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#### Abstract

Static relative permittivities $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ were measured for alkan-1-ols from methanol to octan-1-ol under pressures up to 300 MPa at 298.15 K . A Tait-type equation was used to represent pressure $P$ dependence of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values for each alkan-1-ol, and the Tait-type parameters $A$ and $B$ were determined. By using the static relative permittivity at $P_{0}$ $=0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ and the Tait-type parameters, dielectric parameters at $P_{0}\left[\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right.$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ ] for alkan-1-ols were calculated at 298.15 K . Values of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for each alkan-1-ol from methanol to heptan-1-ol were correlated with the temperature $T$ by a combination of the present values at 298.15 K and those evaluated from $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data at various temperatures from the literature. Effect of carbon number $n$ in alkan-1-ol on the dielectric parameters was also examined at 298.15 K . The $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values in this work were compared with those calculated from some relationships between $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and the isothermal compressibility at $P_{0}\left[\kappa_{T, P_{0}}\right]$.


## Introduction

The knowledge of dielectric parameters such as $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T}$ and $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T}$ values of polar solvents at 0.1 MPa and 298.15 K is required to analyze some thermophysical properties of electrolytes in polar solvents. ${ }^{1}$ Since information on pressure $P$ dependence of the static relative permittivities $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ is needed to obtain these dielectric parameters, measurements of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ under high pressure should be carried out. Although many studies on $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements of polar solvents under high pressure are found in the literature, ${ }^{2-11}$ most of them have mainly focused on the effect of pressure on the dielectric polarization or the structure of liquids from the Kirkwood correlation factor; the correlation factor gives useful information on the local structure of liquids. ${ }^{12}$ However, systematic studies on the dielectric parameters of polar liquids have not been well-conducted.

In previous papers, ${ }^{13-15}$ we have so far measured $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values of some monohydric alkanols: alkan-2-ols, ${ }^{13} 2$-methylalkan-1ols, ${ }^{14}$ and 2-ethylalkan-1-ols, ${ }^{15}$ under high pressures up to 300 MPa at 298.15 K . It has been demonstrated that pressure dependence of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ for each alkanol was well-represented by a Tait-type equation. For alkan-2-ols, pressure dependence of the Kirkwood correlation factor for each alkan-2-ol has been studied to clarify the effect of the size of alkyl substituent on the local structure of liquids. Effect of carbon number on $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ at various pressures has been analyzed for 2-methylalkan-1-ols and 2-ethyl-alkan-1-ols. The dielectric parameters at $P_{0}=0.1 \mathrm{MPa}$ and $298.15 \mathrm{~K}\left[\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right.$ and $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right]$ have been also determined for 2-ethylalkan-1-ols by making use of the values of the Tait-type parameters and the relative permittivity at $P_{0}\left[\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)\right]$.

In continuation of our work on $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements for alkanols, the present paper reports $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values for alkan-1-ols from methanol to octan-1-ol under high pressures up to 300 MPa at 298.15 K . Dielectric parameters at $P_{0}\left[\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right.$, and $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right]$ are evaluated for each alkan-1-ol at 298.15

[^0]K. Correlation equations for $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} /\right.$ $\partial P)_{T, P_{0}}$ with temperature $T$ are given for each alkan-1-ol using the present values at 298.15 K and the evaluated ones at various temperatures from the literature. The effect of carbon number $n$ in alkan-1-ol on $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} /\right.$ $\partial P)_{T, P_{0}}$ at 298.15 K is examined, and the correlation equations are given. In addition, the $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values determined in this work are compared with those calculated from some relationships between $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and the isothermal compressibility at $P_{0}\left[\kappa_{T, P_{0}}\right]$.

