Vapor Pressures and Liquid Densities of Ammonium Bromide + Ammonia Mixtures

Daisuke Tomida,* Kousuke Suzuki, and Chiaki Yokoyama

Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8577, Japan

Vapor pressures and liquid densities for ammonium bromide (NH₄Br) + ammonia (NH₃) mixtures were measured over a wide range of temperatures and molalities. Vapor pressures of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures were measured at temperatures ranging from (303.12 to 373.16) K and molalities ranging up to 18.034 mol·kg⁻¹ of NH₄Br. Vapor pressures were measured with a static method. The experimental values were correlated with Antoine's equation. Liquid densities of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures were measured at temperatures ranging from (303.15 to 373.15) K, pressure ranging from (10.0 to 30.0) MPa, and molalities ranging up to 15.171 mol·kg⁻¹ of NH₄Br. Liquid densities were measured with a piezometer. The experimental values were correlated with a Tait-form equation.

Introduction

Gallium nitride (GaN) has attracted considerable attention for the materials as a high-power and high frequency electronics device. Therefore, high quality and large diameter bulk GaN is required. Several methods have been proposed and tested for the GaN crystal growth.¹⁻³ The ammonothermal method which is a solvothermal method is one of the most promising techniques for achieving this purpose.^{2,4-6} In the acidic ammonothemal method, ammonia and ammonium halide are used as solvent and mineralizer, respectively.^{6,7} However, there are a few data regarding the thermophysical properties of ammonium halide + ammonia mixtures. The vapor pressures of ammonium bromide + ammonia and ammonium iodide + ammonia solutions over a wide temperature range and at various concentrations were reported by Yamamoto et al.⁸ They also reported the liquid densities of these solutions at saturated vapor pressure.⁹ However, there are no literature values for liquid densities of these solutions above saturated vapor pressure.

In our previous study, we measured the vapor pressures and liquid densities of ammonium chloride + ammonia mixtures.¹⁰ The present paper describes continuing work on the experimental determination of the vapor pressures and liquid densities consisting of ammonium halide + ammonia mixtures. In this paper, vapor pressures and liquid densities for ammonium bromide (NH₄Br) + ammonia (NH₃) were measured. Vapor pressures of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures were measured at temperatures ranging from (303.12 to 373.16) K and molalities ranging up to 18.034 mol·kg⁻¹ of NH₄Br. Liquid densities of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures were measured at temperatures ranging from (303.15 to 373.15) K, pressure ranging from (10.0 to 30.0) MPa, and molalities ranging up to 15.171 mol·kg⁻¹ of NH₄Br.

Experimental Section

Materials. NH₄Br which had minimum purities of 99.0 % was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries. It was

Table 1.	Experimental	Results of	of the	Vapor	Pressures	for	the
NH ₄ Br +	NH ₃ Mixtures	5					

Т	m	Р	Т	m	Р
K	$(\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})$	MPa	K	$(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$	MPa
303.12	1.170	1.162	303.12	2.643	1.150
313.14	1.175	1.543	313.14	2.656	1.521
323.16	1.181	2.009	323.16	2.668	1.980
333.13	1.188	2.578	333.13	2.683	2.542
343.13	1.195	3.267	343.13	2.701	3.222
353.13	1.203	4.079	353.13	2.719	4.027
363.12	1.211	5.040	363.12	2.737	4.979
373.16	1.220	6.176	373.16	2.757	6.111
303.12	4.607	1.089	303.12	7.348	0.999
313.14	4.630	1.414	313.14	7.391	1.329
323.16	4.658	1.940	323.16	7.439	1.745
333.13	4.687	2.438	333.13	7.494	2.254
343.13	4.721	3.105	343.13	7.559	2.872
353.13	4.759	3.896	353.13	7.624	3.604
363.12	4.800	4.840	363.12	7.693	4.464
373.16	4.849	5.957	373.16	7.769	5.460
303.12	11.381	0.789	303.12	17.193	0.477
313.14	11.454	1.063	313.14	17.281	0.664
323.16	11.532	1.400	323.16	17.385	0.898
333.13	11.621	1.807	333.13	17.505	1.175
343.13	11.714	2.305	343.13	17.626	1.520
353.13	11.814	2.891	353.13	17.756	1.916
363.12	11.919	3.571	363.12	17.886	2.395
373.16	11.986	4.340	373.16	18.034	2.945
Table 2.	Antoine's Equa	ation Para	meters		

