Equilibrium and Thermodynamics on Arsenic(III) Sorption Reaction in the Presence of Background Ions Occurring in Groundwater with Nanoparticle Agglomerates of Hydrous Iron(III) + Chromium(III) Mixed Oxide[†]

Tina Basu, Kaushik Gupta, and Uday Chand Ghosh*

Department of Chemistry, Presidency College, 86/1 College Street, Kolkata 700 073, India

Agglomerated nanoparticles [(40 to 50) nm] of synthetic iron(III) + chromium(III) mixed oxide (NHICO) were investigated for the equilibrium and thermodynamics of arsenic(III) removal, respectively, at pH 7.0 (\pm 0.2) and temperature ($T \pm 1.6$) = (288 and 303) K from solutions with background ions that coexist in groundwater. Langmuir and Redlich–Peterson isotherm models described the equilibrium data better than Freundlich model. The Langmuir capacity ($\theta_0 \cdot 10^2$, mmol·kg⁻¹) values estimated, 10.404 and 10.686 in the absence of ions at studied temperatures, were higher than that in the presence of ions (except for HCO₃⁻) at background. The enthalpy (ΔH^0) and entropy (ΔS^0) changes were positive, indicating endothermic reactions driven by entropy increase at the solid–liquid interface. Negative values of free energy change (ΔG^0) indicated that the reactions were spontaneous, which increased with increasing temperature on reactions. The treatment of groundwater (arsenic concentration: 1.347 μ mol·dm⁻³) by the NHICO packed fixed-bed column (height: 6.0 cm, i.d.: 1.0 cm, bed volume: 4.71 cm³) yielded 4.7 dm³ (~1000 BV) of water with an arsenic concentration of $\leq 0.133 \mu$ mol·dm⁻³. The used bed was regenerated up to a level 90 (\pm 1.5) % of its initial capacity with 0.350 dm³ of optimized 0.25 M NaOH solution.

Introduction

Arsenic exists in the natural environment in four different oxidation states: As(V), As(III), As(0), and As(-III). The mobility and toxicity of arsenic are determined by its oxidation states;¹ thus, the behavior of arsenic species will change depending on biotic and abiotic conditions in water. The occurrence of arsenic in groundwater much exceeding the tolerance limit (10 μ g·dm⁻³/0.133 μ mol·dm⁻³) is a global problem² and poses an ever-increasing degree of health hazard. The Bengal Delta Basin (West Bengal in India and Bangladesh) has become infested with this menace, and in some pockets of this region it has assumed a life-threatening proportion, causing deaths of a good number of inhabitants. An accumulation of excess arsenic in groundwater in this delta region is presumably due to the microbial reduction of geogenic arsenopyrites and iron oxyhydroxide with adsorbed arsenic in an anoxic environment.^{3,4} The aquifers thus become rich in this reduced As(III) along with Fe(II). The ratios of As(III)/As(Total) at a depth of (30 to 40) m reported in these aquifers are in the range of 0.6 to 0.9,⁴ which is a matter of great concern since the toxicity and mobility of arsenic(III) are greater than those of arsenic(V). The high toxicity of arsenic(III) is due to the high combining affinity with the thiol (-SH) part of the protein due to a soft-soft acid-base reaction.

Remembering the adverse health impact of arsenic toxicity for long-term drinking of groundwater contaminated with high arsenic, the researchers had paid attention for last two decades in developing methods for reducing arsenic level below or equal to the permissible value (10 μ g·dm⁻³/0.133 μ mol·dm⁻³). Consequently, several methods such as oxidation—precipitation, coagulation/electrocoagulation/precipitation, membrane filtration, surface sorption, and ion exchange, and so forth, were reported.^{2,5,6} However, the surface sorption has been found to be an alternative option for the treatment of arsenic-rich groundwater and has been well-accepted by the rural people of under-developed countries like India and Bangladesh for its simplicity of operation, requirement of less space, and low recurring cost. Numerous sorbent materials,^{5,6} namely, activated carbon, agricultural products and byproduct, biomasses and metal oxides, or metal ion loaded biomaterials were tested for the treatment of arsenic-rich (> 0.133 μ mol·dm⁻³) ground/ wastewater and industrial effluents. It has been found that different mineralogical forms of iron(III)/aluminum(III) oxide and hydroxide⁷⁻²² in the bulk phase were used for arsenic sorption from aqueous solutions. Arsenic sorption or removal using some synthetic polyvalent metal oxides had also been investigated²²⁻²⁷ in our laboratory. Zhang²⁸ demonstrated an overview on the use of nanoscale iron particles for environmental remediation for large surface areas and in situ reactivity. Consequently, the sorption behavior of arsenic from aqueous solutions had been reported using nanoscale zerovalent iron^{29,30} and nanocrystalline titanium oxide.^{31,32} On the basis of these studies, some filters for the treatment of arsenic-rich groundwater are developed and marketed by different companies. It had been reported³³ that the assessment performances of the filters at the field were not up to the level of specification given by the manufacturers. It is presumably due to the adverse influence of dissolved ions like sulfate, phosphate, chloride, calcium, magnesium, and so forth that those co-occur with arsenic in groundwater. Therefore, we have aimed for the sorption of arsenic by iron(III)-based sorbent materials to be investigated for removal from water in the presence of some background ions, which occur with arsenic in groundwater.

[†] Part of the "Josef M. G. Barthel Festschrift".

^{*} Corresponding author: Tel./Fax: +91-33-2241-3893. E-mail: ucghosh@ yahoo.co.in.

Thus, this manuscript reports herein the results of equilibrium and thermodynamics studies for the sorption reaction of arsenic(III) with nanoparticle [(40 to 50) nm] agglomerates of synthetic hydrous iron(III) + chromium(III) mixed oxide (NHICO) in the presence of some background ions occurring in groundwater with the column treatment of high arsenic groundwater by a NHICO packed fixed bed.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals. All chemicals used were of guaranteed reagent (G.R.) grade (E. Merck, India) except for arsenic(III) oxide $(As_2O_3; 99.99 \%, Analar Reagent, BDH, England), iron(III)$ chloride (FeCl₃; 98 %, laboratory reagent, E. Merck, India), sodium hydroxide (NaOH; 97 %, laboratory reagent, SD Fine Chemicals, India), and chromium(III) chloride (CrCl₃; 93 %, laboratory reagent, Loba Chemie, India).

Arsenic(III) Solution. A standard stock arsenic(III) solution (13.33 mmol·dm⁻³) was prepared by dissolving 1.76 mmol of arsenic(III) oxide in 0.1 dm³ of arsenic-free 0.04 mass fraction sodium hydroxide solution, which was diluted with double-distilled water to 1.0 dm³ into a volumetric flask. A measured volume of this stock solution was diluted with 0.002 volume fraction of HCl in water for a desired level of arsenic(III) concentration in solution prior to use in experiments. The stock was prepared fresh after every 15 days and frozen to prevent oxidation.

