Journal of Chemical & Engineering Data

Limiting Partition Coefficients of Sulfur-Containing Aromatics in a Biphasic [bmim][MeSO₄]-Supercritical CO₂ System

Josef Planeta, Lenka Št'avíková, Pavel Karásek, and Michal Roth*

Institute of Analytical Chemistry of the ASCR, v. v. i., Veveří 97, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Infinite dilution (limiting) partition coefficients of several sulfur-containing aromatics (benzo[*b*]thiophene, benzothiazole, dibenzothiophene, 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene, phenoxathiin, and thianthrene) in a biphasic (1-*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium methyl sulfate ([bmim][MeSO₄]) + carbon dioxide) system were measured at temperatures of (313 to 353) K and pressures of (8.5 to 23.2) MPa. The measurement method was capillary column supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) with [bmim][MeSO₄] serving as the stationary liquid and supercritical CO₂ as the carrier fluid. Partition coefficients of sulfur-containing aromatics are discussed as functions of temperature and CO₂ density. In addition, other solute partition coefficient data obtained from previous SFC measurements in the systems with ionic liquids [bmim][PF₆], [bmim][BF₄], [bmim][MeSO₄], [hmim][Tf₂N], and [thtdp][Cl] are reported in the Supporting Information.

INTRODUCTION

An important part of the growing applications of ionic liquids $(ILs)^1$ involves biphasic systems composed of an IL and a supercritical fluid, mostly carbon dioxide $(scCO_2)$.^{2–4} Biphasic IL + $scCO_2$ solvent systems can be tuned and tailored by varying the IL cation or anion as well as by varying the operating pressure or temperature to control the density and solvent power of $scCO_2$. The ensuing flexibility together with the effective insolubility of most ILs in $scCO_2^{5,6}$ makes the IL + $scCO_2$ solvent systems suitable for diverse applications in synthesis^{7,8} and extractions.^{9–12} The use of IL + $scCO_2$ systems in phase transfer catalysis and extractions always involves partitioning of the target organic(s) between both phases. Therefore, quantitative data on the pertinent phase equilibria are needed in the design of the respective processes as well as in the development of predictive thermodynamic models.

In the past few years, it has been shown that the classical techniques of phase equilibrium measurements in ternary IL + $scCO_2$ + organic systems¹³⁻¹⁷ can be complemented with supercritical fluid chromatography (SFC) employing the IL as the stationary liquid and $scCO_2$ as the carrier fluid. Both open tubular capillary columns¹⁸⁻²² and packed columns^{23,24} were used to measure the retention times of organic solutes and convert them into the limiting (infinite dilution) partition coefficients of the solutes between both phases. The interplay among the solute volatility, the solute–IL interactions, and the solvent power of $scCO_2$ defines the region of operating temperature and pressure where reasonable retention times of the particular solute can be obtained.

The present contribution is concerned with the limiting partition coefficients of several sulfur-containing aromatic heterocycles in 1-n-butyl-3-methylimidazolium methyl sulfate ([bmim][MeSO₄])-scCO₂ system. The solutes employed here are the same as those used in an earlier study with 1-n-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide

 $([hmim][Tf_2N])$.²⁰ The purpose of the present study was to compare the magnitude of the partition coefficients of the sulfur compounds in the $[bmim][MeSO_4]$ -scCO₂ system with those obtained before in the $[hmim][Tf_2N]$ -scCO₂ system. From the comparison, a more general assessment can be obtained regarding the feasibility of re-extraction of sulfur heterocycles with scCO₂ after the IL had been used for extractive desulfurization of transportation fuels.²⁵⁻²⁷