	1		
a_0	3.7998	b_0	$1.0819 \cdot 10^{3}$
a_1	$-7.2179 \cdot 10^{-3}$	b_1	-1.1810
a_2	$4.9158 \cdot 10^{-3}$	b_2	1.5418
a_3	$-6.0406 \cdot 10^{-4}$	b_3	$-1.5073 \cdot 10^{-1}$
a_4	$2.0322 \cdot 10^{-5}$	b_4	$5.1599 \cdot 10^{-3}$

dried at 373.15 K for 12 h before measurement. The NH_3 of 99.999 % purity was supplied by Japan Fine Products Co. Ltd. The samples were used without further purification.

Apparatus and Procedure. The vapor pressure was measured with a static method. The experimental apparatus and procedures were the same as that described in our previous study.¹⁰ Temperature and pressure values have an uncertainty of ± 0.02

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: tomida@tagen.tohoku.ac.jp. Fax: +81-22-217-5647.



Figure 1. Comparison of the vapor pressure data for the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures with results from Antoine's equation. \bigcirc , 303.12 K; \square , 313.14 K; \triangle , 323.16 K; \diamond , 333.13 K; \blacklozenge , 343.13 K; \blacksquare , 353.13 K; \blacktriangle , 363.12 K; \blacklozenge , 373.16 K; \neg , correlations.



Figure 2. Deviations of experimental data from Antoine's equation. O, this work; Δ , Yamamoto et al.⁸



Figure 3. Comparison of the liquid density data for the ammonium bromide + ammonia mixture with results from the Tait-form equation at 303.15 K. \bigcirc , m = 1.143; \square , m = 2.601; \triangle , m = 4.381; \diamondsuit , m = 7.359; \times , m = 10.349; \bullet , m = 15.171; -, correlations.

K and \pm 0.001 MPa, respectively. The composition of the sample mixtures were determined by weighing. The uncertainty of the composition determination was estimated to be less than 0.05 wt %. On the basis of the uncertainties of these properties, the uncertainty of the vapor pressure data is estimated to be within \pm 0.5 %. The stainless-steel pressure resistant cell was dried at 373.15 K under vacuum for 2 h to remove moisture. Then, weighed amounts of the NH₄Br and stirring bar are charged in the cell. The valve and transducer were attached with the cell. After degassing for about 5 min, the NH₃ was introduced into the cell with a plunger pump. The amount of NH₃ charged in the cell was determined by weighing the cell with the electrical balance, accurate to within 0.01 g, before and after loading NH₃. After introducing NH₃ and weighing the cell, the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixture was stirred with a magnetic



Figure 4. Deviations of the liquid density data from the Tait-form equation.



Figure 5. Molality dependence of apparent molar volume of NH₄Br in NH₃ at 303.15 K. \bigcirc , 10 MPa; \square , 15 MPa; \triangle , 20 MPa; \diamond , 25 MPa; \bullet , 30 MPa.



Figure 6. Temperature dependence of apparent molar volume of NH₄Br in NH₃ at m = 1.143. \bigcirc , 10 MPa; \Box , 15 MPa; Δ , 20 MPa; \diamond , 25 MPa; \bullet , 30 MPa.

stirring bar for 1 h to dissolve NH_4Br in NH_3 . Then, the cell was immersed in the oil bath. After it maintained a constant temperature for more than 1 h to achieve equilibrium, the vapor pressure was measured.

In this study, we used a static method for vapor pressure measurement. Therefore, the concentration was changed at each temperature. The concentration of the liquid mixture was determined as follows. The two assumptions were adopted: (1) NH₄Br does not exist in the vapor phase; (2) the vapor phase is ideal. The amounts of NH₄Br and NH₃ and the volume of the cell were known experimentally. Therefore, if the density of the liquid mixture was known, we can evaluate the volumes of the vapor and liquid phase. Therefore, the concentration of the NH₄Br in the NH₃ solution is determined by a material balance. It should be mentioned that the vapor phase should be as small as possible to reduce the effect of ambiguity of assumption (2).