Arsenic Analysis. Concentrations of arsenic in sample solutions were analyzed using a UV–vis spectrophotometer (Hitachi, model U-3210) and atomic absorption spectrophotometer (Perkin-Elmer, model Analyst 200) by the methods described in the *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater.*³⁴

Synthesis of NHICO. NHICO was synthesized by the method of chemical precipitation. Here, FeCl₃ (0.1 M in 0.1 M HCl) and CrCl₃ (0.1 M in 0.1 M HCl) were mixed together in 1:1 volume ratios and warmed at 353 (\pm 8) K. To the hot, wellstirred (speed: ~ 300 rpm) mixed solution, aqueous NH₄OH (1:1, volume fraction) was added carefully until the pH reached between 5.5 and 6.7. The brown jell-like precipitates that appeared were aged with liquid for 4 days, filtered, and washed three times with distilled water. It was dried inside an air oven at (333 to 343) K. The dried hot mass when treated with cold water was broken to the fine agglomerates. The grain size of agglomerates ranging from (140 to 290) μ m were sieved out and characterized for the nanostructure. These grains were heattreated at (323, 373, 473, 523, 573, 623, 673, 723, and 773) K for an hour in a muffle furnace and desiccated at room temperature (303 ± 1.6 K), which was used for the experiments.

Batch Experiments. To evaluate optimum temperature for the heat treatment on NHICO, 0.05 g of solid heat-treated at different temperatures was added with 0.05 dm³ of arsenic(III) solution [concentration, $C_{\rm I} = (0.10 \text{ and } 0.13) \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ and pH = 6.4 ± 0.2] into the polyethylene tetraphthalate (PET) bottles (capacity: 0.25 dm³). Reaction mixtures in PET bottles were agitated (speed: 350 ± 5 rpm) using a thermostat shaker at temperature (T) = 303 ± 1.6 K for 2.0 h and filtered. Arsenic concentration ($C_{\rm e}$, mmol·dm⁻³) was analyzed in each filtered solution.

For the effect of initial solution pH (pH_i) on the removal of arsenic(III) from aqueous solutions, 0.05 g of NHICO (heat-treated at 623 K) was mixed with 0.05 dm³ of arsenic(III) solutions of $C_{\rm I} = (0.10 \text{ and } 0.13) \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ adjusted separately at pH_i = 2.0, 3.0. 4.0, 5.0, 6.0, 7.0, 8.0, 9.0, and 10.0 into the PET bottles (capacity: 0.25 dm³) and agitated

(speed: 350 ± 5 rpm) for a duration of 2.0 h at $T = 303 \pm 1.6$ K. The equilibrium solution pH (pH_f) value of each mixture was recorded immediately after 2 h of agitation by immersing the pH-meter electrode (model LI-127, ELICO India) and filtered to separate the solution from the solid particles. Each filtrate was analyzed for the arsenic concentration (C_e , mmol·dm⁻³) remaining at equilibrium.

Batch procedure, which was adopted for the determination of the equilibrium time of arsenic(III) removal reaction with NHICO (heat-treated at 623 K) from the solution of $C_{\rm I} = (0.067$ and 0.172) mmol·dm⁻³ including the background ion [SO₄^{2–} (2.08), Cl⁻ (11.27), PO₄^{3–} (0.53), HCO₃⁻ (4.92), Mg²⁺ (4.10), Ca²⁺ (2.5), SiO₃^{2–} (0.64)] at pH_i = 7.0 (± 0.2) and *T* = 303 (± 1.6) K was described in the Supporting Information (SI).

For equilibrium isotherm, experiments were set as described in the first paragraph in this subsection. Here, the arsenic(III) solution of C_1 ranging from (0.13 to 3.33) mmol·dm⁻³ including a background ion [SO₄²⁻ (2.08), Cl⁻ (11.27), PO₄³⁻ (0.53), HCO₃⁻ (4.92), Mg²⁺ (4.10), Ca²⁺ (2.5), SiO₃²⁻ (0.64)] at pH_i 7.0 (± 0.2) was mixed separately with NHICO (heat-treated at 623 K). Values noted in parentheses against each background ion were the C_1 (mmol·dm⁻³) of that ion added with arsenic(III) solution. A major change of pH during agitation, if any, in each set was checked at $t \approx (45$ and 90) min from the time of start (t = 0) and adjusted (if required) by 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M NaOH. Reaction mixtures were filtered immediately after 2.0 h of agitation, and the filtrates were analyzed for arsenic concentration (C_e , mmol·dm⁻³) remaining at equilibrium.

Calculation of Sorption Capacity. Arsenic(III) sorption capacity (q_e , mmol·kg⁻¹) at the equilibrium of NHICO was calculated from the following mass balance relation (eq 1):

$$q_{\rm e} = [C_{\rm I} - C_{\rm e}] \cdot (V/W) \cdot 10^3 \tag{1}$$

where $C_{\rm I}$ and $C_{\rm e}$ are the concentrations (mmol·dm⁻³) of arsenic in solution at t = 0 and equilibrium, respectively; V and W are the volume (dm³) of solution and mass (g) of sorbent taken for the experiments. The multiplication factor 10³ converted the $q_{\rm e}$ values in terms of mmol·kg⁻¹.

Fixed-Bed Column Experiment for Arsenic Removal from Groundwater. A fixed-bed column was made by uniform packing of NHICO into a glass tube (i.d. 1.0 cm) up to a height of 6.0 cm (bed volume, BV: 4.71 cm³). Groundwater sample that was collected from a tube well [depth: (40 to 45) m] from Kalinarayan pore at the Nadia district in West Bengal (India) was analyzed for arsenic (0.101 mg·dm⁻³/1.347 µmol·dm⁻³). Some analyzed parameters for water quality (mmol·dm⁻³, except pH) were pH (7.5), Fe²⁺ (2.686·10⁻³), F⁻ (0.034), and HCO₃⁻⁻ (11.061), and hardness (2.92 as CaCO₃), Mg²⁺ (0.547) and Ca²⁺ (2.38). This water sample was passed through the NHICO packed bed for filtration (flow rate: 0.06 dm³·h⁻¹). The filtered water collected by 0.1 dm³ fractions was analyzed for arsenic concentration.

The arsenic-loaded NHICO bed in the column was regenerated by passing 0.25 M NaOH solution with an effluent rate of 0.06 dm³ · h⁻¹. Effluents were collected by a fraction of 0.05 dm³ and analyzed for the arsenic released.

