# Density and Kinematic Viscosity of Pectin Aqueous Solution<sup>†</sup>

# Guilherme C. Guimarães, Marcos C. Coelho Júnior, and Edwin E. Garcia Rojas\*

Department of Agribusiness Engineering, Fluminense Federal University, Trabalhadores Av., 420, 27255-250, Volta Redonda, RJ, Brazil

In this research, we studied the influence of the pH (3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0), the temperature [(303.1, 308.1, 313.1, and 318.1) K], and the concentration of the pectin [(0.002, 0.004, 0.008, and 0.010) mass fraction] on the density and the kinematic viscosity of aqueous solutions containing low and high metoxilation pectin. The exponential model used to analyze the data of kinematic viscosity was well adjusted to the experimental data with  $R^2$  equal to 0.99 in all of the cases. The data of the density presented a relation with the concentration and an inverse relation with the temperature in all the pH values studied. The lineal model used for correlation density gave a good fit to the experimental data.

### Introduction

The knowledge of thermophysics properties (TPP) is a factor of fundamental importance for calculations of engineering that involve the selection and the dimension of equipment related to the processes to transfer heat and/or mass, knowledge of the iteration with the electromagnetic radiation, as well as for the implementation of strategies of control of processes.<sup>1,2</sup>

Empirical models applied to predict TPP of foods are effective in contrast to models derived from theoretical bases.<sup>3,4</sup> Since chemical composition and temperature can strongly affect TPP of foods, these variables are commonly taken into account to develop the above-mentioned mathematical functions.<sup>3–6</sup>

The physical properties of food polysaccharide systems have been widely investigated not only from a scientific point of view to clarify the nature of biopolymers but also from a practical standpoint. Because macroscopic physical properties such as viscosity, density, elasticity, and the thermal properties of food would be essential in an industrial food process, a knowledge of the macroscopic properties of food polysaccharide systems has been accumulated.<sup>7,8</sup> The main polysaccharides used in the industry of foods are alginate, xanthan, agar, carragen, gum-Arabic, and pectin.

The pectin is a natural polysaccharide present in almost all the terrestrial plants and is responsible for structural properties of fruits and vegetables.<sup>9</sup> It is usually extracted from citric fruits and apples. The majority component of the pectin is the galacturonic acid partially esterified with a metoxil group. The pectins can be classified in agreement with the esterified degree (GE): the ones that possess superior GE to 50 % are the high metoxilation pectins (HMP), and the ones with less GE to 50 % are the low metoxilation pectins (LMP).<sup>10–12</sup> An important functional property of this biopolymer is its capacity to form gels in aqueous solutions.<sup>13</sup> Pectins are used largely as an ingredient in the industry of foods, such as in the production of jellies, fruit juices, and sweet shop goods, in the production of eatable biodegradable films,<sup>14</sup> in the separation of proteins,<sup>15</sup> as enantiomers,<sup>16</sup> in the reduction of the cholesterol levels of the human blood,<sup>17,18</sup> and in the reduction of cholesterol in the egg yolk.<sup>19</sup>

The objective of this work was to evaluate its influences of different concentrations, pH, and temperature on the density and kinematic viscosity of aqueous solutions of LMP and HMP.

# Experimental

*Materials.* Aqueous solutions of pectin ( $\alpha$ -1,4-linked-Dgalacturonic acid), GENU 8002 (GE < 50 %, CP Kelco, Brazil), or GENU 104 (GE > 69 %, CP Kelco, Brazil) with concentrations of (0.002, 0.004, 0.008, and 0.010) mass fraction were prepared using an analytical balance (Tecnal, model B-TEC-210A, Brazil) with a given uncertainty of  $\pm$ 0.0001 g. The pH of the solutions was adjusted to 3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0 with buffer solutions (Vetec, Brazil) using a pH meter (Gehaka, PG-100, Brazil) with a precision of  $\pm$  0.01. The pH meter was previously calibrated with a buffer solution of 3.0 and 7.0 (Vetec, Brazil). All the experiments were accomplished in temperatures of (303.1, 308.1, 313.1, and 318.1) K. Polynomial regression was performed to adjust the models to the data, and the suitability of the fitted models was evaluated by the coefficient of determination  $(R^2)$ , the level of significance (p), and residual analysis. The data were correlated using the model proposed in the literature. All of the samples were made in two repetitions, and the experiments were accomplished in duplicate. Statistical analyses were made using the SAS statistical package.<sup>20</sup>