In most of our previous SFC studies of solute partitioning in $IL-scCO_2$ systems, ^{18,19,21,22} the partition coefficient of a solute was expressed relative to that of naphthalene at the particular temperature and pressure. The principal advantage of the relative partition coefficients is a significant reduction of the experimental error caused by the uncertainty in the amount of IL in the capillary column. However, although the relative partitioning data are very useful for selectivity considerations, they are less so as regards the development of thermodynamic models; the parametrization of thermodynamic models^{23,24,28} for the ternary solute-IL-scCO₂ systems certainly requires absolute values of the partition coefficients. Therefore, to provide a consistent set of additional data for prospective use in thermodynamic model development, the Supporting Information of the present article contains a compilation of the absolute partition coefficient values obtained from previous SFC retention measurements with several ILs.¹⁸⁻²

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Materials. Benzo[b]thiophene (thianaphthene) (mole fraction purity x = 0.99, CAS No. 95-15-8), benzothiazole (x = 0.96, CAS No. 95-16-9), dibenzothiophene (DBT) (x > 0.99, CAS No. 132-65-0), 4,6-dimethyldibenzothiophene (4,6-DMDBT)

Received:	October 20, 2010
Accepted:	January 19, 2011
Published:	February 09, 2011

(x = 0.97, CAS No. 1207-12-1), thianthrene (x = 0.97, CAS No.92-85-3), phenoxathiin (x = 0.97, CAS No. 262-20-4), and naphthalene (x > 0.99, CAS No. 91-20-3) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (Prague, Czech Republic) and used as received. The ionic liquid [bmim][MeSO₄] was originally supplied by Solvent Innovation (Cologne, Germany) and purified and provided to us by Dr. Dirk Tuma (Department of Applied Thermodynamics, University of Kaiserslautern, Germany). To remove residual moisture from the IL before preparation of the coating solution (see below), a 2 cm³ sample of [bmim][MeSO₄] was purged with a gentle stream of nitrogen in a gas chromatographic oven at a programmed temperature, with the final period of 12 h at 403 K. SFC-grade carbon dioxide (mole fraction of residual water $< 5 \cdot 10^{-6}$) was obtained from SIAD (Branany u Mostu, Czech Republic). Hexane (x > 0.95), methanol (x > 0.999), and methylene chloride (x = 0.999) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Fused-silica capillary tubing for preparation of the column and the outlet restrictor was purchased from Agilent (Waldbronn, Germany). The agents for surface treatment of fused silica, namely, ammonium hydrogen difluoride and 2-chloro-1,1,2-trifluoroethylmethylether, were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich and Apollo Scientific Ltd. (Stockport, Cheshire, UK), respectively.

Column Preparation. Prior to the use for column preparation, the inner surface of fused-silica tubing had to be treated to improve adhesion of [bmim][MeSO₄]. As the first step, onethird of the tubing length was filled with liquid 2-chloro-1,1,2trifluoroethylmethylether; both ends of the tubing were sealed by melting with a methane-oxygen microflame torch, and the tubing was kept at 593 K for 12 h. After cooling to room temperature, cutting the ends, and flushing with methanol, the tubing was filled with saturated solution of ammonium hydrogen difluoride in methanol, sealed with silicone rubber, and etched at 313 K for 12 h. Subsequently, the tubing was filled with a coating solution (75.47 mg of $[bmim][MeSO_4]$ in 10 cm³ of CH₂Cl₂); one end of the column was tightly sealed, and methylene chloride was carefully evaporated by applying vacuum to the open end. The resultant column was 3.30 m long, had a 100 μ m inner diameter (i.d.), and contained $7.81 \cdot 10^{-7}$ mol of [bmim]- $[MeSO_4]$. The equivalent film thickness of $[bmim][MeSO_4]$ in the column at 298 K and at ambient pressure was 0.16 μ m.