## Experimental Section

Methanol ( $>99.8 \%$ ), ethanol ( $>99.5 \%$ ), propan-1-ol ( $>99.5$ $\%)$, butan-1-ol ( $>99.0 \%$ ), pentan-1-ol ( $>98.5 \%$ ), hexan-1-ol ( $>98.0 \%$ ), heptan-1-ol ( $>98.0 \%$ ), and octan-1-ol ( $98.0 \%$ ) were purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. Methanol, ethanol, and propan-1-ol were refluxed over Mg activated by $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ for several hours and then fractionally distilled twice. Butan-1-ol and pentan-1-ol were refluxed over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ for a few hours and then fractionally distilled. Other alkan-1-ols were refluxed over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ for several hours and then distilled in a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at reduced pressure. The purity of each alkan-1-ol was analyzed by gas chromatography. Gas chromatographic analysis showed that the major peak areas are $>99.9 \%$ for methanol, ethanol, and propan-1-ol; $>99.7 \%$ for butan-1-ol and pentan-1-ol; and $>99.3 \%$ for hexan-1-ol, heptan-1-ol, and octan-1-ol. The refractive index $n_{\mathrm{D}}$ at atmospheric pressure was measured with an Abbe refractometer thermostated at $(298.15 \pm 0.05)$ K . The uncertainty in $n_{\mathrm{D}}$ in the present work is less than 0.0001 .

Dielectric cells of a three-terminal capacitor having the cell constant of about 3.9 pF or 4.3 pF were used to measure the capacitance of alkan-1-ols. A high-pressure vessel with the dielectric cell was immersed in a thermostated water bath controlled at $(298.15 \pm 0.01)$ K. For pressure measurement, a Bourdon gauge was used with an uncertainty of $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{MPa}$. Details of the apparatus for capacitance measurements have been reported in a previous paper. ${ }^{16}$ The uncertainty in $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ is estimated to be better than $0.1 \%$. The $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements were carried out

Table 1. Refractive Indices $n_{D}$ and Static Relative Permittivities $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{0}\right)$ for Alkan-1-ols at 0.1 MPa and 298.15 K

| alkan-1-ol | $n_{\text {D }}$ |  | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | this work | lit. | this work | lit. |
| methanol | 1.3266 | $1.32652,{ }^{17} 1.3268,{ }^{18} 1.3266{ }^{19}$ | 32.72 | $32.65,932.62,{ }^{22} 32.64{ }^{19}$ |
| ethanol | 1.3596 | $1.35941,{ }^{17} 1.3595,{ }^{18} 1.3597{ }^{19}$ | 24.35 | 24.37, ${ }^{9} 24.33,{ }^{22} 24.55{ }^{19}$ |
| propan-1-ol | 1.3833 | $1.38370,{ }^{17} 1.3834{ }^{18}$ | 20.45 | $20.33,{ }^{23} 20.34,{ }^{9} 20.44{ }^{22}$ |
| butan-1-ol | 1.3972 | $1.3971,{ }^{16,17} 1.3973{ }^{18}$ | 17.54 | $17.43,{ }^{23} 17.54,{ }^{22} 17.10^{19}$ |
| pentan-1-ol | 1.4078 | 1.4080, ${ }^{17,18} 1.40767,{ }^{20} 1.4075{ }^{21}$ | 14.96 | $15.13,{ }^{23} 15.03,{ }^{22} 15.04,{ }^{20} 15.558{ }^{21}$ |
| hexan-1-ol | 1.4157 | $1.4161,{ }^{17} 1.4160^{18,21}$ | 13.06 | $13.28,{ }^{23} 13.325^{21}$ |
| heptan-1-ol | 1.4219 | $1.4223,{ }^{17} 1.4227,{ }^{18} 1.4225^{21}$ | 11.41 | $11.793^{21}$ |
| octan-1-ol | 1.4275 | $1.4276,{ }^{17} 1.4284,{ }^{19} 1.4260{ }^{21}$ | 10.01 | $10.02,{ }^{19} 9.858^{21}$ |

Table 2. Static Relative Permittivities $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ for Alkan-1-ols as a Function of Pressure $P$ at 298.15 K

