References

- (1) M. Baylis, J. Bacteriol., 31, 489 (1936).
- (2) E. Kodicek, Soc. Exp. Biol. Symp., 3, 217 (1949).
- (3) O. Wyss, B. J. Ludwig, and R. R. Joiner, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 7, 415 (1945). (4) C. Nieman, Bacteriol. Rev., 18, 147 (1954).
- (5) J. J. Kabara, D. M. Swieczkowski, A. J. Conley, and J. P. Truant, Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother., 2, 23 (1972).
- (6) H. M. Jenkin, L. E. Anderson, R. T. Holman, I. A. Ismail, and F. D. Gunstone, J. Bacteriol., 98, 1026 (1969).
- (7) H. M. Jenkin, L. E. Anderson, R. T. Holman, I. A. Ismail, and F. D. Gunstone, Exp. Cell Res., 59, 1 (1970).
- (8) F. D. Gunstone and I. A. Ismail, Chem. Phys. Lipids, 1, 209 (1967).
- (9) F. D. Gunstone and M. Lie Ken Jie, ibid., 4, 1 (1970).
- (10) J. J. Kabara, A. J. Conley, and J. P. Truant, Antimicrob. Ag. Chemother., 2, 6492 (1972).
- (11) D. G. Dervichian and M. H. Mousett, Ann. Inst. Pasteur, 77. 703 (1949).
- (12) J. B. Hassinen, G. T. Durbin, and F. W. Bernhart, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 31, 183 (1951).

Quaternary Salts of Substituted 2-Aminoethyl N-Benzoylaminobenzoate. A New Class of Smooth Muscle Relaxant Agents

Mario Ghelardoni,* Vittorio Pestellini,

Chemistry Department

Nicola Pisanti, and Giovanna Volterra

Pharmacology Department, Research Labs, A. Menarini Pharmaceuticals, Florence, Italy. Received March 26, 1973

We have described previously the synthesis and smooth muscle relaxant properties of some N-(dialkylaminoalkyl) p-(2-alkoxybenzamido)benzoate quaternary salts. In order to gain further insight on structure-activity relationships, we have synthesized several other compounds referable to the general formulas A and B.

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
OR & CYCH_2CH_2H_2 \\
CNH & O & CH_3R_1
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} OR & & & \\ \hline & COCH_2CH_2N & & \\ & & C_2H_5 \\ O & & CH_3C_2H_5 \end{array} Br$$

Chemistry. The above-mentioned compounds were synthesized from the corresponding bases by quaternization with methyl halides. The bases were obtained by condensation of the appropriate acyl chloride with N-disubstituted 2-aminoethanol (method A) or by reaction of 2-(diethylamino)ethyl p-aminobenzoate with the appropriate substituted benzoyl chloride (method B). The new substituted benzoylaminobenzoic acids were prepared by acylation of aminobenzoic acid with the appropriate substituted benzoyl chloride. The characteristics of the new compounds are reported in Tables I-IV.

Pharmacology. The data related to smooth muscle relaxant activities are reported in Table V. It will be noted that, among the compounds tested, 39, 42, and 43 were shown to possess similar anticholinergic activity but considerably greater barium-induced contraction antagonizing

Table I

^aAll compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N. ^bKnown; M. Ghelardoni and F. Russo, Belgian Patent 670,751 (1966); Chem. Abstr., 65, 16909d (1966).

properties as compared to scopolamine N-butyl bromide. Structural modifications of one of the most effective compounds, namely 42, led to the synthesis of several derivatives, 40, 41, 44-48, and 59, whose effectiveness was inferior to that of the parent compound.

Ouaternization of the same base with either MeI or MeBr resulted in compounds of comparable biological potency. Quaternization is accompanied by (a) increased toxicity; (b) increased anticholinergic properties; and (c) as a rule, decreased or increased effectiveness on histamine- and BaCl₂induced spasm proportional to side-chain length in the R position. As far as some effects of quaternization are concerned (a and b), our results are in agreement with data in the literature.^{2,3} Unlike toxicity, smooth muscle relaxant properties seem to be dependent upon side-chain length in the R position.

