# Relative Permittivity and Dipole Moments of Replacement Refrigerant Mixtures (R408A and R409A) in the Liquid State 

Ana P. C. Ribeiro, ${ }^{\dagger}$ Carlos A. Nieto de Castro, ${ }^{*}{ }^{\dagger}{ }^{\dagger}, \ddagger$ and Umesh V. Mardolcar ${ }^{\dagger, \S}$<br>Centro de Ciências Moleculares e Materiais and Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade de Lisboa, Campo Grande, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal, and Departamento de Fisica e Nucleo de Termofísica, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal


#### Abstract

This paper provides reliable dielectric property information on two refrigerant mixtures regarded as replacements for chorodifluoromethane (CAS no. 75-45-6) (HCFC22) and R502 ( $\mathrm{CHClF}_{2}+\mathrm{CClF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ ) ( $w_{1}=0.49 ; w_{2}=0.51$ ). Liquid phase relative permittivity measurements are reported for $\mathrm{R} 408 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}\right)$ $\left.+\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CHClF}_{2}\right)\left(w_{1}=0.07 ; w_{2}=0.46 ; w_{3}=0.47\right)$ and $\mathrm{R} 409 \mathrm{~A}\left(\mathrm{CHClF}_{2}+\mathrm{CHClFCH}_{3}+\mathrm{CClF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ( $w_{1}=0.60 ; w_{2}=0.25 ; w_{3}=0.15$ ). The measurements were performed by using a direct capacitance method at temperatures from $T=(233$ to 303$) \mathrm{K}$ under pressures up to 16 MPa . A complete set of tables of experimental data, covering dielectric property needs for most engineering applications, is provided. The data obtained was correlated as a function of density, pressure, and temperature. The apparent dipole moments $\left(\mu^{*}\right)$ of R408A and R409A in the liquid state were obtained from the Kirkwood modification of the Onsager equation for the variation to the modified molar polarization with temperature and density. The $\mu^{*}$ value found for R408A was $1.043 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ and $1.047 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R409A. The coefficient of thermal expansion and the coefficient of compressibility in the liquid state were derived from the experimental data, with uncertainties better than $2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$, respectively.


## Introduction

Refrigerants are the working fluids in refrigeration, airconditioning, and heat pumping systems. They absorb heat from one area, such as an air-conditioned space, and reject it into another, such as outdoors, usually through evaporation and condensation, respectively. These phase changes occur both in absorption and mechanical vapor compression systems, but they do not occur in systems operating on a gas cycle using a fluid such as air. The design of refrigeration equipment depends strongly on the properties of the selected refrigerant. Refrigerant selection involves compromises between conflicting desirable thermodynamic properties. Some parameters such as ozone depletion potential (ODP) and global warming potential (GWP) contribute to the choice of the new hydrofluorocarbons (HFC's).

R408A is a low ODP near azeotropic blend of chorodifluoromethane (CAS no. 75-45-6) (HCFC22), pentafluoroethane (CAS no. 354-33-6) (HFC125) and 1,1,1-trifluoroethane (CAS no. 420-46-2) (HFC143a). R408A was developed to provide a fast, convenient, and reliable retrofit solution for medium and low temperature refrigeration systems which currently use R502. ${ }^{1}$ Common refrigerants used in the past were either single component refrigerants or azeotropic blends that behaved as a single component when used in a refrigeration system. Near azeotropic blends such as R408A will behave almost the same as azeotropes such as R502, for all practical purposes. The temperature glide of R408A is quite small. This glide is usually not noticeable compared to normal temperature changes due to

[^0]pressure. ${ }^{2}$ R408A was not developed to use in new equipment but rather in R502 systems. ${ }^{3}$

R409A is a low ozone depleting blend of HCFC22, 1-chloro-1,2,2,2-tetrafluoroethane (CAS no. 2837-89-0) (HCFC124), and 1-chloro-1,1-difluoroethane (CAS no. 75-68-3) (HCFC142b). Refrigerant R409A is formulated to closely resemble the properties of the refrigerant dichlorodifluoromethane (CAS no. 75-71-8) (CFC12). ${ }^{4}$ R409A is an alternative refrigerant blend for retrofitting CFC12 medium and low temperature refrigeration systems. These systems, which typically contain a hermetic or semihermetic compressor, are used in commercial food storage, vending machines, ice machines, and transport refrigeration. R409A provides a slightly higher capacity than CFC12 and 1,1,1,2-tetrafluoroethane (CAS no. 811-97-2) (HCFC134a) in lower temperature applications. ${ }^{5}$

Recent advances in the understanding of polar interactions in the gas and liquid phases show the extreme importance of these data to the study of the electric properties of polar fluids, giving insight into the molecular theory of liquids and, at the same time, to give some important experimental values which can contribute to the design of machinery used in the air-conditioning and refrigeration industry, namely for electrical insulation. ${ }^{6}$

The relative permittivity $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ provides information about the behavior of molecules under an electrical field, and it is dependent on chemical structure and molecular interactions. In addition, these values are important for designing process equipment, with friction or rotating parts, when considering electrical discharges or static electricity.