| alkan-1-ol | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ at $P / \mathrm{MPa}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.1 | 50 | 100 | 150 | 200 | 250 | 300 |
| methanol <br> ethanol <br> propan-1-ol <br> butan-1-ol <br> pentan-1-ol <br> hexan-1-ol <br> heptan-1-ol <br> octan-1-ol | 32.72 | 34.43 | 35.70 | 36.77 | 37.70 | 38.52 | 39.25 |
|  | 24.35 | 25.56 | 26.46 | 27.22 | 27.86 | 28.45 | 29.00 |
|  | 20.45 | 21.30 | 21.98 | 22.55 | 23.05 | 23.50 | 23.91 |
|  | 17.54 | 18.29 | 18.87 | 19.36 | 19.79 | 20.17 | 20.52 |
|  | 14.96 | 15.62 | 16.12 | 16.55 | 16.92 | 17.25 | 17.56 |
|  | 13.06 | 13.63 | 14.07 | 14.45 | 14.77 | 15.06 | 15.33 |
|  | 11.41 | 11.92 | 12.32 | 12.65 | 12.95 | 13.21 | 13.45 |
|  | 10.01 | 10.48 | 10.84 | 11.13 | 11.39 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 1. Pressure dependence of the static relative permittivities $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ for alkan-1-ols at $298.15 \mathrm{~K}: \bullet$, methanol; O, ethanol; ■, propan-1-ol; $\square$, butan-1-ol; $\mathbf{\Delta}$, pentan-1-ol; $\Delta$, hexan-1-ol; $\mathbf{\nabla}$, heptan-1-ol; $\nabla$, octan-1-ol. The smoothed curves are based on the values of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right), A$, and $B$ listed in Table 3.
more than three times for each alkan-1-ol, and the $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values thus determined were reproduced to within $\pm 0.08 \%$.

## Results and Discussion

The measured refractive indices $n_{\mathrm{D}}$ and static relative permittivities at $P_{0}=0.1 \mathrm{MPa}\left[\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)\right]$ at 298.15 K are listed in Table 1, where the literature values ${ }^{9,17-23}$ are also tabulated. Our $n_{\mathrm{D}}$ values for alkan-1-ols agree with the literature ones. The present $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ values for methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, butan-1-ol, and octan-1-ol are generally in good agreement with those found in the literature, but the values for pentan-1-ol, hexan-1-ol, and heptan-1-ol are a few percent smaller than those reported in the literature.

The $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values determined for alkan-1-ols as a function of pressure at 298.15 K are listed in Table 2 and illustrated in Figure 1. Alkan-1-ols except octan-1-ol at 298.15 K were in
the liquid state even at 300 MPa . After the capacitance measurement of octan-1-ol at 200 MPa , pressure was increased with a rate of ca. $3 \mathrm{MPa} \cdot \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ using a high-pressure hand pump up to 225 MPa , and then a sudden drop in pressure was observed, accompanied by a decrease in capacitance. About 30 $\min$ later, the gauge showed a pressure of around 210 MPa , and there was no further change in pressure with time. The fact that the capacitance of octan-1-ol was very low at this pressure strongly indicates that octan-1-ol was still in the solid state. An averaged freezing pressure $P_{\mathrm{f}}$ from three dielectric measurements for octan-1-ol under high pressure at 298.15 K is found to be $(210 \pm 2) \mathrm{MPa}$, while the freezing pressure at 298.15 K extrapolated from the results of solid-liquid equilibrium study in the temperature range of ( 263.2 to 293.2 ) K by Yang et al. ${ }^{24}$ is determined to be $(211.3 \pm 0.3) \mathrm{MPa}$. The agreement between two $P_{\mathrm{f}}$ values is excellent.

As found in Table 2 and Figure 1, $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T}$ becomes smaller with pressure in any alkan-1-ol. Pressure dependence of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ for each alkan-1-ol is well-correlated with the Tait-type equation, usually referred to as the Owen-Brinkley equation: ${ }^{25}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)}=A \ln \left(\frac{B+P}{B+P_{0}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ is the static relative permittivity at the pressure $P$. By using a nonweighted least-squares method, the Tait-type parameters $A$ and $B$ were determined for each alkan1 -ol. Table 3 summarizes $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right), A$, and $B$ values thus determined and the standard deviations $\sigma\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of the fit by eq 1 . The corresponding values for some alkan-1-ols at various temperatures reported or calculated from the $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data in the literature ${ }^{2-10,16}$ are also given in Table 3. Since the $\sigma\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ values in this work are less than $0.01, \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values for alkan-1-ols at any pressure up to the maximum pressure $P_{\text {max }}$ can be interpolated with a good accuracy using $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right), A$, and $B$ values with the aid of eq 1. The $A$ value for alkan-1-ols from methanol to octan1 -ol measured in this work is in the range of ( 0.109 to 0.119 ), while that for alkan-2-ols, ${ }^{13}$ 2-methylalkan-1-ols, ${ }^{14}$ and 2-ethyl-alkan-1-ols ${ }^{15}$ (except for octan-2-ol and 2-ethylhexan-1-ol) has been reported to be in the range of ( 0.102 to 0.132 ). Hence it is considered that the $A$ value for alkanols is not so much variable with the chain length in alkan-2-ols and the methyl or ethyl substituent in alkan-1-ols.