Experimental Section

Chemical Procedures. Melting points were determined in an open capillary tube in a bath and are uncorrected. Ir spectra of the compounds in Nujol mulls were determined by means of a Perkin-Elmer 337 grating spectrometer. All spectra were consistent with the assigned structures.

Preparation of Substituted Benzoylaminobenzoic Acids (1-10; See Table I). These were made by refluxing the corresponding acid chloride with p-aminobenzoic acid in dioxane and pyridine for 2 hr. The precipitate was collected and extracted with 5% NaHCO₃. The solution was then acidified and the precipitate was filtered off and crystallized from EtOH.

Substituted 2-Aminoethyl p-Aminobenzoate (11-23, 25-30; See Table II). Method A (11, 12, 15, 16, 19-24, 28-30). The appropriate substituted p-benzamidobenzoic acid in SOCl₂ was refluxed gently for 2 hr. The excess SOCl2 was removed under reduced pressure. The obtained acid chloride was added slowly with stirring to a solution of N-disubstituted 2-aminoethanol in pyridine. The mixture was stirred for 3 hr at 100°, cooled, and poured into H₂O. The solid was washed with H₂O and then crystallized.

Method B (13, 14, 17, 18, 25-27). A solution of 2-(diethylamino)ethyl p-aminobenzoate (0.1 mol) in H₂O (100 ml) was added slowly with stirring to a solution of 0.1 mol of the appropriate substituted benzoyl chloride. The mixture was maintained alkaline with 10% NaOH. The precipitate was collected and crystallized. When an oil was obtained, it was changed to the solid hydrochloride

1-[N-(2-Octyloxybenzoyl)-p-aminobenzoyl]-4-diethylethylenediamine (24; See Table II). Method A was followed except that 2-diethylaminoethylamine was used, instead of N-disubstituted 2aminoethanol.

Quaternary Salts 31-55 (Table III) and 57-59 (Table IV). These were prepared by dissolution of the corresponding bases in Et₂O followed by treating with MeI (or MeBr) at room temperature. The precipitate was removed by filtration and recrystallized.

2-(Diethylamino)ethyl (o-Octyloxy)benzoate (58; Table IV). Method A was followed except that (o-octyloxy)benzoyl chloride

Table II

1 able 1	1								
	R CNH-CNH-CH ₂ R ₁								
No.	R	Y	R_1	% yield	Mp, °C	Crystals from	Formula ^a		
11 ^b 12 ^b 13 14 15 ^b 16 ^b 17 18 19 ^b 20	2-OCH ₃ 2-OC ₂ H ₅ 2-OCH ₂ CH=CH ₂ 2-n-OC ₃ H ₇ 2-n-OC ₄ H ₉ 2-n-OC ₅ H ₁₁ 2-OCH(C ₂ H ₅) ₂ 2-n-OC ₇ H ₁₅ 2-n-OC ₈ H ₁₇ 2-n-OC ₈ H ₁₇	0 0 0 0 0 0	$N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ ·HC1 $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ ·HC1 $N(C_2H_6)_2$ $N(C_2H_6)_2$ ·HC1 $N(C_2H_6)_2$ ·HC1 $N(C_2H_6)_2$ ·HC1 $N(CH_2)_4$ CH2	80 83 68 76 80 78 71 73 81	57-59 94-96 81-83 183-185 81-82 70-72 111-113 61-63 137-139 70-72	Benzene EtOH Hexane Dioxane Hexane Hexane Benzene Hexane Benzene Hexane	C ₂₁ H ₂₆ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₂ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₃ H ₂₈ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₃ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₄ H ₃₂ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₅ H ₃₄ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₅ H ₃₄ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₇ H ₃₈ N ₂ O ₄ C ₂₈ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₉ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₉ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₄		
21	2- <i>n</i> -OC ₈ H ₁₇	0	N(CH ₂) ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂	80	95-97	EtOH	$C_{28}H_{38}N_2O_5$		
22 23 24 25 ^b 26 ^b 27 ^b 28 29	3-n-OC ₈ H _{1,7} 4-n-OC ₈ H _{1,7} 2-n-OC ₉ H _{1,9} 2-n-OC ₁₀ H ₂₁ 2-n-OC ₁₂ H ₂₅ 2-OCH ₂ C ₈ H ₅ 2-O(CH ₂) ₂ C ₈ H ₅	O O NH O O O O	$N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$ $N(C_2H_5)_2 \cdot HC1$	75 78 85 70 72 75 80 82	83-85 119-121 124-126 127-129 132-134 140-142 175-176 105-107	EtOH Me ₂ CO Dioxane Benzene Benzene Benzene Dioxane Cyclohexane	C ₂₈ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₈ H ₄₀ N ₂ O ₄ · C ₂₈ H ₄₁ N ₃ O ₃ C ₂₉ H ₄₂ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₃₀ H ₄₄ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₃₂ H ₄₈ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂ H ₃₀ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl C ₂₈ H ₃₂ N ₂ O ₄ ·HCl		
3 0	2-O(CH ₂) ₃ C ₆ H ₅	О	$N(C_2H_5)_2$	82	66-68	Hexane	$C_{29}H_{34}N_2O_4$		

