$
 (3)

$$C_{2\infty} = 0.268 \ (\pm 0.008)$$

 $C_{20} = 0.16 \ (\pm 0.02) + 0.017 \ (\pm 0.005) [x_1^2 + 1.5 \ (\pm 0.5) x_2^2]$ 

where x = 1, 2, or 3 for primary, secondary, or tertiary aminic group, respectively ( $x_1$  refers to the first protonable amino groups and  $x_2$  to the second) with a mean deviation  $\epsilon = 0.046$ . These results are in very good agreement with those obtained in ref 44.

Comparisons with Literature Data. Some diamines whose protonation constants are reported in this work were already studied in different laboratories. In particular, for 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 we found several data that can be compared with present findings. By using both literature data and our data, we made interpolations and found some recommended values, reported in Table 5, at  $I \leq 1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ . The analysis of literature data (in the Supporting Information we report the comparison with literature, in Table s3), together with our data and the results of the interpolation (Table 5), shows that (a) there is no appreciable difference, at the same ionic strength, for constants determined in different ionic media ( $I < 1 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$ ), and in many cases it seems that experimental error is higher than these differences; (b) confidence intervals are fairly low and quite constant for all the amines; (c) many of the constants reported in Table 5 can be considered as "recommended" by assuming that for a recommended value an equilibrium value is sufficient at a confidence interval (95% c.i.)  $\leq$  5%, that is,  $\pm 0.02$  in log  $K^{\text{H}}$ ; the other values can be reasonably defined as "tentative". The protonation constant values at  $I \ge 2 \text{ mol} \cdot L^{-1}$  cannot be compared with literature, since this (together with our previous reports) is the first systematic investigation on the medium effect at high ionic strengths.

Table 5. Some Recommended or Tentative Values (Molar Concentration Scale), in the Range  $0 \le I \le 1 \text{ mol}\cdot L^{-1}$ , Calculated by Considering Both Literature and Present Protonation Constants, at t = 25 °C

		$\log K_i^{\mathrm{H}}$ at the following values of $I\!/\mathrm{mol}\!\cdot\!\mathrm{L}^{-1}$								
amine	i	0	0.1	0.5	1.0					
1	1	$10.13\pm0.03^{a}$	$10.18\pm0.02^{a}$	$10.29\pm0.03^{a}$	$10.44\pm0.04^a$					
	2	$6.87 \pm 0.03$	$7.11\pm0.02$	$7.34 \pm 0.03$	$7.52\pm0.04$					
2	1	$10.03\pm0.02$	$10.05\pm0.01$	$10.13\pm0.02$	$10.26\pm0.02$					
	2	$6.96 \pm 0.04$	$7.17\pm0.03$	$7.31\pm0.04$	$7.43 \pm 0.06$					
3	1	$9.68 \pm 0.04$	$9.69 \pm 0.03$	$9.73 \pm 0.02$	$9.84 \pm 0.02$					
	2	$6.42\pm0.06$	$6.61\pm0.04$	$6.70\pm0.03$	$6.79 \pm 0.05$					
4	1	$9.75\pm0.02$	$9.77 \pm 0.02$	$9.89 \pm 0.01$	$10.05\pm0.01$					
	2	$6.25\pm0.01$	$6.48 \pm 0.01$	$6.67\pm0.02$	$6.83 \pm 0.03$					
5	1	$10.01\pm0.01$	$10.04\pm0.01$	$10.17\pm0.01$	$10.33\pm0.02$					
	2	$6.79 \pm 0.01$	$7.03\pm0.01$	$7.26\pm0.01$	$7.44 \pm 0.01$					
6	1	$9.68 \pm 0.02$	$9.71\pm0.01$	$9.83\pm0.01$	$9.98 \pm 0.01$					
	2	$6.13\pm0.01$	$6.38 \pm 0.01$	$6.63\pm0.01$	$6.83 \pm 0.01$					
7	1	$10.62\pm0.03$	$10.65\pm0.03$	$10.79\pm0.01$	$10.96\pm0.02$					
	2	$8.58 \pm 0.03$	$8.84 \pm 0.02$	$9.10\pm0.01$	$9.31\pm0.02$					

<sup>*a*</sup> Errors are expressed as  $\geq$  95% confidence intervals.

#### **Final Remarks**

The protonation constants of several diamines, partially N-alkyl substituted, were reported in a wide ionic strength range in NaCl<sub>aq</sub>. For all these amines these are the first data at high ionic strength. The dependence on medium was taken into account by using a simple Debye–Hückel type equation (eq 1) and the Pitzer model (eqs 2 and 2a). Both models give reliable results, and when using in the fitting the same number of parameters, the standard deviation in the fit is the same. Small differences can be observed, in the ionic strength dependence, for differently substituted amines (eq 3). In general, it can be affirmed that the low molecular weight aliphatic amine class clearly shows several similarities as concerns both the values of protonation constants and their dependence on ionic strength.