Apparatus and Measuring Procedures. Specific mass ( $\rho$ ) was determined by using a standard volumetric pycnometer (~10 cm<sup>3</sup>) with a reproducibility of  $\pm$  0.001 %. The pycnometer was calibrated using double-distilled water. Calibrated Cannon-Fenske glass capillary viscometers (sizes 50, 75, 100, and 150) were used to measure the kinematic viscosity ( $\nu$ ) (Schott-Geräte, Germany). The viscometers were placed in a water thermostatic bath (Schott-Geräte, CT 53 HT, Germany) for temperature control with a given uncertainty of ( $\pm$  0.1 K). The standard deviations of the viscosity determinations varied within the range  $2.1 \cdot 10^{-7} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$  to

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 24 33443027. Fax: +55 24 33443019. E-mail: edwin@vm.uff.br.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Part of the special issue "Robin H. Stokes Festschrift".

Table 2. Density,  $\rho$ , and Viscosity,  $\nu$ , for the Systems with Low Metoxilation Pectin (2) + Water (3) from T = (303.1 to 318.1) K and pH = 3.0 to  $6.0^{a}$ 

				$\rho_{1+3}$	$\nu_{1+3} \cdot 10^{\circ}$
pН	T/K	$w_1$	<i>w</i> <sub>3</sub>	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	$m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$
3.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$999.593 \pm 0.085$	$1.558 \pm 0.006$
2.0	308.1	0.002	0.998	$998.582 \pm 0.169$	$1.402 \pm 0.004$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$997.223 \pm 0.007$	$1.259\pm0.019$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$996.363 \pm 0.198$	$1.134\pm0.018$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$999.788 \pm 0.263$	$2.714\pm0.027$
	308.1	0.004	0.996	$998.972 \pm 0.141$	$2.390 \pm 0.023$
	313.1	0.004	0.996	$998.095 \pm 0.037$	$2.108 \pm 0.020$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$996.582 \pm 0.232$	$1.882 \pm 0.013$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.126 \pm 0.117$ 1001 164 $\pm$ 0.225	$6.374 \pm 0.020$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.164 \pm 0.235$	$5.543 \pm 0.090$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$999.900 \pm 0.143$ 008 230 $\pm$ 0.240	$4.777 \pm 0.120$ $4.181 \pm 0.110$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1002524 \pm 0.187$	$9.310 \pm 0.130$
	308.1	0.010	0.990	$1002.524 \pm 0.107$ $1001.833 \pm 0.123$	$8.005 \pm 0.105$
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1001.035 \pm 0.025$ $1000.645 \pm 0.081$	$6.895 \pm 0.090$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$999.349 \pm 0.035$	$6.005 \pm 0.078$
4.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$999.240 \pm 0.165$	$1.545 \pm 0.066$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$998.233 \pm 0.066$	$1.383\pm0.057$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$997.144 \pm 0.091$	$1.278\pm0.012$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$996.472 \pm 0.045$	$1.152\pm0.017$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$1000.095 \pm 0.147$	$2.617\pm0.034$
	308.1	0.004	0.996	$999.562 \pm 0.088$	$2.313 \pm 0.027$
	313.1	0.004	0.996	$998.206 \pm 0.258$	$2.055 \pm 0.020$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$997.651 \pm 0.038$	$1.836 \pm 0.018$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1003.053 \pm 0.110$ $1002.058 \pm 0.018$	$6.072 \pm 0.153$ $5.203 \pm 0.017$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.038 \pm 0.018$ $1001.203 \pm 0.000$	$3.203 \pm 0.017$ $4.534 \pm 0.025$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.293 \pm 0.090$ $1000.447 \pm 0.104$	$3.969 \pm 0.023$
