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#### Abstract

Densities of binary mixtures of (tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$ trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, and dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene) have been determined over the entire concentration range at 298.15 K , and excess molar volumes have been derived. Surface tensions of these binary mixtures have been measured at 298.15 K by the pendant drop method, and the values of the surface tension deviation for these mixtures were also calculated.


## Introduction

For the purpose of finding proper ways to separation xylene and trimethylbenzene, we chose different organic solutions to determine excess functions of binary systems. The work presented here is a continuing series after reporting the excess molar volumes and surface tensions at 298.15 K of xylene + alkanol (2-propanol and 2-methyl-2-propanol), xylene + alkone (acetone and 2-butanone), and xylene + ether (isopropyl ether and methyl tert-butyl ether). ${ }^{1-3}$

## Experimental Section

1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene (ACROS Organics), 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene (ACROS Organics), tetrahydrofuran (GuangZhou Chem., China), tetrachloromethane (TianJin Chem., China), and dimethyl sulfoxide (TianJin Chem., China) were of high grade. The mass fraction purities of the substances were $1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene ( $99.20 \%$ ), 1,3,5trimethylbenzene (99.30\%), tetrahydrofuran (99.99\%), tetrachloromethane ( $99.60 \%$ ), and dimethyl sulfoxide ( $99.32 \%$ ), as determined by a PE autosystem XL gas chromatograph. All of the chemicals were placed over molecular sieves, and all of the mixtures were prepared by mass using an Ohaus E12140 balance with an error of $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{mg}$.

Densities of the pure liquids and their mixture were measured with an Anton Paar DMA 4500 vibrating tube densimeter, thermostated at ( $298.15 \pm 0.01$ ) K. The densimeter uncertainty was $\pm 5 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{~g} \cdot \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. The surface tensions of the pure liquids and their mixtures were determined by the pendant drop method using a Dataphysics OCA20 contact angle and surface tension measuring device. This instrument provides a computer-controlled display (CCD) video camera to take pictures and an electronic syringe unit to inject samples, so the surface tension of the sample can be determined very quickly. The surface tension was given by ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{g \Delta \rho d_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}}{H} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Table 1. Physical Properties of the Pure Components at 298.15 K

| substance | $\rho / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ |  |  | $\sigma / \mathrm{mN} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | exptl | lit |  | exptl | lit |
| 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene | 0.87164 | $0.87174^{a}$ |  | 29.25 | $29.19^{f}$ |
| 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene | 0.86103 | $0.86109^{b}$ |  | 28.09 | $27.54^{f}$ |
| tetrahydrofuran | 0.88206 | $0.88197^{c}$ |  | 27.04 | $26.50^{f}$ |
| tetrachloromethane | 1.58380 | $1.58429^{d}$ |  | 26.76 | $26.43^{f}$ |
| dimethyl sulfoxide | 1.09554 | $1.095560^{e}$ |  | 42.09 | $42.86^{f}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Reference 8. ${ }^{b}$ Reference 9. ${ }^{c}$ Reference 10. ${ }^{d}$ Reference 11. ${ }^{e}$ Reference 12. ${ }^{f}$ Reference 13.
where $g$ is the gravitational acceleration, $\Delta \rho$ is the density difference between the droplet and the surroundings, $d_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the largest diameter of the drop, and $H$ is a correction factor that depends on the sharpness of the drop. The sharpness correction factor $H$ is calculated by the Young-Laplace equation and is performed via computer. The software needs only the density data and a picture of the drop of liquid to calculate the surface tension. The error of the instrument is $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mN} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-1}( \pm 0.1 \mathrm{~K})$. The densities and surface tensions of the pure compounds are given in Table 1 and compared with literature values.

## Result and Discussion

Excess molar volumes were determined from the density data ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mathrm{E}}=\frac{x_{1} M_{1}+x_{2} M_{2}}{\rho}-x_{1} \frac{M_{1}}{\rho_{1}}-x_{2} \frac{M_{2}}{\rho_{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{i}$ is the molar mass of component $i, \rho$ and $\rho_{i}$ are the densities of the mixture and component $i$, respectively, and $x_{i}$ is the molar fraction of component $i$. Experimental excess molar volumes $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ for six binary mixtures (tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrahydrofuran + 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, and dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene) at 298.15 K are listed in Table 2 and graphically presented in Figure 1. The experimental results were fit by the method of least

Table 2. Experimental Excess Molar Volumes $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ at 298.15 K