The liquid densities of the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ mixtures were measured with a glass piezometer, which has been described

Table 3. Experimental Results of the Liquid Densities of the NH₄Br + NH₃ Mixtures

Т	m	Р	ρ	Т	т	Р	ρ	Т	т	Р	ρ	Т	т	Р	ρ
Κ	$(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$	MPa	$(kg \cdot m^{-3})$	Κ	$(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$	MPa	$(kg \cdot m^{-3})$	Κ	$(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$	MPa	$(kg \cdot m^{-3})$	Κ	$(mol \cdot kg^{-1})$	MPa	$(kg \cdot m^{-3})$
303.15	1.143	10.0	675.9	313.15	1.143	10.0	661.3	343.15	1.143	10.0	612.8	353.15	1.143	10.0	594.5
		15.0	679.5			15.0	665.1			15.0	618.5			15.0	601.3
		20.0	682.7			20.0	668.9			20.0	624.1			20.0	607.9
		25.0	680.5			25.0	676.2			25.0	629.9			25.0	614.6
	2 601	10.0	750.8		2 601	10.0	070.5		2 601	10.0	603.7		2 601	10.0	676.6
	2.001	15.0	754.5		2.001	15.0	737.0		2.001	15.0	608 1		2.001	15.0	681.0
		20.0	758.0			20.0	741.4			20.0	702.6			20.0	687.1
		25.0	761.3			25.0	748.6			25.0	707.2			25.0	692.0
		30.0	764.4			30.0	752.1			30.0	711.7			30.0	697.0
	4.381	10.0	829.0		4.381	10.0	817.3		4.381	10.0	778.3		4.381	10.0	765.5
	11001	15.0	832.7			15.0	820.9		11001	15.0	782.6			15.0	769.6
		20.0	836.1			20.0	824.2			20.0	786.7			20.0	773.8
		25.0	839.1			25.0	827.6			25.0	790.7			25.0	778.1
		30.0	842.1			30.0	830.7			30.0	794.2			30.0	782.2
	7.359	10.0	939.1		7.359	10.0	926.8		7.359	10.0	891.2		7.359	10.0	878.6
		15.0	942.7			15.0	929.8			15.0	894.7			15.0	882.5
		20.0	945.8			20.0	932.8			20.0	898.2			20.0	886.2
		25.0	948.9			25.0	935.5			25.0	901.6			25.0	889.7
		30.0	951.2			30.0	938.0			30.0	904.9			30.0	893.2
	10.349	10.0	1028.9		10.349	10.0	1017.0		10.349	10.0	983.9		10.349	10.0	973.0
		15.0	1032.2			15.0	1020.3			15.0	987.4			15.0	976.5
		20.0	1034.9			20.0	1023.2			20.0	991.3			20.0	979.8
		25.0	1037.4			25.0	1025.9			25.0	993.6			25.0	983.0
	15 171	30.0	1039.8		15 171	30.0	1028.6		15 171	30.0	995.8		16 171	30.0	986.6
	15.1/1	10.0	1126.2		15.171	10.0	1110.9		15.171	10.0	1080.0		15.171	10.0	10//./
		20.0	1120.1			20.0	1110.0			20.0	1009.1			20.0	1080.1
		20.0	1130.1			20.0	1120.4			20.0	1091.2			20.0	1084.5
		20.0	1132.0			20.0	1122.0			20.0	1095.5			20.0	1086.3
323.15	1.143	10.0	646.8	333.15	1.143	10.0	630.2	363.15	1.143	10.0	576.0	373.15	1.143	10.0	561.5
020110	111.10	15.0	651.2	000110	111.10	15.0	635.4	000110	111.10	15.0	583.7	0,0110	11110	15.0	569.5
		20.0	655.4			20.0	640.3			20.0	590.9			20.0	577.4
		25.0	659.7			25.0	645.1			25.0	598.2			25.0	585.2
		30.0	663.8			30.0	649.5			30.0	605.2			30.0	592.7
	2.601	10.0	724.3		2.601	10.0	709.5		2.601	10.0	659.3		2.601	10.0	646.4
		15.0	727.7			15.0	714.0			15.0	665.4			15.0	652.4
		20.0	731.4			20.0	718.3			20.0	671.2			20.0	658.4
		25.0	734.9			25.0	722.5			25.0	677.1			25.0	664.3
		30.0	738.7			30.0	726.3			30.0	682.6			30.0	670.0
	4.381	10.0	805.0		4.381	10.0	791.1		4.381	10.0	748.7		4.381	10.0	737.7
		15.0	808.9			15.0	795.1			15.0	754.3			15.0	742.9
		20.0	812.6			20.0	799.1			20.0	759.5			20.0	748.0
		25.0	810.1			25.0	803.0			25.0	764.4			25.0	152.9
	7 250	10.0	819.4 014.2		7 250	10.0	807.1		7 250	10.0	769.0 866.4		7 250	30.0 10.0	/5/.0
	1.339	15.0	914.3 017 /		1.339	15.0	905.1		1.339	15.0	870.2		1.339	15.0	858 5
		20.0	920.3			20.0	900.7			20.0	873.8			20.0	862.2
		25.0	923.0			25.0	912.7			25.0	877.4			25.0	865.9
		30.0	926.0			30.0	915.6			30.0	881.3			30.0	870.0
	10.349	10.0	1005.2		10.349	10.0	994.4		10.349	10.0	961.1		10.349	10.0	950.1
	101019	15.0	1008.3		101017	15.0	997.8		101017	15.0	965.6		101017	15.0	954.3
		20.0	1011.2			20.0	1001.2			20.0	969.0			20.0	958.0
		25.0	1014.1			25.0	1004.0			25.0	972.5			25.0	961.3
		30.0	1016.7			30.0	1006.9			30.0	975.7			30.0	964.7
	15.171	10.0	1105.9		15.171	10.0	1096.0		15.171	10.0	1067.8		15.171	10.0	1057.7
		15.0	1107.8			15.0	1098.2			15.0	1070.1			15.0	1060.3
		20.0	1109.7			20.0	1100.3			20.0	1072.4			20.0	1062.6
		25.0	1111.5			25.0	1102.2			25.0	1074.8			25.0	1065.1
		30.0	1113.4			30.0	1104.0			30.0	1076.8			30.0	1067.1

