

© Copyright 1970 by the American Chemical Society

VOLUME 13, NO. 2

March 1970

β-Adrenergic Blocking Agents. VII. 2-(1,4-Benzodioxanyl) and 2-Chromanyl Analogs of Pronethalol [2-Isopropylamino-1-(2-naphthyl)ethanol]

R. Howe, B. S. RAO, AND M. S. CHODNEKAR

Imperial Chemical Industries Limited, Pharmaceuticals Division, Alderley Park, Macclesfield, Cheshire, England

Received August 15, 1969

A series of 1-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)- and 1-(chroman-2-yl)-2-aminoethanols, e.g., 3 and 5, which contain features of both pronethalol (2) and propranolol (1), has been synthesized by standard methods. Several pairs of geometric isomers have been separated by fractional crystallization, and related by nmr and chemical methods, and relative configurations assigned. The RR racemate of 1-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-t-butylaminoethanol 16 is the most potent β -adrenergic blocking agent yet reported. Structure-potency relationships are discussed.

When it became clear¹ that compounds of the propranolol² (1) type were considerably more potent as β -adrenergic blocking agents than those of the pronethanol³ (2) type it became of interest to prepare 1,4-



benzodioxan⁴ and chroman⁵ analogs, such as 3 and 5, which contain features of both types. Rosnati and de Marchi^{6,7} had previously prepared a series of 1,4benzodioxans related to, and including a mixture of, the racemic isomers 3 and 4. They reported that the compounds were not markedly active as α -adrenergic blocking agents, but had some stimulant action on the central nervous system.

(1) (a) Part I: R. Howe, A. F. Crowther, J. S. Stephenson, B. S. Rao, and L. H. Smith, J. Med. Chem., 11, 1.000 (1968). (b) Part II: A. F. Crowther and L. H. Smith, ibid., 11, 1,009 (1968). (c) Part III: R. Howe and B. S. Rao, ibid., 11, 1,118 (1968). (d) Part IV: R. Howe, B. J. McLoughlin, B. S. Rao, L. H. Smith, and M. S. Chodnekar, ibid., 12, 452 (e) Part V: A. F. Crowther, D. J. Gilman, B. J. McLoughlin, L. H. Smith, R. W. Turner, and T. M. Wood, ibid., 12, 638 (1969). (f) Part VI: R. Howe, *ibid.*, **12**, 642 (1969). (2) Inderal^(R).

(3) Alderlin, Trademark.

(4) (a) M. S. Chodnekar, A. F. Crowther, and R. Howe, British Patent 1,038,332 (1966). (b) M. S. Chodnekar, A. F. Crowther, and R. Howe, British Patent 1,038,333 (1966). (c) R. Howe, British Patent 1,038,336 (1966).

(5) R. Howe, British Patent 1.054.655 (1966).

(6) V. Rosnati and F. de Marchi, Gazz, Chim. Ital., 91, 605 (1961).

(7) V. Rosnati, F. de Marchi, and D. Misiti, ibid., 91, 1365 (1961).

Most of the compounds in Table I (6 to 53) were prepared from an amine and the appropriate halohydrin (which with base forms the epoxide) (eq 1, Scheme I). When this method was used in the pronethalol series^{la,d} a mixture of position isomers was obtained because the oxirane ring of the intermediate epoxide opened in two ways. In the propranolol series only the secondary alcohol was formed.^{1b,e} It was assumed that only the secondary alcohol would be obtained in the 1,4benzodioxan and chroman series, and the assumption held for those compounds which were made by alternative unambiguous methods (eq 2, 4, and 5), and for those prepared by methods A and B whose structures were checked by nmr. A third main method (C, eq 2) was reductive amination (NaBH₄) of a glyoxal.^{1a} In addition, certain compounds were prepared by methods described in previous parts of this series. Compound 5 was obtained by reductive alkylation of either 39 with acetone and $NaBH_4$ (eq 3),^{1a} or of the diazoketone 54 with acetone and $Pt-H_2$ (eq 4).^{1a} Reduction of the aminoketone 55 with NaBH₄ gave 16 (eq 5). This route could not be used generally because the intermediate aminoketones could not be obtained. Bromination of 16 gave 37, and of 42 gave 52 (eq 6).

All the compounds reported here have at least two centers of asymmetry. In five cases the two possible racemates were obtained by fractional crystallization, i.e., 3 and 4, 6 and 7, 15 and 16, 32 and 33, and 41 and 42. No deliberate attempt was made to separate the isomers of the other compounds. Catalytic reductive alkylation of 6 in the presence of acetone gave 3, and in the same way 7 gave 4 (eq 3, R = 1,4-benzodioxan 2-yl). Thus **3** and **6** belong to the same stereochemica series, and 4 and 7 belong to a different series.

It was thought at first that 20 and 21 were geometric isomers,^{4a} but 21 is now considered (on nmr and mass