Results and Discussion

Physicochemical Characterization of NHICO. An analysis of the X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern (figure omitted) of NHICO suggested that the material was amorphous. Absorbance bands (spectra a to d in Figure S1 of SI) at wavenumbers (ν , cm⁻¹) ranging from 3650 to 3120 and 1630 to 1400 were the stretching and bending modes of O–H bonds of lattice water

and the hydroxyl groups, respectively. An absorption band appearing at $\nu \sim 521 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in the spectrum of NHICO (marked as a) was absent in the spectra of the solid-solid mixture of iron(III) and chromium(III) oxides (marked as b), iron(III) oxide (marked as c), and chromum(III) oxide (marked as d). This indicated that the oxo/hydroxo bridge bond developed between iron(III) and chromium(III) in NHICO. Thus, it was found that the synthetic material was a hydrated mixed oxide. The thermogravimetric (TG) spectrum (Figure S2 of SI) showed a total weight loss by 24.75 % at temperatures between (80 and 800) °C which confirmed the hydrous nature of NHICO. Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) images (Figure S3 of SI) of NHICO confirmed the existence of nanoparticles [dimensions: (40 to 50) nm] in the agglomerates. Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) images (Figure S4 of SI) showed that the surface morphology of that material was irregular. The specific surface area, pHzpc, and bulk density of NHICO, respectively, were estimated to be 601.6 (\pm 5.0) m²·g⁻¹ and $[6.4 (\pm 0.2) \text{ and } 2.20 (\pm 0.02)] \text{ g} \cdot \text{cm}^{-3}$ (SI). Taking the weight loss data from TG analysis at > 80 °C and chemical analyses by the wet method of dissolved NHICO in HCl for iron and chromium suggested that the percentage composition of NHICO was Fe₂O₃ (36.54 \pm 0.45), Cr₂O₃ (35.84 \pm 0.60), and H₂O $(24.75 \pm 0.35).$

Effect of Heat Treatment Temperature. Arsenic(III) sorption capacity (q_e , mmol·kg⁻¹) of heat-treated NHICO from aqueous solutions [C_I of arsenic(III) = (0.101 and 0.13) mmol·dm⁻³] at pH 6.4 (\pm 0.2) versus heat treatment temperature (Figure S5), described in the SI, showed that the value of q_e increased with increasing heat treatment temperature on NHICO from (323 to 623) K and decreased with that from (623 to 773) K. Thus, heat-treated NHICO at 623 K had the highest arsenic(III) removal capacity from the aqueous solutions, and that NHICO was used for the remaining experiments.

Effect of pH. The effect of initial solution pH (pH_i) on arsenic(III) removal capacity from aqueous solutions (Figure S6 of the SI) is discussed in detail in the SI. It was found that the NHICO (heat-treated at 623 K) had the highest arsenic(III) removal capacity from the aqueous solutions at pH_i = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) and *T* = 303 (\pm 1.6 K).

Equilibrium Modeling. Figures 1 and 2 demonstrate the values (as points) of sorption capacity at equilibrium $(q_e, \text{mmol} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1})$ against concentration at equilibrium $(C_e, \text{mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3})$ of arsenic(III) for the sorption reaction with NHICO at $T = [288 (\pm 1.6) \text{ and } 303 (\pm 1.6)]$ K, respectively. To understand the sorption mechanism, equilibrium data shown as points were analyzed by the isotherm model equations,³⁵ namely, the Langmuir (eq 2), Freundlich (eq 3), and Redlich–Peterson (RP) (eq 4) with nonlinear regression fit method on origin spread sheet.

Langmuir equation: $q_{\rm e} = (\theta_0 K_{\rm a} C_{\rm e})/(1 + K_{\rm a} C_{\rm e})$ (2)

Freundlich equation:
$$q_e = K_F C_e^{1/n}$$
 (3)

RP equation:
$$q_{\rm e} = (AC_{\rm e})/(1 + BC_{\rm e}^{g})$$
 (4)

where θ_0 and K_a are the Langmuir monolayer adsorption capacity (mmol·kg⁻¹) and equilibrium constant (dm³·g⁻¹) and K_F and *n* are the Freundlich constants related to the adsorption capacity (mmol^{1-1/n}·kg⁻¹·dm^{-3/n}) and intensity (dimensionless), respectively. Significances of q_e and C_e are given above. The value of *n* is related to the affinity or binding strength of the solute with the solid surface. *A* (dm³·kg⁻¹) and *B* (dm³·mmol⁻¹) are the RP constants related to the adsorption equilibrium constant. The value of *g*, a dimensionless RP constant, should



Figure 1. Plots of equilibrium sorption capacity (q_e) versus equilibrium concentration (C_e) of the arsenic(III) sorption reaction with NHICO at $T = 288 (\pm 1.6)$ K and pH_i = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) in the presence of some background ions and nonlinear fits of the data with (a) Langmuir, (b) Freundlich, and (c) RP isotherm equations. \blacksquare , no ion; \blacklozenge , SO₄²⁻; \bigstar , Cl⁻; \blacktriangledown , PO₄³⁻; \diamondsuit , HCO₃⁻; left-pointing triangle, Mg²⁺; right-pointing triangle, Ca²⁺; and \blacklozenge , SiO₃²⁻.

vary from 0 to 1.0. When g = 0, the RP eq 4 converts to Henry law, and when g = 1, that equation converts to Langmuir eq 2.

Figures 1a-c and 2a-c show the nonlinear fits of the equilibrium data with the Langmuir (eq 2), Freundlich (eq 3), and RP (eq 4) equations. The estimated isotherm parameters for eqs 2 to 4 are shown in Tables 1 and 2 with the regression coefficient (R^2) and statistical error χ^2 values. On the basis of the values of χ^2 and R^2 (Tables 1 and 2), it was found that the present data described the Langmuir (eq 2) and the RP (eq 4) model isotherms very well ($R^2 \ge 0.99$). The goodness-of-fit of



Figure 2. Plots of equilibrium sorption capacity (q_e) versus equilibrium concentration (C_e) of the arsenic(III) sorption reaction with NHICO at $T = 303 (\pm 1.6)$ K and pH_i = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) in the presence of some background ions and nonlinear fits of the data with (a) Langmuir, (b) Freundlich, and (c) RP isotherm equations. \blacksquare , no ion; \blacklozenge , SO₄²⁻; \bigstar , Cl⁻; \blacktriangledown , PO₄³⁻; \blacklozenge , HCO₃⁻; left-pointing triangle, Mg²⁺; right-pointing triangle, Ca²⁺; and \blacklozenge , SiO₃²⁻.

the data with either the Langmuir (eq 2) or the RP (eq 4) model was comparatively better than that with the Freundlich model (eq 3; $R^2 = 0.97$ to 0.99). Assumptions based on which the Langmuir model (eq 2) is developed are (i) the monolayer adsorption of adsorbate on the adsorbent surface and (ii) the homogeneous energetic distribution of the active binding sites that are equally accessible to the solute. Good fits of the equilibrium data with the Langmuir model (eq 2) indicated that