In our previous studies of refrigerant mixtures, we have measured the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ of R410A, ${ }^{7}$ an HFC based refrigerant composed of 50/50 wt $\%$ of HFC32/HFC125, and the dipole moment of the mixtures R404A, R407C, and R507 in the temperature range $T=(217$ to 303) K and pressure range ( 2 to 16 ) $\mathrm{MPa} .{ }^{8}{ }^{8}$ The measurements

Table 1. Physical Properties and Purity of the Refrigerant Mixtures

| chemical name | pentafluoroethane + 1,1,1-trifluoroethane + chlorodifluoromethane | $\begin{aligned} & \text { chlorodifluoromethane }+1 \text {-chloro- } 1,2,2,2- \\ & \text { tetrafluoroethane }+1 \text {-chloro- } 1,1- \\ & \text { difluoroethane } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASHRAE nomenclature ${ }^{20}$ | R408A | R409A |
| molecular formula | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{3}+\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CHClF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CHClF}_{2}+\mathrm{CHClFCF}_{3}+\mathrm{CClF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| composition | HFC 125 + HFC143a +HCFC 22 | HCFC22 + HFC124 + HCFC142b |
| mass fraction (w) | $(0.07+0.46+0.47)$ | $(0.60+0.25+0.15)$ |
| relative molar mass $/ \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | 87.02 | 97.40 |
| ODP (R11 = 1) | 0.026 | 0.048 |
| $\mathrm{GWP}^{a}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2}=1\right)$ | 3000 | $1400$ |
| bubble temperature/glide point @ $0.1 \mathrm{MPa} / \mathrm{K}^{\text {b }}$ | 228.6/0.7 | 229.6/8.5 |
| estimated water content/ $/ \mathrm{mg} \cdot \mathrm{kg}^{-1}$ | < 10 | 8 |
| purity | > 99.5 \% | > 99.5 \% |
| replacing | R502 | CFC12 |
| sample provider | Solvay Fluor and Derivative, Germany | Solvay Fluor and Derivative, Germany |

were carried out using a direct capacitance method, for the liquid phase in the temperature and pressure ranges normally used in the air-conditioning and refrigeration industry. ${ }^{7}$

## Experimental

The experimental setup is based on a three terminal arrangement, and the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ was obtained from between the ratio of the capacitances of the cell with the sample, $C(P, T)$, and under vacuum, $C_{0}(T)$. The instrumentation has been described previously. ${ }^{9,10}$ The $C_{0}(T)$ was measured prior to filling the cell with the sample using an impedance analyzer (Shlumberger, model 1260) with an accuracy of $\pm 5 \cdot 10^{-4} \mathrm{pF}$. This equipment was calibrated by Laboratório de Metrologia Eléctrica da Companhia Portuguesa Radio Marconi, Lisbon, using standards of capacitance of $1 \mathrm{pF}, 10 \mathrm{pF}, 100 \mathrm{pF}, 1000 \mathrm{pF}, 0.01 \mu \mathrm{~F}, 0.1$ $\mu \mathrm{F}$, and $1 \mu \mathrm{~F}$. The technique employed a four terminal connection to the cell in order to compensate for parasitic impedances. The mean value of a 10 -dimensional sample taken at a 10 kHz frequency provides the experimental value of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$, which proved to be properly suited to the working accuracy. The measuring process involving instrumentation is fully automated and operated from a computer graphics user interface, making the data analysis faster and statistically more significant. The temperature of the cell was measured with a platinum resistance thermometer ( $100 \Omega$ at 273 K ), located near the sample. The resistance of the Pt100 thermometer was determined with a four wire measurement, with a 5.5 digital multimeter (Keithley, model 199 DMM), calibrated with three standard resistors at four points, with an uncertainty of 0.01 K . The pressure vessel is immersed in a cylindrical copper vessel cooled by a serpentine connected to a commercial cryostat (Julabo, model FPW90-SC), filled with ethanol, and operative in the temperature range from (183 to 373) K, with an accuracy of 0.1 K. For the measurements of capacitance under pressure, a high-pressure line was used, composed by a liquid-pressure generator (HIP, model 87-6-5) and an air-operated, diaphragmtype compressor (Newport Scientific, model 46-14021-2). The pressure was measured with a pressure transducer from Setra Systems, calibrated with a dead-weight gage (Ruska, Model 2485 Piston Pressure Gage), with an uncertainty of 0.01 MPa , over the pressure range.
The samples of R408A and R409A, with a purity of $99.9 \%$ were provided by Solvay Fluor and Derivate, Germany, and used without further purification. Their purity and physical properties can be seen in Table 1. The measurements were performed over the temperature and pressure ranges of (233 to 303) K and (1 to 16) MPa, respectively. The density values ( $\rho$ ) of these refrigerants were calculated using the REFPROP
database, version 7. ${ }^{11}$ The uncertainty of the experimental measurements of the mixtures $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ with the present apparatus was found to be better than $0.22 \%$, for a confidence interval of 95 $\%$ (ISO definition, $k=2$ ). This value is slightly higher than the uncertainty obtained for pure fluids ${ }^{10}$ because there is additional uncertainty caused by composition characterization and possible evaporation during the measurements of the near azeotropic blends, especially at high temperatures.

## Results

Dielectric Properties. Tables 2 and 3 present the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ data as a function of pressure and density for each isotherm, for R408A and R409A, $T_{\mathrm{n}}$ being a nominal temperature. ${ }^{10}$ All the experimental points measured at a given temperature $T$, close to $T_{\mathrm{n}}$, were adjusted to this temperature using the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)=\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(T, P)+\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial T}\right)_{P}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}-T\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Figures 1 and 2 show the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ in the temperature range of (233 to 303 ) K as a function of density for R408A and R409A, respectively. For both mixtures, $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T}$ and $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{T}$ are positive, and $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial T\right)_{P}$ is negative. The experimental data of the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ values were fitted by a maximum likelihood iterative $\chi^{2}$ method for a multiparameter and multivariable function in density and temperature (iterations implemented by a Leven-berg-Marquardt procedure) according to
with a standard deviation of 0.002 \% for R408A and 0.007 \% for R409A. For industrial needs, the data were also fitted to a function in pressure and temperature according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}(P, T)=b_{0}+\frac{b_{1}}{T / \mathrm{K}}+b_{2} P / \mathrm{MPa}+\frac{b_{3} P / \mathrm{MPa}}{T / \mathrm{K}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a standard deviation of $0.03 \%$ for R408A and $0.23 \%$ for R409A. The coefficients of eqs 2 and 3 with their uncertainties are given in Table 4.