Camaand	18+	B.	K ³	Ri	Rs	Mathinds"	Form	Crystn solvent ^h	Mp, °C, of amine or salt	Formula	Analyses	Infusion rate, µg/kg per min	% change in heart rate	% inhibi- tion of Tachy- cardia
6	п	11	н	FI	11	Α	не	MeO11 + EOAc	248-249	CallaCINO ₂	e n x	10	4-5	33
5	11	14	11	11	11	Δ	HC9	MeO II + EO Ae	234-238	Collocino	C H C N	10		-911
i.	11	CH.CH.	11	11	14	N C	Raso	P(80)	85-nod	CultzNO ₂	C H N		-t- 1	18
0	CH CDL	CH2CH3	11	11	11	A, C	Base	1 (00)	00.00	Culta NO.	C, II, N C N: LF	2.0		16
20	C 11 24 113	CHCH	011 C11	11	11		15a3e H(")	$M_{0}OH + EcO$	109_113	Chillenos Chillenos	(, 0, 0)		11.0	40
10	11		- UIIS - D	11	11	A (54	Boss	P(10)	28_203	$C_{3}\Pi_{20}O_{1}NO_{2}$	C = 1 - N		1-0	30
••		0.11(0.113)	11		13	A. (HC9	Mo(1) E(1)	180-181	Calla CINO.		1	·†~ 1	80
	17	OH (OH)		n	11	. /.	Page	P(40)	50 57	C131 Q001 N 03	(1, 1) $(1, 3)$	1	0	12.4
4	F1	()II(()II8)2	11	11		. 1	Dase U(2)	$\mathbf{M}_{0} \mathbf{O} \mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{O} \mathbf{A}_{0})$	144-115	C IIIIII	C, Π, N C, Π, O, N	1	- ((ou
		OLIVOID)	an	D	17		()malanu		916 917	CI31120CLACCS	$C_{1} \Pi_{1} C_{1} \Lambda_{1}$			1-
11	11		C-113 51	11		A. ()	Data		67 701	(1) 11 NTO	$O_{1} \Pi_{1} \Pi_{2}$		-1-1	
12	11	(CH2)3CH3			11	A, C	Dase	F (40) D (40)	07-707	CI4FI2INO3	Π, N, C^*	10	- 23	41 C
13	11	$(CH_2)_3CH_3$	CH3	11	11		Dase		101-102	C15 H23 NO3	C, H, N	10	1.3	a.
14	11	CH(CH ₅)CH ₂ CH ₃	11.	11	11		Dxame	MeOH + PiteO	204-206	G301144 N2O10	C, H, N	2.5	· 14	900 1
15	11	C(CH3) ²	11	FI	11	Λ, B, C	Base	P(40)	104-105	$C_{14}H_{21}NO_3$	C, H, N	0. á	— ā	43
	_						HCI	MeOII (EGAc	162-163	C14H22CINCla	C, H, CI, N			
16	11	$C(CH_3)_3$	Н	EL	11	$A_{+}C^{*}$	Base	P(40)	91-92	$C_{14}H_{21}NO_3$	C, II, N	0.5	G	64
							HCI	MeOH + EtOAr	193-194	C14 H22CING	C, H. Cl, N			
17	11	CH ₂ CH=-CH ₂	11	Ц	11	(`	Hydrogen oxalate	$ECO11 \rightarrow M_{1^{+2}}CO$	161-162	$C_{15}\Pi_{19}NO_7$	C. H. N	10	â	32
18	11	C(Cll3);CH2OH	11	11	11	Α	Base	EtOAc	137 - 140	$C_{14}H_{21}NO_4$	C. H. N	2	1	58
19	Ц	$(CH_2)_3OCH_3$	11	H	11	C.	Hydrogen oxala(e	MeOH + EtOAr	163165	$C_{16}H_{23}NO_8$	C, H, N	2.5	~ 10	18
20	11	$C(GH_3)_2CH_2C_6H_2$	Ħ	\mathbf{n}	11	Α	HCl^{L}	MeOH	237 - 238	C ₂₀ H ₂₅ CINO ₃	C, II, CI, N	5	~ 13	17
21		m				Α	Base	P(40)	111-112	Cai HasNOa	C. H. N	(1	ā	18
							HCI	MeOH + EtOAr	196-197	Call26CINOa	C. H. Cl. N		-	
22	н	CH(CH5)(CH5)«Call.	н	n	n	A	HCl^{l}	MeOH + EtOAc	220-221	CanH25CINO2	C. 11. N	-1	i- G	5 3
-21	11	C(CH ₃) ₂ (CH ₂) ₂	n in	14	EI.	в	$\Pi C I^{\hat{\ell}}$	MeOH + E(OAc	203 - 204	Cn HarClaNO	C. H. Cl. X	20	8	117
	11	C ₆ H ₄ Cl-p	11	12			1163	McOH 4 EtOAu	179,179	("H.CIN()	$O \to O $			15
24	11	(OCH ₂) ₂ -m,p		0		() 		Meon + Mon	172-175	C 20 H 26C ENO:		20	9	15
25	11	CH ₂ CH(OCH ₃)C ₃ H ₂ (OCH ₃)-m	n	11	11	\mathbf{C}^{n}	Hydrogen oxalate	MeO11 + EtOAc	150-151	Call27NO4	$\Pi_{r} \mathbf{N}_{r} \mathbf{C}^{p}$	50	j	16
26	11	CH,CHOH 0	n	П	11.	Λ^{q}	nca.	MeOH + EtOAc	224-225	C20H24CINO\$	C, 11, X	2.5	4 22	45
27	n	CH(CH)	n	CIL	H	١	Base	P(40)	114-115	Ci4HaNOs	C. H. N	80	• :	26
-1	11	C(CH ₂)	11	CII2	н	A	Base	P(40)	89-90	CisH ₂₀ NO ₂	C. H. N	10	÷10	17
29 29	11 11	C(CH ₃) ₂ CH ₂ O11	11	CiH ₃	н	A	Base	EtOAr	111-112	C15H23NO4		10		.,
20	OT CH (OIL OIL		(1)1	D.	,	117.9	$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{O}}\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{O}}$	101 107	(11.01120)		Eu		.,
30	CH2CH2O	CH ₂ CH ₂	H	CH:	11	.^		MeOH + E(0)	184-185	C15H22CINO4	C, H, C, N	20	+ 2	
31	н	CH(CH@g Formu	FL la	CH3	U112	.\	11(/	MeOff + EtOAc	223224	C15H24CINO2	0, 11, 02, N	80	4-2	.i (
32		Cilo	ucu.mic	нспэ		Α	Base	P(60)	125-126	C57H21NOs	C, H , N	25	2	C5
							IICI	MeOH + EiOAv	218-219	C _G H ₂₂ CINO	С, П, СІ, Х			
•1•,		C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	nen xuei	n(Cil)		\ \	Reas	P(60)	08.00	C.H.NO	CHN	10	F 1	-111
33						. 1	HCC	$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MeOH} \stackrel{\circ}{\to} \operatorname{ErOAc}$	236-237	CirH22CINO3	C, H, CUN	10	1977 I	217