	303.1	0.010	0.990	$1004.145 \pm 0.035$	$8.619 \pm 0.038$
	308.1	0.010	0.990	$1003.043 \pm 0.202$	$7.486 \pm 0.056$
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1002.517 \pm 0.037$	$6.534 \pm 0.013$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1001.663 \pm 0.046$	$5.720\pm0.011$
5.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$1005.556 \pm 0.114$	$1.532\pm0.001$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$1004.456 \pm 0.036$	$1.370 \pm 0.001$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$1003.350 \pm 0.044$	$1.232 \pm 0.001$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$1002.250 \pm 0.122$	$1.116 \pm 0.002$
	208.1	0.004	0.996	$1000.490 \pm 0.233$ $1005 202 \pm 0.153$	$2.402 \pm 0.019$ 2.128 $\pm 0.011$
	313.1	0.004	0.990	$1003.,392 \pm 0.133$ $1004.293 \pm 0.076$	$2.138 \pm 0.011$ 1 905 $\pm 0.012$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$1004.293 \pm 0.070$ $1003 197 \pm 0.002$	$1.905 \pm 0.012$ $1.708 \pm 0.013$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1005.177 \pm 0.002$ $1007.076 \pm 0.446$	$5.312 \pm 0.018$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1006.171 \pm 0.385$	$4.656 \pm 0.013$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1005.270 \pm 0.322$	$4.088\pm0.010$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1004.477 \pm 0.182$	$3.615\pm0.014$
	303.1	0.010	0.990	$1008.646 \pm 0.118$	$7.650\pm0.006$
	308.1	0.010	0.990	$1007.740 \pm 0.179$	$6.636 \pm 0.059$
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1006.867 \pm 0.261$	$5.805 \pm 0.062$
( )	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1005.967 \pm 0.326$	$5.096 \pm 0.052$
6.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$1001.460 \pm 0.215$ $1000.600 \pm 0.082$	$1.521 \pm 0.037$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$1000.090 \pm 0.082$ $000.565 \pm 0.100$	$1.300 \pm 0.031$ $1.220 \pm 0.023$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$998.620 \pm 0.189$	$1.220 \pm 0.023$ $1.102 \pm 0.014$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$1002.868 \pm 0.212$	$2.427 \pm 0.023$
	308.1	0.004	0.996	$1001.855 \pm 0.173$	$2.153 \pm 0.024$
	313.1	0.004	0.996	$1000.775 \pm 0.088$	$1.909\pm0.010$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$999.878 \pm 0.132$	$1.702\pm0.003$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1004.397 \pm 0.158$	$5.327 \pm 0.061$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1003.598 \pm 0.272$	$4.660\pm0.014$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.979 \pm 0.512$	$4.090 \pm 0.018$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.276 \pm 0.013$	$3.614 \pm 0.018$
	505.1 209.1	0.010	0.990	$1004.425 \pm 0.188$ $1002.710 \pm 0.216$	$1.302 \pm 0.291$
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1003.710 \pm 0.210$ $1003.063 \pm 0.004$	$0.430 \pm 0.213$ 5.617 $\pm 0.172$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1003.003 \pm 0.004$ $1001.746 \pm 0.248$	$4.930 \pm 0.172$

 $^{a}w_{1} = mass$  fraction of high metoxilation pectin.  $w_{3} = mass$  fraction of water.

 $1.1 \cdot 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ . The coefficient of variation of the experimental measurements can be estimated as being not higher than 5.0 %.

