Reply to Comments by W. E. Acree, Jr. on *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2008, 53, 1367–1370

Hong-Kun Zhao*

College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, YangZhou University, Yangzhou, Jiangsu, 225002, People's Republic of China

The comments by Acree¹ on the paper by Zhao et al.² provide many constructive suggestions. In that comment, Acree presents a method for assessing the internal consistency of experimental data used in Schreinemaker's wet residue method.¹ This comment appears to be reasonable. However, there are problems with the method suggested.

1. Acree indicates that Schreinemaker's wet residue method is only as good as the experimental data used to construct the tie lines. One question is how to confirm the equilibrium solid phase, dried directly, or washed, dried, and then analyzed. For multicomponent systems (e.g., $Na_2SO_4 + H_2O_2 + H_2O^3$), it is very difficult to identify the equilibrium solid phase directly (in this system, the adduct $Na_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O_2$ or $Na_2SO_4 \cdot H_2O_2 \cdot$ 0.5H₂O can be formed, which depends on the concentration of H₂O₂). However, Schreinemaker's wet residue method is a valid method to construct the solid—liquid phase diagram of the ternary system. It is not only as good as the experimental data (composition of equilibrium liquid phase not "wet" solid phase) used to construct the tie lines but also a very valid method to identify the equilibrium solid phases.^{4,5}

2. Acree¹ presents a calculation method to assess whether or not the measured values obey "the conservation of mass". However, the phase diagram can be used not only for qualitative but also for a quantitative description of the system, and that can form a basis for calculating material balances.⁴ These quantitative relationships are represented graphically by two rules, the straight line rule and the lever rule. "The conservation of mass" proposed by Acree¹ is a lever rule in nature. This method, initially proposed by Schreinemaker, has been used in solid—liquid phase diagrams of multicomponent systems for many many years.^{4,6} The internal inconsistencies in the experimental data (the compositions of the "wet" residue and the equilibrium liquid phase) can be found in many papers.^{7–9}

It is well-known that, strictly speaking, the mass and the composition of the liquid phase, the original mixture, and the wet solid and dry solid must obey the lever rule and the straight line rule. In a ternary phase diagram, when a pure solid is formed, the composition of the other component in the "dry" solid phase must be zero. In fact, there always exist some errors in the experiments. Any expert in the field is aware of the fact. The errors (positive errors or negative errors) result from the fact that the mass and the composition of the liquid phase, original mixture, and wet solid do not obey the straight line rule and the level rule strictly. That is to say a straight line drawn through a pair of points representing such compositions on a phase diagram may not pass through the composition of the pure solid exactly. The numerical values of the composition of the other component calculated according to the lever rule and the straight line rule are often not zero. It is not possible that all the calculated numerical values are zero. The tie-lines

* Corresponding author. E-mail: hkzhao@zzu.edu.cn. Tel.: +86 514 87975568. Fax: +86 514 87975244.

fixed by the compositions of equilibrium solution and moist wet solid were extrapolated algebraically to the line of 100 % pure component, and the errors can be calculated. The errors of analysis and synthesis, which are probably quite small, are greatly multiplied by the extrapolation.^{7–9}

3. To construct the multicomponent solid-liquid phase diagram, any two of the following three compositions, liquid phase, original mixture, and wet solid, are needed. In our investigation,² the equilibrium liquid phase and wet solid phase are employed to determine the pure solid phase. Acree indicates that the experimental data employed in the method are flawed, and that is the reason for Acree's comment. I recognized the point in our experiments. The so-called "flaw" mainly results from experimental uncertainties. This uncertainty is not avoidable during the experiment. In our paper² ("in Figures 1, 2, and 3, along the solubility curve S_1C_1 , S_2C_2 , or S_3C_3 , linking the composition points of the liquid phase and moist solid phase and an extension thereof, the point of intersection of these tielines is approximately the solid-phase component for onitrobenzoic acid on a wet basis"),² we only say "approximately", not "exactly". The \pm 0.1 % precision that we gave is the analytical results using HPLC, not the experimental uncertainty.

4. The compositions of wet solid phase determined by Schreinemaker's wet residue method have experimental uncertainties that are estimated to be about 3 %. However, from the engineering point of view, the experimental uncertainty is acceptable in determining the phase diagram of multicomponent systems.

A simple example is given below to illustrate the experimental uncertainty in the measured data of the phase diagram for the multicomponent system. For mathematical convenience, I have also assumed 100 g total of each phase, so that the mass percentages become the mass of each chemical. The calculation method is the same as described in ref 1. The solubility of the system potassium sulfate + hydrogen peroxide + water at 20 °C³ and the calculated results are all given in Table 1.