in detail elsewhere.¹¹ Weighed NH_4Br is charged in the glass cell, and the valve was attached with the glass cell. After degassing for about 5 min, NH_3 was introduced into the glass cell from the valve by immersing the glass cell in the methanol which was cooled at about 233 K. The amount of NH_3 charged in the cell was determined by weighing the cell with the electrical balance, accurate to within 0.001 g, before and after loading NH_3 . After dissolving NH_4Br in NH_3 , the glass cell set

into the pressure vessel. The sample cell volume was approximately 13 cm³. The estimated uncertainties in the liquid densities are \pm 0.2 %.

Results and Discussion

Vapor Pressure Measurement of the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ Mixtures. The experimental results of the vapor pressures for the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ mixtures are given in Table 1. The vapor pressures were correlated with Antoine's equation. The Antoine constants were expressed as the fourth-degree function of molality.

$$\log P/MPa = A - B/(C + T/K - 273.15)$$
(1)

$$A = \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_i (m/\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})^i$$
(2)

$$B = \sum_{i=0}^{4} b_i (m/\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})^i$$
(3)

Table 4. Apparent Molar Volumes of NH₄Br in NH₃

C = 259.86 (4)

The values of these parameters were determined by using the present experimental results with a least-squares method and are listed in Table 2. Comparisons of the vapor pressure data for the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures with the results from Antoine's equation are shown in Figure 1. The Antoine equation correlated the experimental values of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixture within \pm 2.9 %. Figure 2 shows the deviations of the experimental vapor pressure data in the literature⁸ from the values calculated