the adsorption sites of the NHICO surface were homogeneous and accessed equally by the arsenic species. Values of the dimensionless Freundlich constant (n) laid between 1.73 and 2.29, indicating a high affinity of arsenic(III) for the solid surface. Moreover, the values of g of the RP model were close to 1.0 (0.78 < g < 1.20), which helped us to understand that the Langmuir (eq 2) model is a better fit of the present equilibrium data. This confirmed that the active sites available on the NHICO surface are equally accessible to the solute particles. In contrast to the isotherm modeling of equilibrium data by Kuriakose et al.¹⁹ and Zhang and Itoh,³⁶ the modeling of present data was found to be almost similar with the others.^{8,9,12,18} Values for θ_0 (•10²) obtained were (10.4 and 10.7) mmol·kg⁻¹ (Tables 1 and 2) for the arsenic(III) sorption reaction with NHICO in the absence of background ions, respectively, at $T (\pm 1.6) = (288)$ and 303) K, which were higher than those obtained for the reaction in the presence of background ions (except for HCO₃⁻). Thus, the background ions (except for HCO_3^{-}) had an adverse effect on the removal of arsenic(III) by NHICO. The obtained values of θ_0 (•10²), (11.1 and 11.7) mmol·kg⁻¹, respectively, at $T (\pm 1.6) = (288 \text{ and } 303) \text{ K}$ (Tables 1 and 2) in the presence of HCO₃⁻ in the background on the arsenic(III) sorption reaction with NHICO, were higher than those obtained in the absence of background ions. It indicated that the HCO₃⁻ had a positive effect on the equilibrium of the arsenic(III) removal reaction. This is probably for the faster sorption of bicarbonate by NHICO $(\log K = 22.33 \pm 0.01 \text{ for bicarbonate sorption on goethite})^{37}$ than $As(OH)_3$ (log K = 4.91, log K = 7.26 for $As(OH)_3$ sorption on goethite),³⁸ which converted the negative solid surface and As(OH)₃ sorbed strongly thereon via an intermolecular type of hydrogen bond formation.

The separation factor,³⁹ $R_{\rm L}$ (a dimensionless parameter), which could predict the reaction feasibility had been expressed as,

$$R_{\rm L} = (1 + K_{\rm a}C_{\rm I})^{-1} \tag{5}$$

The significance of K_a and C_I has been given elsewhere in this manuscript. When the value of R_L is equal to (i) 0.0 to 1.0, the reaction is favorable, (ii) 0.0, the reaction is irreversible, and (iii) > 1.0, the reaction is unfavorable. Inserting the values of K_a (Tables 1 and 2) and C_I of arsenic(III) [= (0.13 to 3.33) mmol·dm⁻³] into the depicted relation (eq 5), the R_L values obtained were in the range of 0.0 to 1.0. This suggested that the arsenic(III) sorption reaction with NHICO, even in presence of background ions, was a favorable process at the conditions used for the experiments.

Mean Sorption Energy. Mean sorption energy (E_a , kJ·mol⁻¹), which helps to predict the nature of a reaction, is defined as the free energy of transfer of one mole of solute from infinity (in solution) to the surface of the sorbent. The value of E_a is related with the Dubinin–Radushkevich⁴⁰ (DR) constant, B_D (mol²·kJ⁻²) as $E_a = (2B_D)^{-0.5}$, which could be computed from the analysis of equilibrium data of a sorption reaction with the DR isotherm equation,⁴⁰

$$\ln q_{\rm e} = \ln q_{\rm m} - B_{\rm D} \varepsilon^2 \tag{6}$$

where $q_{\rm m}$ is the saturation sorption capacity (mmol·kg⁻¹). The Polanyi potential (ε) expressed as $\varepsilon = RT \ln\{1 + (C_{\rm c})^{-1}\}$, where *R* is the molar gas constant and *T*, the absolute temperature.

The value of B_D was calculated from the slope of each linear plot of ln q_e against ε^2 (Figure S7 of SI) and evaluated the value for E_a . The values obtained are shown in Table 4. Values of E_a for the reaction of arsenic(III) sorption with NHICO in the

Table 1. Isotherm Parameters Estimated by the Nonlinear Method of Analysis of Equilibrium Arsenic(III) Sorption Data on NHICO at pH = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) and T = 288 (\pm 1.6) K

| | | Langmuir isotherm parameters | | | | Freundlich isotherm parameters | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|--------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------|-------|
| | | | $\theta_0 (\cdot 10^2)$ | Ka | | | $K_{\rm F}$ (•10 ²) | | | RP isoth | nerm param | eters | |
| As(III) + ion added | R^2 | χ^2 (•10 ²) | mmol•kg ⁻¹ | dm ³ •mmol ⁻¹ | R^2 | χ^2 (•10 ²) | $\frac{(\text{mmol} \cdot \text{kg}^{1-}) \cdot}{(\text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{mg}^{-1})^{1/n}}$ | n | R^2 | $\chi^2 (\cdot 10^2)$ | $A(\cdot 10^2)$ | В | g |
| PO_{4}^{3-} | 0.998 | 0.936 | 6.427 | 1.299 | 0.983 | 6.109 | 3.269 | 2.018 | 0.998 | 0.751 | 9.821 | 1.758 | 0.883 |
| SiO ₃ ²⁻ | 0.992 | 3.685 | 6.58 | 1.944 | 0.975 | 11.423 | 3.899 | 2.291 | 0.993 | 3.968 | 15.191 | 2.569 | 0.901 |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.995 | 3.282 | 7.766 | 1.886 | 0.975 | 15.772 | 4.579 | 2.183 | 0.995 | 3.432 | 16.826 | 2.37 | 0.912 |
| Cl ⁻ | 0.993 | 4.94 | 8.697 | 1.286 | 0.971 | 19.028 | 4.447 | 1.928 | 0.993 | 5.905 | 10.884 | 1.217 | 1.026 |
| SO_4^{2-} | 0.996 | 2.107 | 8.883 | 0.869 | 0.984 | 8.871 | 3.784 | 1.727 | 0.996 | 2.45 | 8.21 | 1.002 | 0.933 |
| Mg^{2+} | 0.997 | 2.715 | 9.614 | 1.495 | 0.983 | 14.303 | 5.276 | 1.982 | 0.997 | 2.798 | 16.318 | 1.872 | 0.904 |
| no ion | 0.998 | 1.419 | 10.404 | 1.159 | 0.979 | 18.566 | 5.115 | 1.793 | 0.998 | 1.65 | 11.679 | 1.082 | 1.037 |
| HCO_3^- | 0.99 | 11.775 | 11.128 | 1.973 | 0.972 | 34.479 | 6.87 | 2.075 | 0.991 | 13.868 | 24.021 | 2.279 | 0.938 |

Table 2. Isotherm Parameters Estimated by the Nonlinear Method of Analysis of Equilibrium Arsenic(III) Sorption Data on NHICO at pH = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) and T = 303 (\pm 1.6) K