Apparatus and Procedure. The apparatus was based on a modified Varian 3700 gas chromatograph and described before,¹⁸ and the procedure was similar to that used in our previous SFC studies with other ILs.^{20,21} Solute retention factors were measured along three isotherms at (313, 333, and 353) K at mean column pressures within (8.5 to 23.2) MPa. The pressure drop along the open tubular column was calculated from the Hagen-Poiseuille equation using the correlation for viscosity of CO_2 developed by Vesovic et al.,²⁹ and it did not exceed 0.016 MPa. The density of CO₂ was obtained from the Span–Wagner equation of state,³⁰ and the calculations were accomplished with the interactive software by Wagner and Overhoff.³¹ To maximize the usable lifetime of the column, retention measurements were performed in the sequence of increasing density of CO₂. The six solutes were divided among several injection solutions so that well-resolved peaks could be obtained from every injection. The injection solutions were prepared in *n*-hexane, and the concentrations of individual solutes ranged within (1.6 to 3.2) mg \cdot cm⁻³, with each injection solution also containing naphthalene as a reference. Throughout the measurement procedure, the current amount of [bmim][MeSO₄] in the column was frequently determined from regular checks of the retention factor of



Figure 1. Retention factors of sulfur-containing aromatics against the CO_2 density at 313.2 K: \bigcirc , benzothiazole; , benzo[b]thiophene; \Box , dibenzothiophene; \triangle , phenoxathiin; \blacktriangle , thianthrene. The lines serve just to guide the eye.

naphthalene at 333 K and 10 MPa as described before.²⁰ All retention factors reported below were corrected to the initial amount of [bmim][MeSO₄] in the column so that consistent results could be obtained. At the end of the whole series of measurements, the column only contained 72.6 % of the initial amount of [bmim][MeSO₄]. The retention factors used to obtain the partition coefficients were averages of triplicate determinations, with the relative standard deviation ranging within 2 % of the mean value. The standard uncertainties³² in the measurements of the column temperature and the column inlet pressure were \pm 0.15 K and \pm 0.05 MPa, respectively. Considering also the uncertainty in the amount of [bmim][MeSO₄] in the column, we conclude that the total relative expanded uncertainty³² in the resultant partition coefficients was \pm 15 % (confidence level of 95 %).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Variations of SFC Retention Factors with Operating Parameters. At a constant temperature, the retention factor of a solute in SFC decreases with increasing pressure *P*. To a first approximation, neglecting the effects of varying solubility of CO_2 in the stationary phase,³³⁻³⁵ the isothermal pressure slope of ln k_1 is given by

$$(\partial \ln k_1 / \partial \ln P)_T \approx (\overline{V}_{1\mathrm{G}}^{\infty} - \overline{V}_{1\mathrm{L}}^{\infty}) / (RT) - \beta_{3T} \qquad (1)$$

where $\overline{V}_{1G}^{\infty}$ and $\overline{V}_{1L}^{\infty}$ are the infinite-dilution partial molar volumes in the gas (mobile) and liquid (stationary) phases, respectively, and β_{3T} is the isothermal compressibility of pure CO₂. At the critical point of CO₂, β_{3T} diverges, and near the critical point, $\overline{V}_{1G}^{\infty}$ scales as β_{3T} .³⁶ Therefore, the dependence of ln k_1 on pressure is strongly curved.³⁴ Dividing eq 1 with β_{3T} , one obtains the isothermal derivative of ln k_1 with respect to the density of CO₂, ρ_{3G} ,

$$(\partial \ln k_1 / \partial \ln \rho_{3G})_T \approx (\overline{V}_{1G}^{\infty} - \overline{V}_{1L}^{\infty}) / (RT\beta_{3T}) - 1 \qquad (2)$$

Because of the form of eq 2, the effects of near-critical anomalies in β_{3T} and $\overline{V}_{1G}^{\infty}$ are eliminated from $(\partial \ln k_1/\partial \ln \rho_{3G})_T$, and the isothermal plots of $\ln k_1$ against $\ln \rho_{3G}$ are often nearly linear.³⁷ A sample plot of solute retention factors against the CO₂ density at 313.2 K is shown in Figure 1, illustrating the typical decrease of the retention factor with increasing density of CO₂.

