## Densities and Viscosities of Fatty Acid Methyl and Ethyl Esters

# Maria Jorge Pratas,<sup>†</sup> Samuel Freitas,<sup>†</sup> Mariana B. Oliveira,<sup>†</sup> Sílvia C. Monteiro,<sup>‡</sup> Alvaro S. Lima,<sup>§</sup> and João A. P. Coutinho<sup>\*,†</sup>

CICECO, Chemistry Department, University of Aveiro, Campus de Santiago, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal, Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Leiria, Morro do Lena - Alto Vieiro, 2411-901 Leiria, Portugal, and Universidade Tiradentes, Av. Murilo Dantas 300, Farolândia, Aracaju-SE, Brasil

To develop reliable models for the densities and viscosities of biodiesel fuel, reliable data for the pure fatty acid esters are required. Densities and viscosities were measured for seven ethyl esters and eight methyl esters, at atmospheric pressure and temperatures from (273.15 to 363.15) K. A critical assessment of the measured data against the data previously available in the literature was carried out. It is shown that the data here reported presents deviations of less than 0.15 % for densities and less than 5 % for viscosities. Correlations for the densities and viscosities with temperature are proposed. The densities and viscosities of the pure ethyl and methyl esters here reported were used to evaluate three predictive models. The GCVOL group contribution method is shown to be able to predict densities for these compounds within 1 %. The methods of Ceriani and Meirelles (CM) and of Marreiro and Gani (MG) were applied to the viscosity data. It is shown that only the first of these methods is able to provide a fair description of the viscosities of fatty acid esters.

### Introduction

In consequence of environmental, economical, and also political turmoil, caused by the excessive use and dependency of conventional petroleum-based fuels, the attention of several countries has been addressed toward the development of alternative fuels from renewable resources.<sup>1,2</sup>

Among those alternatives, biodiesel fuel, along with bioethanol fuel, is in the forefront of the substitutes to petroleumbased fuels in the transportation sector, being considered as an important short-time option, as its prices can be similar to petroleum-based fuels and no motor changes are required.<sup>3</sup>

Biodiesel is a fuel comprised of monoalkyl esters of long chain fatty acids derived from vegetable oils, animal fats, or mixtures of them. It is produced by the transesterification of triglycerides with a short chain alcohol, usually methanol or ethanol, in the presence of a catalyst, leading to the formation of mixtures of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) or fatty acid ethyl esters (FAEEs), respectively.<sup>3,4</sup> The main components of biodiesel fuel are palmitate, stearate, oleate, and linoleate esters.<sup>5</sup> However, depending on the raw materials used, a larger range of esters can be present.<sup>6</sup>

The biodiesel fuel has to fulfill a number of quality standards. In Europe the biodiesel fuel standards are compiled in the Norm CEN EN 14214<sup>7</sup> and in United States of America in the Norm ASTM D6751.<sup>8</sup> Norms specify minimum requirements and test methods for biodiesel fuel to be used in diesel engines and for heating purposes, to increase the biodiesel fuel quality and its acceptance among consumers. Viscosities and densities are two of the main properties evaluated which will depend on the raw

<sup>†</sup> University of Aveiro.

<sup>§</sup> Universidade Tiradentes.

materials used on the biodiesel fuel production and in consequence on the profile of methyl or ethyl esters of the biodiesel fuel.  $^{6}$ 

Density data are relevant because injection systems, pumps, and injectors must deliver the amount of fuel precisely adjusted to provide proper combustion.<sup>9</sup> Boudy and Seers show that fuel density is the main property that influences the amount of mass injected.<sup>10,11</sup> The viscosity is required not only for the design of pipes, fittings, and equipment to be used in industry of oil and fuel,<sup>12</sup> but also for monitoring the quality of fuel itself to be used in diesel engines. A viscous fuel, causing a poorer atomization, which is the first step of combustion, is responsible for premature injector cooking and poor fuel combustion.<sup>10,13</sup>

Many studies have been devoted to the measurement and prediction of the density and viscosity of biodiesel fuel as a function of temperature. Being able to predict those properties is of high relevance for the correct formulation of an adequate blend of raw materials that optimize the cost of biodiesel fuel production while allowing the fuel to meet the required quality standards. In fact, in process operation and optimization the use of correlative and predictive models for biodiesel fuel properties could be a most useful tool.

Several models have been proposed in the literature to calculate biodiesel fuel density. The most important among them rely on the accurate knowledge of the properties of the pure compounds. Tat and Van Gerpen<sup>14</sup> and Clements<sup>15</sup> used a linear mixing rule of pure densities based on the empirical equation proposed by Janarthanan.<sup>15</sup> Huber<sup>16</sup> et al. also use density mixing rule to develop preliminary thermodynamic model for biodiesel fuel fuel. Similarly, for the viscosities the approaches proposed by Krisnangkura et al.<sup>17</sup> and Yuan et al.<sup>18</sup> allow the estimation of the viscosity of biodiesel fuel using the Grunberg–Nissan equation that requires accurate values of the viscosities of pure FAMEs or FAEEs.<sup>19</sup>

For the higher FAEEs and FAMEs, the density and viscosity data for a wide range of temperatures available in the literature

10.1021/je100042c © 2010 American Chemical Society Published on Web 07/19/2010

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jcoutinho@ua.pt. Phone: +351 234401507. Fax: +351 234370084.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Polytechnic Institute of Leiria.