From Table 1, only a single solid, K_2SO_4 , is present in the equilibrium solid phase. The mass of H_2O_2 in the "dry" solid is nonzero. Many similar examples can be found in the published papers.^{7–30}

There are several possible reasons the various uncertainties might affect composition determination of the liquid phase. Acree¹ indicates that the liquid phase compositions may be wrong. I claim that the liquid phase compositions and equilibrium tie line of the liquid phase published in ref 2 are correct. In our experiment, there are no overlaps in the chromatographic peaks for *o*-nitrobenzoic acid and *m*-nitrobenzoic acid. Filtration was carries out at the studied temperatures, and a filter pore size of 0.22 μ m (Isopore) was used during the separation process. When some filtrate was obtained, the filter unit was placed into a ice—water mixture. A very small amount of solvent

Table 1. System Potassium Sulfate (1) + Hydrogen Peroxide (2) + Water (3) at 20 $^\circ C$ (Solubility Data Were Taken from Reference)

liquid phase		moist solid phase				
$\frac{K_2 SO_4}{(100 w_1)}$	H_2O_2 (100 w ₁)	$\frac{K_2 SO_4}{(100 w_1)}$	H_2O_2 (100 w ₁)	solid phase	mass of 1 in "dry" solid	mass of 2 in "dry" solid
10.00 13.78 16.78 19.40 23.12 23.89 24.83 28.31 31.41 35.93 41.09	0.00 4.12 8.35 12.10 15.57 18.45 20.11 24.12 28.17 33.66 39.29	98.2 87.5 91.4 86.0 89.7 91.0 93.6 90.7 95.7 94.0 93.0	$\begin{array}{c} 0.00\\ 0.63\\ 1.00\\ 0.61\\ 2.00\\ 2.33\\ 1.89\\ 3.36\\ 1.81\\ 3.05\\ 4.75\end{array}$	K ₂ SO ₄ K ₂ SO ₄	98.0 85.5 89.7 82.21 86.57 88.24 91.57 87.17 93.77 90.52 88.29	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0.034\\ 0.152\\ -1.76\\ 0.108\\ 0.196\\ 0.24\\ 0.35\\ 0.075\\ -0.22\\ 0.244\end{array}$
44.36	43.85	81.5	6.60	K_2SO_4	36.73	-37.66

evaporated from the filtered solid residue. This is a possible reason that leads to an inaccurate quantification of the composition of the "wet" solid phase. It is very difficult to reproduce the "wet" composition; however, the tie lines of equilibrium liquid composition and the equilibrium solid phase can easily be reproduced.

In determining the compositions of the wet residue based on Schreinemaker's method, filter operation was already used to obtain the wet solid phase. This method can be found in published papers.^{16–18}

Acree indicates that: "Reporting data as the mass fraction for the "wet" solid phase hides inconsistencies. I recommend that if authors report their experimental solid-liquid compositional data on the "wet" solid phase basis they should also include the mass fractions calculated on the "dry" solid phase basis. One can quickly spot the internal inconsistencies in the experimental data by noting how large the nonzero positive and nonzero negative masses are." I do not believe that reporting data as the mass fraction for the "wet" solid phase hides any inconsistencies because the reported data (compositions of equilibrium liquid and wet solid phase) should obey the lever rule and the straight line rule. The tie-lines fixed by the compositions of equilibrium solution and moist wet solid were extrapolated algebraically, and then any inconsistency can be obtained. Papers reporting the experimental solid-liquid compositional data on the "wet" solid phase basis have been published.⁷⁻³⁰ Many papers do not include the mass fractions calculated on the "dry" solid phase basis.¹⁰⁻²⁹

Literature Cited

- Acree, W. E. Comments on Solid-Liquid Phase Equilibrium and Phase Diagram for the Ternary *o*-Nitrobenzoic Acid + *m*-Nitrobenzoic Acid + Ethanol System. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2009, accepted.
- (2) Zhao, H. K.; Zhang, Q. H.; Li, R. R.; Ji, H. Z.; Meng, X. C.; Qu, Q. S. Solid–Liquid Phase Equilibrium and Phase Diagram for the Ternary *o*-Nitrobenzoic Acid + *m*-Nitrobenzoic Acid + Ethanol System. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2008**, 53, 1367–1370.
- (3) Pani, S.; Terrey, H. Compounds Formed between Hydrogen Peroxide and Sulphates, Selenates, and Tellurates in Aqueous Solution [J]. J. Chem. Soc. 1955, 3056–3063.
- (4) Nývlt, J. Solid-Liquid Phase Equilibria; Publishing House of the Czechoslovak, 1977.
- (5) Schott, H. A Mathematical Extrapolation for the Method of Wet Residues. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1961, 6, 324–324.
- (6) Su, Y. G.; LÜ, B. L.; Wang, X. R. Phase Diagram Analyses in Inorganic Chemical Production: Basic Theory; Chemical Industrial Press: Beijing, 1985 (In Chinese).