Values of dielectric parameters at $0.1 \mathrm{MPa}\left[\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},(\partial\right.$ $\left.\ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$, and $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right]$ are useful quantities for analyzing the thermophysical data in electrolyte solutions and the activation volumes determined from pressure dependence of rate constants in inorganic chemical reactions. ${ }^{1,26}$ These dielectric parameters are easily evaluated from the following relations using $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ and the Tait-type parameters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}}=\frac{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right) A}{B+P_{0}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3. Static Relative Permittivities at $0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$, Tait-type Parameters $A$ and $B$, and Standard Deviation $\sigma\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of Fit by Equation 1 at 298.15 K

| alkan-1-ol | T/K | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ | A | $B / \mathrm{MPa}$ | $\sigma\left(\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ | $P_{\text {max }}{ }^{a} / \mathrm{MPa}$ | reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| methanol | 283.15 | 35.70 | $0.11698{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $107.74{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.03 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 293.15 | 33.60 | 0.1109 | 94.3 | 0.01 | 182.4 | Hartmann et al. ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | 293.15 | 33.79 | 0.1352 | 132.1 | 0.02 | 294.2 | Kyropoulos ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 32.72 | 0.1175 | 96.1 | 0.01 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 298.15 | 32.65 | $0.11486{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $93.43{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.02 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 308.15 | 30.83 | 0.1032 | 76.2 | 0.01 | 182.4 | Hartmann et al. ${ }^{5}$ |
|  | 313.15 | 29.85 | $0.11223{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $80.12^{b}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 323.15 | 28.24 | 0.1037 | 67.4 | 0.01 | 182.4 | Hartmann et al. ${ }^{5}$ |
| ethanol | 273.15 | 27.8 | 0.1384 | 219.9 | 0.04 | 1215.9 | Danforth ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | 283.15 | 26.78 | $0.10965^{\text {b }}$ | $107.01^{\text {b }}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 293.15 | 25.71 | 0.1277 | 140.5 | 0.03 | 294.2 | Kyropoulos ${ }^{2}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 24.35 | 0.1158 | 100.7 | 0.01 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 298.15 | 24.37 | $0.10916^{\text {b }}$ | $92.80^{\text {b }}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 24.36 | 0.1154 | 101.0 | 0.01 | 300.0 | Moriyoshi et al. ${ }^{16}$ |
|  | 303.15 | 23.2 | 0.1290 | 118.3 | 0.12 | 1215.9 | Danforth ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | 303.15 | 23.73 | 0.09798 | 78.3 | 0.02 | 182.4 | Hartmann et al. ${ }^{6}$ |
|  | 313.15 | 22.15 | $0.12111^{\text {b }}$ | $87.92{ }^{b}$ | 0.02 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 323.15 | 20.84 | 0.09657 | 59.3 | 0.03 | 182.4 | Hartmann et al. ${ }^{6}$ |
| propan-1-ol | 208.0 | 37.42 | 0.1068 | 234.7 | 0.00 | 150.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 216.0 | 35.36 | 0.1012 | 245.9 | 0.01 | 200.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 224.8 | 33.22 | 0.1231 | 267.3 | 0.02 | 250.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 244.5 | 29.22 | 0.0969 | 152.8 | 0.02 | 300.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 273.1 | 24.21 | 0.1240 | 177.6 | 0.02 | 300.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 283.15 | 22.49 | $0.10607{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $126.41^{\text {b }}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 20.45 | 0.1177 | 124.0 | 0.01 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 298.15 | 20.34 | $0.10687^{\text {b }}$ | $110.42^{\text {b }}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 303.15 | 19.70 | 0.1128 | 109.5 | 0.03 | 300.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 313.15 | 18.43 | $0.10912^{\text {b }}$ | $92.93{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.01 | 300.0 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 361.3 | 12.06 | 0.1733 | 121.8 | 0.06 | 300.0 | Chen et al. ${ }^{7}$ |
| butan-1-ol | 287.35 | 18.50 | 0.7186 | 719.9 | 0.00 | 20.44 | Harris et al. ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 17.54 | 0.1138 | 116.3 | 0.00 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 300.15 | 16.84 | 0.1463 | 144.9 | 0.01 | 18.16 | Harris et al. ${ }^{4}$ |
|  | 313.15 | 15.36 | 0.1347 | 107.1 | 0.01 | 20.91 | Harris et al. ${ }^{4}$ |
| pentan-1-ol | 293.15 | $15.37^{c}$ | $0.1126^{\text {c }}$ | $111.1^{\text {c }}$ | 0.02 | 392.3 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 14.96 | 0.1145 | 113.8 | 0.01 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 298.15 | $14.76{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1143^{c}$ | $108.4{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.02 | 392.3 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
|  | 303.15 | $14.15{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1158^{c}$ | $105.5^{c}$ | 0.01 | 392.3 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
|  | 313.15 | $12.93{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1185^{\text {c }}$ | $98.5{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.00 | 392.3 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
|  | 323.15 | $11.72^{\text {c }}$ | $0.1211^{\text {c }}$ | $91.1{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.01 | 392.3 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
| hexan-1-ol | 298.15 | 13.06 | 0.1156 | 115.6 | 0.00 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 303.15 | 12.90 | 0.1811 | 334.9 | 0.01 | 405.3 | Danforth ${ }^{3}$ |
|  | 348.15 | 8.55 | 0.09037 | 65.8 | 0.03 | 810.6 | Danforth ${ }^{3}$ |
| heptan-1-ol | 262.6 | 15.22 | 0.07038 | 92.9 | 0.00 | 150.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 272.8 | 14.125 | 0.08744 | 117.0 | 0.01 | 250.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 283.0 | 13.12 | 0.1836 | 324.7 | 0.02 | 350.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 293.2 | 11.906 | 0.1204 | 127.7 | 0.02 | 350.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 298.15 | 11.41 | 0.1187 | 116.0 | 0.01 | 300.0 | this work |
|  | 298.15 | $11.35{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $0.1082^{\text {d }}$ | $91.7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 0.03 | 350.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 323.2 | 9.07 | 0.1262 | 86.6 | 0.02 | 350.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 348.6 | 7.14 | 0.1561 | 91.5 | 0.03 | 350.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
|  | 373.8 | 5.75 | 0.1500 | 66.7 | 0.02 | 300.0 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
| octan-1-ol | 298.15 | 10.01 | 0.1091 | 98.2 | 0.01 | 200.0 | this work |