## **Supporting Information Available:**

Tables of protonation data on substituted diamines. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### Literature Cited

- Snyder, S. H.; Ferris, C. D. Novel Neurotransmitters and their Neuropsychiatric Relevance. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 2000, *157*, 1738– 1751.
- (2) Russel, D. H. Clinical Relevance of Polyamines. Crit. Rev. Lab. Sci. 1982, 18, 261–311.
- (3) Tabor, H. The Protective Effect of Spermine and Other Polyamines Against Heat Denaturation of Deoxyribonucleic Acid. *Biochemistry* **1962**, *1*, 496–501.
- (4) Maga, J. A. Amines in Food. CRC Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr. 1978, 4, 373–404.
- (5) Basolo, F.; Chen, Y.; Murmann, R. Steric Effects and the Stability of Complexes Compounds. IV. The Chelating Tendencies of C-substituted Ethylenediamines with Copper (II) and Nickel(II) Ions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1954**, 76, 956–959.
- (6) Basolo, F.; Murmann, R. Steric Effects and the Stability of Complexes Compounds. II. Chelating Tendencies of N,N'-dialkylethylenediamines with Copper (II) and Nickel(II) Ions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1954**, *76*, 211–214.
- (7) Basolo, F.; Murmann, R. Dissociation Constants of N-alkylethylenediamines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1952, 74, 2373–2374.
- (8) Irving, H.; Griffiths, J. M. M. The Stabilities of Complexes Formed by Some Bivalent Transition Metals with N-alkyl-substituted ethylenediamines. J. Chem. Soc. 1954, 213–223.
- (9) Martell, A. E.; Chaberek, S.; Courtney, R. C.; Westerback, S.; Hyytiainen, H. Hydrolitic Tendencies of Metal Chelate Compounds. I. Cu(II) Chelates. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 3036– 3041.
- (10) Gustafson, R. L.; Martell, A. E. Hydrolytic Tendencies of Metal Chelate Compounds. Hydrolysis and Dimerization of Copper(II)

Chelates with 1,2-diamines. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 525–529.