Vnl. 13

34 ^r		Cl Cl OHCH2NHCH(ClI2);	в								
		Cl Co		IICI	EtOH + Et ₂ O	221-226	$\mathrm{C}_{13}\mathrm{H}_{18}\mathrm{C}l_3\mathrm{NO}_3$	C, H, Cl, N	20	+16	14
35		6 or 7 CH ₃ -CHOHCH ₂ NHCH(CH ₃),	Α	HCi	McOH + EtOAc	130-132	C14H22CINO3	С. Н. N. H2O	10	1	26
36		6 or 7 CH_3 CHOHCH_NHC1 CH_y ,	А	HCl	MeOII + EtOAc	204-205	C ₁₅ H24ClNO3	C, H, Cl, N	4	-7	30
378		6 or 7 Br (0) CHORCH ₂ NHC(CH ₃).	As for 50 ^t	HC1	EtOAc	190	C14H20BrCINO3	С, Н, N	5	-21	84
38		5 or 8 HO	Λ	Base	P(60)	135136	C14H21NO4	С, Н, N	10	-7	37
					R ¹						
				(\mathbf{U})	R ²						
	\mathbf{R}_1	R_2									
39	н	Н	$\mathbf{B}^{\boldsymbol{u}}$	11Ci	MeOH + EtOAc	226 - 228	ChiH16ClNO2	C, H, Cl, N	80	+16	80
40	CH ₂ CH ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	B	Picrate	EtOAc $+ P(40)$	123-124	C21 H26 N4O9	C. H. N	50	-6	None
5	н	CH(CH ₃) ₂	Λ^{n}	HCI	MeOH + EtOAc	171-173	C14H22ClNO2	O H O N HO	~		00
41	11	C(CH ₂),	A C ^v	HC	MoOH + EtOAs	248-240	0.251120 CwHarCINO	C, H, Cl, N, H ₂ U C H Cl N	5	+6	32 66
41	11	0(013)3	A , U	Base	P(40)	108-109	CuHeNO	C H N	5	10	00
42	H	$C(CH_3)_3$	А	HCl	MeOH + EtOAc	193-194	C15H2sIVO2	C. H. Cl. N	20	-35	44
		x		Base	P(60)	112-113	$C_{16}H_{23}NO_2$	C, ^{bb} 11, N		017	
43	н	$C(CH_3)_2CH_2OH$	в	Base	EtOAc	146-147	C151123NO3	C, H, N	100	-20	68
44	Н	(CH ₂) ₃ OCH ₃	в	HC1	MeOH + EtOAc	129-130	$C_{15}H_{24}CINO_3$.				
							$1/_{3}H_{2}O$	C, H, Cl, N, H ₂ O	50	- 20	40
45	Н	CII(CII ₃)CH ₂ CH ₂	Λ^l	HCI	MeOH + EtOAc	192-194	$\mathrm{C_{21}H_{28}ClNO_2}$	C, H, Cl, N	5	-1	25
4 6	Н	ClCH ₃) ₂ CH ₂ CH ₇	\mathbf{B}^{t}	HCl	MeOll + EtOAc	153-155	C22H29Cl2NO2 0.5H2O	C, H, Cl, N, H2O	200	26	50
47	II	C(CH ₃),CH ₂ O	$\mathbf{B}^{\boldsymbol{w}}$	HCI	MeOH + EtOAc	116-117	C ₂₁ H ₂₈ ClNO ₃ .	CHCIN	50	+6	40
							0.01110			10	-0
48	н	СН(СН ₂)СПОН	$\mathbf{B}^{l,x}$	Hydrogen oxalate	MeOH + EtOAc	188–189	C221I27NO7 0.25H2O	C, H, N, H2O	25	+8	62
49	11	CH ₂ CH—CH ₂	в	Base	P(60)	96-97	$C_{14}H_{19}NO_2$	C, H, N	50	- 19	55
50	H		в	Base	P(60)	113-114	$C_{16}H_{23}NO_2$	C, H, N	100	- 28	48
			n	TT Januar	MOTIN	100 101		a n N	100		
51	CH ₂ CH ₂ OO	JH2CH2	в	Hydrogen oxalate	MeUH + EtOAc	120-121	C17H23NO7	C, H, N	100	0	33