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} & =\frac{A}{B+P_{0}}  \tag{3}\\
\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} & =\frac{A}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)\left(B+P_{0}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values thus obtained for alkan-1-ols at 298.15 K are listed in Table 4 together with the reported isothermal compressibility at $P_{0}$ and 298.15 K $\left[\kappa_{T, P_{0}}\right] .{ }^{27}$

To check the reliability of our $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data for each alkan-1-ol at 298.15 K , it is necessary not only to compare $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data at each pressure with those from the literature but also to check the temperature dependence of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} /\right.$ $\partial P)_{T, P_{0}}$. It has been reported that these two dielectric parameters
for acetone at 298.15 K and other temperatures were represented well with a polynomial expression of temperature. ${ }^{28}$ The differences $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ between the literature values $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\text {lit }}$ and the present values $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\text {obs }}$ at each pressure, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)=\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\mathrm{lit}}-\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\mathrm{obs}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are plotted against $P$ for methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, pentan1 -ol, and heptan-1-ol at 298.15 K in Figure $2 ; \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\text {lit }}$ values at 298.15 K are not available for other alkan-1-ols. The $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\text {lit }}$ values at several pressures were estimated from $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right), A$, and $B$ values listed in Table 3. For methanol and propan-1-ol, the present $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)_{\text {obs }}$ values are, respectively, 0.12 and 0.25 larger than those reported by Srinivasan and Kay, ${ }^{9}$ while our data for ethanol is in excellent agreement with their value ${ }^{9}$ and our