- (7) John, E. R.; William, F. L. The Aqueous Solubility of Silver Molybdate and the Ternary Systems Ag₂MoO₄-AgNO₃-H₂O and Ag₂MoO₄-Na₂MoO₄-H₂O at 25'. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1951**, 73, 3601–3603.
- (8) Ricci, J. E. The Ternary System Na₂SO₄-NaBrO₃-H₂O, and a Sixth Possible Type of Solid Solution Formation between Two Components in the Roozeboom Classification. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1935**, 57, 805– 810.
- (9) Hill, E.; Ricci, J. E. Ternary Systems. XI. Magnesium Iodate, Sodium Iodate and Water. XII. Sodium Iodate, Potassium Iodate and Water. XIII. Potassium Iodate, Potassium Chloride and Water. XIV. Potassium Iodate, Potassium Sulfate and Water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1931, 53, 4305–4315.
- (10) Assarsson, G. O. Equilibria in the Ternary System MgCl₂-BaCl₂-H₂O between 18 and 1000. J. Phys. Chem. **1956**, 60, 1436–1439.
- (11) Trujillo, R.; Tejera, E. The Ternary System NaVO₃-Na₂SO₄-H₂O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1953**, 75, 741–741.
 (12) Lightfoot, W. J.; Prutton, C. F. Equilibria in Saturated Solutions. I.
- (12) Lightfoot, W. J.; Prutton, C. F. Equilibria in Saturated Solutions. I. The Ternary Systems CaCl₂-MgCl₂-H₂O, CaCl₂-KCl-H₂O, and MgCl₂-KCl-H₂O at 35'. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1946**, 68, 1001–1002.
- (13) Ricci, J. E. The Ternary Systems Sodium Iodate-Sodium Chlorate -Water and Potassium Iodate-Potassium Chlorate-Water at 25 and 50°. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1938**, *60*, 2040–2043.
- (14) Igelsrud, I.; Thompson, T. G. Equilibria in the Saturated Solutions of Salts Occurring in Sea Water. I. The Ternary Systems MgCl₂-KCl-H₂O, MgCl₂-CaCl₂-H₂O, CaCl₂-KCl-H₂O and CaCl₂-NaCl-H₂O at 0°. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1936**, *58*, 318–322.
- (15) Ehret, W. F. Ternary Systems: CaCl₂-Ca(NO₃)₂-H₂O (25°), CaCl₂-Ca(ClO₃)₂-H₂O (25°) SrCl₂-Sr(NO₃)₂-H₂O (25°), KNO₂-Pb(NO₃)₂-H₂O (0°). J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1932**, 54, 3126–3134.
- (16) Hill, A. E.; Frederick, W. M., Jr. Ternary Systems. IV. Potassium Carbonate, Sodium Carbonate and Water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1927, 49, 669–686.
- (17) Copeland, L. C.; Short, O. A. Studies of the Ternary Systems ZnSO₄-H₂SO₄ - H₂O from-5 to 70° and ZnO-SO₃-H₂O at 25°. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1940**, *62*, 3285–3291.
- (18) Griswold, E.; Olson, F. V. Solubility Relations in the System Lead Acetate-Sodium Acetate-Acetic Acid at 30°. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1937, 59, 1894–1895.
- (19) Wang, Sh. Q.; Deng, T. L. (Solid + liquid) Isothermal Evaporation Phase equilibria in the Aqueous Ternary System (Li₂SO₄ + MgSO₄+H₂O) at T = 308.15 K. J. Chem. Thermodyn. **2008**, 40, 1007– 1011.
- (20) Crockford, H. D.; Webster, M. W. The System: Copper Sulphate-Lithium Sulphate-Water. J. Phys. Chem. 1930, 34, 2375–2377.
- (21) William, T. R.; William, A. G. The System Lithium Oxide-Boric Oxide-Water. J. Phys. Chem. 1955, 59, 19–24.
- (22) Thomas, S. O.; Donald, E. K. The System Sodium Chlorate-Sodium Chloride Water at Various Temperatures. J. Phys. Chem. 1958, 62, 755–756.
- (23) Samuel, J. K.; Ernest, J. M. A Study of Heterogeneous Equilibria in Aqueous Solutions of the Sodium Salts of the Vanadic Acids at 30°. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1937**, *59*, 2118–2126.
- (24) George, L. C.; Tong, S. O. The System Sodium Chlorite-Sodium Chlorate-Water at Various Temperatures. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 4498–4499.
- (25) George, D. O.; Johnsen, S. E. J. Solid-Liquid Equilibria of the System NaCN-NaOH-H₂O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76, 4721–4724.
- (26) Foote, H. W. Equilibrium in the Systems, Nickel Chloride, Cobalt Chloride, Cupric Chloride-Hydrochloric Acid-Water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1923, 45, 663–667.
- (27) Oey, T. S.; Cunningham, G. L.; Koopman, D. E. The System Sodium Chlorite-Sodium Chlorate-Sodium Chloride-Water at Various Temperatures. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1960, 5, 248–250.
- (28) Buckley, J. E.; Caldwell, J. E. The System o- and p-Toluenesulfonamides and SWater at 20° and 95° C. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1962, 7, 480–482.
- (29) Deng, T. L.; Yin, H. J.; Li, D. CH. Metastable Phase Equilibrium in the Aqueous Ternary System (Li₂SO₄ + MgSO₄ + H₂O) at 348.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data **2009**, *54*, 498–501.
- (30) Stephen, H.; Stephen, T. Solubility of Inorganic and Organic Compounds: Ternary system; Pergamon: Oxford, 1979; Vol. 2.

Received for review February 26, 2009. Accepted May 25, 2009.

JE900222V