The theory of molecular polarizability developed by Kirkwood after the definition of Onsager's local field ${ }^{12,13}$ can be used to calculate, an apparent dipole moment of the liquid $\mu^{*}$ using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{KF}=\frac{\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}-1\right)\left(2 \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}+1\right)}{9 \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}\left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right)=\frac{N_{\mathrm{A}}}{3}\left(\alpha+\frac{\mu^{* 2}}{3 k_{\mathrm{B}} T \varepsilon_{0}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the relative molar mass of the fluid, $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ is the Avogadro constant, $\alpha$ is the molecular polarizability of the

Table 2. Relative Permittivity $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{r}}\right)$ of R408A, from $T=(233.15$ to 303.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and $P=(1$ to 16$) \mathrm{MPa}$

molecule, $\varepsilon_{0}$ is the electric permittivity in a vacuum, $T$ is the absolute temperature, $k_{\mathrm{B}}$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $\rho$ is the density. The apparent dipole moment is defined as $\mu^{*}=$ $g^{1 / 2} \mu$, where $\mu$ is the dipole moment in the ideal gas state and $g$ is the Kirkwood correlation parameter, that measures the restriction to rotation imposed by a cage of molecules surround-
ing a given one. Kirkwood, ${ }^{12}$ on the basis of a quasicrystalline model, defined this parameter $g$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\mu^{* 2}}{\mu^{2}}=1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_{i}\left\langle\cos \gamma_{i}\right\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 3. Relative Permittivity $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of R409A, from $T=(243.15$ to 303.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and $P=(1$ to 16$) \mathrm{MPa}$

where $z_{i}$ is the number of neighbors to the central molecule under consideration in the $i$ th coordination shell, and $\left\langle\cos \gamma_{i}\right\rangle$ is the average cosine angle $\gamma$ formed by the dipole moments of molecules in the $i$ th shell with the dipole of the central molecule.

The greater the value of $g$, the greater the orientational order imposed by the neighbors. The value of $\mu^{*}$ can be calculated by a linear regression of the left-hand side of the eq 4 as a function of $1 / T$. The experimental values of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ were used to


Figure 1. Relative permittivity $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ of R408A as a function of density $(\rho)$, at nominal temperatures: $\diamond, 303.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \square, 293.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \Delta, 283.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \diamond, 263.15$ K; • $253.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \square, 243.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \bigcirc, 233.15 \mathrm{~K}$.


Figure 2. Relative permittivity of $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ R409A as a function of density $(\rho)$, at nominal temperatures: $\diamond, 303.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \quad, 293.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \Delta, 283.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \diamond, 263.15$ $\mathrm{K} ; \bullet, 253.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \square, 243.15 \mathrm{~K}$.

Table 4. Coefficients of the Dielectric Equations of State for Equations 2 and 3

| coefficients | R408A | R409A |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1} / \mathrm{K}$ | $-5235.6 \pm 855.6$ | $-34023.5 \pm 2958.5$ |
| $10^{-2} a_{2} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $-1.336 \pm 0.214$ | $-8.8708 \pm 0.6558$ |
| $a_{3} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{3} \cdot \mathrm{~kg}^{-1}$ | $6.7139 \pm 0.6416$ | $27.736 \pm 1.998$ |
| $b_{0}$ | $-11.375 \pm 0.2870$ | $-14.378 \pm 1.201$ |
| $b_{1} / \mathrm{K}$ | $5632.9 \pm 75.8$ | $6233.1 \pm 318.3$ |
| $10^{-2} b_{2} / \mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ | $16.548 \pm 2.979$ | 0 |
| $b_{3} / \mathrm{K} \cdot \mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ | $-32.752 \pm 7.869$ | 0 |

calculate the Kirkwood function, and Figure 3 shows its variation with $1 / T$ for R408A and R409A. The values of $\mu^{*}$ were found to be $1.043 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R 408 A and $1.047 \cdot 10^{-29}$ $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R409A.
The relation between the dipole moment of a mixture in the gaseous state and the dipole moment of its components is still not well-understood. The dipole moment of the mixture in the gas phase can be calculated, as a first approximation, by using the definition of molar polarizability, $P_{\mathrm{M}}$, for a pure polar gas, based on the theory of Debye: ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}-1}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}+2}\left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right)=\frac{N_{A}}{3 \varepsilon_{0}}\left(\alpha+\frac{\mu^{2}}{3 k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The application of Debye equation to mixtures in the gaseous state is theoretically possible, especially for dilute gases, for


Figure 3. Kirkwood function as a function of $1 / T$ for $\bullet$, R408A, ■, R409A, and - , Kirkwood.

Table 5. Values of the Kirkwood Parameter ( $g_{\text {mix }}$ ) and Dipole Moment in the Gaseous ( $\mu_{\text {mix }}$ ) and Liquid Phases ( $\mu^{*}$ ) for Several Refrigerant Mixtures

| fluid | components | $\underline{10^{-29}} \mu_{\text {mix }}^{*}$ | $10^{-30} \mu_{\text {mix }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ | $g_{\text {mix }}$ |
| R404A | HFC125, HFC143a, HFC134a | 1.100 | 6.951 | 2.506 |
| R407C | HFC32, HFC125, HFC134a | 1.144 | 6.498 | 3.100 |
| R408A | HFC125, HFC143a, HCFC22 | 1.043 | 5.921 | 3.106 |
| R409A | HCFC22, HCFC124, HCFC142b | 1.047 | 5.257 | 3.967 |
| R410A | HFC32, HFC125 | 1.104 | 6.211 | 3.159 |
| R507 | HFC125, HFC143a | 1.088 | 6.858 | 2.510 |

which components we have the individual dipole moments. As the molecules in a perfect gas mixture do not interact, the molar polarization of this reference mixture with $i$ components of molar fraction $y_{i}$ can be assumed to be additive and given by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{M}, \operatorname{mix}}=\sum_{i} y_{i} P_{\mathrm{M}, i}=\frac{N_{A}}{3 \varepsilon_{0}}\left(\sum_{i} y_{i} \alpha_{i}+\frac{1}{3 k_{\mathrm{B}} T} \sum_{i} y_{i} u_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathrm{M}, \text { mix }}=\frac{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}, \text { mix }}-1}{\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}, \text { mix }}+2}\left(\frac{\bar{M}_{\mathrm{mix}}}{\rho_{\mathrm{mix}}}\right)=\frac{N_{A}}{3 \varepsilon_{0}}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{mix}}+\frac{\mu_{\mathrm{mix}}^{2}}{3 k_{\mathrm{B}} T}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. Plot of $\mu^{*}$ a as a function of $\mu$ for all the refrigerants studied. The broken line represents the value $g=2.6,{ }^{16}$ and the solid line, the value $g=1$.