Table 1. Methyl and Ethyl Esters Studied in This Work

| compound                                      | common name      | purity m/m% | source     | CAS      |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|------------|----------|
| octanoic acid, ethyl ester                    | ethyl caprylate  | 99          | Aldrich    | 106-32-1 |
| decanoic acid, ethyl ester                    | ethyl caprate    | 99          | Fluka      | 110-38-3 |
| dodecanoic acid, ethyl ester                  | ethyl laurate    | 99          | Sigma      | 106-33-2 |
| tetradecanoic acid, ethyl ester               | ethyl myristate  | 99          | Aldrich    | 124-06-1 |
| hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester                | ethyl palmitate  | 99          | Sigma      | 628-97-7 |
| octadecanoic acid, ethyl ester                | ethyl stearate   | 99          | Fluka      | 111-61-5 |
| (Z)-9-octadecenoic acid, ethyl ester          | ethyl oleate     | 98          | Aldrich    | 111-62-6 |
| octanoic acid, methyl ester                   | methyl caprylate | 99          | Fluka      | 111-11-5 |
| decanoic acid, methyl ester                   | methyl caprate   | 99          | Acros Org. | 110-42-9 |
| dodecanoic acid, methyl ester                 | methyl laurate   | 98          | Sigma      | 111-82-0 |
| tetradecanoic acid, methyl ester              | methyl myristate | 98          | SAFC       | 124-10-7 |
| hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester               | methyl palmitate | 99          | SAFC       | 112-39-0 |
| octadecanoic acid, methyl ester               | methyl stearate  | 99          | Fluka      | 112-61-8 |
| (Z)-9-octadecenoic acid, methyl ester         | methyl oleate    | 99          | Aldrich    | 112-62-9 |
| (Z,Z)-9,12-octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester | methyl linoleate | 99          | Sigma      | 112-63-0 |

Table 2. Experimental Density, in kg·m<sup>-3</sup>, for Ethyl Esters

|        |           |         |         | ethyl     |           |          |        |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| T/K    | caprylate | caprate | laurate | myristate | palmitate | stearate | oleate |
| 278.15 | 880.2     |         |         |           |           |          | 881.5  |
| 283.15 | 875.9     | 872.5   | 870.3   | 868.7     |           |          | 877.9  |
| 288.15 | 871.6     | 868.4   | 866.4   | 864.8     |           |          | 874.1  |
| 293.15 | 867.3     | 864.3   | 862.4   | 861.0     |           |          | 870.5  |
| 298.15 | 863.0     | 860.2   | 858.5   | 857.2     |           |          | 866.9  |
| 303.15 | 858.7     | 856.2   | 854.6   | 853.4     | 852.6     |          | 863.2  |
| 308.15 | 854.4     | 852.1   | 850.7   | 849.6     | 848.9     |          | 859.5  |
| 313.15 | 850.0     | 848.0   | 846.8   | 845.8     | 845.2     | 844.8    | 855.8  |
| 318.15 | 845.7     | 843.9   | 842.9   | 842.0     | 841.5     | 841.1    | 852.2  |
| 323.15 | 841.4     | 839.8   | 839.0   | 838.2     | 837.9     | 837.5    | 848.5  |
| 328.15 | 837.1     | 835.7   | 835.1   | 834.5     | 834.2     | 833.9    | 844.9  |
| 333.15 | 832.8     | 831.6   | 831.1   | 830.7     | 830.5     | 830.3    | 841.2  |
| 338.15 | 828.4     | 827.5   | 827.2   | 826.9     | 826.9     | 826.7    | 837.6  |
| 343.15 | 824.1     | 823.4   | 823.3   | 823.1     | 823.2     | 823.1    | 834.0  |
| 348.15 | 819.7     | 819.2   | 819.4   | 819.4     | 819.5     | 819.5    | 830.3  |
| 353.15 | 815.3     | 815.1   | 815.4   | 815.6     | 815.9     | 815.9    | 826.7  |
| 358.15 | 810.8     |         |         |           | 812.2     | 812.3    | 823.1  |
| 363.15 | 806.4     |         |         |           | 808.6     | 808.7    | 819.5  |

are sometimes scarce or contradictory, limiting the use of these models to predict those properties for biodiesels.

To address this limitation, in this work densities and viscosities of seven ethyl esters and eight methyl esters, from C8 to C18, were measured at atmospheric pressure and temperatures from (273.15 to 363.15) K. A comparison with the experimental data available and its critical evaluation is performed. Correlations of these experimental data using the equations on which the multicomponent models are based, a linear correlation of the densities with temperature, and the Vogel–Tamman–Fulcher (VTF) equation for the viscosities were carried and reported.

| Table 3. | Experimental | Density, in | kg∙m <sup>-3</sup> | , for | Methyl | Ester |
|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-------|
|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------------|-------|--------|-------|

The densities and viscosities of the pure ethyl and methyl esters here measured were also used to evaluate the performance of three predictive models. The group contribution method  $GCVOL^{20}$  was evaluated for the prediction of densities, while the models of Ceriani et al.<sup>12</sup> (CM) and Marrero and Gani<sup>21,22</sup> (MG) were evaluated for the viscosity.

#### **Experimental Section**

*Materials and Procedure.* Seven ethyl ester and eight methyl esters were used in this study. Table 1 reports the name, purity, supplier, and CAS number of each compound used in this study. Compound purity was confirmed by gas chromatography/flame ionization detection (GC-FID).