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c}\hline g^{-1} & \hline MPa \\ \hline 43 & 10.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 01 & 10.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 81 & 10.0 \\ 15.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 30.0 \\ 81 & 0.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.0 \\ 25.0 \\ 20.$	$\begin{array}{c} -49.9 \\ -38.3 \\ -30.5 \\ -26.0 \\ -23.5 \\ -28.5 \\ -20.8 \\ -15.0 \\ -10.3 \\ -6.8 \\ -14.3 \\ -8.7 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	43 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 01 10.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0	$\begin{array}{r} -49.9 \\ -38.3 \\ -30.5 \\ -26.0 \\ -23.5 \\ -28.5 \\ -20.8 \\ -15.0 \\ -10.3 \\ -6.8 \\ -14.3 \\ -8.7 \end{array}$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 15.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 01\\ 15.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 81\\ 10.0\\ 15.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 81\\ 0.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ $	$\begin{array}{r} -38.3 \\ -30.5 \\ -26.0 \\ -23.5 \\ -28.5 \\ -20.8 \\ -15.0 \\ -10.3 \\ -6.8 \\ -14.3 \\ -8.7 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 01\\ 10.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 25.0\\ 30.0\\ 81\\ 10.0\\ 15.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 25.$	-30.5 -26.0 -23.5 -28.5 -20.8 -15.0 -10.3 -6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.0 30.0 30.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.	-20.0 -23.5 -28.5 -20.8 -15.0 -10.3 -6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.0 01 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0	$\begin{array}{r} -23.3 \\ -28.5 \\ -20.8 \\ -15.0 \\ -10.3 \\ -6.8 \\ -14.3 \\ -8.7 \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25.0 20.0 25	-20.8 -15.0 -10.3 -6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.0 25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	-15.0 -10.3 -6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.0 30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	-10.3 -6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.0 81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	-6.8 -14.3 -8.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	81 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0	-14.3 -8.7
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.0 20.0 25.0	-8.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.0 25.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25.0	-4.4
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-1.0
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.0	1.9
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	59 10.0	3.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	15.0	/.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.0	10.2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.0	14.5
15.0 29.2 15.0 27.6 15.0 19.8 20.0 29.8 20.0 28.3 20.0 21.2 25.0 30.4 25.0 29.0 25.0 22.8 20.0 20.0 25.0 29.0 25.0 22.8	49 10.0	13.4
20.0 29.8 20.0 28.3 20.0 21.2 25.0 30.4 25.0 29.0 25.0 22.8 30.0 30.0 20.6 20.0 24.1	15.0	16.0
25.0 30.4 25.0 29.0 25.0 22.8 20.0 20.0 20.6 20.0 24.1	20.0	18.1
20.0 20.0 20.0 20.6 20.0 24.1	25.0	19.9
30.0 30.9 30.0 29.0 30.0 24.1	30.0	21.3
15.171 10.0 36.0 15.171 10.0 34.5 15.171 10.0 28.1 15.1	71 10.0	24.7
15.0 36.6 15.0 35.1 15.0 29.5	15.0	26.7
20.0 37.1 20.0 35.8 20.0 30.7	20.0	28.3
25.0 37.5 25.0 36.4 25.0 31.8	25.0	29.6
30.0 37.9 30.0 37.9 30.0 37.0 30.0 32.7 223.15 1.142 10.0 -23.5 223.15 1.142 10.0 -20.5 263.15 1.143 10.0 -70.0 273.15 1.1	42 10.0	50.8
525.15 1.145 10.0 25.5 55515 1.145 10.0 25.5 50515 1.145 10.0 70.7 57515 1.1 150 -237	15 0	-86.2
200 - 145 $200 - 189$ $200 - 400$	20.0	-66.0
25.0 -11.7 $25.0 -15.3$ $25.0 -32.8$	25.0	-53.5
30.0 -9.2 30.0 -11.9 30.0 -28.1	30.0	-45.4
2.601 10.0 -5.7 2.601 10.0 -11.8 2.601 10.0 -42.2 2.6	01 10.0	-68.7
$15.0 -2.1 \qquad \qquad 15.0 -8.0 \qquad \qquad 15.0 -31.0$	15.0	-49.7
20.0 0.5 20.0 -4.8 20.0 -22.8	20.0	-37.2
25.0 2.9 25.0 -2.2 25.0 -17.1	25.0	-28.4
30.0 4.6 30.0 0.4 30.0 -12.4	30.0	-21.7
$4.381 \ 10.0 \ 6.3 \ 4.381 \ 10.0 \ 1.5 \ 4.381 \ 10.0 \ -24.0 \ 4.3$	81 10.0 15.0	-41.9
15.0 8.5 15.0 4.2 15.0 -16.5 200 6.5 200 -11.0 -	15.0	-29.0
25.0 11.6 25.0 8.4 25.0 -6.6	20.0	-21.2 -14.9
300 130 300 99 300 -30	30.0	-10.0
7,359 10.0 18.1 7,359 10.0 14.2 7,359 10.0 -3,9 7,3	59 10.0	-14.7
15.0 19.6 15.0 16.0 15.0 1.3	15.0	-6.8
20.0 20.9 20.0 17.7 20.0 5.2	20.0	-1.2
25.0 22.1 25.0 19.2 25.0 8.4	25.0	3.1
30.0 23.1 30.0 20.5 30.0 10.9	30.0	6.4
10.349 10.0 24.5 10.349 10.0 21.6 10.349 10.0 7.7 10.349	49 10.0	-0.3
15.0 25.6 15.0 23.0 15.0 11.3	15.0	5.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20.0	9.4
25.0 27.4 25.0 25.2 25.0 16.5 20.0 28.2 20.0 26.2 20.0 10.4	25.0	12.5
50.0 28.2 50.0 20.2 50.0 18.4 15.171 10.0 22.8 15.171 10.0 20.7 15.171 10.0 20.6 15.1	50.0 71 10.0	15.0
15.1/1 10.0 52.0 $15.1/1$ 10.0 30.7 $15.1/1$ 10.0 20.0 $15.1/1$ 10.0 20.0 $15.1/1$ 10.0 20.0 $15.1/1$	11 10.0	10.0
$200 345 \qquad 200 328 \qquad 200 255$	20.0	22.0
25.0 35.2 25.0 33.7 25.0 27.2	25.0	24.3
30.0 35.8 30.0 34.4 30.0 28.7		