Table 6. Coefficients of Isothermal Thermal Expansion $\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}\right)$ Calculated from Equation 13 for R408A, from $T=(238.15$ to 298.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and up to $P=16 \mathrm{MPa}$

| $P$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPa | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=298.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=288.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=278.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=268.15$ |  |
| 16.00 | 1143 | 0.002397 | 1171 | 0.002341 | 1199 | 0.002262 | 1226 | 0.002170 |
| 15.00 | 1139 | 0.002449 | 1168 | 0.002383 | 1195 | 0.002299 | 1223 | 0.002203 |
| 14.00 | 1134 | 0.002504 | 1164 | 0.002436 | 1192 | 0.002335 | 1220 | 0.002235 |
| 13.00 | 1130 | 0.002563 | 1160 | 0.002482 | 1189 | 0.002380 | 1217 | 0.002270 |
| 12.00 | 1125 | 0.002622 | 1156 | 0.002538 | 1185 | 0.002423 | 1213 | 0.002304 |
| 11.00 | 1121 | 0.002693 | 1152 | 0.002594 | 1181 | 0.002470 | 1210 | 0.002342 |
| 10.00 | 1116 | 0.002768 | 1147 | 0.002656 | 1177 | 0.002515 | 1207 | 0.002385 |
| 9.00 | 1110 | 0.002847 | 1143 | 0.002724 | 1174 | 0.002565 | 1203 | 0.002430 |
| 8.00 | 1105 | 0.002933 | 1138 | 0.002797 | 1170 | 0.002626 | 1200 | 0.002470 |
| 7.00 | 1099 | 0.003036 | 1133 | 0.002875 | 1165 | 0.002686 | 1196 | 0.002516 |
| 6.00 | 1093 | 0.003145 | 1128 | 0.002956 | 1161 | 0.002752 | 1192 | 0.002569 |
| 5.00 | 1087 | 0.003266 | 1123 | 0.003051 | 1157 | 0.002836 | 1189 | 0.002611 |
| 4.00 | 1080 | 0.003402 | 1117 | 0.003152 | 1152 | 0.002900 | 1185 | 0.002685 |
| 3.00 | 1073 | 0.003563 | 1112 | 0.003268 | 1147 | 0.002985 | 1181 | 0.002747 |
| 2.00 | 1066 | 0.003750 | 1106 | 0.003393 | 1142 | 0.003079 | 1176 | 0.002810 |
| 1.00 |  |  |  |  | 1137 | 0.003187 | 1172 | 0.002877 |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=258.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=248.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=238.15$ |  |  |  |
| 16.00 | 1252 | 0.002066 | 1278 | 0.001997 | 1303 | 0.001931 |  |  |
| 15.00 | 1249 | 0.002093 | 1275 | 0.002020 | 1301 | 0.001951 |  |  |
| 14.00 | 1247 | 0.002123 | 1273 | 0.002044 | 1299 | 0.001971 |  |  |
| 13.00 | 1244 | 0.002153 | 1270 | 0.002067 | 1296 | 0.001992 |  |  |
| 12.00 | 1241 | 0.002186 | 1268 | 0.002092 | 1294 | 0.002013 |  |  |
| 11.00 | 1238 | 0.002216 | 1265 | 0.002121 | 1292 | 0.002036 |  |  |
| 10.00 | 1235 | 0.002249 | 1262 | 0.002150 | 1289 | 0.002059 |  |  |
| 9.00 | 1232 | 0.002284 | 1260 | 0.002180 | 1287 | 0.002084 |  |  |
| 8.00 | 1229 | 0.002318 | 1257 | 0.002212 | 1284 | 0.002109 |  |  |
| 7.00 | 1226 | 0.002358 | 1254 | 0.002244 | 1282 | 0.002135 |  |  |
| 6.00 | 1222 | 0.002402 | 1251 | 0.002275 | 1279 | 0.002162 |  |  |
| 5.00 | 1219 | 0.002447 | 1248 | 0.002307 | 1277 | 0.002191 |  |  |
| 4.00 | 1216 | 0.002487 | 1245 | 0.002344 | 1274 | 0.002223 |  |  |
| 3.00 | 1212 | 0.002539 | 1242 | 0.002379 | 1271 | 0.002254 |  |  |
| 2.00 | 1209 | 0.002595 | 1239 | 0.002418 | 1269 | 0.002286 |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1205 | 0.002650 | 1236 | 0.002460 | 1266 | 0.002321 |  |  |