tiplet, Ar-H, 4), 5.45 (unthiblet, II at C₂ and II_a and II_a at C₂ 2: not changed by double irradiation over the range 0.3 (0.9), 5.5 (5.4 (or and), 1), 6.44 and 6.77 (2AB partners, CH₂O * Compound kindly prepared by Mr. L. II. Smith. ⁺ 16 was start-* Chroman-2-cachoxylic acid runver(ed to acid churde by usalyl chluride. * Intermediate glyuxal prepared by the action of DMSO on the hrono ketone obtained from the diazo / IICl salt very slightly soluble in H4O; isolated by extraction into CIICla = * See discussion and formula 21. Nur τ (CDCla), 2.70–2.95 (undeptet, Ar-H, 5), 3.10–3.25 (nub and CH₃N, 4; and changed by double irradiation over the range 5.45 (6.06), 6.44 (single), CH₆C₃H₈ 2) 9.08 (dualde), CH₅A, 6): m \pm 339 (weak), 324 (C₉H₂NO), m-CH₅), 248 (base peak, C₄H₈caled. * C: culul "The amine used has been described by A. D. Ainley and R. Huwe, British Patent 1,017,691 (1962); J. Med. Chem., 12, 642 " A hy-product in the Comparent kindly prepared by Dr. T. W. Thundisan, 1,4-Benzadinxan-Lyl methyl ketune in Et.O was chlorinated . #42 was the starting material. Tr shows no , П: / Little unp (19-71°. 4 Lit,⁶ mp 89–90°. ^w C: caled, 72,25; funnel, 72.8. " C: raleil, 58.8; fumid 58.3. ¹ Litt⁶ mp 08–68.5.⁵ for mixture of 3 and 4. Sinters 200 -202°. * Nurephedrine was the amine used *** Ci raded, 39.7; fuund, 60.2. • P(40), P(60), and P(80) refer to periodicum other hp 40/60°, hp 60/80°, and hp 80/400°. • HCl saft very slightly soluble in H₂O; isolated by extraction into CHCls and then converted *bin* free base to hydrogen uxulate. in the presence of AICl₃ to give the 6.7-dichdom analog, which was then homeinated in the side chain by the method used for 52. ⁴ Sre also Experimental Section. 0; nuc (60 Me, CDCl₃) τ 5.4–5.9 (nutliplet; 3 protons in radout next to axygen), an definit proton. ketune and HBr. ^{- w} The antine used was described by L. B. Clapp, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 73, 2584 (1951). [7] Intermediate chlornhydriu, ref 7. - # C: - raded, 57.0; fruud 56.4. preparation of **6** and 7 and separated from them by fructional crystallization. Compound **20** had the expected m/r 327. " Methinls refer to Experimental Section. NO_{a,} m-CH₂C₄H₃). 06.9; fimml 06.3. S.357 furned 7.8. ing material. i 1960). t A



spectrum evidence) to have the structure shown. It must have been formed from a trace of 56 in the intermediate chlorohydrin. Compound 56 would be formed



from further reaction of the intermediate chloromethyl ketone (in Eq 7) with CH_2N_2 .

Many of the intermediates were gums which failed to crystallize, probably because they consisted of two or more racemic isomers. Those new intermediates which did crystallize are listed in Table II (57 to 69). New intermediates not listed were characterized only by ir spectra. The halohydrin intermediates were

TABLE II RCOX									
Compd	R	X	Crystn solvent	Mp. °C	Formula	Analysis			
57		$CH_2B{\scriptstyle l'^a}$	P(60)	80-81	$\mathrm{C_{10}H}_9\mathrm{BrO}_3$	C, H, Br			
58	ч	СНО	$Et_{2}O + P(40)$	92-94	${ m C_{10}H_8O_4} \cdot 0.75{ m H_2O}$	С, Н			
59		$\rm CH_2 Cl^b$	P(60)	94-96	$\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{ClO}_{\pmb{\delta}}$	C, H, Cl			
60	CH ₃	OH⁰	MeOH	225-226	$C_{11}H_{12}O_4$	С, Н			
61		CHN_2	P(40)	106–107	$C_{12}H_{12}N_2O_3$	С, Н, N			
62		OEt ^d	EtOAc + P(60)	61-62	$\mathrm{C}_{15}\mathrm{H}_{14}\mathrm{O}_{4}$	С, Н			
63		OH^d	EtOAc	186	$C_{13}H_{10}O_4$	С, Н			
64		Cl^d	P(60)	88-89	$C_{13}H_{\vartheta}ClO_3$	С, Н, СІ			
65		$\mathrm{CH}_2\mathrm{Cl}^d$	EtOAe + $P(60)$	121-122	$\mathrm{C}_{14}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{ClO}_3$	C, H, Cl			
66	6 or 7 Me O	OH	$Et_2O + P(40)$	94-95	$C_{10}H_{10}O_4$	С, Н			
67	6 or 7 Me	$\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{Cl}$	P(60)	71-72	$\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{11}\mathrm{ClO}_3$	C, H, Cl			
68	5 or 8 Ac0	ОН	$\mathrm{Et}_{2}\mathrm{O}$	146-147	$\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_{10}\mathrm{O}_{6}$	С, Н			
69		$\rm CH_2\rm Cl$	EtOAc	166-168	$\mathrm{C}_{11}\mathrm{H}_7\mathrm{ClO}_3$	C, H, Cl			

^a Intermediate Me ketone, D. Misiti and F. De Marchi, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, **93**, 46 (1963). ^b Intermediate acid chloride, J. Koo. S. Avakian, and G. J. Martin, *Chem. Ind. (London)*, 832 (1958). ^c Intermediate Et ester, J. Augstein, S. M. Green, A. M. Monro, G. W. H. Potter, C. R. Worthing, and T. I. Wrigley, J. Med. Chem., **8**, 446 (1965). ^d See Experimental Section.