Table 1.	Infinite-Dilution	Solute Partition (Coefficients in [l	omim][MeSO ₄]	-scCO ₂ System a	s Functions of	f Temperature T
Pressure	P, CO ₂ Density	ρ_{3G} , CO ₂ Solubilit	y in [bmim][Me	$(SO_4] x_{3L}$, and t	he Mass Ratio m ₂₁	$/m_{3G}$	

T	Р	$ ho_{ m 3G}$			K _N						
K	MPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	x _{3L}	$m_{\rm 2L}/m_{ m 3G}$	benzothiazole	benzo[b]thiophene	DBT	4,6-DMDBT	phenoxathiin	thianthrene	naphthalene
313.2	8.5	353.9	0.1229	0.0215	0.00614	0.0150					0.0245
313.2	8.8	429.1	0.1245	0.0177	0.0133	0.0258					0.0423
313.2	9.2	532.0	0.1263	0.0143	0.0323	0.0564	0.0113		0.0194	0.00872	0.0870
313.2	10.5	660.1		0.0115	0.0554	0.0921	0.0244		0.0413	0.0195	0.140
313.2	13.2	747.4		0.0102	0.0768		0.0385		0.0647	0.0335	0.180
333.2	8.7	221.3	0.0927	0.0343	0.00420	0.00990					0.0158
333.2	10.0	290.0		0.0262	0.00824	0.0222					0.0279
333.2	10.9	350.4		0.0217	0.0149	0.0299		0.00833	0.00680		0.0480
333.2	12.1	442.0		0.0172	0.0242	0.0471	0.00846	0.0172	0.0132	0.00595	0.0732
333.2	13.3	523.8		0.0145	0.0412	0.0722	0.0171	0.0361	0.0269	0.0130	0.112
333.2	16.7	657.0		0.0116	0.0763	0.123	0.0379	0.0835	0.0619	0.0323	0.181
353.2	10.0	221.6		0.0343	0.00817	0.0191					0.0296
353.2	11.8	288.6		0.0263	0.0136	0.0296			0.00576		0.0462
353.2	13.3	352.4		0.0216	0.0215	0.0447	0.00719		0.0109	0.00473	0.0697
353.2	15.3	439.9		0.0173	0.0378	0.0738	0.0159		0.0244	0.0116	0.111
353.2	17.6	526.3		0.0144	0.0580	0.105	0.0279		0.0438	0.0216	0.156
353.2	23.2	658.3		0.0115	0.102	0.173	0.0608		0.0955	0.0524	0.228

Neglecting again the effects of varying solubility of CO_2 in the stationary phase, variation of the solute retention factor with temperature at a constant pressure can be expressed by^{34,35,38}

$$(\partial \ln k_1 / \partial T)_p \approx (\overline{H}_{1L}^{\infty} - \overline{H}_{1G}^{\infty}) / (RT^2) + \alpha_{3P} \qquad (3)$$

where $\overline{H}_{1L}^{\infty}$ and $\overline{H}_{1G}^{\infty}$ are the infinite-dilution partial molar enthalpies in the liquid (stationary) and gas (mobile) phases, respectively, and α_{3P} is the isobaric expansivity of pure CO₂. At the temperatures and pressures near the critical point of CO₂, the quantities α_{3P} and $\overline{H}_{1G}^{\infty}$ also display anomalous behavior,³⁶ and consequently, the isobaric dependence of ln k_1 on temperature is strongly curved.³⁴

Partition Coefficients. For practical purposes, the distribution of a trace amount of solute between the liquid phase containing the m_{2L} mass of the IL and the gas phase containing the m_{3G} mass of CO₂ has previously been described by a partition coefficient defined as²⁰

$$K_{\rm N} = \frac{m_{\rm 1G}}{m_{\rm 1L}} \frac{m_{\rm 2L}}{m_{\rm 3G}} \tag{4}$$

where m_{1G} and m_{1L} are the masses of the solute in the gas and the liquid phases, respectively, at equilibrium. Under the conditions of linear chromatography,³⁹ K_N is related to the solute retention factor k_1 by

$$K_{\rm N} = \frac{m_{\rm 2L}}{k_1 m_{\rm 3G}} \tag{5}$$

with the solute retention factor given by

$$k_1 = (t_{\rm R} - t_0)/t_0 \tag{6}$$

where $t_{\rm R}$ is the solute retention time and t_0 is the column holdup time.