Table 7. Coefficients of Compressibility $\left(\kappa_{T}\right)$ Calculated from Equation 14 for $\mathbf{R 4 0 8 A}$ from $T=(233.15$ to 303.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and $P=(2$ to 16$) \mathrm{MPa}$

| $P$ | $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\kappa_{\text {T }}$ | $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\kappa_{\text {T }}$ | $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\kappa_{\text {T }}$ | $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPa | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ | $\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}$ | $\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}$ |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=303.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=293.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=283.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=273.15$ |  |
| 16.00 | 1126 | 0.003959 | 1155 | 0.003419 | 1183 | 0.002966 | 1211 | 0.002593 |
| 15.00 | 1122 | 0.004109 | 1151 | 0.003535 | 1180 | 0.003051 | 1208 | 0.002666 |
| 14.00 | 1117 | 0.004270 | 1147 | 0.003652 | 1176 | 0.003154 | 1204 | 0.002732 |
| 13.00 | 1112 | 0.004450 | 1143 | 0.003780 | 1172 | 0.003241 | 1201 | 0.002806 |
| 12.00 | 1107 | 0.004651 | 1139 | 0.003926 | 1169 | 0.003354 | 1198 | 0.002881 |
| 11.00 | 1102 | 0.004873 | 1134 | 0.004092 | 1165 | 0.003469 | 1194 | 0.002973 |
| 10.00 | 1097 | 0.005107 | 1129 | 0.004250 | 1161 | 0.003585 | 1191 | 0.003057 |
| 9.00 | 1091 | 0.005381 | 1124 | 0.004447 | 1156 | 0.003727 | 1187 | 0.003160 |
| 8.00 | 1085 | 0.005688 | 1119 | 0.004646 | 1152 | 0.003863 | 1183 | 0.003263 |
| 7.00 | 1078 | 0.006027 | 1114 | 0.004883 | 1147 | 0.004027 | 1179 | 0.003367 |
| 6.00 | 1072 | 0.006438 | 1108 | 0.005133 | 1143 | 0.004201 | 1175 | 0.003498 |
| 5.00 | 1065 | 0.006894 | 1103 | 0.005433 | 1138 | 0.004386 | 1171 | 0.003630 |
| 4.00 | 1057 | 0.007445 | 1096 | 0.005755 | 1133 | 0.004609 | 1167 | 0.003763 |
| 3.00 | 1049 | 0.008114 | 1090 | 0.006147 | 1127 | 0.004843 | 1162 | 0.003933 |
| 2.00 | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=263.15$ |  |  |  | 1122 | 0.005117 | 1157 | 0.004095 |
|  |  |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=253.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=243.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=233.15$ |  |
| 16.00 | 1238 | 0.002279 | 1264 | 0.002010 | 1289 | 0.001784 | 1315 | 0.001582 |
| 15.00 | 1235 | 0.002333 | 1261 | 0.002054 | 1287 | 0.001810 | 1312 | 0.001615 |
| 14.00 | 1232 | 0.002379 | 1258 | 0.002098 | 1285 | 0.001853 | 1310 | 0.001641 |
| 13.00 | 1229 | 0.002441 | 1256 | 0.002134 | 1282 | 0.001880 | 1308 | 0.001667 |
| 12.00 | 1226 | 0.002505 | 1253 | 0.002187 | 1280 | 0.001922 | 1306 | 0.001692 |
| 11.00 | 1223 | 0.002568 | 1250 | 0.002231 | 1277 | 0.001957 | 1304 | 0.001726 |
| 10.00 | 1219 | 0.002632 | 1248 | 0.002285 | 1275 | 0.001993 | 1301 | 0.001752 |
| 9.00 | 1216 | 0.002705 | 1245 | 0.002338 | 1272 | 0.002036 | 1299 | 0.001786 |
| 8.00 | 1213 | 0.002778 | 1242 | 0.002400 | 1270 | 0.002079 | 1297 | 0.001820 |
| 7.00 | 1209 | 0.002869 | 1239 | 0.002454 | 1267 | 0.002123 | 1294 | 0.001846 |
| 6.00 | 1206 | 0.002944 | 1236 | 0.002517 | 1264 | 0.002175 | 1292 | 0.001889 |
| 5.00 | 1202 | 0.003044 | 1232 | 0.002588 | 1261 | 0.002220 | 1290 | 0.001923 |
| 4.00 | 1199 | 0.003145 | 1229 | 0.002652 | 1259 | 0.002272 | 1287 | 0.001966 |
| 3.00 | 1195 | 0.003247 | 1226 | 0.002741 | 1256 | 0.002333 | 1284 | 0.002009 |
| 2.00 | 1191 | 0.003367 | 1223 | 0.002814 | 1253 | 0.002387 | 1282 | 0.002044 |

Table 8. Coefficients of Thermal Expansion $\left(\alpha_{P}\right)$ Calculated from Equation 13 for R 409 A , from $T=(248.15$ to 298.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and $P=(1$ to 16$) \mathrm{MPa}$