Figure 1. Excess molar volumes $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ for $■$, $(x)$ tetrahydrofuran + ( $1-x$ )1,2,4-trimethylbenzene; $\square$, $(x)$ tetrahydrofuran $+(1-x) 1,3,5$ trimethylbenzene; ©, $(x)$ tetrachloromethane $+(1-x) 1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene; $\bigcirc,(x)$ tetrachloromethane $+(1-x) 1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene; $\mathbf{\Delta},(x)$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+(1-x) 1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene; $\Delta,(x)$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+(1-x) 1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene at 298.15 K .
squares with all points weighted equally to the smoothing equation: ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mathrm{E}}=x(1-x) \sum_{i=0}^{k} A_{i}(1-2 x)^{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $A_{0}, A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$, and $A_{4}$ and the standard deviations are given in Table 3. The surface tension deviations $\delta \sigma$ are defined by ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sigma=\sigma-x_{1} \sigma_{1}-x_{2} \sigma_{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 4 lists the surface tensions and surface tension deviations for six binary mixtures (tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$ trimethylbenzene, tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, and dimethyl sulfoxide + $1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene) at 298.15 K as graphically presented in Figure 2 for tetrahydrofuran + 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, and tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, and Figure 3 presents the data for dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene and dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene.
The result of $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ values at 298.15 K from Figure 1 shows that they are positive for tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, and tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene. The maxmum values of $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ for them follow the order tetrahydrofuran + $1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene $<$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene $<$ tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene < tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene. It also can be seen that the values are negative for dimethyl

Table 3. Least-Squares Parameters and Standard Deviations

|  | $A_{0}$ |  |  |  |  | $s$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{3}$ | $A_{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ |
| tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene | -0.6597 | 0.0036 | 0.2104 | 0.0097 | -0.5340 | 0.0025 |
| tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene | 0.0727 | 0.0508 | 0.0041 | 0.0242 | -0.0227 | 0.0002 |
| tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene | 1.5434 | -0.0870 | -0.7303 | -0.0721 | 0.5625 | 0.0034 |
| tetrachloromethane $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene | 1.9778 | 0.7466 | -0.2132 | -0.6910 | 0.0172 | 0.0044 |
| dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene | -0.7296 | -0.2421 | 0.2231 | 0.1165 | -0.0028 | 0.0023 |
| dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene | 0.4803 | 0.1436 | -0.0728 | -0.1063 | -0.0132 | 0.0017 |

Table 4. Surface Tensions $\sigma$ at 298.15 K

2. Surface tensions deviation $\delta \sigma$ for $\boldsymbol{\square}$, (x)tetrahydrofuran $+(1-x) 1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene; $\square$, $(x)$ tetrahydrofuran $+(1-$ $x) 1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene; $\bullet$, $(x)$ tetrachloromethane $+(1-x) 1,2,4$ trimethylbenzene; $O$, $(x)$ tetrachloromethane $+(1-x) 1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene at 298.15 K .
sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene and tetrahydrofuran + $1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene. The minimum values of $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ for them follow the order dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene $<$ tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene $<$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene.

Figures 2 and 3 show that the surface tension deviations $\delta \sigma$ at 298.15 K are positive for tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4-$ trimethylbenzene and tetrachloromethane + 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene, following the order at the minimum values tetrahydrofuran + 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene < tetrachloromethane + 1,2,4-trimethylbenzene. At the same time, we can see they are negative for dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5-$ trimethylbenzene, dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane + 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene,

Figure 3. Surface tensions deviation $\delta \sigma$ for $\mathbf{\Delta},(x)$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+(1-x) 1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene; $\Delta,(x)$ dimethyl sulfoxide $+(1-x) 1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene at 298.15 K .
and tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene, following the order dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene $<$ dimethyl sulfoxide $<1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, tetrachloromethane $<1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene and tetrahydrofuran $<1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene.
The $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ values of tetrahydrofuran $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene are small positive numbers, and the $\delta \sigma$ values are negative. The possible reason may be that there are no strong intermolecular forces between them because 1,3,5trimethylbenzene is a symmetrical nonpolar molecule. Conversely, stronger forces exists for the system of tetrahydrofuran $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene, so the values are negative. For the system of tetrachloromethane + trimethylbenzene, the $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ values are both positive, possibly owing to the packing effect, but the excess surface tension
of tetrachloromethane $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene is positive. Perhaps dipolar-dipolar interaction exists between them because $1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene is a polar molecule. It was once reported that the excess volume of dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,3,5$-trimethylbenzene at 303.15 K is positive; here we also found it to be positive at 298.15 K and the $V^{\mathrm{E}}$ value of dimethyl sulfoxide $+1,2,4$-trimethylbenzene at 298.15 K to be negative. The two can also be explained by dipolardipolar interaction. We also got the obvious negative values of the excess surface tension. The surface tension value of dimethyl sulfoxide is too high; trimethylbenzene with relatively low values of the excess surface tension brings an obvious negative deviation.
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