- (11) McIntyre, G. H.; Block, B. P.; Fernelius, W. C. A Thermodynamic Study of Some Metal-Amine Coordination Compounds. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 529–535.
- (12) Näsänen, R.; Koskinen, M. Protonation of 1,2-diamino-2-methylpropane and its Tendency to Form Copper Complexes in Aqueous Solution. Acta Chem. Scand. 1964, 18, 1337-1340.
  (13) Sillén, L. G.; Martell, A. E. Stability Constants of Metal-Ion
- (13) Sillén, L. G.; Martell, A. E. Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes, Special Publication 17; The Chemical Society: London, U.K., 1964.
- (14) Näsänen, R.; Koskinen, M.; Anttila, L.; Korvola, M. L. Formation Constant Values for the Association of N,N'-Dimethyl-ethylenediamine with Hydrogen, Copper and Nickel Ions in Aqueous Solution. *Suomen. Chem.* **1966**, *B39*, 122–127.
- (15) Näsänen, R.; Koskinen, M.; Alatalo, M.; Adler, L.; Koskela, S. Stability Constant Values for the Protonation and Copper (II) Complex Formation of N,N-diethyl-N'-methylethylenediamine and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine in Aqueous Solution. *Suom. Kemistil.* **1967**, *B40*, 124–127.
  (16) Näsänen, R.; Tilus, P.; Huttunen, E.; Turunen, E. R. Stability
- (16) Näsänen, R.; Tilus, P.; Huttunen, E.; Turunen, E. R. Stability Constant Values for the Protonation and Copper (II) and Nickel (II) Complex Formation of N-ethylethylenediamine in Aqueous Solution. Suom. Kemistil. 1969, B42, 390-393.
  (17) Paoletti, P.; Barbucci, R.; Vacca, A.; Dei, A. Thermodynamics of
- (17) Paoletti, P.; Barbucci, R.; Vacca, A.; Dei, A. Thermodynamics of Protonation of Amines. Values of logK, ΔH and ΔS for the Protonation of N,N'- and N,N-dimethylethylenediamine and N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine. J. Chem. Soc. (A) 1971, 310–313.
- (18) Sillén, L. G.; Martell, A. E. Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes; Special Publication 25; The Chemical Society: London, 1975.
- (19) Barbucci, R.; Fabbrizzi, L.; Paoletti, P. Thermodynamics of Complex Formation of Aliphatic Linear Tetra-amines. ΔH<sup>0</sup> and ΔS<sup>0</sup> for the Reactions of 1,5,9,13-tetra-azatridecane with Protons and Some Bivalent Transition Metal Ions. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1972**, 745–749.
- (20) Creyf, H. S.; Van Poucke, L. C. The Free Energy, Enthalpy and Entropy Changes of the Dissociation of Diprotonated Diamines. *Thermochim. Acta* 1972, 4, 485–491.
- (21) Curtis, N. F.; Hedwig, G. R.; Powell, H. K. Stabilities of Nickel-(II) Complexes with some C-alkylethylenediamines. *Aust. J. Chem.* 1972, 25, 2025–2027.
- (22) Newman, M. S.; Busch, D. H.; Cheney, G. E.; Gustafson, C. R. Synthesis and Chelation Studies of 2,2-dialkyl-1,3-propanediamines. *Inorg. Chem.* **1972**, *11*, 2890–2893.
- (23) Hedwig, G. R., Powell, H. K. J. Thermodynamic Study on Oxime Complexes: the Relative Coordinating Power of Ketone, Oxime, and Amine Functional Groups. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1974, 47–51.
- (24) Kaden, T. A.; Zuberbuhler, A. D. Transition Metal Ions and Amides. VI. Complexation of the Neutral and Anionic Forms of 3,7-diazanonanedioic acid diamide and 3,7-diazanonanedioic acid diethylamide with diamide and 3,7-diazanonanedioic acid diethylamide with Cu<sup>2+</sup> and Ni<sup>2+</sup>. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1974, *57*, 286–293.
  (25) Turan, T. S. Steric Constraints in Coordination Reactions. Kinetic
- (25) Turan, T. S. Steric Constraints in Coordination Reactions. Kinetic Study of the Reaction of aquonickel(II) with *N*-methyl-substituted ethylenediamines. *Inorg. Chem.* **1974**, *13*, 1584–1590.
- (26) Christensen, J. J.; Hansen, L. D.; Izatt, R. M. Handbook of Proton Ionization Heats; Wiley: New York, 1976.
- (27) Sovago, I.; Gergely, A. Effects of Steric Factors on the Equilibrium and Thermodynamic Conditions of Mixed Ligand Complexes of the Copper(II) Ion with Diamines. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1976, *20*, 27–32.
- (28) Powell, H. K. J.; Russell, J. M. The Coordinating power of Oxime, Oximato and o-methyloxime Donor Groups: the Stability of Copper(II) Complexes. Aust. J. Chem. 1977, 30, 2433–2438.
- (29) Anderegg, G.; Blauenstein, P. Polyamines XIV. Protonation Equilibria of Linear Tetramines Containing Two ethylenediamine Residues. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1982, *65*, 162–169.
- (30) Perrin, D. D. IUPAC Chemical Data Series n. 22; Stability Constants of Metal-Ion Complexes, 2nd. Suppl. Part B, Organic Ligands, Pergamon: Oxford, 1982.
- (31) Barone, V.; Lelj, F.; Nicolaus, O.; Abbate, G.; Barbucci, R. The Mechanism of Protonation of Diamines in Aqueous Solution. A <sup>13</sup>C NMR Investigation. *Gazz. Chim. Ital.* **1984**, *114*, 249–251.
- (32) Odani, A.; Yamauchi, O. Preferential Formation of Ternary Copper (II) Complexes Involving Substituted ethylenediamines and Amino Acids with a Aromatic Side Chain. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1984, *93*, 13–18.
- (33) Frenna, V.; Vivona, N.; Consiglio, G.; Spinelli, D. Amine Basicities in Benzene and in Water. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1985, 1865–1868.
- (34) Fournaise, R.; Petitfaux, C.; Emond, J.-C. Study of Complex Formation in Aqueous Solution. Part 2. Computerised Protometric Method of Determination of Free Ion Concentrations in Solution (CILS method) and Estimation of Complex Stability Constants. Application to the Copper(II) Complexes of N.N-dimethyl-1,2diaminoethane. J. Chem. Res. (S) 1986, 372–373.