by eq 1 in our experimental range. The results of Yamamoto et al. are in good agreement with ours.

Liquid Density Measurement of the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ Mixtures. The experimental results of the liquid densities of the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ mixtures are shown in Table 3. The $P\rho Tm$ relations for the $NH_4Br + NH_3$ mixtures were correlated with the Tait equation.¹²

$$\frac{\rho/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3} - \rho_0/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}}{\rho/\text{kg}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}} = E\ln\left(\frac{D + P/\text{MPa}}{D + P_0}\right) \quad (5)$$

where ρ and ρ_0 are the densities at *P* and P_0 (= 10.0 MPa), respectively. *E* and *D* are adjustable parameters. The parameters were optimized by minimizing the deviation of the calculated density from the experimental one. For ammonia according to literature values, ¹³ *E* was equal to 0.09761 and *D* was expressed by a linear function of temperature as follows

$$D = 249.27 - 0.66019T/K \tag{6}$$

For the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures, E could be treated as a constant, 0.09761. The parameter D could be expressed by the following equation

$$D = D_0 + 12.50 m/\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} - 0.038 (m/\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})^2$$
(7)

where D_0 is the value of *D* calculated from eq 6 and *m* is the molality. Comparisons of the liquid density data for the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixture with results from the Tait-form equation at 303.15 K are shown in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows deviations of the experimental data from the Tait-form equation. The Tait-form equation correlated the experimental values of the NH₄Br + NH₃ mixtures within 0.4 %.