generally made by the route exemplified for the naphtho [2,3-b]-1,4-dioxan analogs (eq 7). The bromo ketone 57 was also made by brominating the corresponding methyl ketone.⁸ NaBH₄ reduction of the chloromethyl ketone 69 also reduced the chromenone ring system to the hydroxychroman system exemplified in 53. Treatment of the chloromethyl ketone derived from the acid 68 with NaBH₄ also removed the acetyl group. The glyoxals were made either by oxidation of the corresponding methyl ketone with SeO₂ or by oxidation of the corresponding bromomethyl ketone with DMSO.^{1a,9} The $\hat{1}$,4-benzodioxans were prepared by the general route (eq 8) which gave the intermediates 66 and 67 of uncertain orientation. Compound 68, also of uncertain orientation, was prepared by acetylating the hydroxy acid obtained by using pyrogallol in the

above condensation. Chroman-2-carboxylic acid was prepared from 2-bromo-1-tetralone,¹⁰ and also by reduction of chromen-4-one-2-carboxylic acid¹¹ with amalgamated Zn and HCl.

Stereochemical Relationships.—It has been shown above by a chemical correlation that **3** and **6** belong to the same stereochemical series, and **4** and **7** belong to another stereochemical series. These two series differ in the relative stereochemical configurations at C_2 and C_1' , and for convenience may be referred to as the *RR* and the *RS* series. (Because the compounds are racemates they could equally well be called the *S*,*S* and the *SR* series.)



(10) G. Baddeley and J. R. Cooke, J. Chem. Soc., 2797 (1958).
(11) R. Heywang and St. v. Kostaneki, Ber., 35, 2887 (1902).

 $^{(8)\,}$ First carried out by a colleague, Dr. A. G. McGregor, British Patent 1,038,334 (1966).

⁽⁹⁾ R. Howe, British Patent 1,038,335 (1966).

By analysis of nmr data, relative configurations have been assigned to centers C_2 and C_1' . It was necessary to identify the signal due to H at C_1' , and to measure the coupling constant to H at C_2 .

The spectrum of 15 was: τ (CDCl₃), 3.15-3.30 (multiplet, Ar-H, 4), 5.55-6.20 (multiplet, H at C₂ and H_A and H_B at C_3 , 3), 6.30–6.53 (triplet of doublets, X part of ABXY, J = 7.0, 7.0, and 3.7 cps. CH(OH). 1), 7.00~7.45 (four doublets, AB pattern of ABX, $J = 3.7, 7.0, \text{ and } 12.0 \text{ eps}, CH_2N_12), 7.10-7.60 \text{ (broad.)}$ OH and NH, 2), 8.90 [singlet, $C(CH_3)_3$, 9]. The important coupling H at C_2 to H at C_1' was 7.0 cps. The spectrum of 16 was: τ (CDCl₃), 3.15–3.30 (multiplet. Ar-H, 4). 5.65–6.00 (multiplet, H at C_2 and H_A and H_B at C_{z_3} 3), 6.20-6.40 (triplet of doublets, X part of $A_2XY_2 J = 5.5, 5.5, and 3.0 cps, CHOH, 1); 7.23$ (doublet A₂ part of A₂X, J = 5.5 cps, CH₂N, 2), 7.60 8.00 (broad, OH and NH, 2), 8.90 [singlet, $C(CH_3)_3$. 9]. The important coupling H at C_2 to H at C_1' was 3.0 eps, and a characteristic feature of the spectrum was that the **H** atoms at C_2 happened to have the same chemical shift. Partial nmr spectra of 15 and 16. together with the expanded spectra are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1.—Nmr spectra of 15 and 16, measured in CDCl₃ at 100 Mcps, with expansion of the region τ 5.5-7.5.

The spectrum of **4** was essentially similar to that of **15**, after allowing for the change *t*-butyl into *i*-Pr: τ (CDCl₃) 7.20 (septet, *i*-Pr CH, 1), 8.96 (doublet,

i-Pr CH₃, 6). The coupling H at C₂ to H at C₁' was 7.0 cps. The spectrum of **3** was essentially similar to that of **16**: τ (CDCl₃) 7.20 (septet, *i*-Pr CH, 1), 8.95 (doublet, *i*-Pr CH₃, 6). The coupling H at C₂ to H at C₁' was 3.0 cps, and again the H atoms at C₂' had the same chemical shift.

The spectrum of **20** was: τ (CDCl₃) 2.65–2.95 (multiplet, Ar-H, 5), 3.10–3.30 (multiplet, Ar-H, 4), 5.60–6.00 (multiplet, H at C₂ and H_A and H_B at C₅, 3), 6.15–6.35 (triplet of doublets, X part of A₂XY, J = 6.0, 6.0, and 3.0 eps, CHOH, 1): 7.14 (doublet, A₂ part of A₂X, J = 6.0 eps, CH₂N, 2), 7.31 (singlet, CH₂C₆H₅, 2), 7.2–7.8 (broad, OH and NH, 2, exchanged with D₂O), 8.92 [singlet, C(CH₃)₂, 6]. The coupling H at C₂ to H at C₁' was 3.0 eps, and again the H atoms at C₂' had the same chemical shift.