Experimental Measurements. Measurements of viscosity and density were performed in the temperature range of (273.15 to 363.15) K at atmospheric pressure using an automated SVM 3000 Anton Paar rotational Stabinger viscometer-densimeter. The viscometer is based on a tube filled with the sample in which floats a hollow measuring rotor. Because of its low density, the rotor is centered in the heavier liquid by buoyancy forces. Consequently, a measuring gap is formed between the rotor and the tube. The rotor is forced to rotate by shear stresses in the liquid and is guided axially by a built-in permanent magnet, which interacts with a soft iron ring. The rotating magnetic field delivers the speed signal and induces eddy currents in the surrounding copper casing. These eddy currents are proportional to the speed of the rotor and exert a retarding torque on the rotor. Two different torques influence the speed of the measuring rotor; at the equilibrium, the two torques are

|        |           |         |         | met       | hyl       |          |        |           |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|
| T/K    | caprylate | caprate | laurate | myristate | palmitate | stearate | oleate | linoleate |
| 278.15 |           | 884.7   |         |           |           |          |        | 897.2     |
| 283.15 | 885.9     | 880.6   | 877.7   |           |           |          | 881.4  | 893.5     |
| 288.15 | 881.5     | 876.4   | 873.7   |           |           |          | 877.7  | 889.9     |
| 293.15 | 877.1     | 872.3   | 869.8   |           |           |          | 874.1  | 886.2     |
| 298.15 | 872.8     | 868.2   | 865.8   | 863.7     |           |          | 870.4  | 882.5     |
| 303.15 | 868.4     | 864.1   | 861.8   | 859.9     |           |          | 866.8  | 878.8     |
| 308.15 | 864.0     | 860.0   | 857.9   | 856.0     | 854.5     |          | 863.1  | 875.2     |
| 313.15 | 859.6     | 856.0   | 853.9   | 852.2     | 850.8     | 849.8    | 859.5  | 871.5     |
| 318.15 | 855.2     | 851.9   | 850.0   | 848.4     | 847.0     | 846.1    | 855.9  | 867.9     |
| 323.15 | 850.8     | 847.8   | 846.1   | 844.6     | 843.3     | 842.5    | 852.3  | 864.3     |
| 328.15 | 846.4     | 843.6   | 842.1   | 840.8     | 839.6     | 838.9    | 848.7  | 860.7     |
| 333.15 | 841.9     | 839.5   | 838.1   | 837.0     | 835.8     | 835.3    | 845.1  | 857.0     |
| 338.15 | 837.5     | 835.3   | 834.2   | 833.1     | 832.1     | 831.7    | 841.5  | 853.4     |
| 343.15 | 833.0     | 831.2   | 830.2   | 829.3     | 828.4     | 828.1    | 837.9  | 849.8     |
| 348.15 | 828.5     | 827.0   | 826.2   | 825.5     | 824.7     | 824.5    | 834.3  | 846.1     |
| 353.15 | 824.0     | 822.9   | 822.3   | 821.8     | 821.0     | 820.9    | 830.7  | 842.5     |
| 358.15 |           | 818.7   |         |           | 817.3     | 817.3    |        | 838.7     |
| 363.15 |           | 814.5   |         |           | 813.6     | 813.7    |        | 835.1     |



**Figure 1.** Relative deviations for methyl ester density data available in the literature<sup>28–32,34–39</sup> as a function of temperature:  $\bullet$ , methyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , methyl caprate;  $\bullet$ , methyl laurate;  $\Box$ , methyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , methyl palmitate;  $\triangle$ , methyl stearate;  $\blacktriangle$ , methyl oleate; and  $\bigcirc$ , methyl linoleate. Zero line is this work's experimental data.



**Figure 2.** Relative deviations for ethyl ester density data available in the literature<sup>32,35,40–47</sup> as a function of temperature:  $\bullet$ , ethyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , ethyl caprate;  $\bullet$ , ethyl laurate;  $\Box$ , ethyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , ethyl palmitate; and  $\triangle$ , ethyl stearate. Zero line is this work's experimental data.

equal, and the viscosity can be traced back to a single speed measurement. The SVM 3000 uses Peltier elements for fast and efficient thermostability. The temperature uncertainty is 0.02 K from (288.15 to 378.15) K. The absolute uncertainty of the density is 0.0005 g·cm<sup>-3</sup>, and the relative uncertainty of the dynamic viscosity obtained is less than 1.5 % for the standard fluid SHL120 (SH Calibration Service GMbH), in the range of the studied temperatures. The repeatability of the equipment was measured with temperature and presents a maximum standard deviation relative value of 0.15 % in the studied viscosity range for the same temperature range. Also the reproducibility of the equipment was evaluated with time and presents a maximum of 0.25 %.23 Further details about the equipment and method can be found elsewhere.<sup>24</sup> This viscometer was previously tested for other compounds and presented a very good reproducibility.<sup>25</sup>

### **Results and Discussion**

*Density.* The experimental data obtained are reported in Tables 2 and 3. For methyl myristate, palmitate, and stearate

Table 4. Density Correlation Constants for Pure Methyl and Ethyl Esters over the Temperature Range (278.15 to 363.15) K and Corresponding 95 % Confidence Limits<sup>*a*</sup>

| 1 0              |                                           |       |               |                   |       |               |
|------------------|-------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|-------------------|-------|---------------|
|                  | b                                         |       |               | а                 |       |               |
|                  | $\overline{kg \cdot m^{-3} \cdot K^{-1}}$ | $\pm$ | $t \cdot s_b$ | $kg \cdot m^{-3}$ | ±     | $t \cdot s_A$ |
| ethyl caprylate  | -0.8668                                   | ±     | 0.0021        | 1121.4            |       | 0.7           |
| ethyl caprate    | -0.8194                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0013        | 1104.5            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |
| ethyl laurate    | -0.7832                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0009        | 1092.0            | $\pm$ | 0.3           |
| ethyl myristate  | -0.7576                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0014        | 1083.1            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |
| ethyl palmitate  | -0.7334                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0011        | 1077.9            | $\pm$ | 0.3           |
| ethyl stearate   | -0.7209                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0012        | 1070.5            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |
| ethyl oleate     | -0.7209                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0013        | 1084.5            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |
| methyl caprylate | -0.8832                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0027        | 1136.1            | $\pm$ | 0.8           |
| methyl caprate   | -0.8244                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0018        | 1114.0            | $\pm$ | 0.6           |
| methyl laurate   | -0.7912                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0009        | 1101.7            | $\pm$ | 0.3           |
| methyl myristate | -0.7629                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0017        | 1091.1            | $\pm$ | 0.5           |
| methyl palmitate | -0.7438                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0015        | 1083.7            | $\pm$ | 0.5           |
| methyl stearate  | -0.7209                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0012        | 1075.5            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |
| methyl oleate    | -0.7236                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0015        | 1086.2            | $\pm$ | 0.5           |
| methyl linoleate | -0.7294                                   | $\pm$ | 0.0012        | 1100.0            | $\pm$ | 0.4           |

<sup>a</sup> s: standard deviation.