The apparent molar volumes V_{ϕ} of NH₄Br can be expressed as follows

$$V_{\phi}/\text{cm}^{3} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} = \frac{1000(d^{\circ}/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3} - d/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3})}{m/\text{mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}d/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}d^{\circ}/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}} + \frac{M}{d/\text{g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}}$$
(8)

where *M* is the molecular weight of the NH₄Br; *m* is the molality; *d* is the density of the solution; and d° is the density of the NH₃. Literature values were used for the density of NH₃.¹³ Table 4 shows the apparent molar volumes of NH₄Br in NH₃. No literature values for the apparent molar volumes of NH₄Br

in NH₃ are available. Figure 5 shows the plot of the apparent molar volumes of NH₄Br in NH₃ against molality at 303.15 K. The pressure of the apparent molar volume decreased with increasing molality. Figure 6 shows the plot of the apparent molar volumes of NH₄Br in NH₃ against temperature at $m = 1.143 \text{ mol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$. The pressure dependence of the apparent molar volume increased with increasing temperature.

Literature Cited

- Porowski, S. High pressure growth of GaN new prospects for blue lasers. J. Cryst. Growth 1996, 166, 583.
- (2) Dwiliňski, R.; Baranowski, J. M.; Kamiňska, M.; Doradziňski, R.; Garczyňski, J.; Sierzputowski, L. P. On GaN crystallization by ammonothermal method. *Acta Phys. Pol.*, A **1996**, *90*, 763–766.
- (3) Yamane, H.; Shimada, M.; Sekiguchi, T.; DiSalvo, F. J. Morphology and characterization of GaN single crystals grown in a Na flux. J. Cryst. Growth 1998, 186, 8–12.
- (4) Hashimoto, T.; Fujito, K.; Wu, F.; Haskell, B. A.; Fini, P. T.; Speck, J. S.; Nakamura, S. Structural characterization of thick GaN films grown on free-standing GaN seeds by the ammonothermal method using basic ammonia. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2005, 44, L797–L799.
- (5) Wang, B.; Callahan, M. J.; Rakes, K. D.; Bouthillette, L. O.; Wang, S.-Q.; Bliss, D. F.; Kolis, J. W. Ammonothermal growth of GaN crystals in alkaline solutions. J. Cryst. Growth 2006, 287, 376–380.
- (6) Kagamitani, Y.; Ehrentraut, D.; Yoshikawa, A.; Hoshino, N.; Fukuda, T.; Kawabata, S.; Inaba, K. Ammonothermal epitaxy of thick GaN film using NH₄Cl minelalizer. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* 2006, 45, 4018– 4020.
- (7) Ehrentraut, D.; Hoshino, N.; Kagamitani, Y.; Yoshikawa, A.; Fukuda, T.; Itoh, H.; Kawabata, S. Temperature effect of ammonium halogenides as minelalizers on the phase stability of gallium nitride synthesized under acidic ammonothermal conditions. *J. Mater. Chem.* 2007, 17, 886–893.
- (8) Yamamoto, H.; Sanga, S.; Tokunaga, J. Vapor Pressures of Ammonium Bromide + Ammonia and Ammonium Iodide + Ammonia Solutions. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **1988**, *33*, 381–385.
- (9) Yamamoto, H.; Tokunaga, J. Densities and Viscosities of NH₄Br-NH₃ and NH₄I-NH₃ Systems. J. Chem. Eng. Data **1991**, 36, 277–280.
- (10) Tomida, D.; Suzuki, K.; Katou, Y.; Yokoyama, C. Vapor Pressures and Liquid Densities of Ammonium Chloride + Ammonia Mixtures. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2008**, *53*, 1583–1586.
- (11) Kumagai, A.; Tomida, D.; Yokoyama, C. Measurements of the Liquid Viscosities of Mixtures of *n*-Butane, *n*-Hexane, and *n*-Octane with Squalane to 30 MPa. *Int. J. Thermophys.* 2006, 27, 376–393.
- (12) Makita, T.; Kashiwagi, H.; Matsuo, S. Interrelations among various thermophysical properties of fluids. *Proc. 10th ICPS* **1984**, 17–28.
- (13) Tillner-Roth, R.; Harms-Watzenberg, F.; Baehr, H. D. New fundamental equation for ammonia. *DKV-Tagungsbericht* 1993, 20, 167– 181.

Received for review April 16, 2009. Accepted June 23, 2009. This work was supported in part by NEDO program by the Ministry Economy, Trade and Industry.

JE900359Y