The partition coefficient K_N can be useful for initial design of the processes employing IL $-scCO_2$ biphasic systems. It should be noted, however, that K_N is an application-oriented quantity rather than a rigorous thermodynamic parameter. The equilibrium distribution of a trace amount of solute between both phases is better described in terms of mole fractions, that is, by the *K*-factor defined as

$$K = x_{1G}/x_{1L} \tag{7}$$

where x_{1G} and x_{1L} are the equilibrium mole fractions of the solute in the gas and the liquid phases, respectively. Provided that the IL $-scCO_2$ equilibrium is not disturbed by the presence of a trace amount of the solute, an alternative expression of the *K*-factor in terms of the quantities introduced above is

$$K = \frac{M_3 m_{2L}}{M_2 k_1 m_{3G} (1 - x_{3L})}$$
(8)

where M_2 and M_3 are the molar masses of the IL and CO₂, respectively, and x_{3L} is the solubility (mole fraction) of CO₂ in the IL at the particular temperature and pressure. Therefore, the partition coefficient K_N and the *K*-factor are related by

$$K = \frac{M_3}{M_2} \frac{K_{\rm N}}{1 - x_{\rm 3L}}$$
(9)

Tables 1 and 2 list the values of K_N and K, respectively, as obtained in the present work. The solubilities (x_{3L}) of CO₂ in [bmim][MeSO₄] were interpolated from the data reported by Kumelan et al.⁴⁰ Because of limited overlap between the temperature and pressure ranges of the data by Kumelan et al. and the present study, only a few values of the *K*-factors could be obtained (Table 2). At a constant temperature, the partition coefficients K_N increase with the rising pressure in all solutes (Table 1). While the isothermal pressure dependence of K_N of a particular solute would be curved, largely for the same reasons as those mentioned below eq 1 above, the isothermal log—log plots of K_N against the density of CO₂ are nearly linear as illustrated by Figure 2.

Т	Р	$ ho_{3G}$	_		K					
K	MPa	$kg \cdot m^{-3}$	x _{3L}	$m_{\rm 2L}/m_{ m 3G}$	benzothiazole	benzo[b]thiophene	DBT	phenoxathiin	thianthrene	naphthalene
313.2	8.5	353.9	0.1229	0.0215	0.00123	0.00301				0.00491
313.2	8.8	429.1	0.1245	0.0177	0.00267	0.00519				0.00850
313.2	9.2	532.0	0.1263	0.0143	0.00649	0.0114	0.00226	0.00390	0.00176	0.0175
333.2	8.7	221.3	0.0927	0.0343	0.000814	0.00192				0.00306
555.2	0.7	221.3	0.0927	0.03+3	0.000014	0.00192				0.00300



Figure 2. Partition coefficients K_N of sulfur-containing aromatics against the CO₂ density at 333.2 K: \bigcirc , benzothiazole; \bigcirc , benzo-[b]thiophene; \Box , DBT; \blacksquare , 4,6-DMDBT; \triangle , phenoxathiin; \blacktriangle , thian-threne. The lines serve just to guide the eye.

The value of K_N results primarily from the interplay of intermolecular forces as measured by the infinite—dilution solute fugacity coefficients in the gas (CO₂) phase and the liquid (IL + CO₂) phase. The fugacity coefficient of the solute in CO₂ depends on pressure. The fugacity coefficient of the solute in the liquid phase is also pressure-dependent because the solubility of CO₂ in the IL varies with pressure, and the solute fugacity coefficient reflects the ensuing changes in the molecular environment in the liquid phase. Therefore, the slopes of the linear fits in Figure 2, $(\partial \ln K_N / \partial \ln \rho_{3G})_T$, differ from one solute to another, and intersections (crossovers) of two solutes can occur such as that between benzothiazole and 4,6-DMDBT. In fact, a marked difference is apparent in Figure 2 between the slopes of two-ring solutes (benzo[b]thiophene and benzothiazole) and three-ring solutes (the rest).