| | Langmuir isotherm parameters | | | | | Freundlich isotherm parameters | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|-------|-------------------------|----------|--------|---------|-----------|--------|--|
| | | | | | θ_0 (•10 ²) | Ka | | | $K_{\rm F}~(\cdot10^2)$ | | | RP isot | herm para | meters | |
| As(III) + ion added | R^2 | χ^2 (•10 ²) | mmol•kg ¹⁻ | dm ³ •mmol ⁻¹ | R^2 | χ^2 (•10 ²) | $\frac{(\text{mmol} \cdot \text{kg}^{1-}) \cdot}{(\text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{mg}^{-1})^{1/n}}$ | п | R^2 | χ^2 | Α | В | g | | |
| PO_{4}^{3-} | 0.998 | 0.784 | 6.608 | 6.608 | 0.980 | 8.191 | 3.631 | 2.061 | 0.998 | 0.893 | 10.850 | 1.716 | 0.958 | | |
| Ca ²⁺ | 0.991 | 6.699 | 8.166 | 2.231 | 0.979 | 15.054 | 5.122 | 2.289 | 0.993 | 5.907 | 25.625 | 3.693 | 0.835 | | |
| SiO32- | 0.990 | 5.287 | 8.456 | 1.639 | 0.987 | 7.398 | 5.072 | 1.865 | 0.992 | 5.624 | 18.847 | 2.639 | 0.783 | | |
| Cl ⁻ | 0.998 | 1.760 | 8.835 | 1.738 | 0.988 | 9.236 | 5.154 | 2.003 | 0.999 | 0.572 | 19.749 | 2.611 | 0.833 | | |
| SO_{4}^{2-} | 0.997 | 2.041 | 9.434 | 0.965 | 0.981 | 12.602 | 4.242 | 1.759 | 0.997 | 2.428 | 8.849 | 0.904 | 1.033 | | |
| Mg^{2+} | 0.996 | 3.951 | 10.676 | 1.510 | 0.991 | 8.930 | 5.985 | 1.873 | 0.997 | 3.463 | 20.711 | 2.298 | 0.812 | | |
| no ion | 0.996 | 4.959 | 10.686 | 1.815 | 0.962 | 42.036 | 6.335 | 2.018 | 0.997 | 3.963 | 16.742 | 1.375 | 1.162 | | |
| HCO_3^- | 0.989 | 15.223 | 11.669 | 2.276 | 0.977 | 31.651 | 7.637 | 2.150 | 0.991 | 15.984 | 36.047 | 3.533 | 0.839 | | |

presence of background ions were laid between (8.0 and 10.1) $kJ \cdot mol^{-1}$ (Table S1 of SI), which indicated that the arsenic(III) sorption by NHICO took place with the ion-exchange/chemisorption⁴¹ phenomenon.

Thermodynamic Parameters. Thermodynamic parameters for the arsenic(III) removal reaction with NHICO were estimated by using standard equations⁴² on the basis of the assumption that the activity coefficient of solutes added for working in solution was unity.

The thermodynamic constant (K_c) was evaluated by using eq 7, taking the experimental data of equilibrium q_e and C_e determined at $T (\pm 1.6) = (283, 293, 303, 313, and 323)$ K.

$$K_{\rm c} = q_{\rm e}/C_{\rm e} \tag{7}$$

where q_e/C_e is called the sorption affinity $(dm^3 \cdot g^{-1})$. The q_e and C_e have their usual significance and are given elsewhere. The values of the changes of entropy and enthalpy at standard conditions $(\Delta S^o \text{ and } \Delta H^o)$ were calculated from the slope and intercept of the plot of $\log(q_e/C_e)$ versus (1/T) (Figure 3) of the linear relation shown (eq 8).

$$\log_{10}(q_{\rm e}/C_{\rm e}) = \Delta S^0 / 2.303R - (\Delta H^0 / 2.303R) 1/T \quad (8)$$

Taking the values of ΔS° and ΔH° , the values of ΔG° were calculated. The values of ΔG° estimated for the thermodynamic parameters are shown in Table 3. It was found that the reactions in the presence and absence of ions at the background were endothermic (ΔH° = positive), which took place with increasing entropy (ΔS° = positive) at the solid-liquid interface, and spontaneous (ΔG° = negative). The increase of entropy was due to the increase of randomness at the solid-liquid interface due to the release of aqua molecules when aquatic arsenic(III) species was distributed on the solid surface. The values of ΔG° were found to be increasingly negative with increasing temperature on the reactions in the absence and presence of background ions, indicating the increase in spontaneity of the reactions.



Figure 3. Plots of $\ln(q_e/C_e)$ versus $(T^{-1} \cdot 10^{-3})/K^{-1}$ for the sorption reaction of arsenic(III) with NHICO from arsenic(III) solutions at C_1 (mmol·dm⁻³) = (a) 0.067 and (b) 0.133 in the presence of some background ions. \blacksquare , no ion; \blacklozenge , SO₄²⁻; \blacktriangle , Cl⁻; \blacktriangledown , PO₄³⁻; \diamondsuit , HCO₃⁻; left-pointing triangle, Mg²⁺; right-pointing triangle, Ca²⁺; and \blacklozenge , SiO₃²⁻.

| $A_{s}(III) +$ | C_{I} | ΔH° | ΔS° | | - | $-\Delta G^{\circ}/(\mathrm{kJ}\cdot\mathrm{mol}^{-})$ | ⁻¹) | |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|--------|--|-----------------|--------|
| ion added | $\overline{\text{mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}}$ | $\overline{kJ \cdot mol^{-1}}$ | $kJ \cdot mol^{-1} K^{-1}$ | 283 K | 293 K | 303 K | 313 K | 323 K |
| no ion | 0.067 | 30.314 | 0.165 | 16.381 | 18.031 | 19.681 | 21.331 | 22.981 |
| | 0.134 | 27.353 | 0.152 | 15.776 | 17.300 | 18.824 | 20.348 | 21.872 |
| SO_4^{2-} | 0.067 | 15.952 | 0.109 | 14.923 | 16.014 | 17.105 | 18.742 | 19.287 |
| | 0.134 | 19.264 | 0.122 | 15.148 | 16.364 | 17.580 | 18.796 | 20.012 |
| Cl ⁻ | 0.067 | 12.679 | 0.106 | 17.347 | 18.408 | 19.469 | 20.530 | 21.591 |
| | 0.134 | 25.117 | 0.143 | 15.352 | 16.782 | 18.212 | 19.642 | 21.072 |
| PO_{4}^{3-} | 0.067 | 24.775 | 0.140 | 14.788 | 16.885 | 17.584 | 18.982 | 20.380 |
| | 0.134 | 20.058 | 0.123 | 14.666 | 15.893 | 17.120 | 18.347 | 19.574 |
| HCO ₃ ⁻ | 0.067 | 38.271 | 0.198 | 17.735 | 19.714 | 21.693 | 23.672 | 25.651 |
| 5 | 0.134 | 32.721 | 0.177 | 17.31 | 19.081 | 20.849 | 22.617 | 24.385 |
| Mg^{2+} | 0.067 | 17.647 | 0.123 | 17.078 | 18.305 | 19.532 | 20.759 | 21.986 |
| 0 | 0.134 | 27.461 | 0.151 | 15.357 | 16.870 | 18.383 | 19.896 | 21.409 |
| Ca^{2+} | 0.067 | 15.191 | 0.115 | 17.297 | 18.445 | 19.593 | 20.741 | 21.889 |
| | 0.134 | 13.354 | 0.105 | 16,474 | 17.528 | 18.582 | 19.636 | 20.690 |
| SiO ₃ ²⁻ | 0.067 | 20.169 | 0.135 | 18.093 | 19.445 | 20.797 | 22.149 | 23.501 |
| J | 0.1340 | 19.688 | 0.129 | 16.819 | 18,109 | 19.399 | 20.689 | 21,979 |

Table 3. Thermodynamic Parameters Estimated for Arsenic(III) Sorption on NHICO at Different Reaction Temperatures (K) and at pH_i 7.0 (\pm 0.2)

Mass Transfer Kinetics. The transfer of mass from the liquid to the solid phase carries out the uptake of a solute in the liquid phase by the surface of the sorbent, which may take place in a number of steps. McKay and Ho⁴³ developed a model based on the assumptions of the (i) mass transfers from the aqueous phase onto the solid surface, (ii) adsorption of solute onto the surface sites, and (iii) internal diffusion of the solute via either a pore diffusion model or homogeneous solid-phase diffusion model.