The spectrum of 42 was: τ (CDCl₃) 2.90-3.35 (multiplet, Ar-H, 4), 6.08-6.28 (multiplet, H at C_2 , 1), 6.32-6.60 (triplet of doublets, X part of ABXY, J = 7.0, 7.0, and 3.7 cps. CHOH, 1), 7.02-8.50[multiplet containing 8 protons, H's at C₃ and C₄, NH, OH, and $CH_{\bullet}N$, the latter appearing as four doublets, AB pattern of ABX (7.0-7.5), J = 3.7, 7.0, and 12.0cps, converted by double irradiation at τ 6.45 into an AB pattern, J = 12.0 cps], 8.90 [singlet, C(CH₃)₃, 9]. The important coupling H at C_2 to H at C_1' was 7.0 cps. The spectrum of 41 was: τ (CDCl_a) 2.90 3.35 (multiplet, Ar-H, 4), 5.97-6.20 (multiplet, H at C₂, 1, unchanged after double irradiation at τ 7.25), 6.25-6.40 [triplet of doublets, X part of ABXY, J = 4.5, 4.5, and 7.0 cps, CHOH. 1, converted into a doublet, J = 4.5eps, by double irradiation at τ 7.25], 7.10–7.30 (multiple(, AB part of ABX, CH_2N , and H's at C_4 , 4), 7.60 (broad, NH and OH, 2) 7.95-8.20 (multiplet, H's at $C_5, 2$), 8.90 [singlet, $C(CH_3)_5, 9$]. The important coupling H at C_2 to H at C_1' was 4.5 cps.

The chemical conversions and the mur correlations show that **3**. **6**. **16**, **20**. **37**, and **41** belong to the same stereochemical series and **4**, **7**. **15**. **42**, and **52** belong to the other stereochemical series.

In attempting to assign relative configurations to centers C_2 and C_1 ', the assumption can not be made that the ethanolaming side chain adopts an equatorial conformation.^{42,43} It was necessary therefore to know the ratio axial: equatorial side chain for each geometric isomer of a pair. The appropriate coupling constants H_2H_{3X} and H_2H_{3B} could not be obtained from the above spectra, which were all run at 100 Me. In the nmc spectrum of 15 (220 Mc, C_6D_b), $J_{2,3\Lambda}$ was 2.5 cps and $J_{2,3B}$ was 6.75 cps, *i.e.*, $J_{2,3A} + J_{2,3B} = 9.25 \pm 0.25$ cps. For **16**, $J_{2,3A} + J_{2,3C}$ was 9.5 \pm 0.25 cps (by subtraction of $J_{2,1}$ from the measured sum of $J_{2,3\Lambda}$, $J_{2,3B}$, and $J_{2,1}$ (); the separate coupling constants could not be identified with certainty. Thus both 15 and 16 have the side chain in the same axial equatorial ratio. If the eq/ax and eq/eq coupling constants are 2.3 cps and the ax/ax coupling constant is 11.0 cps¹² then the ratio axial: equatorial side chain is approximately 1:1.

Assuming that the OH group will prefer to H bond to N rather than to an O of the benzodioxan ring, then relative configurations care be assigned to the two geometric isomers based on the coupling constants of

⁽¹²⁾ A. R. Katritzky, A. M. Munro, G. W. H. Potter, R. E. Reavid, and M. J. Sewell, Chem. Commun., 59 (1965).

⁽¹³⁾ G. Pfundt and S. Farid, Tetrodictron. 22, 2237 (1966).

the H atoms at C_2 and C_1' , and the relative sizes of the groups attached to C_2 and C_1' . Thus, provided that the interactions are purely steric in orgin, the isomer 15 which has the higher coupling constant will have the configuration 70 which inspection shows has the RS (or SR) absolute configuration. 16 will have the RR (or SS) configuration 71.



Thus 4, 7, 15, 42, and 52 are RS (or SR) racemates and 3, 6, 16, 20, 37, and 41 are RR (or SS) racemates.

Biological Results and Discussion—The results of the biological screening tests¹⁴ are given in Table I. The test procedure was identical with that reported previously.1a

The benzodioxans 15 and 16 are the most potent β adrenergic blocking agents so far reported and are five to ten times more potent than propranolol. Structurepotency relationships in the benzodioxan series resembled those in the propranolol series.^{1b} Potency was highest when the N substituent was an alkyl group of 3-4 C atoms branched at the α -C, e.g., 3, 4, 15, and 16. As in the propranolol series, potency was not improved by appending Ar to the alkyl group e.g., 20, 22, and 23, whereas in the pronethalol series^{1a} and the isoproterenol series¹⁵ the presence of such an aryl group often increased the potency. Introduction of Me (R^3) (Table I) appeared to lower potency,^{if} but caution is required because the compounds being compared may not belong to the same stereochemical series. Introduction of Me groups R^4 or R^4 and R^5 markedly lowered the potency. Substitution in the benzene ring, e.g., 32 to **38** lowered potency with respect to the unsubstituted analog.

Comparison of the potencies of 3 and 4 with that of 72 (6 in ref 1e, 55% block at 5 μ g/kg per min)



shows that a useful increase in potency is achieved by joining the OCH_3 of **72** to the propanolamine side chain to form the more rigid molecule 3 or 4. The increase in potency is the more remarkable because substitution of Me in the propanolamine side chain as in structure 73 might be expected to markedly decrease potency as in the propranolol series.^{1f}

Fewer chroman analogs were prepared but once again t-Bu and i-Pr substituents on N gave compounds of highest potency. Compounds in the chroman series were generally at least five to ten times less potent than their benzodioxan analogs. Comparison of **3** and **4** with 5 and with the tetrahydronaphthalene analog 74

(24 in ref 1d; 45% block at 50 μ g/kg per min) is interesting. Replacement of O-4 of 3 and 4 by CH_2 reduces potency by about 10 times and replacement of O-1 and 4 by CH_2 's reduces it by about 75 times. The comparative potencies of 5 and 74 are in line with the



observation that replacement of the ethereal O of the propranolol side chain by CH₂ markedly lowers potency.¹⁶ The comparison between 3 and 4, and 5 is not in line with that between 72 and 75 (3 in ref 1e; 74% block at 2.5 $\mu g/kg$ per min), where a similar structural change is involved in a less rigid molecule.