The operating pressures in Table 1 were selected so as to produce matching densities of CO_2 among the individual isothermal runs. Although the densities match only approximately, the data in Table 1 indicate that, in a particular solute, the K_N values increase with the rising temperature at a constant density of CO_2 . The temperature dependence of K_N at a constant pressure would be more complex, mainly for the same reasons as those mentioned at eq 3 above.

Additional values of $K_{\rm N}$ and *K*-factors as calculated from our previous measurements with other ILs and/or other solutes^{18–22} are compiled in the Supporting Information file.

CONCLUSION

We have measured the limiting partition coefficients of several sulfur-containing aromatics in a biphasic system composed of [bmim][MeSO₄] and scCO₂. At a constant temperature, the values of K_N defined by eq 4 decrease with increasing pressure and with increasing density of CO₂. For a particular solute, the isothermal log–log plot of K_N against the density of CO₂ is nearly linear. With regard to the temperature dependence, the K_N values increase with the rising temperature at a constant density of CO₂. The isothermal dependence of K_N on pressure and the isobaric dependence of K_N on temperature would be strongly curved because of anomalies in the constituent quantities near the critical point of CO₂. The K_N values in the present system are of the same magnitude as those reported before in a similar study with [hmim][Tf₂N].²⁰ Therefore, the present results on K_N support our earlier finding²⁰ that extraction of sulfur-containing aromatics from ILs with scCO₂ would hardly be feasible.

ARTICLE

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Tables showing solute partition coefficients K_N and K-factors as obtained from previous SFC measurements of solute retention data in biphasic IL–scCO₂ systems with 1-*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate,¹⁸ 1-*n*-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate,¹⁹ [bmim][MeSO₄],²² [hmim][Tf₂N],²⁰ and trihexyltetradecylphosphonium chloride.²¹ This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: roth@iach.cz. Phone: +420 532 290 171. Fax: +420 541 212 113.

Funding Sources

We acknowledge the Czech Science Foundation (Project GA203/08/1465) and the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (Institutional Research Plan No. AV0Z40310501) for financial support of this work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Dr. Dirk Tuma (University of Kaiserslautern, Germany) for a generous supply of the [bmim][MeSO₄] sample used in this study.

REFERENCES

(1) Plechkova, N. V.; Seddon, K. R. Applications of ionic liquids in the chemical industry. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *37*, 123–150.

(2) Dzyuba, S. V.; Bartsch, R. A. Recent Advances in Applications of Room-Temperature Ionic Liquid/Supercritical CO₂ Systems. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 148–150.

(3) Keskin, S.; Kayrak-Talay, D.; Akman, U.; Hortaçsu, Ö. A review of ionic liquids towards supercritical fluid applications. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2007**, *43*, 150–180.

(4) Roth, M. Partitioning behaviour of organic compounds between ionic liquids and supercritical fluids. *J. Chromatogr., A* **2009**, *1216*, 1861–1880.

(5) Niehaus, D.; Philips, M.; Michael, A.; Wightman, R. M. Voltammetry of ferrocene in supercritical carbon dioxide containing water and tetrahexylammonium hexafluorophosphate. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1989**, *93*, 6232–6236.

(6) Blanchard, L. A.; Gu, Z.; Brennecke, J. F. High-Pressure Phase Behavior of Ionic Liquid/CO₂ Systems. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2001**, *105*, 2437–2444.

(7) Giunta, D.; Solinas, M. Beneficial Combination of Ionic Liquids and Carbon Dioxide in Transition Metal Catalysed Reactions. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *13*, 1300–1321.

(8) Lozano, P. Enzymes in neoteric solvents: From one-phase to multiphase systems. *Green Chem.* **2010**, *12*, 555–569.