| $P$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ | $\bar{\rho}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)$ | $\alpha_{\text {P }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MPa | $\overline{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-3}}$ | $\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=298.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=288.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=278.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=268.15$ |  |
| 16.00 | 1278 | 0.002159 | 1305 | 0.002028 | 1332 | 0.001956 | 1358 | 0.001872 |
| 15.00 | 1275 | 0.002197 | 1302 | 0.002057 | 1329 | 0.001980 | 1355 | 0.001895 |
| 14.00 | 1271 | 0.002232 | 1299 | 0.002089 | 1326 | 0.002007 | 1353 | 0.001916 |
| 13.00 | 1268 | 0.002271 | 1296 | 0.002120 | 1323 | 0.002037 | 1350 | 0.001939 |
| 12.00 | 1264 | 0.002312 | 1292 | 0.002154 | 1320 | 0.002065 | 1347 | 0.001964 |
| 11.00 | 1260 | 0.002356 | 1289 | 0.002190 | 1317 | 0.002098 | 1345 | 0.001988 |
| 10.00 | 1256 | 0.002403 | 1286 | 0.002227 | 1314 | 0.002127 | 1342 | 0.002015 |
| 9.00 | 1252 | 0.002450 | 1282 | 0.002267 | 1311 | 0.002160 | 1339 | 0.002043 |
| 8.00 | 1248 | 0.002503 | 1278 | 0.002307 | 1308 | 0.002197 | 1336 | 0.002071 |
| 7.00 | 1244 | 0.002559 | 1275 | 0.002354 | 1304 | 0.002234 | 1333 | 0.002101 |
| 6.00 | 1239 | 0.002621 | 1271 | 0.002400 | 1301 | 0.002270 | 1330 | 0.002133 |
| 5.00 | 1234 | 0.002684 | 1267 | 0.002452 | 1298 | 0.002312 | 1327 | 0.002163 |
| 4.00 | 1230 | 0.002754 | 1263 | 0.002508 | 1294 | 0.002353 | 1324 | 0.002200 |
| 3.00 | 1225 | 0.002835 | 1258 | 0.002564 | 1290 | 0.002398 | 1321 | 0.002237 |
| 2.00 | 1219 | 0.002920 | 1254 | 0.002626 | 1286 | 0.002450 | 1318 | 0.002275 |
| 1.00 | 1214 | 0.003010 | 1249 | 0.002696 | 1283 | 0.002502 | 1314 | 0.002313 |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=258.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=248.15$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16.00 | 1383 | 0.001833 | 1408 | 0.001799 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.00 | 1381 | 0.001852 | 1406 | 0.001815 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.00 | 1379 | 0.001874 | 1404 | 0.001832 |  |  |  |  |
| 13.00 | 1376 | 0.001892 | 1402 | 0.001853 |  |  |  |  |
| 12.00 | 1374 | 0.001913 | 1400 | 0.001869 |  |  |  |  |
| 11.00 | 1371 | 0.001936 | 1398 | 0.001889 |  |  |  |  |
| 10.00 | 1369 | 0.001960 | 1395 | 0.001907 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.00 | 1366 | 0.001983 | 1393 | 0.001927 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.00 | 1364 | 0.002009 | 1391 | 0.001947 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.00 | 1361 | 0.002033 | 1388 | 0.001970 |  |  |  |  |
| 6.00 | 1359 | 0.002061 | 1386 | 0.001993 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.00 | 1356 | 0.002090 | 1384 | 0.002016 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.00 | 1353 | 0.002118 | 1381 | 0.002040 |  |  |  |  |
| 3.00 | 1350 | 0.002147 | 1379 | 0.002066 |  |  |  |  |
| 2.00 | 1347 | 0.002177 | 1376 | 0.002091 |  |  |  |  |
| 1.00 | 1344 | 0.002215 | 1373 | 0.002116 |  |  |  |  |

Table 9. Coefficients of Compressibility $\left(\kappa_{T}\right)$ Calculated from Equation 14 for R409A from $T=(243.15$ to 303.15$) \mathrm{K}$ and up to $P=(2$ to 16) MPa

| $\frac{P}{\mathrm{MPa}}$ | $\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)}{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)}{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)}{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{\bar{\rho}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P\right)}{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{~m}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}}{\mathrm{MPa}^{-1}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=303.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=293.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=283.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=273.15$ |  |
| 16.00 | 1263 | 0.002891 | 1290 | 0.002534 | 1317 | 0.002239 | 1344 | 0.001980 |
| 15.00 | 1259 | 0.002979 | 1287 | 0.002603 | 1314 | 0.002298 | 1341 | 0.002028 |
| 14.00 | 1255 | 0.003059 | 1284 | 0.002672 | 1311 | 0.002341 | 1338 | 0.002062 |
| 13.00 | 1251 | 0.003157 | 1280 | 0.002750 | 1308 | 0.002400 | 1335 | 0.002112 |
| 12.00 | 1247 | 0.003263 | 1277 | 0.002820 | 1305 | 0.002460 | 1333 | 0.002154 |
| 11.00 | 1243 | 0.003363 | 1273 | 0.002899 | 1302 | 0.002520 | 1330 | 0.002203 |
| 10.00 | 1239 | 0.003487 | 1269 | 0.002994 | 1298 | 0.002588 | 1327 | 0.002261 |
| 9.00 | 1234 | 0.003613 | 1265 | 0.003082 | 1295 | 0.002656 | 1324 | 0.002304 |
| 8.00 | 1230 | 0.003748 | 1261 | 0.003187 | 1292 | 0.002733 | 1321 | 0.002362 |
| 7.00 | 1225 | 0.003901 | 1257 | 0.003285 | 1288 | 0.002811 | 1318 | 0.002421 |
| 6.00 | 1220 | 0.004056 | 1253 | 0.003408 | 1284 | 0.002889 | 1314 | 0.002488 |
| 5.00 | 1215 | 0.004246 | 1249 | 0.003540 | 1281 | 0.002991 | 1311 | 0.002555 |
| 4.00 | 1210 | 0.004446 | 1244 | 0.003673 | 1277 | 0.003078 | 1308 | 0.002623 |
| 3.00 | 1205 | 0.004666 | 1240 | 0.003816 | 1273 | 0.003190 | 1304 | 0.002692 |
| 2.00 | 1199 | 0.004913 | 1235 | 0.003985 | 1269 | 0.003303 | 1301 | 0.002783 |
|  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=263.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=253.15$ |  | $T_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{K}=243.15$ |  |  |  |
| 16.00 | 1370 | 0.001767 | 1395 | 0.001570 | 1420 | 0.001416 |  |  |
| 15.00 | 1367 | 0.001799 | 1393 | 0.001601 | 1418 | 0.001432 |  |  |
| 14.00 | 1365 | 0.001832 | 1390 | 0.001633 | 1416 | 0.001448 |  |  |
| 13.00 | 1362 | 0.001857 | 1388 | 0.001650 | 1414 | 0.001478 |  |  |
| 12.00 | 1360 | 0.001905 | 1386 | 0.001681 | 1412 | 0.001495 |  |  |
| 11.00 | 1357 | 0.001938 | 1384 | 0.001713 | 1410 | 0.001518 |  |  |
| 10.00 | 1354 | 0.001972 | 1381 | 0.001745 | 1407 | 0.001549 |  |  |
| 9.00 | 1352 | 0.002020 | 1379 | 0.001777 | 1405 | 0.001566 |  |  |
| 8.00 | 1349 | 0.002061 | 1376 | 0.001802 | 1403 | 0.001597 |  |  |
| 7.00 | 1346 | 0.002102 | 1374 | 0.001849 | 1401 | 0.001621 |  |  |
| 6.00 | 1343 | 0.002159 | 1371 | 0.001874 | 1398 | 0.001652 |  |  |
| 5.00 | 1340 | 0.002201 | 1369 | 0.001914 | 1396 | 0.001676 |  |  |
| 4.00 | 1337 | 0.002251 | 1366 | 0.001962 | 1394 | 0.001708 |  |  |
| 3.00 | 1334 | 0.002308 | 1363 | 0.001995 | 1391 | 0.001747 |  |  |
| 2.00 | 1331 | 0.002374 | 1360 | 0.002036 | 1389 | 0.001771 |  |  |