**Figure 3.** Relative deviations between the density of methyl esters predicted by GCVOL and this work's experimental data as a function of temperature:  $\bullet$ , methyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , methyl caprate;  $\diamond$ , methyl laurate;  $\Box$ , methyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , methyl palmitate;  $\triangle$ , methyl stearate;  $\blacktriangle$ , methyl oleate; and  $\bigcirc$ , methyl linoleate.



**Figure 4.** Relative deviations between the density of ethyl esters predicted by GCVOL and this work's experimental data as a function of temperature:  $\bullet$ , ethyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , ethyl laurate;  $\Box$ , ethyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , ethyl palmitate;  $\triangle$ , ethyl stearate; and  $\blacktriangle$ , ethyl oleate.

and ethyl palmitate and stearate the measurements were only carried at temperatures above the melting point of these compounds.

Data shows that the density of FAMEs decreases with increasing alkyl chain length and increases with the level of unsaturation, the same happening with FAEEs. Surprisingly the FAMEs present a much higher value for density than the corresponding FAEEs with the same number of carbon atoms in acid side chain. This difference is higher than what would be expected from the addition of a methylene (CH<sub>2</sub>) group to the molecule. This results from a change in the ordering of the molecules in the liquid state akin to what can be observed in the crystal structures of methyl stearate and ethyl stearate.<sup>26</sup> It was also previously observed for other light esters that the addition of CH<sub>2</sub> group into the alcohol moiety induces a lower molecular packing efficiency, decreasing density.<sup>27</sup>

Figures 1 and 2 present the relative deviations between this work's experimental data and density data available in the literature as a function of temperature for FAEEs and FAMEs, respectively. This comparison shows a good agreement with a relative average deviation of lower than 0.10 % for FAEEs and lower than 0.15 % for FAMEs, with the exception of methyl

stearate measured by Gaikward and Subrahmanyan,<sup>28</sup> that presents a deviation below 0.25 % and methyl palmitate measured by Ott et al.<sup>29</sup> that present a relative deviation of -0.25 %. The density values for methyl palmitate reported in this work were repeated using samples from various suppliers with a good agreement among them and with the data previously reported in the literature by other authors for this compound.<sup>28,30–32</sup>

The experimental density data here measured were correlated using a linear temperature dependency using an optimization algorithm based on the least-squares method,

$$\rho/g \cdot cm^{-3} = b \cdot T/K + a \tag{1}$$

and the parameter values along with their confidence limits are reported in Table 4. These correlations can be used for the estimation of the densities of biodiesels using the Janarthanan et al.<sup>15</sup> approach.

The  $GCVOL \mod^{20}$  was used to predict the densities of the compounds studied in this work. The results reported in Figure 3 show that the densities of FAMEs can be predicted

Table 5. Isobaric Expansivities,  $\alpha_p$ , for the Studied Fatty Acid Esters and Corresponding 95 % Confidence Limits<sup>*a*</sup>

|                  | $\alpha_{p} \cdot 10^{3} / \mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | ±     | $t \cdot s_{\alpha_p} \cdot 10^3$ |
|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| ethyl caprylate  | 1.028                                       | ±     | 0.009                             |
| ethyl caprate    | 0.971                                       | ±     | 0.007                             |
| ethyl laurate    | 0.929                                       | ±     | 0.005                             |
| ethyl myristate  | 0.900                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| ethyl palmitate  | 0.883                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| ethyl stearate   | 0.872                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| ethyl oleate     | 0.859                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| methyl caprylate | 1.033                                       | ±     | 0.009                             |
| methyl caprate   | 0.971                                       | ±     | 0.008                             |
| methyl laurate   | 0.931                                       | ±     | 0.005                             |
| methyl myristate | 0.905                                       | ±     | 0.004                             |
| methyl palmitate | 0.892                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| methyl stearate  | 0.867                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| methyl oleate    | 0.845                                       | ±     | 0.003                             |
| methyl linoleate | 0.842                                       | $\pm$ | 0.004                             |
|                  |                                             |       |                                   |

<sup>a</sup> s: standard deviation.

Table 6. Experimental Viscosities, in mPa·s, for FAEEs

|        | ethyl   |         |           |           |          |         |  |
|--------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------|--|
| T/K    | caprate | laurate | myristate | palmitate | stearate | oleate  |  |
| 278.15 |         |         |           |           |          | 10.9040 |  |
| 283.15 | 2.8960  | 4.3353  | 6.2601    |           |          | 9.2553  |  |
| 288.15 | 2.5882  | 3.8135  | 5.4303    |           |          | 7.9421  |  |
| 293.15 | 2.3263  | 3.3797  | 4.7492    |           |          | 6.8906  |  |
| 298.15 | 2.1029  | 3.0152  | 4.1880    |           |          | 6.0236  |  |
| 303.15 | 1.9111  | 2.7073  | 3.7207    | 5.0107    |          | 5.3094  |  |
| 308.15 | 1.7453  | 2.4455  | 3.3278    | 4.4399    |          | 4.7156  |  |
| 313.15 | 1.6000  | 2.2198  | 2.9928    | 3.9558    | 5.0823   | 4.2137  |  |
| 318.15 | 1.4729  | 2.0240  | 2.7056    | 3.5472    | 4.5285   | 3.7876  |  |
| 323.15 | 1.3599  | 1.8531  | 2.4579    | 3.1973    | 4.0574   | 3.4247  |  |
| 328.15 | 1.2594  | 1.7037  | 2.2423    | 2.8969    | 3.6535   | 3.1102  |  |
| 333.15 | 1.1695  | 1.5703  | 2.0549    | 2.6373    | 3.3073   | 2.8367  |  |
| 338.15 | 1.0892  | 1.4529  | 1.8891    | 2.4121    | 3.0072   | 2.5988  |  |
| 343.15 | 1.0171  | 1.3486  | 1.7432    | 2.2140    | 2.7439   | 2.3901  |  |
| 348.15 | 0.9516  | 1.2543  | 1.6139    | 2.0391    | 2.5153   | 2.2065  |  |
| 353.15 | 0.8929  | 1.1708  | 1.4986    | 1.8842    | 2.3132   | 2.0434  |  |
| 358.15 |         |         |           | 1.7464    | 2.1355   | 1.8978  |  |
| 363.15 |         |         |           | 1.6233    | 1.9777   | 1.7683  |  |