(9) Blanchard, L. A.; Hancu, D.; Beckman, E. J.; Brennecke, J. F. Green processing using ionic liquids and CO₂. *Nature* **1999**, 399, 28–29.

(10) Blanchard, L. A.; Brennecke, J. F. Recovery of Organic Products from Ionic Liquids Using Supercritical Carbon Dioxide. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *40*, 287–292.

(11) Kulkarni, P. S.; Afonso, C. A. M. Deep desulfurization of diesel fuel using ionic liquids: current status and future challenges. *Green Chem.* **2010**, *12*, 1139–1149.

(12) Werner, S.; Haumann, M.; Wasserscheid, P. Ionic Liquids in Chemical Engineering. Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng. 2010, 1, 203–230.

(13) Kühne, E.; Peters, C. J.; van Spronsen, J.; Witkamp, G.-J. Solubility of carbon dioxide in systems with $[bmim][BF_4]$ and some selected organic compounds of interest for the pharmaceutical industry. *Green Chem.* **2006**, *8*, 287–291.

(14) Fu, D. B.; Sun, X. W.; Qiu, Y. H.; Jiang, X. H.; Zhao, S. Q. Highpressure phase behavior of the ternary system CO_2 + ionic liquid [bmim][PF₆] + naphthalene. *Fluid Phase Equilib*. **2007**, 251, 114–120.

(15) Kühne, E.; Santarossa, S.; Perez, E.; Witkamp, G. J.; Peters, C. J. New approach in the design of reactions and separations using an ionic liquid and carbon dioxide as solvents: Phase equilibria in two selected ternary systems. J. Supercrit. Fluids **2008**, *46*, 93–98.

(16) Bogel-Łukasik, R.; Najdanovic-Visak, V.; Barreiros, S.; Nunes da Ponte, M. Distribution Ratios of Lipase-Catalyzed Reaction Products in Ionic Liquid Supercritical CO₂ Systems: Resolution of 2-Octanol Enantiomers. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *47*, 4473–4480.

(17) Kühne, E.; Santarossa, S.; Witkamp, G. J.; Peters, C. J. Phase equilibria in ternary mixtures of the ionic liquid $\text{bmim}[BF_4]$, (S)-naproxen and CO₂ to determine optimum regions for green processing. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 762–766.

(18) Planeta, J.; Roth, M. Partition Coefficients of Low-Volatility Solutes in the Ionic Liquid 1-*n*-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Hexafluorophosphate—Supercritical CO₂ System from Chromatographic Retention Measurements. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 11244–11249.

(19) Planeta, J.; Roth, M. Solute Partitioning Between the Ionic Liquid 1-*n*-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Tetrafluoroborate and Supercritical CO₂ from Capillary-Column Chromatography. *J. Phys. Chem.* B **2005**, *109*, 15165–15171.

(20) Planeta, J.; Karásek, P.; Roth, M. Distribution of sulfur-containing aromatics between $[hmim][Tf_2N]$ and supercritical CO₂: a case study for deep desulfurization of oil refinery streams by extraction with ionic liquids. *Green Chem.* **2006**, *8*, 70–77.

(21) Planeta, J.; Karásek, P.; Roth, M. Limiting Partition Coefficients of Solutes in Biphasic Trihexyltetradecylphosphonium Chloride Ionic Liquid–Supercritical CO₂ System: Measurement and LSER-Based Correlation. J. Phys. Chem. B **2007**, 111, 7620–7625.

(22) Planeta, J.; Karásek, P.; Roth, M. Distribution of Organic Solutes in Biphasic 1-*n*-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium Methyl Sulfate-Supercritical CO₂ System. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2009**, *113*, 9520–9526.