Figure 5. Coefficient of thermal expansion ( $\alpha_{P}$ ) for R408A and R409A. For the sake of simplicity, the symbols for each isotherm are the same for different fluids. A solid line is added for R408A. All the symbols without lines added represent the different isotherms for R409A: $\square, 298.15 \mathrm{~K}$; $288.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \boldsymbol{\Delta}, 278.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \bullet, 268.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \Delta, 258.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \square, 248.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \diamond, 238.15$ K.


Figure 6. Coefficient of compressibility ( $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) for R408A and R409A. For the sake of simplicity, the symbols for each isotherm are the same for different fluids. A solid line is added for R408A. All the symbols without lines added represent the different isotherms for R409A: ■, 303.15 K; 293.15 K; А, 283.15 K; •, 273.15 K; $\Delta, 263.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \square, 253.15 \mathrm{~K} ; \diamond, 243.15$ K; O, 233.15 K.
where the quadratic value of the pseudo-dipole moment of the mixture in the gaseous phase $\mu_{\text {mix }}$ and the mixture mean polarizability $\alpha_{\text {mix }}$ are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text {mix }}^{2} & =\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_{i} u_{i}^{2}  \tag{9}\\
\alpha_{\text {mix }} & =\sum_{i} y_{i} \alpha_{i} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the values of the dipole moments of the different constituents in the gas phase for each mixture, ${ }^{11}$ we can obtain the values for the gaseous mixture dipole moments presented in Table 5. Equation 5 can then be used to calculate $g$ for each mixture, from the experimental values obtained for $\mu^{*}$ in the liquid state. These are also presented in Table 5.
For all the mixtures studied so far, ${ }^{7,8}$ including the mixtures reported here, the values obtained for $\mu^{*}$, based on the Kirkwood theory, show the following trend: R408A < R409A $<$ R507 < R404A < R410A < R407C. The values of the pseudo-dipole moment for the gaseous mixtures ( $\mu_{\text {mix }}$ ) calculated using eq 9 have a different order: R409A $<$ R408A $<\mathrm{R} 410 \mathrm{~A}<\mathrm{R} 407 \mathrm{C}<\mathrm{R} 507<\mathrm{R} 404 \mathrm{~A}$. If we assume that
the Kirkwood factor defined by eq 5 can be extended to liquid state mixtures of polar molecules, the value of $g_{\text {mix }}$ can be defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\text {mix }}=\frac{\left(\mu_{\text {mix }}^{*}\right)^{2}}{\mu_{\text {mix }}^{2}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using eq 11, the values of $g_{\text {mix }}$ follow the order: R404A $<$ R507 < R407C < R408A < R410A < R409A.

As mentioned before, the greater the value of $g$, the bigger the orientational order imposed by the neighbors, decreasing the ability of the molecules to rotate freely in the liquid state. Although it is easier to understand the local order in pure refrigerant liquids, as presented before, ${ }^{4,7-10}$ these results seem to justify that, on average, the molecules of the components in R408A are relatively mobile, while those of R409A are not. Those systems that have HFC143a have more rotational mobility, a fact probably derived from the properties of this compound. ${ }^{9,15,16}$ In addition, R409A has two chlorinated components (HCFC22 and HCFC142b), which make the molecules bigger and, therefore, induce a greater hindered rotation, if the nearest neighbors cage is nearly identically. The possibility of existing preferential interactions between the mixture components, including the possibility of cluster formation, is also a matter that has to be proved by molecular simulation ${ }^{17}$ or neutron diffraction studies. ${ }^{18}$ This analysis also needs information about the dielectric behavior of HCFC22 and HCFC124, which are not available at the moment.

However, there is some more that can be extracted from comparisons with the behavior of other refrigerants. There is a strong correlation between the apparent dipole moment in Kirkwood theory and the dipole moment of the same compound in the gas phase, given by eq 5 . If we represent $\mu^{*}$ as a function of $\mu$, we can obtain the plot of Figure 4 . The same can now be registered for the refrigerant mixtures, using eq 11 . Two lines are sketched in the plot. The solid line represents the border between free rotation in the liquid state $(g=1)$ and the partially hindered rotation area. The broken line divides the zones for partially hindered rotation and restricted rotation of the molecules in the liquid phase. This line is drawn taking into account a value of $g=2.6$, the value obtained by Pople ${ }^{19}$ for liquid water assuming a structure consisting of a water molecule hydrogen bonded to four neighbors, considered here as a border between partially hindered rotation of a molecule in the cavity formed by contiguous molecules, and the restricted rotation, where several orientations are not possible by specific interactions imposed by the molecules present in the cage wall.