Taking into account these probable steps, the modified McKay and Ho^{43} model eq 9 was used for the present investigation.

$$\ln[C_t/C_1 - 1/(1 + mK_1)] = \ln[mK_1/(1 + mK_1)] - (1 + mK_1)\beta_1S_st \quad (9)$$

where $C_{\rm I}$ and C_t are concentrations (mmol·dm⁻³) of arsenic at time t = 0 and t = equilibrium, respectively; m is the mass (g) of the adsorbent per unit volume, K_1 is a constant which is the product of the Langmuir monolayer capacity (θ_0) and Langmuir equilibrium constant (K_a) . β_1 is the mass transfer coefficient ($m \cdot s^{-1}$), and S_s is the outer specific surface (m⁻¹) of the adsorbate per unit volume. Taking the time-dependent residual arsenic(III) concentration $(C_t,$ mmol·dm⁻³) obtained from the sorption kinetics experiment (Figure S8a,b of SI), the values of $\ln[C_t/C_1 - 1/(1 + mK_1)]$ were calculated and plotted against time, t (min). Figure 4a,b shows the linear plots with slopes $[\{(1 + mK_1)/mK_1\}\beta_1S_S]$. The values of the correlation coefficient (R^2) ranged in 0.95 to 0.99 indicated good linearity of the plots. The values of mass transfer coefficients (β_1) calculated from the slopes are given in Table S2 of SI. It was found that the values of β_1 were in general of the order 10^{-13} . Only the prefix coefficients differed, indicating a slight effect on the mass transportation process. The values of β_1 (Table S2 of SI) for the case of C_1 = $0.067 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ were found to be higher in the presence of Mg^{2+} , Cl^- , $SiO_3{}^{2-}$, and $HCO_3{}^-$ than in the presence of no or other background ions, while that was not clearly found when the $C_{\rm I}$ of arsenic(III) was 0.172 mmol·dm⁻³; that is, the mass transportation of arsenic(III) from solution onto the solid surface was higher in the presence of Mg²⁺, Cl⁻, SiO₃²⁻, and HCO_3^- , especially when C_I was less. Again, the value of β_1 was higher at $C_I = 0.067 \text{ mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$ than $C_I = 0.172$ mmol·dm⁻³ of arsenic(III) solution when no background ion was added, indicating higher mass transportation from the aqueous solution on the solid surface at low concentrations. This is presumably due to the increased coulombic inhibition between the solute species in solution and on solid surface being developed by rapid uptake at initial stages from the solution of high $C_{\rm I}$ value.

Filtration of High Arsenic Groundwater Using a Fixed-Bed NHICO Column. Figure 5 demonstrates the result that is obtained by filtering the collected groundwater contami-



Figure 4. Mass transfer kinetic plots of arsenic(III) sorption on NHICO from the solutions of C_1 (mmol·dm⁻³) = (a) 0.067 and (b) 0.172 at $T = 303 (\pm 1.6)$ K and pH_i = 7.0 (± 0.2) in the presence of some background ions. **I**, no ion; **•**, SO₄²⁻; **•**, Cl⁻; **•**, PO₄³⁻; **•**, HCO₃⁻; left-pointing triangle, Mg²⁺; right-pointing triangle, Ca²⁺; and **•**, SiO₃²⁻.



Figure 5. Breakthrough curve for the filtration of a groundwater sample by a NHICO packed fixed-bed column.

nated with high arsenic (1.347 μ mol·dm⁻³). To estimate the rate of attainment of equilibrium of arsenic concentration between mobile and stationary phases, the breakthrough curve (Figure 5) that is obtained by the treatment of field sample was analyzed.⁴⁴ The curve was idealized by the assumption that the removal of the solute is complete over the initial stages of operation. The break point was chosen at a point of arsenic concentration (C_b) crossed over 0.01 mg·dm⁻³ (0.133 μ mol·dm⁻³) in the effluent. At an arbitrarily selected effluent concentration, C_x , closely approaching C_1 , the sorbent was considered to be essentially exhausted.

The primary adsorption zone (PAZ) in the fixed-bed adsorber represented by the breakthrough curve in Figure 5 is defined as that part of the bed over which there is a concentration reduction from C_x to C_b . It is assumed that this zone is of constant length or depth, δ . The total time, t_x , involved for the primary zone to establish itself and move down the length of the adsorber and out of the bed may be calculated by eq 10.

$$t_x = V_x / F_{\rm m} \tag{10}$$

 $F_{\rm m}$ is the mass rate of flow to the adsorber, expressed as mass per unit time per unit cross-sectional area of the bed. The time, t_{δ} , required for movement of the zone down its own length in the column after it has been established is simply (eq 11)

$$t_{\delta} = (V_x - V_b)/F_m \tag{11}$$

Thus, for a depth D of the adsorber, one may equate the depth and time ratios (eq 12):

$$\delta/D = t_{\delta}/(t_x - t_f) \tag{12}$$

where $t_{\rm f}$ is the time required for initial formation of the primary adsorption zone.

The portion marked by ABC in Figure 5 represents the amount of solute or impurity adsorbed by NHICO in the PAZ from the break point to exhaustion. This quantity, M_s , may be calculated by integrating the quantity ($C_i - C$) over V_e between the limits of V_x and V_b . This does not represent the total capacity of NHICO within the PAZ at the break point. However, the total capacity would be given by the product, ($V_x - V_b$) $\cdot C_i$. Thus, one can define a fractional capacity, f, of the adsorber in the adsorption zone at break point to continue to remove solute from solution by eq 13.

$$f = M_{\rm S}/(V_X - V_{\rm b}) \cdot C_i = \int (C_i - C) \cdot dV_{\rm e}/(V_X - V_{\rm b}) \cdot C_i$$
(13)

where C_i and C are input and effluent concentrations of solute in terms of the mass passing a unit cross-sectional area of the adsorber. The fractional capacity, f, approaches zero as the time required for initial PAZ formation, t_f , approaches the time, t_{δ} , required for the zone to move a distance in the bed equal to its own depth, δ . For systems in which the formation time, t_f , is very small (approaching zero), the value of f approaches unity.

$$f = 1 - t_{\rm f}/t_{\delta} \tag{14}$$

Thus, by combining eqs 13 and 14, the following eq 15 results,

$$\delta/D = t_{\delta}/[t_x + t_{\delta}(f-1)] \tag{15}$$

The percent saturation at this point is given by the eq 16

% saturation =
$$[D - \delta(f)]/D \cdot 100$$
 (16)

The experimental and calculated parameters for the fixedbed sorption of arsenic by the NHICO bed were summarized respectively in Table 4a,b. It was found that the fixed-bed column of NHICO filtered 4.7 dm³ (997.9 BV) of water with arsenic concentration below 0.01 mg · dm⁻³ (0.133 μ mol · dm⁻³). The column saturation at exhaustion point is estimated to be 81.5 %.