				$\rho_{2+3}$	$\nu_{2+3} \cdot 10^6$
pН	T/K	$W_2$	<i>w</i> <sub>3</sub>	kg•m <sup>-3</sup>	$m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$
3.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$999.726 \pm 0.098$	$1.447\pm0.001$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$999.157 \pm 0.397$	$1.275 \pm 0.003$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$997.449 \pm 0.108$	$1.138 \pm 0.003$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$996.575 \pm 0.024$ 1000 422 $\pm 0.102$	$1.027 \pm 0.001$ 2.217 $\pm 0.055$
	308.1	0.004	0.990	$1000.422 \pm 0.192$ 999 441 $\pm$ 0 184	$2.217 \pm 0.033$ 1 917 + 0.043
	313.1	0.004	0.996	$998.465 \pm 0.172$	$1.680 \pm 0.033$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$997.573 \pm 0.101$	$1.500 \pm 0.026$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.875 \pm 0.024$	$4.700\pm0.200$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.100 \pm 0.129$	$3.963\pm0.131$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.177 \pm 0.178$	$3.411 \pm 0.104$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1000.239 \pm 0.116$ 1004.022 $\pm 0.004$	$2.993 \pm 0.094$
	308.1	0.010	0.990	$1004.023 \pm 0.004$ $1002.887 \pm 0.007$	$0.437 \pm 0.130$ 5 384 ± 0.109
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1002.887 \pm 0.097$ $1001.965 \pm 0.047$	$4.619 \pm 0.081$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1000.938 \pm 0.072$	$4.032 \pm 0.068$
4.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$1000.594 \pm 0.067$	$1.374\pm0.020$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$1000.031 \pm 0.276$	$1.236\pm0.021$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$998.632 \pm 0.105$	$1.117 \pm 0.018$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$997.937 \pm 0.010$	$1.014 \pm 0.017$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$1001.221 \pm 0.056$ $1000.212 \pm 0.001$	$2.061 \pm 0.019$ 1 841 $\pm$ 0.014
	313.1	0.004	0.990	$1000.313 \pm 0.091$ 999 375 $\pm 0.147$	$1.641 \pm 0.014$ $1.648 \pm 0.010$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$998.703 \pm 0.015$	$1.485 \pm 0.010$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1003.003 \pm 0.070$	$4.130 \pm 0.030$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.898 \pm 0.104$	$3.641\pm0.022$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1001.140 \pm 0.032$	$3.222\pm0.020$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1000.328 \pm 0.001$	$2.867 \pm 0.021$
	303.1	0.010	0.990	$1003.642 \pm 0.044$ 1002 705 $\pm 0.026$	$5.670 \pm 0.111$
	308.1	0.010	0.990	$1002.795 \pm 0.036$ $1002.106 \pm 0.083$	$4.938 \pm 0.098$ $4.374 \pm 0.080$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1002.100 \pm 0.003$ $1001.304 \pm 0.124$	$3.875 \pm 0.060$
5.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$1003.192 \pm 0.016$	$1.363 \pm 0.016$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$1002.023 \pm 0.048$	$1.223\pm0.016$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$1001.086 \pm 0.049$	$1.103\pm0.015$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$1000.161 \pm 0.156$	$1.001 \pm 0.014$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$1003.995 \pm 0.002$ 1002.687 $\pm 0.166$	$2.0/1 \pm 0.020$
	313.1	0.004	0.990	$1002.087 \pm 0.100$ $1001.762 \pm 0.059$	$1.646 \pm 0.017$ $1.655 \pm 0.013$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$1001.702 \pm 0.039$ $1000.811 \pm 0.029$	$1.504 \pm 0.027$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1005.439 \pm 0.156$	$4.178 \pm 0.198$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1004.488 \pm 0.066$	$3.677\pm0.159$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1003.553 \pm 0.033$	$3.255\pm0.132$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1002.562 \pm 0.094$	$2.900 \pm 0.114$
	303.1	0.010	0.990	$1006.5/5 \pm 0.060$ $1005.861 \pm 0.116$	$5.519 \pm 0.005$
	313.1	0.010	0.990	$1003.801 \pm 0.110$ $1004.557 \pm 0.156$	$4.838 \pm 0.011$ $4.260 \pm 0.021$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1004.557 \pm 0.150$ $1002.676 \pm 0.411$	$3.778 \pm 0.030$
6.0	303.1	0.002	0.998	$1007.054 \pm 0.206$	$1.356 \pm 0.013$
	308.1	0.002	0.998	$1006.559 \pm 0.069$	$1.216\pm0.015$
	313.1	0.002	0.998	$1005.702 \pm 0.088$	$1.098\pm0.015$
	318.1	0.002	0.998	$1004.722 \pm 0.021$	$0.997 \pm 0.013$
	303.1	0.004	0.996	$1008.178 \pm 0.080$	$2.015 \pm 0.050$
	308.1	0.004	0.996	$1007.231 \pm 0.036$ $1006.298 \pm 0.001$	$1.800 \pm 0.055$ $1.610 \pm 0.049$
	318.1	0.004	0.996	$1005.344 \pm 0.001$	$1.451 \pm 0.049$
	303.1	0.008	0.992	$1009.482 \pm 0.014$	$4.000 \pm 0.052$
	308.1	0.008	0.992	$1008.662 \pm 0.031$	$3.518 \pm 0.056$
	313.1	0.008	0.992	$1007.688 \pm 0.031$	$3.117\pm0.047$
	318.1	0.008	0.992	$1006.716 \pm 0.092$	$2.779 \pm 0.040$
	303.1	0.010	0.990	$1010.182 \pm 0.027$	$5.518 \pm 0.012$
	308.1 312.1	0.010	0.990	$1009.436 \pm 0.070$ $1008.400 \pm 0.027$	$4.842 \pm 0.024$ $4.264 \pm 0.027$
	318.1	0.010	0.990	$1008.490 \pm 0.027$ $1007.554 \pm 0.008$	$4.204 \pm 0.027$ $3.783 \pm 0.020$