(23) Machida, H.; Sato, Y.; Smith, R. L. Measurement and correlation of infinite dilution partition coefficients of aromatic compounds in the ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methyl-imidazolium hexafluorophosphate $([bmim][PF_6])-CO_2$ system at temperatures from 313 to 353 K and at pressures up to 16 MPa. *J. Supercrit. Fluids* **2008**, *43*, 430–437. (24) Machida, H.; Kawasumi, T.; Endo, W.; Sato, Y.; Smith, R. L. Ionic liquid structural effects on solute partitioning in biphasic ionic liquid and supercritical carbon dioxide systems. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **2010**, *294*, 114–120.

(25) Bösmann, A.; Datsevich, L.; Jess, A.; Lauter, A.; Schmitz, C.; Wasserscheid, P. Deep desulfurization of diesel fuel by extraction with ionic liquids. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2494–2495.

(26) Zhang, S.; Zhang, Z. C. Novel properties of ionic liquids in selective sulfur removal from fuels at room temperature. *Green Chem.* **2002**, *4*, 376–379.

(27) Esser, J.; Wasserscheid, P.; Jess, A. Deep desulfurization of oil refinery streams by extraction with ionic liquids. *Green Chem.* **2004**, *6*, 316–322.

(28) Kühne, E.; Martin, A.; Witkamp, G.-J.; Peters, C. J. Modeling the Phase Behavior of Ternary Systems Ionic Liquid + Organic + CO_2 with a Group Contribution Equation of State. *AIChE J.* **2009**, *55*, 1265–1273.

(29) Vesovic, V.; Wakeham, W. A.; Olchowy, G. A.; Sengers, J. V.; Watson, J. T. R.; Millat, J. The Transport Properties of Carbon Dioxide. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1990**, *19*, 763–808.

(30) Span, R.; Wagner, W. A New Equation of State for Carbon Dioxide Covering the Fluid Region from the Triple-Point Temperature to 1100 K at Pressures up to 800 MPa. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data* **1996**, *25*, 1509–1596.

(31) Wagner, W.; Overhoff, U. ThermoFluids. Interactive software for the calculation of thermodynamic properties for more than 60 pure substances; Springer-Verlag: Berlin-Heidelberg, 2006.

(32) Chirico, R. D.; Frenkel, M.; Diky, V. V.; Marsh, K. N.; Wilhoit, R. C. ThermoML – An XML-Based Approach for Storage and Exchange of Experimental and Critically Evaluated Thermophysical and Thermochemical Property Data. 2. Uncertainties. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* 2003, 48, 1344–1359.

(33) van Wasen, U.; Schneider, G. M. Pressure and Density Dependence of Capacity Ratios in Supercritical Fluid Chromatography (SFC) with Carbon-Dioxide as Mobile Phase. *Chromatographia* **1975**, *8*, 274– 276.

(34) Shim, J.-J.; Johnston, K. P. Molecular Thermodynamics of Solute–Polymer–Supercritical Fluid Systems. *AIChE J.* **1991**, *37*, 607–616.

(35) Roth, M. Thermodynamics of Retention in Supercritical Fluid Chromatography: A Refined Model. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1990**, *94*, 4309– 4314.

(36) Debenedetti, P. G.; Mohamed, R. S. Attractive, weakly attractive, and repulsive near-critical systems. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1989**, *90*, 4528– 4536.

(37) Lauer, H. H.; McManigill, D.; Board, R. D. Mobile-Phase Transport Properties of Liquefied Gases in Near-Critical and Supercritical Fluid Chromatography. *Anal. Chem.* **1983**, *55*, 1370–1375.

(38) Brown, B. O.; Kishbaugh, A. J.; Paulaitis, M. E. Experimental determination of enhancement factors from supercritical fluid chromatography. *Fluid Phase Equilib.* **1987**, *36*, 247–261.

(39) Giddings, J. C. Dynamics of Chromatography. Part I. Principles and Theory; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1965; p 8.

(40) Kumełan, J.; Kamps, A. P.-S.; Tuma, D.; Maurer, G. Solubility of CO₂ in the Ionic Liquids [bmim][CH₃SO₄] and [bmim][PF₆]. *J. Chem. Eng. Data* **2006**, *51*, 1802–1807.