The used bed was regenerated up to level of 90 (\pm 1.5) % of its initial activity with 0.350 dm³ of optimized 0.25 M NaOH solution.

Conclusion

Synthetic hydrous iron(III) + chromium(III) mixed oxide (NHICO), agglomerated nanoparticles (40 to 50 nm), heat-treated at 623 K showed the highest sorption affinity for arsenic(III) from aqueous solutions at pH = 7.0 (\pm 0.2) and T = 303 (\pm 1.6) K. Use of this material for estimating

| Table | 4 |
|-------|---|
|-------|---|

| | a. C | Observed Paramet | ers for the Fixed | -Ced Arsenic(I | II) Removal b | y NHICO Column | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---------------------------------------|
| $\frac{\text{flow rate } (\cdot 10^{-2})}{\text{dm}^3 \cdot \text{h}^{-1}}$ | $\frac{C_{\rm o} \left(\cdot 10^{-5}\right)}{\rm mmol} \cdot \rm dm^{-3}$ | $\frac{C_x \left(\cdot 10^{-5} \right)}{\text{mmol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}}$ | $\frac{C_{\rm b} (\cdot 10^{-5})}{\rm mmol} \cdot \rm dm^{-3}$ | $\frac{V_x (\cdot 10^4)}{\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-2}}$ | $\frac{V_{\rm b} (\cdot 10^4)}{\rm kg} \cdot \rm m^{-2}$ | $\frac{(V_x - V_b) (\cdot 10^4)}{\mathrm{kg} \cdot \mathrm{m}^{-2}}$ | $\frac{F_{\rm m} (\bullet 10^3)}{\rm kg \cdot h^{-1} \cdot m^{-2}}$ | $\frac{D(\cdot 10^{-2})}{\mathrm{m}}$ |
| 6.0 | 134.667 | 127.701 | 8.108 | 11.904 | 9.350 | 2.553 | 1.558 | 6.0 |
| | b. C | alculated Parame | ters for the Fixed | d-Bed Arsenic(| III) Removal b | by NHICO Column | | |
| flow rate ($\cdot 10^{-2}$) |) | t_x | t_{δ} | | $t_{\rm f}$ | δ (•10 ⁻²) | | |
| $dm^3 \cdot h^{-1}$ | h | | h | f | | m | % saturation | |
| 6.0 | 76 | .381 | 16.383 | 0.831 | 2.768 | 1.335 | | 81.5 |

equilibrium and thermodynamics arsenic(III) sorption from aqueous solutions in the presence of different background ions that occur in groundwater showed that the reactions took place obeying Langmuir and RP isotherm models. The estimated Langmuir monolayer capacity (θ_0 ; 10.636 · 10² mmol· kg^{1-}) was higher than that obtained in the presence of investigated background ions except for HCO₃⁻, which showed notable positive effects on the equilibrium. Whatever the background ion is, the changes of enthalpy (ΔH^0) and entropy (ΔS^0) were positive, indicating an endothermic arsenic(III) sorption reaction driven by entropy increase at the solid-liquid interface. The negative free energy (ΔG^0) changes indicated that the reactions were spontaneous and increased with increasing temperature on the reaction. The NHICO packed column (height: 6.0 cm, internal diameter: 1.0 cm, BV: 4.71 cm³), when used for filtration of high arsenic groundwater (As concentration: 1.347 μ mol·dm⁻³), yielded 4.7 dm³ (\sim 1000 BV) of water with arsenic concentration of $\leq 0.133 \,\mu \text{mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3}$. The bed after use was regenerated up to a level of 90 (\pm 1.5) % with 0.350 dm³ of optimized 0.25 M NaOH solution.

Acknowledgment

We are thankful to the Head, Department of Chemistry and the Principal, Presidency College, Kolkata, India for providing laboratory facilities.

Supporting Information Available:

Some details of the characterization of NHICO, heat treatment, and pH effects with some figures and tables. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

Literature Cited

- Meng, X. G.; Jing, C.; Korfiatis, G. P. A Review Redox Transformation of Arsenic in Environments. ACS Symp. Ser. 2003, 835, 70–83.
- (2) Smedley, P. L.; Kinniburgh, G. A Review of the Source, Behavior and Distribution of Arsenic in Natural Waters. *Appl. Geochem.* 2002, *17*, 517–568.
- (3) McArthur, J.; Ravenscroft, P.; Safiullah, S.; Thirwall, M. F. Arsenic in Groundwater: Testing Pollution Mechanisms for Sedimentary Aquifers in Bangladesh. *Water Res.* 2001, *37*, 109–117.
- (4) Harvey, C. F.; Swartz, C. H.; Baduzzaman, M.; Keon-Blute, A. B.; Yu, W.; Ali, M. A.; Ray, J.; Beckie, R.; Niedon, V.; Brabander, D.; Oates, P. M.; Asfaque, K. N.; Islam, S.; Hemond, H. F.; Ahmed, M. F. Arsenic Mobility and Groundwater Extraction in Bangladesh. *Science* 2002, 298, 1602–1606.
- (5) Mondal, P.; Majumder, C. B.; Mohanty, B. Laboratory Based Approaches for Arsenic Remediation from Contaminated Water: Recent Developments. J. Hazard. Mater. 2006, B137, 464–479.
- (6) Mohan, D.; Pittman, C. U., Jr. Arsenic Removal from Water/ Wastewater using Adsorbents- a Critical Review. J. Hazard. Mater. 2007, 147, 1–53.
- (7) Reed, B. E.; Vaughan, R.; Jiang, L. As(III), As(V), Hg and Pb Removal by Fe-oxide Impregnated Activated Carbon. J. Environ. Eng. 2000, 126, 869–873.
- (8) Kundu, S.; Gupta, A. K. Investigation on the Adsorption Efficiency of Iron Oxide Coated Cement (IOCC) towards As(V) - Kinetics, Equilibrium and Thermodynamics Studies. *Colloid Surf.*, A 2006, 273, 121–128.
- (9) Kundu, S.; Gupta, A. K. Adsorption Characteristic of As(III) from Aqueous Solution on Iron Oxide Coated Cement (IOCC). J. Hazard. Mater. 2007, 142, 97–104.
- (10) Wilkie, J. A.; Hering, J. G. Adsorption of Arsenic onto Hydrous Ferric Oxide: Effects of Adsorbate/Adsorbent Ratios and Co-occurring Solutes. *Colloids Surf.*, A **1996**, 107, 97–110.
- (11) Driehaus, W.; Jekel, M.; Hilderbrandt, U. Grannular Ferric Hydroxidea New Adsorbent for the Removal of Arsenic from Natural Water. J. Water Supply: Res. Technol.—AQUA 1998, 47, 30–35.
- (12) Raven, K. P.; Jain, A.; Loeppert, R. H. Arsenite and Arsenate Adsorption on Ferrihydrite: Kinetics, Equilibrium, and Adsorption Envelopes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **1998**, *32*, 344–349.