 $^{a}w_{2} = mass$  fraction of low metoxilation pectin.  $w_{3} = mass$  fraction of water.

# **Results and Discussion**

Tables 1 and 2 show the experimental results of viscosity LMP and HMP for different temperatures, pH, and concentra-



**Figure 1.** Kinematic viscosity  $\nu$  of systems with high metoxilation pectin (1) + water (3) as a function of mass fraction of high metoxilation pectin  $w_1$ :  $\bigcirc$ , 303.1 K;  $\triangle$ , 308.1 K;  $\square$ , 313.1 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 318.1 K; a, pH 3.0; b, pH 4.0; c, pH 5.0; d, pH 6.0.

Table 3. Parameter Values Used in Equation  $1^a$  for the Systemswith Low Metoxilation Pectin and Water

						AAD	SD
pН	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$R^2$	(%)	$(\text{mm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$
3.0	0.0630	365.98	235.01	-99.62	0.99	2.74	0.09
4.0	0.1055	311.13	242.17	-108.49	0.99	2.34	0.06
5.0	0.1049	315.73	235.35	-108.40	0.99	3.72	0.11
6.0	0.0193	815.21	355.96	-176.78	0.99	1.79	0.04
9H 3.0 4.0 5.0 6.0	$\begin{array}{c} P_1 \\ 0.0630 \\ 0.1055 \\ 0.1049 \\ 0.0193 \end{array}$	P <sub>2</sub> 365.98 311.13 315.73 815.21	P <sub>3</sub> 235.01 242.17 235.35 355.96	$\begin{array}{r} P_4 \\ \hline -99.62 \\ -108.49 \\ -108.40 \\ -176.78 \end{array}$	0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99 0.99	(%) 2.74 2.34 3.72 1.79	0.09 0.06 0.11 0.04

<sup>*a*</sup> Equation 1:  $\nu \cdot 10^6 = P_1 \cdot \exp(P_2 + P_3 \cdot w_2/T/K - P_4)$ . AAD = Average absolute deviation. SD = Standard deviation.

Table 4. Parameter Values Used in Equation  $1^a$  for the Systems with High Metoxilation Pectin and Water

						AAD	SD
pН	$P_1$	$P_2$	$P_3$	$P_4$	$R^2$	(%)	$(\text{mm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$
3	0.14	267.28	270.49	-96.64	0.99	4.37	0.14
4	0.08	406.39	311.79	-121.51	0.99	3.63	0.13
5	0.03	730.83	381.58	-164.52	0.99	2.25	0.07
6	0.14	259.80	246.93	-98.31	0.99	4.06	0.13

<sup>*a*</sup> Equation 1:  $\nu \cdot 10^6 = P_1 \cdot \exp(P_2 + P_3 \cdot w_1/T/K - P_4)$ . AAD = Average absolute deviation. SD = Standard deviation.