within an uncertainty of  $\pm$  0.5 % with exception of methyl linoleate due to a poor model description of the unsaturation effect on the densities. In Figure 4 the deviations for the FAEEs are reported. Because of the different effect of the introduction of a methylene group in the acid or alcohol moieties discussed above, a group contribution model cannot produce an adequate description of the densities, and an overestimation of between

In the studied temperature range the logarithm of density exhibits a linear behavior with temperature. The value of  $\alpha_p$  will thus be a constant for the studied compounds within the temperature range investigated. The isobaric expansivities estimated from the experimental data are reported in Table 5. It is observed that  $\alpha_p$  decreases with the increment of carbons in alkyl acid side chain and also decreases with the unsaturation level for both ethyl and methyl esters. The isobaric expansivities are identical for the FAMEs and FAEEs within the experimental uncertainty of the data here reported.

*Viscosity.* The experimental data of viscosity of the ethyl and methyl esters here studied are reported in Tables 6 and 7, respectively. As expected, the viscosity of all esters increases with the ester chain length and decreases with its level of unsaturation. The ethyl esters also present a higher viscosity than the corresponding methyl ester of the equivalent fatty acid.

The experimental data here measured were compared with viscosity data previously reported in the literature for the same systems. The relative deviations for the FAMEs and FAEEs are presented in Figures 5 and 6. For the FAMEs the deviations are within  $\pm 4$  % of the literature data with the exception of the data by Meirelles et al.<sup>33</sup> at high temperatures that show large deviations when compared with both our data and data from other authors. For the FAEEs the data available are far more scarce, but in spite of being more than 50 years old it is in good agreement with the viscosities here reported with relative deviations of less than 1 %.

The experimental viscosities here measured were correlated using the VTF equation:

$$\mu/\mathrm{mPa} \cdot \mathrm{s} = \exp\left(A + \frac{B}{(T/\mathrm{K} - T_0)}\right) \tag{3}$$

Table 7. Experimental Viscosities, in mPa·s, for FAMEs

|        |           |         |         | met       | hyl       |          |        |           |
|--------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|-----------|
| T/K    | caprylate | caprate | laurate | myristate | palmitate | stearate | oleate | linoleate |
| 278.15 |           | 2.9888  |         |           |           |          |        | 7.4664    |
| 283.15 | 1.7103    | 2.6543  | 4.0678  |           |           |          | 8.6987 | 6.4658    |
| 288.15 | 1.5593    | 2.3733  | 3.5771  |           |           |          | 7.4518 | 5.6550    |
| 293.15 | 1.4275    | 2.1360  | 3.1668  |           |           |          | 6.4499 | 4.9822    |
| 298.15 | 1.3127    | 1.9335  | 2.8237  | 3.9821    |           |          | 5.6336 | 4.4275    |
| 303.15 | 1.2120    | 1.7601  | 2.5356  | 3.5430    |           |          | 4.9612 | 3.9615    |
| 308.15 | 1.1233    | 1.6091  | 2.2893  | 3.1651    | 4.2122    |          | 4.4012 | 3.5666    |
| 313.15 | 1.0444    | 1.4773  | 2.0776  | 2.8447    | 3.7551    | 4.9862   | 3.9303 | 3.2270    |
| 318.15 | 0.97334   | 1.3613  | 1.8944  | 2.5709    | 3.3682    | 4.4348   | 3.5306 | 2.9358    |
| 323.15 | 0.90926   | 1.2589  | 1.7347  | 2.3343    | 3.0378    | 3.9645   | 3.1892 | 2.6822    |
| 328.15 | 0.85178   | 1.1675  | 1.5948  | 2.1295    | 2.7540    | 3.5684   | 2.8944 | 2.4605    |
| 333.15 | 0.79980   | 1.0864  | 1.4714  | 1.9498    | 2.5083    | 3.2252   | 2.6377 | 2.2660    |
| 338.15 | 0.75279   | 1.0133  | 1.3621  | 1.7932    | 2.2947    | 2.9293   | 2.4160 | 2.0934    |
| 343.15 | 0.71014   | 0.94770 | 1.2651  | 1.6549    | 2.1073    | 2.6724   | 2.2216 | 1.9403    |
| 348.15 | 0.67130   | 0.88860 | 1.1781  | 1.5321    | 1.9421    | 2.4477   | 2.0499 | 1.8038    |
| 353.15 | 0.63589   | 0.83420 | 1.1002  | 1.4233    | 1.7960    | 2.2504   | 1.8974 | 1.6816    |
| 358.15 |           | 0.78595 |         |           | 1.6659    | 2.0762   |        |           |
| 363.15 |           | 0.74207 |         |           | 1.5499    | 1.9217   |        |           |
|        |           |         |         |           |           |          |        |           |

(1 and 1.5) % of the experimental densities is obtained. Again, a problem associated to the unsaturation is observed, although in this case it contributes to minimize the model deviations.