- (13) Altundogan, H. S.; Altundogan, S.; Tumen, F.; Bildik, M. Arsenic Adsorption from Aqueous Solution by Activated Red Mud. *Waste Manage.* 2002, *22*, 357–363.
- (14) Singh, T. S.; Pant, K. K. Equilibrium, Kinetics and Thermodynamics Studies for Adsorption of As(III) on Activated Alumina. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* 2004, *36*, 139–147.
- (15) Lin, T. F.; Wu, J. K. Adsorption of Arsenite and Arsenate within Activated Alumina Grains: Equilibrium and Kinetics. *Water Res.* 2001, 35, 2049–2057.
- (16) Katsoyiannis, I. A.; Zouboulis, A. I. Removal of Arsenic from Contaminated Water Sources by Sorptoin onto Iron Oxide-Coated Polymeric Materials. *Water Res.* 2002, *36*, 5141–5155.
- (17) Thirunavukkarasu, O. S.; Viraraghavan, T.; Subramanian, K. S. Arsenic Removal from Drinking Water using Iron Oxide Coated Sand. *Water, Air, Soil Pollut.* 2003, *142*, 95–111.
- (18) Zeng, L. Arsenic Adsorption from Aqueous Solution on an Fe(III)-Si Binary Oxide Adsorbent. Water Qual. Res. J. Can. 2004, 39, 269– 277.
- (19) Kuriakose, S.; Singh, T. S.; Pant, K. K. Adsorption of As(III) from Aqueous Solution onto Iron Oxide Impregnated Activated Alumina. *Water Qual. Res. J. Can.* **2004**, *39*, 258–268.
- (20) Hlavay, J.; Polyak, K. Determination of Surface Properties of Iron Hydroxide-Coated Alumina Adsorbent for Removal of Arsenic from Drinking Water. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2005, 284, 71–77.
- (21) Lenoble, V.; Bouras, O.; Deluchat, V.; Serpaud, B.; Bollinger, J. C. Arsenic Adsorption onto Pillared Clays and Iron Oxides. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2002, 255, 52–58.
- (22) Manna, B. R.; Dey, S.; Debnath, S.; Ghosh, U. C. Removal of Arsenic from Ground Water using Crystalline Hydrous Ferric Oxide (CHFO). *Water Qual. Res. J. Canada* 2003, *38*, 193–210.
- (23) Manna, B. R.; Dasgupta, M.; Ghosh, U. C. Studies on Crystalline Hydrous Titanium Oxide (CHTO)- as Scavenger of Arsenic (III) from Natural Water. J. Water Supply: Res. Technol.—AQUA 2004, 53, 483– 495.
- (24) Manna, B. R.; Debnath, S.; Hossain, J.; Ghosh, U. C. Trace Arseniccontaminated Groundwater Upgradation using Hydrated Zirconium Oxide (HZO). J. Ind. Pollut. Control 2004, 20, 247–266.
- (25) Manna, B. R.; Ghosh, U. C. Adsorption of Arsenic from Aqueous Solution by Synthetic Hydrous Stannic Oxide. J. Hazard. Mater. 2007, 144, 522–531.
- (26) Ghosh, U. C.; Bandhyopadhyay, D.; Manna, B. R.; Mandal, M. Hydrous Iron(III)-Tin(IV) Binary Mixed Oxide: Arsenic Adsorption Behaviour. *Water Qual. Res. J. Can.* 2006, *41*, 198–209.
- (27) Manna, B. R.; Ghosh, U. C. Pilot-Scale Performance of Arsenic and Iron Removal from Contaminated Groundwater. *Water Qual. Res. J. Can.* 2005, 40, 82–90.
- (28) Zhang, W. X. Nano-scale Iron Particles for Environmental Remediation: an Overview. J. Nanopart. Res. 2003, 5, 323–332.
- (29) Kanel, S. R.; Manning, B.; Charlet, L.; Choi, H. Removal of Arsenic(III) from Groundwater by Nanoscale Zero-valent Iron. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, *39*, 1290–1298.
- (30) Yuan, C.; Lien, H.-L. Removal of Arsenate from Aqueous Solution using Nanoscale Iron Particles. *Water Qual. Res. J. Can.* 2006, 41, 210–215.
- (31) Pena, M. E.; Korfiatis, G. P.; Patel, M.; Lippincott, L.; Meng, X. Adsorption of As(V) and As(III) by Nanocrystalline Titanium dioxide. *Water Res.* 2005, *39*, 2327–2337.
- (32) Jing, C.; Meng, X.; Liu, S.; Baidas, S.; Patraju, R.; Christodoulatos, C.; Korfiatif, G. P. Surface Complexation of Organic Arsenic on Nanocrystalline Titanium dioxide. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 2005, 290, 14–21.
- (33) Hossain, M. A.; Sengupta, M. K.; Ahamed, S.; Rahaman, M. H.; Mondal, D.; Lodh, D.; Das, B.; Nayak, B.; Roy, B. K.; Mukherjee, A.; Chakraborty, D. Ineffectiveness and poor reliability of arsenic removal plants in West Bengal, India. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2005, 39, 4300–4306.
- (34) Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 20th ed.; Clesceri, L. S., Greenberg, A. E., Eaton, A. D., Eds.; APHA, AWWA, WEF: Washington, DC, 1998; pp 4–82.
- (35) Faust, S. D.; Aly, O. M. Adsorption Processes for Water Treatment; Butterworths: London, 1987.
- (36) Zhang, F.-S.; Itoh, H. Iron Oxide-loaded Slag for Arsenic Removal from Aqueous System. *Chemosphere* 2005, 60, 319–325.
- (37) Villalobos, M.; Leckie, I. O. Carbonate Adsorption on goethite under closed and open CO₂ systems. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2000, 64, 3787–3802.
- (38) Stachowicz, M.; Hiemstra, T.; Van Riemsdijk, W. H. Surface Speciation of As(III) and As(V) Adsorption in Relation to Charge Distribution. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2006, 302, 62–75.
- (39) Hall, K. R.; Eagleton, A. A.; Vermeulen, T. Pore- and solid diffusion kinetics in fixed-bed adsorption under constant-pattern conditions. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam.* **1966**, *5*, 212–223.

- (40) Dubinin, M. M.; Radushkevich, L. V. The equation of the characteristic curve of activated charcoal. *Proc. Acad. Sci. USSR Phys. Chem. Sect.* 1947, 55, 331.
- 1947, 55, 331.
 (41) Reiman, W.; Walton, H. *Ion-Exchange in Analytical Chemistry*, International Series of Monographs in Analytical Chemistry, Vol. 38; Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1970.
- (42) Atkins, P.; de Paula, J. Atkin's Physical Chemistry, 8th ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2006.
- (43) Ho, Y. S.; McKay, G. A. Comparison of Chemisorption Kinetics Models Applied to Pollutant Removal on Various Sorbents. *Trans Inst. Chem. Eng.* **1998**, *76* (Part B), 332–340.
- (44) Waber, J., Jr. Physicochemical Processes for Water Quality Control; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: New York, 1972.

Received for review November 28, 2009. Accepted March 5, 2010. Authors are grateful to University Grants Commission, New Delhi (India) for financial support of the project (No. F. 32-272/2006 (SR)).

JE901010X