Table 4. Dielectric Parameters at $0.1 \mathrm{MPa}\left[\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}},\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right.$, and $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}\right]$ and Isothermal Compressibility at $0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ for Alkan-1-ols at a Temperature of 298.15 K

| alkan-1-ol | $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ | $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ | $\kappa_{T, P_{0}{ }^{a}}$ | $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{GPa}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}}$ | $\mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$ | reference |
| methanol | 40.0 | 1221 | 1248 | 37.3 | this work |
|  | 40.1 | 1228 |  | 37.6 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
| ethanol | 28.0 | 1149 | 1153 | 47.2 | this work |
|  | 28.6 | 1175 |  | 48.2 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
|  | 27.8 | 1142 |  | 46.9 | Moriyoshi et al. ${ }^{16}$ |
| propan-1-ol | 19.4 | 948 | 1006 | 46.4 | this work |
|  | 19.7 | 967 |  | 47.5 | Srinivasan and Kay ${ }^{9}$ |
| butan-1-ol | 17.1 | 978 | 924 | 55.7 | this work |
| pentan-1-ol | 15.0 | 1005 | 884 | 67.2 | this work |
|  | 15.5 | 1053 |  | 71.4 | Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ |
| hexan-1-ol | 13.0 | 999 | 836 | 76.5 | this work |
| heptan-1-ol | 11.7 | 1022 | 800 | 89.6 | this work |
|  | 13.4 | 1179 |  | 103.8 | Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ |
| octan-1-ol | 11.1 | 1110 | 777 | 110.9 | this work |

${ }^{a}$ The values are taken from ref 27.


Figure 2. Plots of $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ against pressure $P$ at 298.15 K for (a) methanol, (b) ethanol, (c) propan-1-ol, (d) pentan-1-ol, and (e) heptan-1-ol: - , ref 9; O, ref 16 ; $■$, ref $8 ; \square$, ref 10 .
previous data; ${ }^{16}$ a maximum deviation $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ is only 0.03 . For pentan-1-ol, the $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data by Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ are 0.20 smaller than ours over the whole pressure range up to 300 MPa , but $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data for heptan-1-ol by Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ coincide within 0.03 with the present $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data at high pressures except for $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ : $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ is -0.06 . It seems that $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ for heptan- 1 -ol is small, but this $\Delta \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ brings about a large difference in $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values obtained from our $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ data and their data. Figures 3 and 4 show the temperature dependence of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values for each alkan-

1-ol from methanol to heptan-1-ol. To the best knowledge of the authors, no $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ measurement for octan-1-ol is reported in the literature. Temperature dependence of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for each alkan-1-ol is fitted to the following polynomial equation of $T$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
X / \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}=\sum_{i=0}^{3} A_{i}(T / \mathrm{K})^{i} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $X$ represents $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ or $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$. The $(\partial$ $\left.\ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ data for methanol at 293.15 K by Kyropoulos ${ }^{2}$ and those for heptan-1-ol at 283.0 K by Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ are omitted for the correlation by eq 6 because large deviations from other data are observed. Moreover, since the data for hexan-1-ol are few and scattered as found in Figures 3 f and 4 f , eq 6 is not applied for correlation. The coefficients $A_{i}$ for each alkan-1-ol determined by a least-squares method are listed in Table 5 along with the standard deviation $\sigma(X)$ of the fit by eq 6 .

Since the present $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values obtained for methanol, ethanol, and propan-1-ol at 298.15 K are, respectively, $0.8 \%, 2.3 \%$ and $2.4 \%$ smaller than those reported by Srinivasan and Kay, ${ }^{9}$ it is considered that the agreement between the present and literature values are fully satisfactory. Previous results for ethanol reported by our group ${ }^{16}$ agree with the present ones within $0.6 \%$. For pentan-1-ol, however, the present values are in poor agreement with the literature values. The present values are $6.3 \%$ smaller than those for pentan-1-ol by Bennett et al. ${ }^{8}$ In addition, despite a fair agreement of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P)$ for heptan-1-ol up to 300 MPa except $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ as found in Figure 2e, the $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values for heptan-1-ol by Vij et al. ${ }^{10}$ are $16 \%$ larger than those obtained in this work; the reason is, needless to say, that $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)$ data extremely affect the values of the Tait-type parameters.