^aAll compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N. ^bKnown, see ref 1.

Table III

			R [H₂CH₂	R ₁ ⁺ X ⁻			
No.	R	$-COY(CH_2)_2R_1^+$ position	Y	R_1^+	x ⁻	% yield	Crystals from	Mp,°C	Formula ^a
31 ^b 32 33 ^b 34 35 36 ^b 37 ^b 38 39 40 41 42 ^b 43 ^b	2-OCH ₃ 2-OC ₂ H ₅ 2-OC ₂ H ₅ 2-n-OC ₃ H ₇ 2-OCH ₂ CH=CH ₂ 2-n-OC ₄ H ₉ 2-n-OC ₅ H ₁₁ 2-OCH(C ₂ H ₅) ₂ 2-n-OC ₅ H ₁₁ 2-n-OC ₈ H ₁₇	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 3 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NCH ₃ (C ₂ H ₅) ₂ NCH ₃ (C ₂ H ₅) ₂	I Br I Br I I Br Br Br Br	93 95 92 90 89 87 91 90 92 94 94 95 91	EtOH EtOH EtOH Me ₂ CO EtOH EtOH H ₂ O AcOEt i-PrOH AcOEt Dioxane EtOH Me ₂ CO	198-200 191-193 200 dec 178-180 186-188 155-157 163-166 138-140 168-170 70-72 116-118 166-168 136-138	C ₂₂ H ₂₉ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₃ H ₃₁ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₃ H ₃₁ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₄ H ₃₃ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₄ H ₃₁ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₅ H ₃₅ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₅ H ₃₇ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₆ H ₃₇ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₆ H ₃₇ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₆ H ₃₇ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₉ H ₄₃ BrN ₂ O ₄
44 45	2- <i>n</i> -OC ₈ H ₁₇ 2- <i>n</i> -OC ₈ H ₁₇	4	0	H ₃ CN(CH ₂) ₄ CH ₂ H ₃ CN(CH ₂) ₂ OCH ₂ CH ₂	Br Br	93 90	EtOH-Et ₂ O <i>i</i> -PrOH	146-148 137-139	$C_{30}H_{43}BrN_2O_4$ $C_{29}H_{41}BrN_2O_5$
46 47 48 49 ^b 50 ^b 51 ^b 52 ^b 53	3-n-OC ₈ H ₁₇ 4-n-OC ₈ H ₁₇ 2-n-OC ₉ H ₁₇ 2-n-OC ₉ H ₁₉ 2-n-OC ₁₀ H ₂₁ 2-n-OC ₁₂ H ₂₅ 2-OCH ₂ C ₆ H ₅	4 4 4 4 4 4	O O NH O O O O	NCH ₃ (C ₂ H ₅) ₂ NCH ₃ (C ₂ H ₅) ₂	Br Br Br I I I Br	90 86 88 91 92 90 91 92 87	EtOH-Et ₂ O AcOEt <i>i</i> -PrOH Me ₂ CO H ₂ O H ₂ O Me ₂ CO-Et ₂ O Me ₂ CO	128-130 158-160 147-149 147-149 127-130 115-117 81-83 186-188	C ₂₉ H ₄₃ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₉ H ₄₃ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₉ H ₄₄ BrN ₃ O ₃ C ₃₀ H ₄₅ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₃₀ H ₄₅ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₃₁ H ₄₇ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₃₃ H ₅₁ IN ₂ O ₄ C ₂₈ H ₃₃ BrN ₂ O ₄
54 55	2-O(CH ₂) ₂ C ₆ H ₅ 2-O(CH ₂) ₃ C ₆ H ₅	4	Ö	$NCH_3(C_2H_5)_2$ $NCH_3(C_2H_5)_2$	Br	89	i-PrOH i-PrOH	164-166 165-167	C ₂₉ H ₃₅ BrN ₂ O ₄ C ₃₀ H ₃₇ BrN ₂ O ₄