The difference in potencies between the pairs of racemates was not particularly marked, except perhaps for the chromans 41 and 42. The RR (or SS) racemates were the more potent.

Experimental Section¹⁷

When diastereoisomers were separated by fractional crystallization the salt or base mentioned first in Table I crystallized first from the solvent given. Hydrogenations were carried out at room temperature and atmospheric pressure. Methods A, B, and C are representative for the compounds listed in Table I.

A. 1-(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-t-butylaminoethanol (15, 16). -A mixture of 1-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-chloroethanol⁶ (18.8 g) and t-BuNH₂ (120 ml) was heated in a sealed vessel at 100° for 10 hr, and then the excess of t-BuNH₂ was evaporated. The residual oil was shaken with 2 N HCl and Et₂O. The acidic aqueous solution was made alkaline with 8 N NaOH and then extracted with Et₂O. The dried extract was evaporated and the residual oil (15.6 g) was stirred with petroleum ether (bp $40-60^{\circ}$) (60 ml). The solid which separated was fractionally crystallized from petroleum ether (bp 40-60°) and gave 15, mp 104-105°. 15 HCl, prepared by adding a slight excess of ethereal HCl to a solution of 15 in Et₂O, was crystallized twice from MeOH-EtOAc, mp 162-163°. An aqueous solution of this 15 HCl was made alkaline with 2 N NaOH and then extracted with Et₂O. The extract gave 15, mp 104-105°.

The mother liquors remaining from the fractional crystallization which gave 15 yielded crude 16, mp 79-81°, not changed by four crystallizations from petroleum ether (bp 40-60°). Crude 16 · HCl, mp 168-178°, was fractionally crystallized to give pure
16 · HCl, mp 193-194°, which gave pure 16, mp 91-92°.
B.—In method B,1-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-bromoethanol was

used in place of 1-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-chloroethanol.

Bromomethyl 1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl Ketone (57).-Br₂ (7.5 g) was added during 2 hr to a stirred solution of 1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl methyl ketone (8.36 g) in Et₂O (250 ml) at 10° . When the Br₂ color had been discharged the solution was washed with 3 N NaHCO₃ solution and then with H₂O. The Et₂O solution was dried and then evaporated to give 57 (9 g, 75%). 1-(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-bromoethanol.—NaBH₄ (4 g) was

added during 1 hr to a stirred solution of 57 (14.0 g) in MeOH (150 ml) at 0°. After 18 hr the MeOH was evaporated, H₂O was added, and then the product was isolated by Et_2O extraction. It had mp 85-87° (from petroleum ether, bp 60-80°) (11.4 g, 81%). Anal. (C10H11BrO3) H, Br; C: caled, 46.35; found, 46.8.

C. 1-(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-isopropylaminoethanol (3). $NaBH_4$ (2.0 g) was added during 1 hr to a stirred solution of 58 (2 g) and i-PrNH₂(20 ml) in MeOH(50 ml) at 0°. After 18 hr the MeOH and the excess of i-PrNH₂ were evaporated. The residue

⁽¹⁴⁾ Biological testing was carried out by Drs. J. W. Black, R. G. Shanks, and Mr. D. Dunlop. For further information see J. W. Black, W. A. M. Duncan, and R. G. Shanks, Brit. J. Pharmacol., 25, 577 (1965)

⁽¹⁵⁾ H. D. Moed, J. van Dijk, and H. Niewind, Rec. Trav. Chim., 74, 919 (1955).

⁽¹⁶⁾ Part VIII of this series being prepared.

⁽¹⁷⁾ Where analyses are indicated only by symbols of the elements or functions, analytical results obtained for those elements or functions were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values.

was shaken with 1 N HCl and Et₂O. The acidic aqueous solution was made alkaline with 2 N NaOH and then extracted with Et₂O. The extract gave 3.

(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)glyoxal (58) (a).--A solution of 57 (1.5 g) in DMSO (15 ml) was kept at room temperature for 6 days, poured onto ice, and then extracted with Et₂O. The extract was evaporated and the residual gum was crystallized from Et₂O-petroleum ether (hp 40-60°) (0.82 g, $95^{+}c_{1}^{-}$). (b) A solution of 1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl methyl ketone (1 g) and SeO₂ (0.65 g) in AcOH (30 ml) was heated at 100° for 2 hr and then heated nuder reflux for 1 hr. The cooled mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residual oil was dissolved in Et₂O and washed with 10⁺c NaHCO₄ solution and then with H₂O. The Et₂O solution gave **58** (0.82 g, $70^{+}c_{1}^{+}$).

When heated with *o*-phenylenediamine in MeOH solution, **58** gave 2-(1,4-benzodioxan-2-yl)quinoxaline, mp $152-153^\circ$ (from EtOH). Anal. (C₁₆H₁₂N₂O₂) C, H, N.

1-(2-Chromanyl)-2-isopropylaminoethanol (5) (a),--NaBH₄ (0.) g) was added during 15 min to a stirred solution of **39** free base (0.1 g) in MeOH (20 ml) and Me₂CO (2 ml) at 0°. After 12 hr the MeOH was evaporated *in vacuo*, H₂O was added, and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O. Ethereal HCl was added to the dried extract and $\mathbf{5} \cdot$ HCl separated, mp 175-176°, from MeOH-EtOAc. (b) A solution of 2-chromanyl diazomethyl ketone (54) (1 g) in EtOH (30 ml) and Me₂CO (15 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of Pc (0.2 g). The mixture was filtered and the filtrate was evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was shaken with 1 N HCl and Et₂O. The acidic aqueous solution was made alkaline with 2 N NaOH and then extracted with Et₂O. Ethereal HCl was added to the dried extract and $\mathbf{5} \cdot$ HCl separated.