- (35) May, P. M.; Murray, K. JESS, a joint expert system-II. The Thermodynamic Database. *Talanta* **1991**, *38* (12), 1419–1426.
- (36) Murray, K.; May, P. M. Joint Expert Speciation System; JESS Primer, Murdoch, University Western Australia, 1995.
  (37) Martell, A. E.; Smith, R. M.; Motekaitis, R. J. NIST Critically
- (37) Martell, A. E.; Smith, R. M.; Motekaitis, R. J. NIST Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes, Database Version 4.0, 1997.
- (38) Järvinen, R. A. Thermodynamic Study of the Ionization of N,N,N',N'-tetra-ethylethylenediamine in Aqueous Solution. *Suom. Kemistil.* **1969**, *B42*, 409–412.
- Kemistil. 1969, B42, 409–412.
  (39) Koskinen, M.; Kollin, K. The Effect of Ionic Strength on the Protonation of N,N'-dimethyl-1,3-propanediamine and N,N-dibutyl-1,3-propanediamine in Sodium Perchlorate Solutions. Suom. Kemistil. 1972, B45, 114–116.
  (40) Näsänen, R.; Tilus, P.; Teikari, T. Protonation Constant Values
- (40) Näsänen, R.; Tilus, P.; Teikari, T. Protonation Constant Values for N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-1,3-propanediamine in Aqueous Solution. *Finn. Chem. Lett.* **1974**, 263–265.
- (41) Näsänen, R.; Koskinen, M.; Tilus, P.; Ilomäki, A. Stability Constant Values for the Protonation and Copper(II) and Nickel-(II) Complex Formation of N-methylethylenediamine in Aqueous Solution. Suom. Kemistil. 1970, B43, 34–38.
- (42) Casale, A.; De Robertis, A.; Licastro, F.; Rigano, C. Salt Effects on the Protonation of ethylenediamine: a Complex-Formation model. *J. Chem. Res.* **1990**, (S) 204 (M), 1601–1620.
- (43) De Robertis, A.; De Stefano, C.; Patanè, G.; Sammartano, S. Effects of Salt on the Protonation in Aqueous Solution of triethylenetetramine and tetraethylenepentamine. *J. Solution Chem.* **1993**, *22*, 927–940.
- (44) De Robertis, A.; Foti, C.; Giuffrè, O.; Sammartano, S. Dependence on Ionic Strength of Polyamines Protonation in NaCl Aqueous Solution. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2001, 46, 1425–1435.
- (45) Casale, A.; Foti, C.; Sammartano, S.; Signorino, G. Thermodynamic Parameters for the Protonation of Some Polyamines C<sub>(2n-2)</sub>N<sub>n</sub>H<sub>(5n-2)</sub> in NaCl Aqueous Solution at Different Ionic Strengths. Ann. Chim. (Rome) **1998**, 88, 55–70.

- (46) Cascio, S.; De Robertis, A.; Foti, C. Protonation of Diamines H<sub>2</sub>N-(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>-NH<sub>2</sub> (n = 2 to 10) in NaCl Aqueous Solution at Different Ionic Strengths. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1999**, *44*, 735–738.
- (47) Cascio, S.; De Robertis, A.; Foti, C. Protonation of Polyamines in NaCl Aqueous Solution and Binding of Cl<sup>-</sup> by Polyammonium Cations. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2000**, *170*, 167–181.
- (48) De Stefano, C.; Foti, C.; Giuffrè, O. Medium Effects on the Protonation Enthalpies of Linear Diamines in NaCl Aqueous Solution, at 25 °C. *Thermochim. Acta* **2000**, *363*, 29–35.
- (49) De Stefano, C.; Princi, P.; Rigano, C.; Sammartano, S. Computer Analysis of Equilibrium Data in Solution: an improved version of the ESAB program. *Ann. Chim.* **1985**, *77*, 643–675.
- (50) De Stefano, C.; Sammartano, S.; Mineo, P.; Rigano, C. Computer tools for the speciation of natural fluids. In *Marine Chemistry— An Environmental Analytical Chemistry Approach*, Gianguzza, A., Pellizzetti, E., Sammartano, S., Eds.; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Amsterdam, 1997; pp 71–83.
- (51) De Stefano, C.; Foti, C.; Sammartano, S. Equilibrium Studies in Natural Fluids. Use of Synthetic Seawater and Other Media as Background Salts. Ann. Chim. 1994, 84, 159–175.
- (52) Robinson, R. A.; Stokes, R. H. *Electrolyte Solutions*; Butterworth Scientific Publications: London, U.K., 1955.
- (53) Pitzer, K. S. Thermodynamics of Electrolytes. I. Theoretical Basis and General Equations. J. Phys. Chem. 1973, 77, 268–277.
- (54) Biederman, G. Ionic Media. In On the Nature of Seawater, Dahlem Conferenzen, Berlin, 1975; pp 339–362.
- (55) King, E. J. In *Acid–Base Equilibria*; Guggenheim, E. A., Mayer, J. E., Tompkins, F. C., Eds.; Pergamon Press: 1965.

Received for review June 30, 2003. Accepted September 25, 2003. We thank University of Messina for financial support (PRA).

JE0301949