Figures 5 to 7 depict plots of these values obtained at 298.15 K in this work against carbon number $n$ in alkan-1-ol. As shown in Figure 5, the $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ value for alkan-1-ols decreases with an increase in $n$ and is well-represented by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \mathrm{GPa}^{-1}=10.92+50.26 \exp (-0.5483 n) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the standard deviation of $0.61 \mathrm{GPa}^{-1}$ from $n=(1$ to 8$)$. The effect of $n$ on the values of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for alkan-1-ols is fitted to the following


Figure 3. Temperature dependence of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for (a) methanol ( this work; $\nabla$, ref $2 ; \square$, ref $5 ; \bigcirc$, ref 9 ), (b) ethanol $(\bullet$, this work; $\nabla$, ref 2 ; $\diamond$, ref 3 ; $\square$, ref 6 ; ○, ref $9 ; \Delta$, ref 16 ), (c) propan-1-ol $(\bullet$, this work; $\bigcirc$, ref 9 ; $\square$, ref 7), (d) butan-1-ol ( - , this work; O, ref 4), (e) pentan-1-ol ( - , this work; O, ref 8), (f) hexan-1-ol ( this work; O, ref 3), and (g) heptan-1-ol ( - , this work; O , ref 10 ).
polynomial of $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}=1436.4-236.45 n+36.035 n^{2}- \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$1.4646 n^{3}$
$\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}=38.993-0.36310 n+1.1369 n^{2}$


Figure 4. Temperature dependence of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for (a) methanol ( $\bullet$, this work; $\nabla$, ref 2 ; $\square$, ref 5 ; ○, ref 9 ), (b) ethanol $(\bullet$, this work; $\nabla$, ref $2 ; \diamond$, ref $3 ; \square$, ref $6 ; \bigcirc$, ref $9 ; \Delta$, ref 16 ), (c) propan-1-ol $(\bullet$, this work; $\bigcirc$, ref 9 ; $\square$, ref 7 ), (d) butan-1-ol ( $\odot$, this work; O, ref 4), (e) pentan-1-ol ( this work $\bigcirc$, ref 8$)$, (f) hexan-1-ol $(\bigcirc$, this work $\bigcirc$, ref 3 ), and ( g ) heptan1 -ol $(\bigcirc$, this work O , ref 10$)$.

The standard deviations of the fit by eqs 8 and 9 are, respectively, $33 \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$ and $2.3 \mathrm{TPa}^{-1}$. The smoothed curves calculated by eqs 7, 8, and 9 are also depicted in Figures 5 to 7.

Since limited $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements of polar liquids under high pressure have so far been made at 298.15 K , reliable $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$, $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$, and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ data at 298.15 K have not been available. Hence it is important to construct simple equations to estimate these values by use of easily measurable

Table 5. Coefficients $A_{i}$ and Standard Deviation $\sigma(X)$ for Least-Squares Representation of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} /\right.$ $\partial P)_{T, P_{0}}$ for Alkan-1-ols by Equation 6

| alkan-1-ol | $A_{0}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{2}$ | $\sigma(X)^{a}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right) T, P_{0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| methanol | 4012.0 | -29.356 | 0.067143 | 5 |  |
| ethanol | -93.385 | -9.7567 | 0.046370 | 83 |  |
| propan-1-ol | -267.42 | 1.0584 | 0.010285 | 49 |  |
| butan-1-ol | 65353 | -438.72 | 0.74739 | 5 |  |
| pentan-1-ol | 9161.2 | -63.052 | 0.12013 | 17 |  |
| heptan-1-ol | 1907.7 | -17.261 | 0.048438 | 66 |  |
| $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| methanol | 299.08 | -2.3080 | 0.0047993 | 0.2 |  |
| ethanol | 475.38 | -3.9154 | 0.0083002 | 3.1 |  |
| propan-1-ol | 217.11 | -1.9438 | 0.0046197 | 1.6 |  |
| butan-1-ol | 4576.0 | -31.177 | 0.053731 | 0.8 |  |
| pentan-1-ol | 1786.0 | -12.660 | 0.023159 | 1.7 |  |
| heptan-1-ol | 1690.1 | -12.724 | 0.024687 | 7.9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{a} X$ is $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ or $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0} .}$ |  |  |  |  |  |



Figure 5. Plots of $\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ against carbon number $n$ in alkan-1-ol at 298.15 K. The smoothed curve is based on eq 7.