The number of fluorine atoms is significant in this decision (the more fluorine atoms or the bigger the ratio between fluorine and hydrogen atoms in the molecule, the more restricted the rotation in the liquid phase). ${ }^{9}$ Refrigerants like HCFC141b, HCFC142b, HFC143a, and HFC365mfc can have partially hindered rotation, ${ }^{9,10,15,16}$ while HFC245fa, HFC236ea, HFC134a, HFC32, and HFC152a, as well as some mixtures, have restricted rotation in the liquid state due to hydrogen bonding. This was already proven for HFC134a and HFC143a. ${ }^{15}$

Derived Thermodynamic Properties. The accuracy of the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ data, as well as the approximate linear dependence on $T$ and $P$ or $\rho$, makes it possible to estimate the isobaric coefficient of thermal expansion $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$ and of the isothermal compressibility $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$. The thermodynamic definitions of these coefficients are the following:


Figure 7. Deviations between the determined coefficients of thermal expansion and compressibility and REFPROP $7,{ }^{11}$ as a function of density, for R408Aand R409A. For the sake of simplicity, different isotherms for the same fluid have the same symbols. R408A ( $\square, \alpha_{\mathrm{P}} ; \boldsymbol{\square}, \kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ ); R409A (O, $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}} ; \boldsymbol{\kappa}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ).

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{P}} & =-\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T}\right)_{P}  \tag{12}\\
\kappa_{\mathrm{T}} & =\frac{1}{\rho}\left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P}\right)_{T} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the derivatives of the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T},\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial T\right)_{P},\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{P}$, and $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} \partial \rho\right)_{T}$, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}=-\frac{\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial T}\right)_{P}}{\rho\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \rho}\right)_{P}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial P}\right)_{T}}{\rho\left(\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}}{\partial \rho}\right)_{T}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the coefficients can be calculated from the experimental data in the following way. For $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$, the derivatives of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ (eq 14) were calculated at each pressure, interpolating the temperature dependence between two consecutive nominal temperatures to obtain $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{P}$ and the density dependence between the two values $\rho_{1}\left(T_{\mathrm{n} 1}, P\right)$ and $\rho_{2}\left(T_{\mathrm{n} 2}, P\right)$ to obtain ( $\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} /$ $\partial T)_{P}$. The point is then referred to the average density $\bar{\rho}=\left(\rho_{1}\right.$ $\left.+\rho_{2}\right) / 2$. In the case of $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$, the derivatives of the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ were calculated at each nominal temperature, interpolating the pressure dependence between two consecutive pressures to obtain $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial P\right)_{T}$ and the density dependence between the two values $\rho_{1}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P_{1}\right)$ and $\rho_{2}^{\prime}\left(T_{\mathrm{n}}, P_{2}\right)$ and to obtain $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{T}$. The point is then referred to the average density $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}=\left(\rho_{1}{ }^{\prime}+\rho_{2}{ }^{\prime}\right) / 2$.
Equations 14 and 15 constitute the basis for calculating the coefficients $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ from $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ experimental data. The use of REFPROP7, ${ }^{11}$ namely for the values of $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{P}$ and $\left(\partial \varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \rho\right)_{T}$, carries the uncertainty of the database model to our scheme, but unfortunately, there is no experimental density values for these mixtures, that could provide a more stringent comparison. So, the uncertainty of our reported values will be necessarily greater than the model of REFPROP7, as it includes also our global uncertainty in the experimental data points of $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$.

Tables 6 and 8 show the values obtained for R408A and R409A, respectively, as a function of pressure, for different temperatures for $\alpha_{P}$, and Tables 7 and 9 show the values obtained for $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ for R408A and R409A. The values of $\bar{\rho}$ and $\bar{\rho}^{\prime}$ used are also displayed.

Figures 5 and 6 show the values of $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ obtained as a function of density for the different isotherms. Figure 7 shows the deviations between the determined $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ values and REFPROP7, ${ }^{11}$ as a function of density for all isotherms. As explained above, these figures show the uncertainties in the coefficients obtained from the dielectric measurements, as the comparison with the REFPROP7 database as a baseline, eliminates the uncertainty of the database and the error propagation of these values in our calculation. Deviations in the determination of $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ never exceed $0.5 \%$ (at the higher densities), with an average deviation of $\pm 0.2 \%$. The values determined for $\alpha_{P}$ are somewhat more uncertain, deviations being of the order of $\pm 2 \%$, possibly due to the fact that the spacing between the different pressure levels needs to be smaller. Although the comparison is not made with direct experimental data or from an equation of state not based in a CSP analysis, as REFPROP7 for these mixtures is, these results show that the calculation of these coefficients from $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements is rather promising, especially for the $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ in the liquid state. It can provide an alternative way of obtaining these coefficients, if measurements of this property as a function of density are performed. Application of this scheme to pure fluids such as HFC125 which has a well-developed equation of state (EOS) shows that deviations for $\alpha_{P}$ are smaller than $\pm 0.3 \%$, almost ten times better.

## Conclusions

This paper reports $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements for two alternative refrigerant mixtures, at temperatures from $T=(233$ to 303$) \mathrm{K}$ under pressures up to 16 MPa , in the liquid phase. The uncertainty of the measurements is estimated to be better than $0.22 \%(k=2)$. The experimental values were correlated as a function of density and temperature, generating two different dielectric equations of state for the two fluids. Kirkwood theory allows a direct determination of the value of the apparent dipole moment $\left(\mu^{*}\right)$ in the liquid phase, found to be $1.043 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R408A and $1.047 \cdot 10^{-29} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R409A, as well as the Kirkwood correlation factor $(g)$ found to be 3.11 for R408A and 3.97 for R409A. The values of the dipole moments $(\mu)$ in the ideal gaseous phase were calculated from the dipole moments of the components in the mixture, using an extension of the Debye equation for a pure perfect gas to perfect gas mixtures. They were found to be $5.921 \cdot 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R 408 A and $5.257 \cdot 10^{-30} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ for R409A. These results permit a better understanding of rotational mobility of these molecules in the liquid state.

Values of $\alpha_{\mathrm{P}}$ and $\kappa_{\mathrm{T}}$ were obtained from the $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$ measurements, with an estimated uncertainty of $2 \%$ and $0.3 \%$ respectively, a result that supports the possibility of obtaining these coefficients directly from $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{r}}$, with an uncertainty comparable to other experimental or calculation methods.
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