tion. When the solution is heated, the viscosity decreases as the thermal energy of the molecules increases, and the intermolecular distances increase due to thermal expansion. As seen from Table 1, at higher temperature viscosity decreases, and at higher concentration viscosity increases, which is in accordance with the literature.<sup>21–25</sup> The effect of temperature was stronger at the higher concentration. The viscosity of pectin increased with the increase of pectin concentration in all temperatures and pH values. When the solid concentration increases, the viscosity increases because of the increase in hydrogen bonding with hydroxyl groups and the distortion in the velocity pattern

 Table 5. Parameter Values Used in Equation 4<sup>a</sup> for the Systems with High Metoxilation Pectin and Water

				AAD		SD
pН	а	b	С	(%)	$R^2$	$\overline{(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3})}$
3.0	1067	414.6	-0.22	0.02	0.98	0.27
4.0	1050	648.7	-0.17	0.01	0.99	0.18
5.0	1065	385.4	-0.19	0.03	0.96	0.35
6.0	1059	401.1	-0.19	0.03	0.96	0.36

<sup>*a*</sup> Equation 4:  $\rho = a + b \cdot w_1 + c \cdot T$ . AAD = Average absolute deviation. SD = Standard deviation.

Table 6. Parameter Values Used in Equation  $4^a$  for the Systems with Low Metoxilation Pectin and Water

				AAD		SD
pН	а	b	с	(%)	$R^2$	$\overline{(\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3})}$
3.0	1059	553.8	-0.19	0.02	0.98	0.24
4.0	1052	400.8	-0.17	0.01	0.99	0.16
5.0	1068	415.0	-0.21	0.02	0.98	0.24
0.0	1060	339.3	-0.17	0.01	0.99	0.11

<sup>*a*</sup> Equation 4:  $\rho = a + b \cdot w_2 + c \cdot T$ . AAD = Average absolute deviation. SD = Standard deviation.

of the liquid by hydrated molecules of the solute. The intermolecular distance is also a factor that affects the viscosity inversely proportional to it due to changing temperatures.<sup>24</sup> The pH of the solution also influenced the behavior of the viscosity. It is noticed that the tendency is that aqueous solutions of pectin of more acidic pH present a larger viscosity in relation to the other pH studied, and this is due to the increment of the hydrophobic interactions of the estermethyl groups (COOCH<sub>3</sub>), whose hydrophobic interactions sustain the structure of the gel.<sup>26</sup>

Equation 1 was adjusted to the observed data to correlate the viscosity as a function of temperature and mass fraction of Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data, Vol. 54, No. 2, 2009 665

each value of the pH studied. This equation, proposed by  
Gonzales-Tello et al.,<sup>27</sup> has been used to correlate the viscosity  
of polymeric solutions and gave a good fit to the experimental  
data, as observed in Figures 1. A determination coefficient 
$$R^2$$
 where  $\nu$  it is  
 $\geq 0.99$  in all of the studied cases was obtained mass fraction

where 
$$\nu$$
 it is the kinematic viscosity of the solution;  $w$  is the mass fraction of LMP or HMP; and  $T$  is the temperature of the

(1)

 $\nu \cdot 10^6 = P_1 \cdot \exp\left(\frac{P_2 + P_3 \cdot w}{T/K - P_4}\right)$ 



**Figure 2.** Kinematic viscosity  $\nu$  of systems with low metoxilation pectin (2) + water (3) as a function of mass fraction of low metoxilation pectin  $w_2$ :  $\bigcirc$ , 303.1 K;  $\triangle$ , 308.1 K;  $\square$ , 313.1 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 318.1 K; a, pH 3.0; b, pH 4.0; c, pH 5.0; d, pH 6.0.



**Figure 3.** Density  $\rho$  of systems with low metoxilation pectin (2) + water (3) as a function of mass fraction of low metoxilation pectin  $w_2$ :  $\bigcirc$ , 303.1 K;  $\Delta$ , 308.1 K;  $\Box$ , 313.1 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 318.1 K; a, pH 3.0; b, pH 4.0; c, pH 5.0; d, pH 6.0.