The isobaric expansivity coefficient at constant pressure  $(\alpha_p)$  is defined as

$$\alpha_p = -\left(\frac{\partial \ln \rho}{\partial T}\right)_p \tag{2}$$



**Figure 5.** Relative deviation of methyl ester dynamic viscosity vs temperature:  $\bullet$ , methyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , methyl caprate;  $\bullet$ , methyl laurate;  $\Box$ , methyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , methyl stearate;  $\blacktriangle$ , methyl oleate; and  $\bigcirc$ , methyl linoleate.<sup>30,31,36,41,48</sup> Zero line is this work's experimental data.



**Figure 6.** Relative deviation of ethyl ester viscosity vs temperature:  $\bullet$ , ethyl caprylate;  $\diamond$ , ethyl caprate;  $\bullet$ , ethyl laurate;  $\Box$ , ethyl myristate;  $\blacksquare$ , ethyl palmitate; and  $\triangle$ , ethyl stearate.<sup>32,41,48</sup> Zero line is this work's experimental data.

where *A*, *B*, and  $T_0$  are fitting parameters whose values were estimated using an optimization algorithm based on the least-squares method. The parameter values along with their uncertainty and the average absolute deviations (AAD %) of the correlation are reported in Table 8. As can be seen, the VTF equation provides a very good description of the experimental data.

The measured data were also used to test two predictive viscosity models. The  $CM^{33}$  and the  $MG^{21,22}$  group contribution models were used to estimate the dynamic viscosity of the fatty acid esters as a function of temperature. The average deviations of viscosity between the measured data and those estimated by CM and MG are shown in Table 9. The CM method predicts the experimental data here measured with a global deviation of 4.53 % for FAMEs with maximum deviations of 9.50 %, while for FAEEs the average deviations are of 7.92 % with maximum deviations of 14.8 %. The MG method is far less accurate with average deviations of 12.0 % and 23.5 % for FAMEs and FAEEs, respectively, and maximum deviations of 25.5 % and 54.6 %. While the CM method provides a good description of

 Table 8. Viscosity Correlation Constants for Pure Ethyl and

 Methyl Esters over the Temperature Range (278.15 to 363.15) K

 and Corresponding 95 % Confidence Limits<sup>a</sup>

|                  | Α     | $t \cdot s_A$ | B/K     | $t \cdot s_B$ | $T_0/\mathrm{K}^{-1}$ | $t \cdot s_{T_0}$ | AAD (%) |
|------------------|-------|---------------|---------|---------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---------|
| ethyl caprylate  | -3.58 | 0.055         | 926.963 | 28.2          | 63.493                | 3.8               | 0.078   |
| ethyl caprate    | -3.42 | 0.086         | 883.295 | 39.8          | 85.943                | 5.2               | 0.10    |
| ethyl laurate    | -3.15 | 0.073         | 818.076 | 30.5          | 105.827               | 3.9               | 0.096   |
| ethyl myristate  | -2.97 | 0.058         | 793.873 | 22.7          | 117.701               | 2.8               | 0.084   |
| ethyl palmitate  | -3.00 | 0.053         | 854.539 | 22.0          | 117.650               | 2.6               | 0.046   |
| ethyl stearate   | -3.04 | 0.025         | 920.174 | 10.8          | 115.962               | 1.3               | 0.010   |
| ethyl oleate     | -2.65 | 0.040         | 759.323 | 15.5          | 127.32                | 1.9               | 0.11    |
| methyl caprylate | -3.48 | 0.054         | 859.303 | 26.1          | 68.948                | 3.7               | 0.046   |
| methyl caprate   | -3.32 | 0.070         | 814.674 | 30.7          | 93.317                | 4.2               | 0.13    |
| methyl laurate   | -3.09 | 0.054         | 767.388 | 21.4          | 112.267               | 2.8               | 0.075   |
| methyl myristate | -3.12 | 0.036         | 837.282 | 15.2          | 112.358               | 1.9               | 0.019   |
| methyl palmitate | -2.81 | 0.056         | 746.528 | 22.5          | 132.676               | 2.9               | 0.049   |
| methyl stearate  | -2.98 | 0.034         | 876.221 | 14.7          | 122.303               | 1.8               | 0.015   |
| methyl oleate    | -2.70 | 0.043         | 748.184 | 16.0          | 129.249               | 2.0               | 0.070   |
| methyl linoleate | -2.62 | 0.068         | 733.236 | 26.3          | 119.641               | 3.4               | 0.12    |
|                  |       |               |         |               |                       |                   |         |

<sup>*a*</sup> s: standard deviation. AAD =  $(1/N_p)\sum_{i\neq 1}^{N} ABS[(exp_i - lit_i)/lit_i] \cdot 100.$ 

the viscosities, the deviations for the FAEEs are clearly superior than for FAMEs, meaning that the inclusion of a methyl group

Table 9. Average Deviation between the Measured Viscosity ofPure Ethyl and Methyl Esters over the Temperature Range (278.15to 363.15) K and Those Estimated by CM and MG Models

|                  | •      |        |
|------------------|--------|--------|
|                  | CM (%) | MG (%) |
| ethyl caprylate  | 14.8   | 16.4   |
| ethyl caprate    | 13.0   | 18.6   |
| ethyl laurate    | 3.35   | 18.9   |
| ethyl myristate  | 6.47   | 20.8   |
| ethyl palmitate  | 7.32   | 19.8   |
| ethyl stearate   | 12.4   | 54.6   |
| ethyl oleate     | 8.68   | 24.8   |
| methyl caprylate | 4.67   | 6.27   |
| methyl caprate   | 4.24   | 7.40   |
| methyl laurate   | 3.66   | 7.85   |
| methyl myristate | 2.03   | 8.64   |
| methyl palmitate | 2.72   | 10.1   |
| methyl stearate  | 4.88   | 10.3   |
| methyl oleate    | 3.61   | 20.4   |
| methyl linoleate | 9.50   | 25.5   |
|                  |        |        |

affects the viscosities differently depending on its location as also observed for the densities. Moreover, this model also provides poor estimates for the viscosities of unsaturated esters at high temperatures. This may be related with the use of Ceriani et al.<sup>33</sup> data on the estimation of the model parameters that, as discussed above, present large deviations from the data of other authors.