^aAll compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N. ^bKnown, see ref 1.

Table IV

OR $\begin{array}{c} CCCH_2CH_2^{\dagger} \\ C_2H_5 \\ C_2H_5 \end{array} X^-$								
No.	R	R_1	x-	Crystals from	% yield	Mp, °C	Formula ^a	
56 ^b 57 58 59	C ₂ H ₅ C ₂ H ₅ n-C ₈ H ₁ , n-C ₈ H ₁ ,	H CH ₃ H CH ₃	Cl Br Cl Br	i-PrOH AcOEt Me ₂ CO i-PrOH	85 92 85 95	138-140 91-93 131-133 72-74	C ₁₅ H ₂₃ NO ₃ ·HCl C ₁₆ H ₂₆ BrNO ₃ C ₂₁ H ₃₅ NO ₃ ·HCl C ₂₂ H ₃₈ BrNO ₃	

^aAll compounds were analyzed for C, H, and N. ^bKnown; J. Thomas and J. Canty, J. Pharm. Pharmacol., 14, 587 (1962).

Table V. Pharmacological Screening Results

Smooth muscle relaxant activity in vitro (guinea-pig ileum), ED₅₀ in µg/ml Curative Preventive activity against activity against acetylcholine, LD 50 (mouse), Acetylcholine, Histamine, Barium chloride, Charcoal meal test 1 × 10⁻⁸ 1×10^{-8} 1 X 10⁻⁴ 1 X 10⁻⁸ No mg/kg ip (rat), ED_{so} in mg/kg ip 11 168.0 2.4 9.9 5.0 5.3 >40.0 2.7 363.0 7.7 3.8 1.4 18.8 12 300.0 15 1.6 2.4 6.3 0.8 9.2 346.0 7.5 16 0.7 6.3 37.6 1.6 19 **5**01.0 7.9 25 >51.9 >51.9 >51.9 36.3 18.8 >400.0 26 >53.3 >53.3 >53.3 >53.3 10.9 $>300.0^{a}$ 27 >22.4 >56.2 >22.4 >22.4 6.6 74.0 31 4.0 6.8 24.1 11.6 0.6 32 126.0 0.8 24.2 9.9 33 149.0 0.8 13,2 37.6 10.5 0.5 125.0 0.9 9.9 34 7.2 1.0 11.1 35 123.0 0.8 15.1 31.2 0.6 7.1 169.0 36 0.6 7.5 7.9 6.7 0.6 $>150.0^{a}$ 37 0.32.4 2.9 0.1 6.9 38 163.0 0.1 1.3 5.3 0.2 4.5 **3**9 79.0 0.06 0.6 1.2 0.05 5.0 44.6 40 0.5 8.4 5.6 0.5 2.3 81.4 41 0.7 8.6 11.2 1.2 4.4 87.0 42 0.04 0.5 0.9 0.02 1.8 65.6 1.9 43 0.02 0.9 0.05 1.6 70.0 44 0.2 1.0 3.4 0.1 45 57.5 0.07 1.4 7.5 0.1 61.0 0.01 20.2 46 16.8 3.0 0.1 47 <30.0 0.03 3.2 >22.4 0.09 3.3 87.0 4.7 48 0.03 4.5 0.04 1.7 49 71.6 0.03 0.7 1.4 0.1 1.8 50 88.0 0.04 0.1 0.9 0.1 2.5 **5**1 96.6 0.05 3.4 6.4 0.2 2.2 52 151.0 0.5 2.7 >26.6 0.6 3.2 50-100 53 0.1 3.3 10.8 0.2 4.9 125.5 2.1 0.3 54 0.6 4.3 4.4 55 166.0 7.7 0.2 2.2 0.2 3.2 115.0 57 0.296.85 0.20 **5**9 70.0 0.04 5.1 0.04 Papaverine HC1 108.0 2.7 2.5 5.1 1.7 >40.0 Scopolamine N-72.5 77.6 0.02 83.1 0.02 11.3 butyl bromide