**Figure 4.** Density  $\rho$  of systems with high metoxilation pectin (2) + water (3) as a function of mass fraction of high metoxilation pectin  $w_1$ :  $\bigcirc$ , 303.1 K;  $\Delta$ , 308.1 K;  $\square$ , 313.1 K;  $\diamondsuit$ , 318.1 K; a, pH 3.0; b, pH 4.0; c, pH 5.0; d, pH 6.0.

system.  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $P_3$ , and  $P_4$  were obtained by nonlinear regression. In Tables 3 and 4, the values of these parameters are listed, as well as the values of the standard deviation (SD) and average absolute deviation (AAD), calculated in agreement with eqs 2 and 3, respectively.<sup>28</sup> The results of SD and AAD were inferior to 0.14 mm<sup>2</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup> and 3.72 %, respectively, which is indicative of goodness of fit.

$$SD = \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (\eta_{\exp,1} - \eta_{cal,1})^2}{(m-p)}\right]^{0.5}$$
(2)

$$AAD = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(\frac{|\eta_{\exp,i} - \eta_{\operatorname{cal},i}|}{\eta_{\exp,i}}\right)\right] \cdot \frac{100}{m}$$
(3)

The experimental data of the density are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The mathematical lineal model (eq 4) was well adjusted to the experimental data as observed in Figures 3 and 4. A determination coefficient  $R^2 \ge 0.96$  was obtained in all of the studied cases. The results of SD and AAD were inferior to 0.36 kg·m<sup>-3</sup> and 0.03 %, respectively. This is indicative of a good fit to the experimental data.

$$\rho = a + b \cdot w + c \cdot T \tag{4}$$

where *a*, *b*, and *c* are constants determined by lineal regression for each value studied in pH. In Tables 5 and 6 are listed the values of these parameters, for HMP and for LMP, respectively.

In Table 1, the relation of the density of the solutions of HMP is presented with the studied variables (temperature, concentration, and pH) where it can be observed that the temperature presented a relation inversely proportional to the density, while the concentration had a reaction directly proportional. In relation to the pH, an increment of the density can be observed in the studied extreme pHs (3.0 and 6.0).

#### Conclusions

In this work, we studied the influence of the pH (3.0, 4.0, 5.0, and 6.0), the temperature [(303.1, 308.1, 313.1, and 318.1) K], and the concentration of the pectin [(0.002, 0.004, 0.008, and 0.010) mass fraction] on the density and the kinematic viscosity of aqueous solutions containing low and high metoxilation pectin. The exponential model applied to analyze the data of kinematic viscosity was well adjusted to the experimental data with  $R^2$  equal to 0.99 in all of the cases. The data of the density presented a direct relation with the concentration and an inverse relation with the temperature in all the pH values studied. The lineal model used for correlation density gave a good fit to the experimental data.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors are grateful to CP KELCO BRASIL S/A for the furnishment of the samples.

#### Literature Cited

- (1) Lewis, M. J. Propriedades físicas de los alimentos y de los sistemas de procesado; Editorial Acribia S.A.: Zaragosa, 1993.
- (2) Gündüz, U. Evaluation of viscosities of aqueous two-phase systems containing protein. J. Chromatogr. 2003, 807, 157–161.
- (3) Becker, B. R.; Fricke, B. A. Food Thermophysical Property Models. Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transfer. 1999, 26 (5), 627–636.
- (4) McMinn, W. A. M.; Magee, T. R. A. Measurement and Prediction of Thermal Properties of Potatoes. *Proc. of 11th International Drying Symposium (IDS'98)*, Greece, August 19–12, 1998, A, 747–753.
- (5) Suk, S. K.; Santi, R. B. Thermophysical Properties of Plain Yogurt as Functions of Moisture Content. J. Food Eng. 1997, 32, 109–124.
- (6) Nesvadba, P.; Eunson, C. Moisture and Temperature Dependence of Thermal Diffusivity of Cod Minces. J. Food Technol. 1984, 19, 585– 587.
- (7) Stephen, A. M. Ed. Food Polysaccharides and Their Applications; Dekker: New York, 1995.