#### Conclusions

New experimental data for the density and viscosity of pure saturated and unsaturated methyl and ethyl esters in the temperature range (273 to 363) K and at atmospheric pressure are presented. An extensive critical review of the data available for these systems was carried out to identify spurious or poor quality data among the often conflicting data previously available in the literature.

The experimental data here reported were used to test predictive models for these properties. The liquid densities were compared with GCVOL model predictions to show that it is able to describe the FAMEs with deviations smaller than 1 %. However, larger deviations were found for the correlation of FAEEs densities and GCVOL model predicted values, presenting a maximum deviation from the experimental data of 1.5 %.

The CM method is shown to be superior to the MG method with viscosity predictions with an average deviation of 4.53 % for FAMEs and 7.92 % for FAEEs.

#### Literature Cited

- Leder, F.; Shapiro, J. N. This time it's different An inevitable decline in world petroleum production will keep oil product prices high, causing military conflicts and shifting wealth and power from democracies to authoritarian regimes. *Energy Policy* 2008, *36*, 2850– 2852.
- (2) Hallock, J. L.; Tharakan, P. J.; Hall, C. A. S.; Jefferson, M.; Wu, W. Forecasting the limits to the availability and diversity of global conventional oil supply. *Energy* **2004**, *29*, 1673–1696.
- (3) Knothe, G.; Gerpen, J. V.; Krahl, J., Eds. *The Biodiesel Handbook*; AOCS Press: Champaign, IL, 2005.
- (4) Pandey, A. Handbook of Plant-based Biofuels; CRC Press Taylor & Francis Group: Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- (5) Knothe, G. "Designer" Biodiesel: Optimizing fatty ester composition to improve fuel properties. *Energy Fuels* 2008, 22, 1358–1364.
- (6) Blangino, E.; Riveros, A. F.; Romano, S. D. Numerical expressions for viscosity, surface tension and density of biodiesel: analysis and experimental validation. *Phys. Chem. Liq.* 2008, 46, 527–547.
- (7) CEN, European Committee for Standardization. Automotive fuels -Fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) for diesel engines - Requirements and test methods; E.S.E. EN 14214; Brussels, Belgium, 2003.
- (8) American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Standard D6751. Standard Specification for Biodiesel Fuel Blend Stock (B100) for Middle Distillate Fuels; ASTM: West Conshohocken, PA, 2009.

- (9) Dzida, M.; Prusakiewiez, P. The effect of temperature and pressure on the physicochemical properties of petroleum diesel oil and biodiesel fuel. *Fuel* **2008**, 87, 1941–1948.
- (10) Boudy, F.; Seers, P. Impact of physical properties of biodiesel on the injection process in a common-rail direct injection system. *Energy Convers. Manage.* 2009, *50*, 2905–2912.
- (11) Baroutian, S.; Aroua, M. K.; Raman, A. A. A.; Sulaiman, N. M. N. Density of palm oil-based methyl ester. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2008, 53, 877–880.
- (12) Goncalves, C. B.; Ceriani, R.; Rabelo, J.; Maffia, M. C.; Meirelles, A. J. A. Viscosities of Fatty Mixtures: Experimental Data and Prediction. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2007, 52, 2000–2006.
- (13) Ejim, C. E.; Fleck, B. A.; Amirfazli, A. Analytical study for atomization of biodiesels and their blends in a typical injector: Surface tension and viscosity effects. *Fuel* **2007**, *86*, 1534–1544.
- (14) Tat, M. E.; Van Gerpen, J. H. The specific gravity of biodiesel and its blends with diesel fuel. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 2000, 77, 115–119.
- (15) Clements, L. D. Blending rules for formulating biodiesel fuel. In *Liquid Fuels and Industrial Products from Renewable Resources*, Proceedings of the Third Liquid Fuel Conference, Nashville, TN, 1996.
- (16) Huber, M. L.; Lemmon, E. W.; Kazakov, A.; Ou, L. S.; Bruno, T. J. Model for the Thermodynamic Properties of a Biodiesel Fuel. *Energy Fuels* **2009**, *23*, 3790–3797.
- (17) Krisnangkura, K.; Yimsuwan, T.; Pairintra, R. An empirical approach in predicting biodiesel viscosity at various temperatures. *Fuel* 2006, 85, 107–113.
- (18) Yuan, W.; Hansen, A. C.; Zhang, Q. Predicting the temperature dependent viscosity of biodiesel fuels. *Fuel* **2009**, 88, 1120–1126.
- (19) Allen, C. A. W.; Watts, K. C.; Ackman, R. G.; Pegg, M. J. Predicting the viscosity of biodiesel fuels from their fatty acid ester composition. *Fuel* **1999**, 78, 1319–1326.
- (20) Elbro, H. S.; Fredenslund, A.; Rasmussen, P. Group Contribution Method for the Prediction of Liquid Densities as a Function of Temperature for Solvents, Oligomers, and Polymers. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *30*, 2576–2582.
- (21) Marrero, J.; Gani, R. Group-contribution based estimation of pure component properties. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* 2001, 183, 183–208.
- (22) Conte, E.; Martinho, A.; Matos, H. A.; Gani, R. Combined Group-Contribution and Atom Connectivity Index-Based Methods for Estimation of Surface Tension and Viscosity. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 2008, 47, 7940–7954.
- (23) Ellison, S. L. R.; Rosslein, M.; Williams, A. *Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement*, 2nd ed.; Eurachem/CITAC: Teddington, UK, 2000.
- (24) Paredes, X.; Fandino, O.; Comunas, M. J. P.; Pensado, A. S.; Fernandez, J. Study of the effects of pressure on the viscosity and density of diisodecyl phthalate. *J. Chem. Thermodyn.* **2009**, *41*, 1007– 1015.
- (25) Carvalho, P. J.; Regueira, T.; Santos, L. M. N. B. F.; Fernandez, J.; Coutinho, J. A. P. Effect of Water on the Viscosities and Densities of 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Dicyanamide and 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Tricyanomethane at Atmospheric Pressure. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2010, 55, 645–652.
- (26) Dorset, D. L. Crystallography of the polymethylene chain; Oxford Science Press Inc.: New York, 2005.
- (27) Gardas, R. L.; Johnson, I.; Vaz, D. M. D.; Fonseca, I. M. A.; Ferreira, A. G. M. *PVT* property measurements for some aliphatic esters from (298 to 393) K and up to 35 MPa. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2007**, *52*, 737–751.
- (28) Subrahmanyan, V. R.; Gaikwad, B. R. Physical properties of *n*-Saturated Higher Fatty Alcohols in Liquid State. *J. Indian Chem. Soc.* **1988**, 65, 266–268.
- (29) Ott, L. S.; Huber, M. L.; Bruno, T. J. Density and Speed of Sound Measurements on Five Fatty Acid Methyl Esters at 83 kPa and Temperatures from (278.15 to 338.15) K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 2008, 53, 2412–2416.
- (30) Bonhorst, C. W.; Althouse, P. M.; Triebold, H. O. Esters of Naturally Occurring Fatty Acids - Physical Properties of Methyl, Propyl, And Isopropyl Esters of C-6 to C-18 Saturated Fatty Acids. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1948**, 40, 2379–2384.
- (31) Gouw, T. H.; Vlugter, J. C. Physical Properties of Fatty Acid Methyl Esters. I. Density + Molar Volume. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1964, 41, 142–145.
- (32) Gros, A. T.; Feuge, R. O. Surface and Interfacial Tensions, Viscosities, and Other Physical Properties of Some n-Aliphatic Acids and their Methyl and Ethyl Esters. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1952, 29, 313.
- (33) Ceriani, R.; Goncalves, C. B.; Rabelo, J.; Caruso, M.; Cunha, A. C. C.; Cavaleri, F. W.; Batista, E. A. C.; Meirelles, A. J. A. Group Contribution Model for Predicting Viscosity of Fatty Compounds. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2007**, *52*, 965–972.
- (34) Boelhouwer, J. W. M.; Nederbragt, G. W.; Verberg, G. Viscosity data of organic liquids. *Appl. Sci. Res.* 1950, 2, 249–268.