aWater suspension.

was used instead of substituted benzoyl-p-aminobenzoic acid chloride.

Pharmacological Methods. Quaternary derivatives are readily soluble in water whereas the bases were solubilized by adding stoichiometric amounts of HCl.

- (a) Acute Toxicity. Mice, Swiss-Morini strain, weighing 17-22 g, were used. The compounds were administered intraperitoneally to groups of ten mice per dose level. The animals were kept under observation for a period of 10 days. LD₅₀ was calculated according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon.⁴
- (b) In Vitro Smooth Muscle Relaxant Activity. The isolated guinea-pig ileum was used as a test model. Compounds to be tested were added to the bathing solution either 1 min before the addition of the spasm-inducing agent or at the time of the spastic agent-induced maximal contraction. The potential inhibitory effects of each compound were determined on not less than four segments of ileum per dose level. ED₅₀ was calculated according to Litchfield and Wilcoxon.
- (c) Charcoal Meal Test. This test measures inhibition or stimulation of peristalsis ^{6,7} and it is considered a useful tool to determine the effect of anticholinergic compounds. Rats, Wistar-Morini strain, weighing 160-220 g, were used. After an overnight fasting, the animals received by gavage 25 ml/kg of a 10% charcoal suspension in 10% gum acacia. Thirty minutes after, the animals were killed by a blow on the head and the small intestine was removed. The per cent of the small intestine which had been traversed by the

charcoal was then recorded. Compounds to be tested were injected intraperitoneally 15 min before charcoal meal to groups of ten rats each per dose level. For each compound an ED_{50} was determined which represents the approximate dose required to produce an average 50% inhibition of propulsion.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to Professor A. Meli, Research Director, for his interest and advice.

References

- M. Ghelardoni, F. Russo, N. Pisanti, and G. Volterra, U. S. Patent 3,536,723 (1970); Chem. Abstr., 72, 100318g (1970).
- (2) J. H. Nodine and P. E. Siegler, "Pharmacologic Techniques in Drug Evaluation," Year Book Medical Publishers, Chicago, Ill., 1964, p 139.
- (3) N. Pisanti and G. Volterra, Boll. Chim. Farm., 106, 595 (1967).
- (4) J. T. Litchfield and F. Wilcoxon, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 96, 99 (1949).
- (5) R. Magnus, Arch. Ges. Physiol., 102, 122 (1904).
- (6) P. A. J. Janssen and A. Jageneau, J. Pharm. Pharma col., 9, 381 (1957).
- (7) L. B. Witkin, C. F. Huebner, F. Galdi, E. O'Keefe, P. Spitaletta, and A. J. Plummer, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 133, 400 (1961).
- (8) F. R. Domer, "Animal Experiments in Pharmacological Analysis," Charles C Thomas, Springfield, Ill., 1971, p 143.