- (8) Phillips, G. O.; Willians, P. A. Handbook of hydrocolloids; CRC Press LLC: Boca Raton, 2000.
- (9) Rollers, S.; Jones, S. A. Handbook of fat replacers; CRC Press Inc.: New York, 1996.
- (10) Wong, D. W. S. *Química de los alimentos: Mecanismos y teoria*; Editorial Acribia S.A.: Zaragosa, 1989.
- (11) Thakur, B. R. Chemistry and uses of pectin. *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.* **1997**, *37* (1), 47–73.
- (12) Thibault, J. F. Gelation of sugar beet pectin by oxidative coupling. In *The chemistry and technology of pectin*; Reginald, H. W., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, 1991.
- (13) Yokoi, H.; Obita, T.; Hirose, J.; Hayashi, S.; Takasaki, Y. Flocculation properties of pectin in various suspensions. *Bioresour. Technol.* 2002, 84, 287–290.
- (14) Coffin, D. R.; Fishman, M. L. Films fabricated from mixtures of pectin and Poly(vynyl alcohol), U. S. Patent 5.646.206, 1997.
- (15) Yang, C. C.; Chen, C. C.; Chang, H. M. Separation of egg white lysosyme by anionic polysaccharides. J. Food Sci. 1998, 63, 962– 965.
- (16) Phinney, K. W.; Jinadu, L. A.; Sander, L. C. Chiral selectors from fruit: application of citrus pectins to enantiomer separtions in capillary electrophoresis. J. Chromatogr. A 1999, 857, 285–293.
- (17) Day, C. E.; Kuhrts E. H. Method and composition for reducing serum cholesterol. U. S. Patent 4.824.672,1989.
- (18) Judd, P. A.; Truswell, A. S. Comparation of the effects of high and low methoxyl pectins on blood and faecal lipids in man. *Br. J. Nutr.* **1982**, 48, 451–458.
- (19) Rojas, E. E. G.; Coimbra, J. S. R.; Minim, L. A. Adsorption of egg yolk plasma cholesterol using a hydrophobic adsorbent. *Eur. Food Res. Technol.* 2007, 223, 705–709.
- (20) SAS User's guide: Statistics, version 8 edition; SAS Institute Inc.: Cary, North Carolina, 1999.

- (21) Silva, R. M. M.; Minim, L. A.; Coimbra, J. S. R.; Rojas, E. E. G.; Silva, L. H. M.; Minim, V. P. R. Density, electrical conductivity, kinematic viscosity and refractive index of binary mistures containing poly(ethylene glycol) 4000, lithium sulfate, and water at different temperatures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2007, 52, 1567–1570.
- (22) Yoo, S. H.; Fishman, M. L.; Hotchkiss, A. T., Jr.; Lee, H. G. Viscometric behavior of high-methoxy and low-methxy pectin solutions. *Food Hydrocolloids* **2006**, *20*, 62–67.
- (23) Li, G.; Chang, K. C. Viscosity and gelling Characteristics of sunflower pectin as affected by chemical and physical factors. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1997, 45, 4785–4789.
- (24) Kar, F.; Arslan, N. Effect of temperature and concentration on viscosity of orange peel pectin solutions and intrinsic viscosity-molecular weight relationship. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **1999**, *40*, 277–284.
- (25) Gomez-Diaz, D.; Navaza, J. M. Rheology of aqueous solutions of food additives. Effect of concentration, tempertature and blending. J. Food Eng. 2003, 56, 387–392.
- (26) Oakenfull, D.; Scott, A. Hydrophobic interaction in the gelation of high methoxyl pectins. J. Food Sci. 1984, 49, 1093–1098.
- (27) González-Tello, P.; Camacho, F.; Blázquez, G. Density and Viscosity of concentrated aqueous solutions of polyethylene glycol. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1994, 39, 611–614.
- (28) Cruz, M. S.; Chumpitaz, L. D. A.; Alves, J. G. L. F.; Meirelles, A. J. A. Kinematic viscosities of Poly(ethylene glycols). *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2000, 45, 61–63.

Received for review April 30, 2008. Accepted September 11, 2008. The authors are grateful to FAPERJ for the financial support.

JE800305A