- (35) Liew, K. Y.; Seng, C. E. Molal Volumes of Some N-Fatty Acids and Their Methyl and Ethyl-Esters. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1992, 69, 734– 740.
- (36) Liew, K. Y.; Seng, C. E.; Oh, L. L. Viscosities and Densities of the Methyl-Esters of Some N-Alkanoic Acids. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1992, 69, 155–158.
- (37) Postigo, M. A.; Garcia, P. H.; Ortega, J.; Tardajos, G. Excess Molar Volumes of Binary-Mixtures Containing a Methyl-Ester (Ethanoate to Tetradecanoate) with Odd N-Alkanes at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1995, 40, 283–289.
- (38) Knegtel, J. T.; Boelhouwer, C.; Tels, M.; Waterman, H. I. Shifting of the Double Bond in Methyl Oleate during Hydrogenation. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1957, 34, 336–337.
- (39) Wheeler, D. H.; Riemenschneider, R. W. The Preparation and Properties of Highyl Purified Methyl Oleate. *Oil Soap* 1939, *16*, 207– 209.
- (40) Liau, W. R.; Tang, M.; Chen, Y. P. Densities and viscosities of butyl acrylate plus 1-butanol and ethyl laurate plus 1-butanol at 293.15, 303.15, and 313.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data 1998, 43, 826–829.
- (41) Shigley, J.; Bonhorst, C.; Liang, P.; Althouse, P.; Triebold, H. Physical characterization of a) a series of ethyl esters and b) a series of ethanoate esters. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1955, 32, 213–215.
- (42) Hwu, W. H.; Cheng, J. S.; Cheng, K. W.; Chen, Y. P. Vapor-liquid equilibrium of carbon dioxide with ethyl caproate, ethyl caprylate and ethyl caprate at elevated pressures. J. Supercrit. Fluids 2004, 28, 1–9.

- (43) Sobotka, H.; Kahn, J. Determination of solubility of sparingly soluble liquids in water. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1931, 53, 2935–2938.
- (44) Baker, W. O.; Smyth, C. P. The possibility of molecular rotation in the solid forms of cetyl alcohol and three long-chain ethyl esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1938, 60, 1229–1236.
- (45) Francesconi, R.; Comelli, F. Excess molar enthalpies of binary mixtures containing acetic or propionic acid plus eight ethyl alkanoates at 298.15 K. *Thermochim. Acta* **1998**, *322*, 63–68.
- (46) Lide, D.; Frederikse, H. Handbook of chemistry and physics, 80th ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1999.
- (47) Ruhoff, J. R.; Reid, E. E. A group of isomeric esters. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1933, 55, 3825–3828.
- (48) Knothe, G.; Steidley, K. R. Kinematic viscosity of biodiesel components (fatty acid alkyl esters) and related compounds at low temperatures. *Fuel* 2007, *86*, 2560–2567.

Received for review January 13, 2010. Accepted July 5, 2010. M.J.P. and M.B.O. acknowledge the financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia through their Ph.D. grants (SFRH/BD/28258/2006, SFRH/BD/29062/2006). S.F. aknowledges a Ph.D. grant from Fundação Oriente and also financial support from the University of Aveiro.

JE100042C