1-(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-t-butylaminoethanol (16),--t-Bu-NH₂ (1,12 g, 0.0153 mol) was added to a stirred solution of bromomethyl 2-(1,4-benzodioxanyl) ketone (2 g, 0.0078 mol) in Et₂O (80 ml) at 0°. After 1 hr the mixture was filtered to remove t-BnNH₂-HCl. Ethereal HCl was added to the filtrate and the solid which separated was fractionally crystallized to give 2-(1,4-benzodioxanyl) t-butylaminomethyl ketone-HCl (55), mp 182-184° (79 mg), ν 1755 cm⁻¹.

NaBH₄ (0.25 g) was added during 15 min to a stirred solution of 55 (0.09 g) in MeOH (50 ml) at 0°. After 12 hr the MeOH was evaporated *in vacuo*; 16 HCl was isolated in the same way as $5 \cdot$ HCl (above).

1-(6-Bromo-2-chromanyl)-2-t-butylaminoethanol (52),—Br₂ (0.2 g) in AcOH (25 ml) was added to a solution of 42·HCl in AcOH (25 ml) and then the solution was kept at 40° until the Br₂ color had largely been discharged. After 1 hr, AcOH was evaporated *in vacuo*. 52·HCl was obtained by procedure b given for 5·HCl.

1-(1,4-Benzodioxan-2-yl)-2-isopropylaminoethanol (3). A solution of 6-HCl (0.1 g) in EtOH (15 ml) and Me₂CO (10 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of Pt catalyst (0.2 g). The mixture was filtered, the filtrate was evaporated to dryness, and

then the residue was dissolved in H_2O (50 mb). The solution was made alkaline with 8 N NaOH and extracted with Et₂O. The extract gave **3**, mmp 88–89°.

7 HCl was used in place of **6** HCl. The residue obtained after evaporation of the filtrate was crystallized from MeOH-EtOAc to give **4** HCl, mmp 144–145°.

Éthyl Naphtho[$\hat{2}$,3-b]-1,4-dioxan-2-carboxylate ($\hat{62}$),...,Ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate ($\hat{25}$ g) was added during 30 min to a mixture of 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene (40 g), anhydrons K₂CO₃ (35 g), and Me₂CO (500 ml) which was being stirred and heated under reflux. More K₂CO₃ (35 g) was then added, followed by more ethyl 2,3-dibromopropionate (25 g) during 30 min. The procedure described in the last sentence was repeated twice more. The mixture was then stirred and heated under reflux for 18 hr, and then moled and filtered. The Me₂CO was exported at the residue was extracted with H₂O (300 ml) and EqO (300 ml in three portions). The Et₂O extract was washed with 5^+r_1 aqueous Na₂CO₃ (200 ml) and then H₂O. The extract was dried, the Et₂O was evaporated, and the residual oil was distilled to give **62**, bp 170–175° (0.7 mm), mp 61–62° (40 cg, 63° \hat{r}_{10}).

Naphtho[2,3-b]-1,4-dioxan-2-carboxylic Acid (63).-- Compound 62 (36 g) and 10^{c} , aqueous NaOH (200 ml) were heated at 100° for 45 min. The solution was cooled to 40° and maintained at 40° while concentrated HCl (50 ml) was added. The mixture was cooled and filtered, and the solid 63 (25.2 g, 95° ,) was washed with H₂O (50 ml).

Naphtho[**2,3**- b_1 -**1,4**-dioxan-**2**-carboxylic Acid Chloride (**64**). Compound **63** (61.2 g), SOCl₂ (44 g), and CHCl₃ (1.200 ml) were heated under reflux for 4 hr and then the CHCl₃ and the excess of SOCl₂ were evaporated. The residue was **64** (56.8 g, S6⁴).

Chloromethyl Naphtho[**2**,**3**-*b*]-**1**,**4**-**dioxan-2**-yl **Ketone** (**65**), ... A solution of **64** (25 g) in Et₂O (300 ml) was created with a slight excess of CH₂N₂ in Et₂O at 0^{2} . After 18 hr excess CH₂N₂ and Et₂O were evaporated. A solution of the residual oil (20 g), which consisted of diazomethyl naphtho]2,3-*b*]-1,4-dioxan-2-yl kerone (ν 2120 cm ⁴), in Et₂O (250 ml) was saturated with HCl gas at 0^{2} , lee (250 g) and Et₂O (200 ml) was saturated with HCl gas at 0^{2} , lee (250 g) and Et₂O (200 ml) were added and the mixture was shaken. The Et₂O solution was washed successively with H₂O (50 ml, 3 times), 10^C, approxim Na₂CO₅ (50 ml, 3 times), and H₂O (50 ml, 3 times). The dried Et₂O solution was evaporated to give **65** (19.2 g, 77%).

2-Chloro-1-(naphtho[2,3-b]-1,4-dioxan-2-yl-ethanol.—NaBH₄ (2 g) was added during 30 min to a stirred solution of **65** (5 g) in MeOH (120 mFcat 0°. After 16 hr the MeOH was evaporated, H₂O (50 ml) was added, and then the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (50 ml, 4 times). The excret was washed with H₂O, dried, and evaporated to give the chlorohydrin as an oil (3.2 g, 64%).

Acknowledgments. --We thank Dr. G. Bedford and Mr. D. Greatbanks who obtained and discussed the nmr spectra.