Figure 6. Plots of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ against carbon number $n$ in alkan-1-ol at $298.15 \mathrm{~K}: ~-$, this work $O$, eq 10 ; $\square$, eq $11 ; \Delta$, eq 12 ; $\nabla$, eq 13 . The smoothed curve is based on eq 8 .
physical properties at $P_{0}$; the property is usually the isothermal compressibility at $0.1 \mathrm{MPa} \kappa_{T, P_{0}}$. Some relationships between $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ have been proposed ${ }^{11,29-32}$ because $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ and density $\rho$ show similar pressure dependence; $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ for liquids is usually well-represented by a quadratic function of $\rho .{ }^{33,34}$

Côté et al. ${ }^{11}$ have attempted to correlate $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}} /$ $\left\{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)-1\right\}$ with $\kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ for aprotic or protic solvents and have reported that there were good correlations between these quantities for both solvent systems. It seems that the correlation for protic solvents can be applied for the present data for alkan1 -ols. Since a definite correlation equation was not given and the correlation was done for limited data for protic solvents, no further exploration of their treatment is considered in this work.


Figure 7. Plots of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ against carbon number $n$ in alkan1 -ol at 298.15 K . The smoothed curve is based on eq 9.

The simplest equation suggested by Kawaizumi and Zana ${ }^{29}$ is given by the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \kappa_{T, P_{0}} \approx 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Marcus and co-workers ${ }^{30,31}$ have proposed a semiempirical relationship between $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ values at 298.15 K for primary, secondary, strait-chain, and branched alkanols as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T} / \kappa_{T, P_{0}}=\frac{\left\{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)-1\right\}\left\{2.974+0.0257 \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)\right\}}{3.81 \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recently, Marcus and Hefter ${ }^{32}$ have suggested the following equations for many liquids at 298.15 K :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \kappa_{T, P_{0}}=(1.057 \pm 0.031)-\frac{(1.057 \pm 0.031)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)}  \tag{12}\\
& \left(\frac{\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T, P_{0}} / \kappa_{T, P_{0}}=(1.039 \pm 0.033)-\frac{(0.920 \pm 0.094)}{\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Figure 6 also plots the values of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for each alkan1 -ol evaluated from eqs 10 to 13 using $\kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ values ${ }^{27}$ listed in Table 4. The coefficients in eqs 12 and 13 are almost the same, and the calculated results for each alkan-1-ol by eqs 12 and 13 coincide each other within $1.5 \%$. The $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values calculated from eqs 10 to 13 decrease with an increase in $n$, while the experimentally obtained $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values show a minimum around $n=$ ( 3 to 5 ). Therefore, it is found that no equation reproduces the carbon number $n$ dependence of ( $\partial \ln$ $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ well. Nonetheless the estimated $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values for methanol, ethanol, propan-1-ol, and butan-1-ol from eqs 10 , 12 , and 13 are, respectively, in agreement with those obtained in this work with an average deviation of $4.3 \%, 5.1 \%$, and $4.7 \%$; eq 11 is only applicable for methanol, ethanol, and propan-1-ol with an average deviation of $5.7 \%$.

## Conclusions

Pressure dependence of $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ at 298.15 K for alkan-1-ols from methanol to octan-1-ol obtained in this work was wellrepresented by the Tait-type equation. Values of $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(P_{0}\right)^{-2}\left(\partial \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ for alkan-1-ols from methanol to heptan-1-ol except hexan-1-ol were correlated with temperature by a quadratic expression. Correlation equations for the dielectric parameters at 298.15 K were given as a function of carbon
number $n$ in alkan-1-ol. By comparison of the $\left(\partial \ln \epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ values calculated from some relationships between ( $\partial \mathrm{ln}$ $\left.\epsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T, P_{0}}$ and $\kappa_{T, P_{0}}$ with the values obtained in this work, it was found that most of relationships can be applied only for lower alkan-1-ols.
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